Observation of Electron Neutrino Appearance in a Muon Neutrino Beam
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The T2K experiment has observed electron neutrino appearance in a muon neutrino beam produced 295 km from the Super-Kamiokande detector with a peak energy of 0.6 GeV. A total of 28 events were detected with an energy distribution consistent with an appearance cross-section of $0.55 \pm 0.23 \times 10^{-37} \text{cm}^2$. When compared to the expected background of 4.92, a mass difference $\Delta m^2_{32} = 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$ was observed, corresponding to a significance of $7.3 \sigma$. This result is consistent with the $\Delta m^2_{32}$ and $\theta_{13}$ parameters including three mixing angles $\theta_{12}$, $\theta_{23}$, $\theta_{13}$, and a CP violating phase $\delta$. In the PMNS mixing model, the electron neutrino appearance signal depends on several parameters.
phase $\delta_{CP}$. In this neutrino oscillation scenario, assuming $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, $\delta_{CP} = 0$, and $\Delta m^2_{21} > 0$ ($\Delta m^2_{32} < 0$), a best-fit value of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.140^{+0.038}_{-0.032}$ ($0.170^{+0.045}_{-0.037}$) is obtained.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq,14.60.Lm,12.27.-a,29.40.ka

**Introduction**—The discovery of neutrino oscillations using atmospheric neutrinos was made by Super-Kamiokande in 1998 [1]. Since then, many other experiments have confirmed the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations through various disappearance modes of flavor transformations. However, to date, there has not been a definitive observation of the explicit appearance of a different neutrino flavor from neutrinos of another flavor through neutrino oscillations. In 2011, the T2K collaboration published the first indication of electron neutrino appearance from a muon neutrino beam at 2.5$\sigma$ significance based on a data set corresponding to $1.43 \times 10^{20}$ protons on target (POT) [2, 3]. This result was followed by the publication of further evidence for electron neutrino appearance at 3.1$\sigma$ in early 2013 [4]. This paper presents new results from the T2K experiment that definitively establish the appearance of electron neutrinos from a muon neutrino beam.

In a three-flavor framework, neutrino oscillations are described by the PMNS matrix [5, 6], which is parameterized by three mixing angles $\theta_{12}$, $\theta_{23}$, $\theta_{13}$, and a CP violating phase $\delta_{CP}$. In this framework the probability for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillation can be expressed [7] as

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) \sim \sin^2 \theta_{23} \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m^2_{21} L}{4E}$$

- $\frac{\sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23}}{2 \sin \theta_{13}} \frac{\Delta m^2_{21} L}{4E} \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m^2_{31} L}{4E} \sin \delta_{CP}$

+ (CP even term, solar term, matter effect term),

where $L$ is the neutrino propagation distance and $E$ is the neutrino energy. The measurement of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations is of particular interest because this mode is sensitive to both $\theta_{13}$ and $\delta_{CP}$. The first indication of non-zero $\theta_{13}$ was published by T2K [3] based on the measurement of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations. Since that time, $\theta_{13}$ has been precisely measured by reactor neutrino experiments to be $91 \pm 0.6^\circ$ from $\tau$ ($\pi$) disappearance studies [8, 11]. Using this value of $\theta_{13}$, the $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ appearance mode can be used to explore CP violation, which has yet to be observed in the lepton sector. CP violation, as shown in Equation [1] is governed by the second term and can be as large as 27% of the first term for the T2K experimental setup when using current values of the neutrino oscillation parameters.

**T2K Experiment**—T2K operates at the J-PARC facility in Tokai, Japan. A muon neutrino beam is produced from the decay of charged pions and kaons generated by 30 GeV protons hitting a graphite target and focused by three magnetic horns. Downstream of the horns is the decay volume, 96 meters in length, followed by the beam dump and muon monitors (MUMON). The neutrino beam illuminates an on-axis detector and off-axis detectors positioned at an angle of 2.5$^\circ$ relative to the beam direction. The resulting energy spectrum, peaked at 0.6 GeV for the off-axis detectors, reduces the $\nu_e$ contamination and the feed-down backgrounds to the $\nu_e$ appearance signal from higher energy neutrinos. The near detector complex at 280 meters from the target is used to measure the neutrino beam direction, spectrum, and composition before oscillations and to measure neutrino cross sections. The complex consists of an on-axis detector (INGRID) and a suite of off-axis detectors (ND280) that reside within a 0.2 T magnet [2]. The Super-Kamiokande (SK) 50 kt water Cherenkov detector, situated 295 km away, is used to detect the oscillated neutrinos.

The results presented here are based on data taken from January 2010 to May 2013. During this period the proton beam power has steadily increased and reached 220 kW continuous operation with a world record of $1.2 \times 10^{14}$ protons per pulse. The total neutrino beam exposure at SK corresponds to $6.57 \times 10^{20}$ POT.

**Neutrino Beam Flux**—The neutrino beam flux [12] is predicted by modeling interactions of the primary beam protons in a graphite target using external hadron production data from the CERN NA61/SHINE experiment [13, 14] and the FLUKA2008 package [15, 16]. GEANT3 [17] with GCALOR [18] simulates propagation of the secondary/tertiary pions and kaons, and their decays into neutrinos. The $\nu_e$ component (including a small amount of $\bar{\nu}_e$) in the beam is estimated to be less than 1% of the flux below 1.5 GeV, and constitutes an irreducible background to the $\nu_e$ appearance search. This component is generated predominantly by the decay of muons for $E_{\nu} < 1$ GeV and by kaons for $E_{\nu} > 1$ GeV.

The neutrino flux uncertainties are dominated by the hadron production uncertainties, with contributions from the neutrino beam direction and the proton beam uncertainties. The neutrino beam direction, monitored indirectly by MUMON on a spill-by-spill basis, and directly by INGRID [19], was found to be well within the required $\pm 1$ mrad during the full run period. INGRID also measured the neutrino interaction rate per POT to be stable within 0.7%. The total systematic error for the absolute flux prediction is evaluated to be 10–15% in the relevant energy range. Furthermore, the uncertainty on the ratio of the flux predictions at the far and the near detectors is less than 2% around the peak.

**Neutrino Interaction Simulations and Cross Section Parameters**—The NEUT neutrino interaction generator [20] is used to simulate neutrino interactions in the
INGRID, ND280, and SK detectors. At interaction energies typical of the T2K beam, the dominant charged current (CC) interactions are charged current quasi-elastic (CCQE) and single resonant pion production. The cross section parameterization can be divided into two categories: parameters common to interactions at both ND280 and SK, and parameters evaluated separately for the two detectors. Parameters in the first category comprise the axial masses for CCQE ($M_{AX}^{QE}$) and single resonant pion production ($M_{RES}^{pπ}$), and normalizations for CCQE, CC single pion, and neutral current (NC) $π^0$ interactions. Parameters in the second category are typically related to the interaction target—primarily carbon at ND280 and oxygen at SK—and include Fermi momentum, binding energy, and spectral function modeling for the CCQE nuclear model. Also in this category are normalizations for other CC and NC cross sections, the $\nu_e/\nu_\mu$ CC cross section ratio, pion production parameters, and final state interactions of pions exiting the nucleus. External data sets, primarily from [21–23], are used to determine the initial values and prior uncertainties of the parameters [4].

**ND280 Measurements, Flux and Common Cross Section Fits**—The energy spectrum of the neutrino beam and the neutrino cross section parameters are constrained using $\nu_\mu$ CC interactions in ND280. The fine-grained detectors (FGDs) [24] are scintillator trackers that serve as the primary neutrino target, and the momentum and identity of the particles emerging from the interaction are determined by the time projection chambers (TPCs) [25] interleaved with the FGDs. The muon is assumed to be the highest-momentum, negative-curvature track that emerges from the FGD fiducial volume with an energy deposition consistent with a muon in the TPC downstream of the FGD. Tracks found in the TPC upstream of the FGD are used to veto external background events.

The ND280 analysis includes many improvements over the previous T2K electron neutrino appearance measurement [3]. Candidate events are now divided into three samples: CC-0$\pi$, dominated by CCQE interactions; CC-1$π^+$, dominated by CC resonant pion production; and CC-other. The samples are defined by the number of pions in the observed final state. A $π^+$ can be identified in one of three ways: an FGD+TPC track with positive curvature and a TPC charge deposition consistent with a pion, an FGD-contained track with a charge deposition consistent with a pion, or a delayed energy deposit due to a decay electron from stopped $π^+ → μ^+γ$ in the FGD. To tag a $π^-$, only negative curvature FGD+TPC tracks are used. A $π^0$ is identified if there exists a track in the TPC with a charge deposition consistent with an electron from a $γ$ conversion. Events containing no pions are classified as CC-0$π$, events with exactly one $π^+$ and no $π^−$ or $π^0$ are classified as CC-1$π^+$, and all other CC events are classified as CC-other. There are 17369, 4047, and 4173 data events in the CC-0$π$, CC-1$π^+$, and CC-other samples, respectively. The ND280 data set used for this analysis corresponds to $5.90 \times 10^{20}$ POT.

The three samples are fit with 25 beam flux parameters at ND280 (11 $E_{ν_μ}$, 5 $E_{ν_e}$, 7 $E_{ν_μ}$, and 2 $E_{ν_e}$ bins), 21 cross section parameters (5 in common with SK, and 16 used only for ND280), as well as 210 parameters describing the ND280 detector systematics (10 momentum × 7 angle bins for each sample). The dominant detector uncertainties come from events occurring outside the FGD fiducial volume and from pion reinteractions in the detector. The ND280 measurements constrain the SK flux parameters due to the flux covariance derived from beam simulations. The predicted numbers of ND280 events in Monte Carlo (MC), using the best-fit parameters, are 17352, 4110, and 4119 for the CC-0$π$, CC-1$π^+$, and CC-other samples, respectively. A $χ^2$ goodness-of-fit test returns a p-value of 0.66, indicating no disagreement between the data and the prediction using best-fit parameters. Figure 1 shows the muon momentum distribution of the CC-0$π$ sample, and the improvement in data and MC agreement when using the best-fit parameters.

**The fit to the ND280 data** gives estimates for 22 beam flux parameters at SK, the 5 common cross section parameters, and their covariance. Using the ND280 information reduces the uncertainty on the expected number of electron-like events at SK due to the propagated parameters from 25.9% to 2.9%.

**SK Measurements**—The SK detector is composed of an inner detector (ID) and an outer detector (OD). The ID has a water fiducial volume (FV) of 22.5 kt that is equipped with 11129 photomultiplier tubes (PMT) and is surrounded by the 2 m wide OD. Neutrino events at SK are selected if the Cherenkov ring is consistent with an energy above 30 MeV in the ID with low activity in the OD to reject any entering background or exiting events. These events are labeled fully-contained (FC).
The FC fiducial volume (FCFV) sample is obtained by applying the further cut that the event vertex is at least 2 m away from the ID tank wall. A timing cut of $-2$ to 10 $\mu$s relative to the first beam bunch arrival is applied to distinguish T2K data from other neutrino samples such as atmospheric neutrino interactions. The timing cut reduces the contamination from other neutrino sources to 0.0085 events in the full sample.

To select $\nu_e$ interaction candidate events in the FCFV sample, a single electron-like Cherenkov ring is required. The reconstructed electron momentum ($p_\text{rec}$) is required to exceed 100 MeV/c to eliminate decay-electrons from stopping muons generated by CC interactions and pions in NC interactions. In addition, events are required to have a reconstructed neutrino energy ($E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$) below 1250 MeV. Nearly all of the oscillated $\nu_e$ signal events are below this value, while most of the intrinsic beam $\nu_e$ background events have higher energies. The $E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$ is calculated assuming a CCQE interaction as

$$E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}} = \frac{m_n^2 - (m_n - E_b)^2 - m_p^2 + 2(m_n - E_b)E_e}{2(m_n - E_b - E_e + p_e \cos \theta_e)},$$

where $m_n$ ($m_p$) is the neutron (proton) mass, $E_b$ is the neutron binding energy in oxygen (27 MeV), $m_e$ is the electron mass, $E_e$ is its energy, and $\theta_e$ is the angle of the electron direction relative to the beam direction.

The final selection criterion removes additional $\pi^0$ background events using a new reconstruction algorithm to determine the kinematics of all final state particles. The new algorithm is a maximum-likelihood fit in which charge and time probability density functions (PDFs) are constructed for every PMT hit for a given particle hypothesis with a set of 7 parameters: the vertex position, the timing, the direction and the momentum. Multiple-particle fit hypotheses are constructed by summing the charge contributions from each constituent particle. Different neutrino final states are distinguished by comparing the best-fit likelihood resulting from the fit of each hypothesis. To separate $\pi^0$ events from $\nu_e$ CC events, both the reconstructed $\pi^0$ mass ($m_{\pi^0}$) and the ratio of the best-fit likelihoods of the $\pi^0$ and electron fits ($L_{\pi^0}/L_e$) are used. Figure 2 shows the $\ln(L_{\pi^0}/L_e)$ vs $\pi^0$ mass distribution for signal $\nu_e$-CC events and events containing a $\pi^0$ in the MC sample, as well as the rejection cut line. Events that satisfy $\ln(L_{\pi^0}/L_e) < 175 - 0.875 \times m_{\pi^0}$ (MeV/e$^2$) constitute the final $\nu_e$ candidate sample. This cut removes 69% of the $\pi^0$ background events relative to the previous T2K $\nu_e$ appearance selection, with only a 2% loss in signal efficiency.

A summary of the number of events passing each selection cut is shown in Table I. After all cuts, the total number of candidate $\nu_e$ events selected in data is 28, which is significantly larger than the $4.92 \pm 0.55$ expected events for $\theta_{13} = 0$. For $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.1$ and $\delta_{CP} = 0$, the expected number is 21.6, as shown in Table I.
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**FIG. 2.** The $\ln(L_{\pi^0}/L_e)$ vs $m_{\pi^0}$ distribution is shown for both signal $\nu_e$-CC events (boxes) and background events containing a $\pi^0$ (blue scale). The red line indicates the location of the $\pi^0$ rejection cut. Events in the upper right corner are rejected.

**TABLE I.** The expected number of signal and background events passing each selection stage assuming $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.1$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$, $\delta_{CP} = 0$, and $\Delta \rho_{23} > 0$, compared to the observed number in data. Interactions in the true FV is based on the MC truth information while all other numbers are based on the reconstructed information and have been rounded off after addition to avoid rounding error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>$v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\nu_e}$</th>
<th>$v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\nu_e} + v_{\nu_\mu} + v_{\nu_\tau}$</th>
<th>$v_{\nu_e}$ CC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>Total MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interactions in FV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>325.7</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>288.1</td>
<td>696.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCFV</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>247.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>372.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Single-ring</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>198.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+e-like PID</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+$p_e &gt; 100$ MeV/c</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+No decay-$e$</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+$E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}} &lt; 1250$ MeV</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Non-$\pi^0$-like</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The systematic uncertainty due to the SK selection cuts is evaluated using various data and MC samples. The uncertainty for both the FC and the FV selection is 1%. The decay-electron rejection cut has errors of 0.2-0.4%, depending on neutrino flavor and interaction type. The uncertainties for the single electron-like ring selection and $\pi^0$ rejection are estimated by using the SK atmospheric neutrino data and SK cosmic-ray muons. Electron-neutrino CC-enriched control samples based on these cuts were prepared, and the differences between MC predictions and data are used to extract the systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty associated with the $\pi^0$ background is determined by constructing a hybrid sample with either an electron-like ring taken from the atmospheric data sample or from decay-electrons selected in the stopping muon data sample, and a MC-generated gamma ray assuming $\pi^0$ kinematics. The selection cut
systematic uncertainty is calculated to be 1.6% for signal events and 7.3% for background events. The total SK selection uncertainty is 2.1% for the $\nu_e$ candidate events assuming $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.1$.

Additional SK systematic uncertainties are due to final-state interactions (FSI) of pions that occur inside the target nucleus, as well as secondary interactions (SI) of pions and photo-nuclear (PN) interactions of photons that occur outside of the target nucleus. The treatment of the FSI and SI uncertainties is the same as in the previous analysis [26]. For this analysis, a new simulation of PN interactions has been added to the SK MC. In the final $\nu_e$ event sample, 15% of the remaining $\pi^0$ background is due to events where one of the $\pi^0$ decay photons is absorbed in a PN interaction. A systematic uncertainty of 100% is assumed for the normalization of the PN cross section.

**Oscillation Analysis**—The neutrino oscillation parameters are evaluated using a binned extended maximum-likelihood fit. The likelihood consists of four components: a normalization term ($L_{\text{norm}}$), a term for the spectrum shape ($L_{\text{shape}}$), a systematics term ($L_{\text{syst}}$), and a constraint term ($L_{\text{const}}$) from other measurements,

$$L(n_{\text{obs}}, x, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{f}) = L_{\text{norm}}(n_{\text{obs}}; \bar{\sigma}, \bar{f}) \times L_{\text{shape}}(x; \bar{\sigma}, \bar{f}) \times L_{\text{syst}}(\bar{f}) \times L_{\text{const}}(\bar{\sigma}),$$

where $n_{\text{obs}}$ is the number of observed events, $x$ is a set of kinematic variables, $\bar{\sigma}$ represents oscillation parameters, and $\bar{f}$ describes systematic uncertainties.

$L_{\text{norm}}$ is calculated from a Poisson distribution using the mean value from the predicted number of MC events. $L_{\text{syst}}(\bar{f})$ constrains the 27 systematic parameters from the ND280 fit, the SK-only cross section parameters, and the SK selection efficiencies. Table II shows the uncertainties on the predicted number of signal $\nu_e$ events. The $L_{\text{shape}}$ term uses $x = (p_e, \theta_e)$ to distinguish the $\nu_e$ signal from backgrounds. An alternative analysis uses $x = E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$, the reconstructed neutrino energy. In order to combine the results presented in this letter with other measurements to better constrain $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ and $\delta_{\text{CP}}$, the $L_{\text{const}}$ term can also be used to apply additional constraints on $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$.

The following oscillation parameters are fixed in the analysis: $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.306$, $\Delta m^2_{23} = 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ eV$^2$ [27], $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$ [28] and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 0$. For the normal (inverted) hierarchy case, the best-fit value with a 68% confidence level (CL) is $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.140^{+0.038}_{-0.032} (0.170^{+0.045}_{-0.047})$. Figure 3 shows the best-fit result, with the 28 observed $\nu_e$ events. The alternative analysis using $E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$ produces consistent best-fit values and nearly identical confidence regions. Figure 4 shows the $E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$ distribution with the MC prediction for the best-fit $\theta_{13}$ value in the alternative analysis.

The significance for a non-zero $\theta_{13}$ is calculated to be $7.3\sigma$, using the difference of log likelihood values between the best-fit $\theta_{13}$ value and $\theta_{13} = 0$. A different method of calculating the significance, by generating a large number of toy MC experiments assuming $\theta_{13} = 0$, also returns

---

**TABLE II.** The uncertainty (RMS/mean in %) on the predicted number of signal $\nu_e$ events for each group of systematic uncertainties for $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.1$ and 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error source [%]</th>
<th>$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.1$</th>
<th>$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam flux and near detector</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(w/o ND280 constraint)</td>
<td>(25.9)</td>
<td>(21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$ interaction (external data)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far detector and FSI+SI+PN</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIG. 3.** The $(p_e, \theta_e)$ distribution for $\nu_e$ candidate events with the MC prediction using the primary method best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.140$ (normal hierarchy).

**FIG. 4.** The $E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$ distribution for $\nu_e$ candidate events with the MC prediction at the best fit of $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.144$ (normal hierarchy) by the alternative binned $E_{\nu}^{\text{rec}}$ analysis.
are taken into account in the fit by adding a $\delta$ as a function of $\theta$ present uncertainties, the significance remains above 7σ.

For any values for these parameters, consistent with their present uncertainties, the significance remains above 7σ.

As the precision of this measurement increases, the uncertainty from other oscillation parameters becomes increasingly important. The uncertainties on $\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$ are taken into account in the fit by adding a $L_{\text{const}}$ term and marginalizing the likelihood over $\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$. The $L_{\text{const}}$ term is the likelihood as a function of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$, obtained from the T2K $\nu_\mu$ disappearance measurement [28]. The value of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ and the hierarchy are held fixed in the fit. Performing the fit for all values of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$, the allowed 68% and 90% CL regions for $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ are obtained as shown in Figure 4. For $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 0$ and normal (inverted) hierarchy case, the best-fit value with a 68% CL is $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.136^{+0.044}_{-0.033} (0.166^{+0.051}_{-0.042})$. With the current statistics, the correlation between the $\nu_\mu$ disappearance and $\nu_e$ appearance measurements in T2K is negligibly small.

Constraints on $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ are obtained by combining our results with the $\theta_{13}$ value measured by reactor experiments. The additional likelihood constraint term on $\sin^22\theta_{13}$ is defined as $\exp\{-\left(\sin^22\theta_{13} - 0.098\right)^2/(2(0.013^2))\}$, where 0.098 and 0.013 are the averaged value and the error of $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ from PDG2012 [8]. The $-2\Delta\ln L$ curve as a function of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ is shown in Figure 4 where the likelihood is marginalized over $\sin^2\theta_{13}$, $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$. The combined T2K and reactor measurements prefer $\delta_{\text{CP}} = -\pi/2$. The 90% CL limits shown in Figure 5 are evaluated by using the Feldman-Cousins method in order to extract the excluded region. The data excludes $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ between 0.19π and 0.80π (−π and −0.97π, and −0.04π and π) with normal (inverted) hierarchy at 90% CL.

The maximum value of $-2\Delta\ln L$ is 3.38 (5.76) at $\delta_{\text{CP}} = \pi/2$ for normal (inverted) hierarchy case. This value is compared with a large number of toy MC experiments, generated assuming $\delta_{\text{CP}} = -\pi/2$, $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.1$, $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.5$ and $\Delta m^2_{32} = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$. The MC averaged value of $-2\Delta\ln L$ at $\delta_{\text{CP}} = \pi/2$ is 2.20 (4.10) for normal (inverted) hierarchy case, and the probability of obtaining a value greater or equal to the observed value is 34.1% (33.4%). With the same MC settings, the expected 90% CL exclusion region is evaluated to be between 0.35π and 0.63π (0.09π and 0.90π) radians for normal (inverted) hierarchy case.

Conclusions—T2K has made the first observation of electron neutrino appearance in a muon neutrino beam with a peak energy of 0.6 GeV and a baseline of 295 km. With the fixed parameters $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$, $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.5$, $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 0$, and $\Delta m^2_{32} > 0$ ($\Delta m^2_{32} < 0$), a best-fit value of $\sin^22\theta_{13} = 0.140^{+0.038}_{-0.033} (0.170^{+0.045}_{-0.037})$ is obtained, with a significance of 7.3σ over the hypothesis of $\sin^22\theta_{13} = 0$. When combining the T2K result with the world average value of $\theta_{13}$ from reactor experiments, some values of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ are disfavored at the 90% CL.

T2K will continue to take data to measure the neutrino oscillation parameters more precisely and to further ex-

FIG. 5. The 68% and 90% CL allowed regions for $\sin^22\theta_{13}$, as a function of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ assuming normal hierarchy (top) and inverted hierarchy (bottom). The solid line represents the best fit $\sin^22\theta_{13}$ value for given $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ values. The values of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$ are varied in the fit with the constraint from [28]. The shaded region shows the average $\theta_{13}$ value from the PDG2012 [8].

FIG. 6. The $-2\Delta\ln L$ value as a function of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ for normal hierarchy (solid line) and inverted hierarchy (dotted line). The likelihood is marginalized over $\sin^2\theta_{13}$, $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^2_{32}$. The solid (dotted) line with markers corresponds to the 90% CL limits for normal (inverted) hierarchy, evaluated by using the Feldman-Cousins method. The $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ regions with values above the lines are excluded at 90% CL.
plore CP violation in the lepton sector.
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