Q fever outbreak in the terraced vineyards of Lavaux, Switzerland

C. Bellini1,a, I. Magours2,a, C. Chapuis-Taillard3, O. Clerc4, E. Masserey5, G. Peduto6, O. Péter7, S. Schaerrer8, G. Schuepbach2 and G. Greub4,9
1) Service of Infectious Diseases, Riviera Regional Hospital, Vevey, Switzerland, 2) Veterinary Public Health Institute, Vetsuisse faculty, University of Bern, Bern, 3) Service of Infectious Diseases, Medical Centre of Vidy, 4) Service of Infectious Diseases, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, 5) Service of Public Health, Canton of Vaud, 6) Service of Consumption and Veterinary Affairs, Canton of Vaud, Lausanne, 7) Service of Infectious Diseases, Central Institute of Valais, Sion, 8) Institute of Veterinary Bacteriology, Vetsuisse faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich and 9) Institute of Microbiology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Abstract

Coxiella burnetii infection (Q fever) is a widespread zoonosis with low endemicity in Switzerland, therefore no mandatory public report was required. A cluster of initially ten human cases of acute Q fever infections characterized by prolonged fever, asthenia and mild hepatitis occurred in 2012 in the terraced vineyard of Lavaux. Epidemiological investigations based on patients’ interviews and veterinary investigations included environmental sampling as well as Coxiella-specific serological assay and molecular examinations (real-time PCR in vaginal secretions) of suspected sheep. These investigations demonstrated that 43% of sheep carried the bacteria whereas 30% exhibited anti-Coxiella antibodies. Mitigation measures, including limiting human contacts with the flock, hygiene measures, flock vaccination and a public official alert, have permitted the detection of four additional human cases and the avoidance of a much larger outbreak. Since November 2012, mandatory reporting of Q fever to Swiss public health authorities has been reintroduced. A close follow up of human cases will be necessary to identify chronic Q fever.
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Introduction

Q fever is an infection caused by Coxiella burnetii, a naturally intracellular Gram-negative bacterium. ‘Q’ stands for Query and this name was first used in 1935 during an outbreak of febrile illness among abattoir workers in Brisbane, Queensland (Australia) when the causative agent was still unknown [1, 2].

Common reservoirs of this worldwide zoonotic disease are wild and domestic animals, especially sheep, goats, cattle and occasionally pets. Infected animals are often asymptomatic, but abortions and other reproductive disorders can manifest. Shedding occurs in urine, milk, faeces, and in particular through birth products from infected animals [2–4].

As spore-like forms of C. burnetii can survive for months in the environment and the bacteria have been documented in dust samples [5,6], infections do not necessarily require direct contact with diseased animals. Inhalation of aerosolized particles is the primary transmission route [7,8]. Infections through direct skin contact, ingestion of contaminated raw milk and goat cheese have also been described [2]. Rare human-to-human transmissions following contact with infected placenta, human milk exposure and via blood transfusions have also been documented [2,9–11].

After an incubation period of around 20 days (range 9–39 days), non-immune exposed persons develop a primary infection. Acute infection remains asymptomatic in around 60% of cases [3,12–14]. For the remaining 40% of cases, acute Q fever usually manifests as self-limited, flu-like illness,
interstitial pneumonia or acute hepatitis [15]. Spontaneous abortion or premature delivery can occur in pregnant women [15,16]. Only 5% of the symptomatic persons will require hospitalization [13].

In 1–5% of all infections, Q fever progresses into a chronic form, whose localization (e.g. endocarditis, vascular infection, granulomatous hepatitis, osteomyelitis) depends on host risk factors and C. burnetii isolate groups [3,15,17,18].

Recently the Netherlands experienced the largest outbreak ever recorded, with more than 4000 human cases by 2011 [19,20]. In Switzerland, a European country of about 8 000 000 inhabitants, the incidence of Q fever is about 0.15 cases per 100 000 inhabitants, corresponding to around 10–12 infections per year (http://www.bag.admin.ch/dokumentation/publikationen). Given this low incidence, reports of human infections were no longer mandatory after 1999, so its epidemiology is now largely unknown (http://www.bag.admin.ch/dokumentation/publikationen). Outbreaks were rarely reported, and only one large Swiss outbreak has been documented in the ‘Val de Bagnes’ in 1983 [21].

In Switzerland, abortions of cloven-hoofed animals have to be reported to the veterinary authorities. Between 2002 and 2011, 583 abortions due to C. burnetii infections were reported, 82% of them in cattle, 12% in goats and 6% in sheep (http://www.bvet.admin.ch/themen/03605/index.html?lang=fr). In the last 5 years, around 60–80 animal infections occurred each year (http://www.bvet.admin.ch/themen/03605/index.html?lang=fr).

Screening of the milk products from Swiss animals has shown that C. burnetii contamination occurred in <5% of cattle products, and never in sheep or goat samples [22].

Because of the asymptomatic nature of most human infections and the rarity of the disease in Switzerland, Q fever is poorly known among general practitioners, and only rarely considered in the differential diagnosis of flu-like illnesses. We report the results of an outbreak investigation of 14 cases in the terraced vineyards of Lavaux, Canton of Vaud, Switzerland, and describe related environmental and veterinary investigations.

Methods

Epidemiological description

Between February and May 2012 an unusually high number of hospitalized cases was observed in three different Swiss hospitals, located in Vevey (n = 1) and in Lausanne (n = 2). The initial cluster of ten cases was reported to the public health authorities. Considering the rarity of this disease, and the lack of knowledge about this infection among general practitioners, we suspected that these few cases indicated a much larger outbreak and a larger investigation was initiated allowing the identification of 4 additional cases. The patients presented acute and prolonged febrile illness up to 2 weeks associated with asthenia and hepatitis. Table 1 summarizes the clinical presentation of the 14 cases and Table 2 shows the rate of the majority of symptoms and signs documented for these 14 cases. These cases included two episodes of biopsy-proven granulomatous hepatitis (patients 1 and 3) and one vertebral osteomyelitis (patient 6). Acute Q fever diagnoses were based on positive serologies, defined as a phase II IgM titre ≥40 IU/L and phase II IgG titre ≥40 IU/L (immunofluorescence assay) [13]. Briefly, sera were screened by indirect immunofluorescence assay at a starting dilution of 1/20 using Coxiella burnetii phase I and II antigens (strain Nine Miles, kindly provided by Dr W. Burgdorfer, Rocky Mountain Laboratories, Hamilton, USA) [23]. Fluorescein isothiocyanate goat anti-human specific IgG and IgM conjugates (BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France) were used for detection. Serology was often performed after more than 10 days of intra-hospital investigations, secondary to infectious disease consultation. In seven cases (patients 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14), for which initial serology was negative, seroconversion was documented 14 days later. Only in one case (patient 12), could C. burnetii DNA be detected by real-time PCR in serum [24]. The PCR was also positive in one of the two liver biopsies that were performed (patient 1) and in another case in a bone biopsy (patient 6). Two cases had predisposing risk factors for chronic Q fever (patients 1 and 3).

Epidemiological investigation and public health measures

Investigations initially included patient interviews, review of medical records and evaluation of risk factors for exposure to Q fever (working and living places, environmental exposure, animal contact and food habits), which identified a sheep farm as a possible source of the outbreak (coined hereafter as the ‘index farm’).

Based on this information, a veterinary investigation was launched in June 2012. At that time, all the sheep had already been transferred to Alpine pastures near the lake of l’Hongrin, in the Canton of Vaud. On the farm, most manure had been removed and the floor had been flushed with water. As a first step, on-farm sampling of dust and manure as well as an interview with the farmer were carried out. In total, five dust samples were taken from horizontal surfaces such as feed troughs (n = 2) and windowsills (n = 3), as described elsewhere [25]. Furthermore, six manure samples were collected in sterile vials from different locations on the ground. All samples were frozen at −20°C until further processing. In July 2012, 52 sheep, part of the flock suspected as the source of the outbreak and now located in the Alps, were randomly sampled for serology and real-time PCR examinations of vaginal swabs. Sera were tested for the presence of antibodies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Age (years) at diagnosis; gender</th>
<th>First symptoms (month/year)</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Diagnosis of Coxiella burnetii infection</th>
<th>Predisposing conditions</th>
<th>Anamnestic exposure risk factors</th>
<th>Treatment and evolution including clinical investigations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>62; M</td>
<td>04/2011</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Granulomatous hepatitis</td>
<td>Positive serology and PCR in liver biopsy (granuloma)</td>
<td>TNF-α inhibitors for Behcethrew disease</td>
<td>Regular visits to friends in the farm</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 21 days; TTE normal at screening and at 6 months; TA-CT normal at 1 year; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>66; M</td>
<td>06/2011</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms</td>
<td>Positive serology</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the region; eat unpasteurized goat cheese and local market vegetables</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 14 days; TTE normal at screening and at 4 months; TEE normal at 4 months; bone scintigraphy normal at 4 months; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59; M</td>
<td>02/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Granulomatous hepatitis</td>
<td>Positive serology Negative PCR in liver biopsy</td>
<td>Aortic bicuspidia and aneurysm</td>
<td>Regular visits to friends in the farm</td>
<td>Doxycycline plus hydroxyl chloroquine (skin rash); switch to doxycycline plus rifampin; TTE showing aortic bicuspidia and aneurysm of ascending aorta at screening; Resolution of hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64; F</td>
<td>04/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Hepatitis</td>
<td>Positive serology</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the region; eat local market vegetables</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 14 days; TTE normal at screening; Recovery after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44; M</td>
<td>04/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Hepatitis</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the region; eat local market vegetables</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 14 days; TTE normal at screening; Recovery after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57; M</td>
<td>04/2012</td>
<td>Spondylodiscitis</td>
<td>Positive serology and PCR on vertebral biopsy</td>
<td>Vertebral trauma 2 months earlier</td>
<td>Regular visits to friends in the farm; eat local market vegetables</td>
<td>Doxycycline plus hydroxyl chloroquine (cutaneous lupus) switch to ciprofloxacin plus rifampin; TEE and TA-CT normal at screening; Resolution of hepatitis; Persistence of vertebral inflammatation on vertebral MRI at 3 months; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>44; M</td>
<td>05/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Hepatitis</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Work in the region</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 14 days; TTE normal at screening; Recovery after 14 days; Recovery after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>73; F</td>
<td>05/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Hepatitis Interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion Negative PCR in lung secretions</td>
<td>Chronic renal impairment; sequelae following breast radiotherapy for cancer</td>
<td>Regular walk in the region; eat local market vegetables</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 14 days; TTE normal at screening; Recovery after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>44; F</td>
<td>05/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms</td>
<td>Positive serology</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the farm; have direct contact with goats; eat farm vegetables</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 14 days; TTE normal at screening; Recovery after 3 months and new elevation of phase 2 antibodies; TA-CT normal; Recovery after 14 days of ciprofloxacin treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>51; M</td>
<td>05/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Hepatitis</td>
<td>Positive serology</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the farm; have direct contact with goats; eat farm vegetables</td>
<td>No treatment; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>48; M</td>
<td>07/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Mild hepatitis</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the region; eat unpasteurized goat cheese and local market vegetables</td>
<td>No treatment; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>65; M</td>
<td>08/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Mild hepatitis</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the region</td>
<td>No treatment; TEE normal at screening; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>48; M</td>
<td>07/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Granulomatous hepatitis</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Live in the region</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 10 days; TTE normal at screening; Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>40; F</td>
<td>08/2012</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms Hepatitis</td>
<td>Documented seroconversion</td>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>Live in the region</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 21 days; TEE normal; Recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; TA-CT, thoracoabdominal computer tomography; TEE, transoesophageal echocardiography; TNF, tumour necrosis factors; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

*Following epidemiological investigations, discovery of patient 1 (probably the first case), who used to regularly meet friends in the index farm.

*Announcement to public health authorities was made when cases 1 to 5 had been identified.
TABLE 2. Frequency of some clinical features at the moment of diagnosis among the 14 human cases documented during the outbreak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>% in this series of 14 cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persistent fever (&gt;14 days)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver enzyme elevation</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profuse night sweats</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artralgia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe headache</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mysalgia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse arthralgia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild weight loss</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective palpitations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All except two patients (patients 9 and 10) lived in urban areas and did not report direct contact with animals, except for occasional recreational walks through the rural area of Lavaux. All except two patients did not report ingestion of unpasteurized milk products. Consumption of local market products originating from the rural area of Lavaux was common, although the precise origin of these could not be determined. Based on the predisposing and exposure risk factors identified through anamnesis (Table 1), we considered that transmission occurred by inhalation of contaminated aerosols, although ingestion of contaminated vegetables could not be completely excluded.

Three infected patients (patients 1, 3 and 6) regularly visited friends on the suspected farm, where four members of the farmer’s family showed symptoms of self-limited febrile illness. Serology confirmed an acute infection with C. burnetii in these patients (patients 9 and 10) whereas no serology was performed for their two children. Taken together, these data imply that the Q fever outbreak originated from this farm.

This index farm housed approximately 400 meat-type sheep (ewes with their lambs) and is located in the northern part of Lavaux. The farmer owned an additional 750 sheep, which were kept at different locations in the canton of Vaud. All sheep were brought to the Alps at the end of May and grazed together with sheep belonging to another 11 farmers, making a total number of approximately 2000 animals. According to the farmer, only two abortions were observed at the index farm following shearing. All environmental samples taken at the farm tested positive for the presence of C. burnetii DNA. Five out of 11 environmental samples (one dust and four manure samples) were strong positives, whereas the remaining six samples (four dust and two manure samples) tested as moderate positives.

In agreement with the farmer, veterinarians went to the Alps and randomly sampled 52 sheep for serological and real-time PCR examinations. Since the sheep on all locations (i.e. farms) were grouped together, it was not possible to specifically sample only those sheep originating from the index farm. Nevertheless, identification of animals was possible at ‘ownership’ level. Fifteen out of 50 tested sheep (30%) tested positive for the presence of C. burnetii antibodies. Two serum samples with questionable results were interpreted as negative, whereas two samples could not be tested because of insufficient amounts of blood. Real-time PCR examination of vaginal swabs revealed 43% (22/51) of the samples to be positive for C. burnetii DNA. For one swab no result was obtained due to inhibition of the PCR. As for the environmental samples, vaginal swab PCR results were interpreted as weak, moderate and strong positives (qualitative result).

Table 3 shows the relationship between serological status and vaginal shedding of 49 sheep for which both data are available. As expected, not all seropositive animals were
TABLE 3. Comparison of molecular analysis for Coxiella burnetii DNA from vaginal secretions and serological results (ELISA) of 49 tested sheep, for which paired results are available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive ELISA (n = 15)</th>
<th>Negative ELISA (n = 34)</th>
<th>Total (n = 49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive RT-PCR (n = 21)</td>
<td>7 (14%)</td>
<td>14 (29%)</td>
<td>21 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative RT-PCR (n = 28)</td>
<td>8 (16%)</td>
<td>20 (41%)</td>
<td>28 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 49)</td>
<td>15 (31%)</td>
<td>34 (69%)</td>
<td>49 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

This report describes an outbreak of Q fever with 14 human cases of severe infections that occurred in the vineyard of Lavaux, Switzerland. Diagnosis was mainly based on serology. One case presented osteomyelitis, a rare manifestation of Q fever, confirmed by real-time PCR. Another patient with pre-existing valvular anomalies and a vascular aneurysm was treated for a prolonged period for a suspected chronic Q fever. Because of the high number and severity of cases, public health measures were needed to mitigate the outbreak. Precise interviews of patients about their behaviour and risk factors were essential to identifying the possible source. Veterinary investigations permitted the identification of a possible reservoir, a flock of nearly 400 sheep in the northern region of Lavaux.

A number of human Q fever outbreaks linked to sheep farms near residential areas have already been described in many countries [28–31]. Furthermore, 24 out of 40 outbreaks recorded between 1947 and 1999 in Germany were associated with sheep [32]. In Switzerland, the last reported large Q fever outbreak in 1983 was linked to sheep descending from the Alps, causing 415 acute Q fever cases in humans residing along the route [21].

According to the farmer, only two abortions were observed on the index farm and these can be attributed to stress associated with shearing practices. However, in small ruminants shedding is not always linked to abortions and bacteria can also be shed following normal parturition [33,34]. An association of human Q fever disease with visits to sheep farms housing newborn lambs and without history of excess abortions has already been described in the Netherlands [35]. Interestingly, even a single lambing ewe has induced an outbreak affecting hundreds of people in Germany [36]. In the present cluster of cases, the index farm housed approximately 200 ewes and their lambs born from winter to spring 2012. Human outbreaks of Q fever in Europe show a seasonal pattern with peaks occurring in spring and early summer [3,37] as was the case for this small outbreak.

In addition to birth products, infected animals also shed bacteria with urine, milk and faeces [2–4]. In sheep, vaginal discharge and faeces are the most common shedding routes [38] and contribute to environmental contamination [5,6] and to infectious aerosol spread. We have examined the presence of C. burnetii in dust samples on surfaces inside farm housings as well as in manure remnants on the floor. The majority of strong positive PCR results were obtained from manure samples. Considering that the farmer had flushed the floor with water before sampling, these results support a massive contamination of manure with C. burnetii. The use of sheep manure as a fertilizer has indeed been suggested as a possible cause of Q fever infections in humans [39]. In addition, during the 2007–2008 outbreaks in the Netherlands, a peak incidence of human cases has been associated with manure spreading [40].

As all sheep were grouped together when in the Alps, it was not possible to only sample those originating from the index farm (n = 400). However, movements of animals and equipment between the farms of the same owner are to be expected, hence all animals belonging to the owner of the index farm were treated as an epidemiological unit (n = 1150). PCR-positive vaginal samples were also detected in sheep belonging to three other farmers. Considering that farmers usually bring their animals to the same pastures every year, the origin of the infection cannot be proven based on these results because it could have been introduced and spread between the flocks already in previous years.

A positive serology only indicates past exposure and is not proof of active infection and bacterial shedding in the environment. The detection of shedding is of great public
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