

Conditions of participation in sport clubs for people with disabilities



Julia Albrecht,
Christoffer Klenk & Siegfried Nagel
Institute of Sport Science,
University of Bern (Switzerland)

Starting point:

- Sport clubs have a high potential for social integration
(Elling et al., 2001; Kanamori et al, 2012; Kissow, 2015; Østerlund & Seippel, 2013)
- Underrepresentation of people with disabilities in sports, especially in sport clubs
(In general: e.g. Sotiriadou & Wicker, 2014; Ullenhag et al., 2012. Specifically in sport clubs: Becker & Anneken, 2013)
- Disability sport often remains separate
(Collins & Kay, 2014; Patel, 2015)




Research questions

Central question:

- *What organizational conditions are relevant for the participation of people with disabilities in sport clubs?*
- *What are differences between the conditions of separate training groups only for people disabilities and integrative ones for people with and without disabilities?*

Heuristic multilevel model for the analysis of organizational conditions of participation in sport clubs




Model developed based on
Crawford, Jackson & Godbey,
1991; Jaarsma, Dijkstra,
Geertzen & Dekker, 2014; Nagel,
2006, 2007; Shields & Synnot,
2014; Smith, Austin, Kennedy,
Lee & Hutchison, 2005.

Methodical approach

Training group level



- **Expectation structures (e.g. adaptations)**
- **Interpretation structures (e.g. training objectives, performance levels)**
- **Constellation structures (e.g. relationships, friendships)**
- **Qualitative content analysis (Mayring, 2010)**



Social acting



Sample overview

Setting	ID	Kind of sport	Coaches (N)	Participants (N, sex, age)	Forms of disability
Seperate disability sport groups	1	Floorball	1+2	17 (15♂, 2♀; 9-22 y.)	id, pd, md
	2	Multisport	1+2	15+1 (11♂, 4♀; 8-16 y.)	id, pd, md
	3	Swimming	1+4	5 (♂; 4-27 y.)	id, pd, md
	4	Multisport	3	8 (4♂, 4♀; 14-32 y.)	id, pd, md, sd
	5	Rock climbing	1+4	8 (4♂, 4♀; 18-42 y.)	id, md
	6	Soccer	2	10 (9♂, 1♀; 10-30 y.)	id, pd
	7	Rafroball	3	8 (6♂, 2♀; 20-64 y.)	id, pd, md
	8	Floorball	2	10 (6♂, 4♀; 10-18 y.)	id
	9	Soccer	2	16 (14♂, 2♀; 9-20 y.)	id, ld, md
Integrative mixed sport groups	10	Soccer	1+2	20wd (16♂, 4♀; 10-16 y.) + asylum seekers	id, mb
	11	Track and field	1	13 (6♂, 7♀), thereof 2wd + 1st generation migrant	pd, sd
	12	Swimming	1-2	7 (4♂, 3♀; 9-17 y.), thereof 1wd	md
	13	Swimming	1; 1	6 (2♂, 4♀; 14-50 y.), thereof 3wd; 3 (2♂, 1♀; 9 y.), thereof 2wd	pd; pd, sd, md
	14	Wheelchair line dance	1	18 (4♂, 14♀; 13-65 y.), thereof 1wd	pd, md
	15	Goalball	1+x	15 (10♂, 5♀; 12-53 y.), thereof 3wd	sd

Results: Interpretation

«The training has to be great fun.»
(Rafroball coach)

«The talking in between, I think that's also part of it. [...] We are not competitive sport.»
(Floorball coach)

«[...] that they have moved and started to sweat a bit.»
(Floorball coach)

«The heterogeneity is a challenge. Their performance levels are quite different.»
(Soccer coach)

«The differences are enormous – for one thing, regarding the performances and for another, with regard to the disability forms.»
(Multisport coach)

Category	Separation	Performance groups	Comparison
Training objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fun: 10, 14 • Group experience: 10, 14 • Competition preparation: 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Life performances: 14 • Results less important: 10 	<p>(=)</p> <p>=</p> <p>(=)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • End in a draw: 1, 2 • Learn to swim: 3 • Technique/tactic: 1, 2 • Physical abilities: 1, 2 • Autonomy: 1, 2 • Theoretical knowledge: 1, 2 • Motivation: 1, 2 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Posture: 14 • Cognition: 14 • Confidence: 14 	<p>=</p> <p>=</p> <p>≠</p> <p>≠</p>
Performance level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Popular sports: 7, 8, 9 • Extreme heterogeneous groups: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular sport: 10, 12, 14 	<p>≠</p>

	groups	Comparison
Adap- tions for parti- cips with disabi- lities in the planning	repetitions for participants with cognitive impairments: 1, 2, 4, 5, 9	(=)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less complexity of exercises for participants with cognitive impairments: 4, 6, 9 	=
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More patience needed for participants with cognitive impairments: 10, 12, 13, 14 	(=)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adaptions according to form and sensory impairments: 13, 14 	(=)
Adap- tions on site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration of needs and wishes: 2, 3, 4 	=
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incalculability of participants: 5 Adaptions according to form of the day: 2, 6, 8 	≠

«I can't give them a new exercise every time, because then they don't get it. [...] I have my five to ten exercises that I always practice with them.» (Floorball coach)

«I consider what I would do with a group without disabilities. Then I simplify it or change the rules.» (Multisport coach)

«The warming up has to be wheelchair accessible.» (Rafroball coach)

«Sometimes I have ideas what I would like to do and then I enter the gym and have the feeling, no, this won't work at all. Then I just do intuitively what gives them pleasure.» (Floorball coach)

«Somehow I imagine what to do and when I enter I think, no, that's not on! Then [I adapt]. [...] We are doing this for such a long time that we can also do something else.» (Floorball coach)

Results: Constellations

Category	Seperate groups	Comparison
Interactions of participants during training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Friendly, respectful, fair, mutual assistance: 1, 2, 4, 5 Consideration (for athletes): 1, 2, 7 High acceptance/tolerance: 7 	<p>=</p> <p>=</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interactions between participants with and without disabilities are no problem: 11, 12, 13, 14 Support for participants with disabilities from participants without disabilities: 11, 14
Particular characteristics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participants – especially intellectual disabilities – are very direct and honest Lower level of self-confidence: 2 More gratitude noticeable: 1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More enjoyment noticeable: 14

«He [strong player] doesn't go hard for the others. They show a lot of consideration for the others – for the women, too.» (Floorball coach)

«One boy always likes to guide the others. This is an advantage - the consideration and taking responsibility for others.» (Multisport coach)

«Everything is more straightforward. They just say exactly what they are thinking.» (Multisport coach)

«They show completely clear if they like you or not. [...] One knows exactly how things stand.» (Floorball coach)

Conclusion and outlook

➤ **Expectation structures** (organizational capacity)

→ *group level*: coach/athlete ratio; adaptations of exercises differ more between different disability forms than between settings > fewer exercises with less complexity for participants with cognitive impairments

→ *club level*: limited financial resources in regular sport clubs (see also Baumann, 2004; Becker & Anneken, 2013; Cunningham, 2011; Papies, 2012)

➤ **Interpretation structures** (organizational values)

→ *group level*: heterogeneity is greater in separate groups; integrative groups are more competitive > competition preparation has priority in the training objectives, whereas in separate groups training objectives are mostly pleasure/fun

→ *club level*: lack of knowledge for coaches (see also Cunningham, 2011)

➤ **Constellation structures** (membership roles)

→ *group level*: interactions are friendly and respectful, mutual support

→ *club level*: fear of contact (see also Papies, 2012)



- Baumann, C. (2004). *Menschen mit geistiger Behinderung im organisierten Sport. Eine organisationssoziologische Untersuchung zu Partizipationsbestrebungen im Deutschen Behindertensportverband*. Inauguraldissertation, Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Psychologie und Sportwissenschaft.
- Becker, F. (2010). *Die Teilhabe von Schülerinnen und Schüler mit Behinderung am außerschulischen Sport. Eine Situations- und Bedarfsanalyse anhand der Befragung von Lehrern und Eltern an Förderschulen mit dem Förderschwerpunkt körperliche und motorische Entwicklung*. Diplomarbeit. Köln: Deutsche Sporthochschule.
- Becker, F. & Anneken, V. (2013). Herausforderungen an eine inklusive Sportlandschaft – Ergebnisse einer Befragung von Sportvereinen im Rheinland zu Chancen, Grenzen und Bedarf. In V. Anneken (Ed.), *Inklusion durch Sport: Forschung für Menschen mit Behinderungen* (S. 83-104). Köln: Strauß.
- Booth, T. & Ainscow, M. (2002). *Index for Inclusion*. Bristol: Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education (CSIE).
- Collins, M., & Kay, T. (2014). *Sport and social exclusion*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Crawford, D.W., Jackson, E.L., Godbey, G.C (1991). A hierarchical model of Leisure Constraints. *Leisure Sciences*, 13, 309-320.
- Cunningham, G.B. (2011). *Diversity in Sports Organizations*. Scottsdale: Holcomb Hathaway.
- Elling, A., Knop, P. de & Knoppers, A. (2001). The Social Integrative Meaning of Sport: A Critical and Comparative Analysis of Policy and Practice in the Netherlands. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 18, 414-434.
- Hall, M. H., Andrukow, A., Barr, C., Brock, K., Wit, M. de, Embuldeniya, D. et al. *The capacity to serve: A qualitative study of the challenges facing Canada's Nagel*, S. (2006). Mitgliederbindung in Sportvereinen - Ein akteurtheoretisches Analysemodell. *Sport und Gesellschaft*, 3 (1).
- Nagel, S. (2007). Akteurtheoretische Analyse der Sportvereinsentwicklung. *Sportwissenschaft*, 37 (2), 186-201.
- Jaarsma, E. A., Dijkstra, P. U., Geertzen, J. H. B. & Dekker, R. (2014). Barriers to and facilitators of sports participation for people with physical disabilities: A systematic review. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*, 24 (6), 871-881.
- Kanamori, S., Kai, Y., Kondo, K., Hirai, H., Ichida, Y., Suzuki, K., & Kawachi, I. (2012). Participation in sports organizations and the prevention of functional disability in older Japanese: the AGES cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051061
- Kissow, A. M. (2015). Participation in physical activity and the everyday life of people with physical disabilities: a review of the literature. *Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research*, 17, 144–123.
- Mayring, P. (2010). *Qualitative Inhaltsanalyse. Grundlagen und Techniken* (11., aktualisierte und überarb. Aufl.). Weinheim: Beltz.
- Østerlund, K. & Seippel, Ø. (2013). Does Membership in Civil Society Organizations Foster Social Integration? The Case of Danish Voluntary Sport Organizations. *Journal of Civil Society*, 9 (4), 391-413.
- Papies, T. (2012). *Integration von Menschen mit geistiger Behinderung in (Regel-)Sportvereine*. Franz Sales Werkstätten.
- Patel, S. (2015). *Inclusion and exclusion in competitive sport*. Socio-legal and regulatory aspects. London: Routledge.
- Schimank, U. (2010). Handeln und Strukturen. *Einführung in die akteurtheoretische Soziologie*. Weinheim: Juventa.
- Shields, N. & Synnot, A. J. (2014). An exploratory study of how sports and recreation industry personnel perceive the barriers and facilitators of physical activity in children with disability. *Disability and rehabilitation*, 36 (24), 2080-2084.
- Smith, R.W, Austin, D.R., Kennedy, D.W., Lee, Y., & Hutchison, P. (2005). *Inclusive and special recreation: Opportunities for persons with disabilities* (5th ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw Hill.
- Sotiriadou, P. & Wicker, P. (2014). Examining the participation patterns of an ageing population with disabilities in Australia. *Sport Management Review*, 17, 35-48.
- Ullenhag, A., Bult, M.K., Nyquist, A., Ketelaar, M., Jahnsen, R., Krumlinde-Sundholm, L., Almqvist, L. & Granlund, M. (2012). An international comparison of patterns of participation in leisure activities for children with and without disabilities in Sweden, Norway and the Netherlands. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*, 15 (5), 369-385.