Latest improvements in CODE's IGS **MGEX** solution

L. Prange, R. Dach, G. Beutler, D. Sidorov, A. Villiger, S. Schaer, A. Jäggi

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

IGS Workshop, 3-7 July 2017,

Paris, France



Contents

- Current status of the CODE MGEX solution
- Planned model changes
- Importance of satellite meta data
- Empirical SRP model for ON
- Summary and outlook



L. Prange et al.: Latest model improvements in CODEs IGS MGEX solution, IGS Workshop, Paris, France, 3-7 July 2017

Focus of MGEX-related activity since last IGS WS

- Survey of preliminary state:
 - Publication in JoG (doi 10.1007/s00190-016-0968-8)
- Operations and related tasks:
 - Adaptation to long RINEX3 file names
 - Switch to default antenna model (Steigenberger et al., 2016)
 - Switch to IGS14
 - Upgrade of operational status:
 - Full integration into CODE IGS routine (software, configuration, merge of data bases)
 - Reaction to MGEX status change at IGS WS 2016
 - Better coordination of parallel developments



COM clock validation 2016: daily linear fit (Median and IQR; satellites in eclipse or normal mode are not considered)



COM orbit validation 2016: SLR residuals

(Median and IQR; satellites in eclipse or normal mode are not considered)



Planned model changes

Improvements of orbit model planned in the near future:

Galileo: - Activation of albedo and antenna thrust

- Activation of IOV attitude model for all Galileo SC
- Activation of pulses (every 12h in R,S,W)
- IOV Antenna model (=> impact analysis: Villiger @plenary #6)
- QZSS: Activation of albedo and antenna thrust ('guessed' box-wing model (own or external, e.g., Montenbruck et al. (2017))
 - Later: Activation of ON attitude and suited SRP model

BDS:

- Later: Activation of ON attitude and suited SRP model

Astronomical Institute University of Bern AUB

Missing satellite meta data is a limiting factor for accuracy of estimated orbits and clocks



Missing/unsure information: we can try to make a 'good guess' (like previously done, e.g., for GLONASS antenna thrust; is this tolerable?)

Astronomical Institute University of Bern

Available/assumed information:

| Galileo: | Disclosed IOV meta data (satellite mass, size, and surface properties) => sufficient for simple box-wing model Disclosed IOV attitude model Assuming same models for FOC might not be correct, but better than nothing Measured antenna transmit power for IOV and FOC presented by Steigenberger et al. at EGU 2017 |
|---|---|
| Allos | Very coarse info about satellite size provided (e.g., on MGEX website); assumption on surface properties (e.g., similar to IOV) => rough guess on simple box-wing model Wide range of possible SC masses is provided on the IGS- MGEX website (1800 - 4100 kg) Transmission power provided by Kogure et al. in: Springer Handbook of Global Navigation Satellite Systems (2017) |

| Test | Galileo | | | | | QZSS | | |
|-------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Name | Al– bedo | Ant. Thr. | Atti- tude | Pulses | Median SLR [cm] | Albedo | Ant. Thr. (244 W) | Median SLR [cm] |
| OPER | - | - | - | - | -3.8 | - | - | -7.8 |
| ALB1 | Х | - | - | - | -2.0 | m= 1800 kg | - | -2.6 |
| AAT1 | X | 260 W | - | - | +0.6 | m= 1800 kg | m= 1800 kg | +0.3 |
| AAT2 | Х | 130 W | - | - | -0.7 | m= 3600 kg | m= 3600 kg | -3.7 |
| EAT | Х | 200 W | Х | - | 0.0 | m= 1950 kg | m= 1950 kg | -0.3 |
| EATPA | X | 200 W | X | R, S, W; 12h | +0.6 | m= 1950 kg | m= 1950 kg | -0.3 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Astronomical Institute University of Bern AIUB CODE

| Test | Galileo | | | | | QZSS | | |
|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Name | Al– bedo | Ant. Thr. | Atti– tude | Pulses | Median SLR [cm] | Albedo | Ant. Thr. (244 W) | Median SLR [cm] |
| OPER | - | - | - | - | -3.8 | - | _ | -7.8 |
| ALB1 | x | - | | edo. +1.8 cm | -2.0 | m= 1800 kg | - | -2.6 |
| AAT1 | X | 260 W | _ Impact | _ antenna thrus | +0.6 | m= Impact | m= SC mass: | +0.3 |
| AAT2 | X | 130 W | 1 | cm/100 W |).7 | 2.2 cm, 3600 kg | 1000 kg 3600 kg | -3.7 |
| EAT | X | 200 W | х | - | 0.0 | m= 1950 kg | m= 1950 kg | -0.3 |
| EATPA | X | 200 W | х | R, S, W; 12h | +0.6 | m= 1950 kg | m= 1950 kg | -0.3 |
| IGS IGS | | | | | | | | |

Astronomical Institute University of Bern AIUB CODE

Expected impact of model changes

Orbit normal mode (ON)

- Tests with QZS-1 and BDS POD
- Simulating and testing empirical SRP models using different decompositions and parameterizations (ECOM-N v...)

L. Prange et al.: Latest model improvements in CODEs IGS MGEX solution, IGS Workshop, Paris, France, 3-7 July 2017

Orbit normal mode (ON)

• Size of some new SRP parameters is a function of the Beta angle

COM to-do list

Astronomical Institute University of Bern

COM to-do list

Astronomical Institute University of Bern AIUB

Thank you for your attention!

