Long-term monitoring of comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko’s jets with OSIRIS onboard Rosetta
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ABSTRACT

We used the OSIRIS camera system onboard the Rosetta spacecraft to monitor jet activity of comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko. With a monthly cadence, we covered an epoch from 2014 December to 2015 October, thereby including the first equinox and the perihelion passage. Jet features were measured in individual images, which were used to perform a statistical inversion. The study provides maps for the locations of likeliest sources of jet activity on the comet’s surface as a function of time. The sources follow the subsolar latitude, show clustering and a broadening of the activity band with time in the Northern hemisphere. In the Southern hemisphere, they are not clustered but show a broader spread over all longitudes which is either related to the north–south dichotomy of the comet’s topography or due to a higher insolation during southern summer.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko (hereafter 67P) was the target of the ESA Rosetta mission. The spacecraft arrived at the comet in 2014 and had the unique opportunity to investigate how the comet evolved while moving along its orbit. OSIRIS, the Optical, Spectroscopic and Infrared Remote Imaging System (Keller et al. 2007) was the scientific camera system onboard Rosetta. It consisted of a Wide Angle Camera (WAC) and a Narrow Angle Camera (NAC), with a field of view (FOV) of 11.35 ° × 12.11 and 2.20 ° × 2.22, respectively.

Both cameras used a 2048 × 2048 pixel backside illuminated CCD detector with a UV-optimized antireflection coating. The CCDs were equipped with lateral antialiasing that allowed overexposure of the nucleus without creating saturation artefacts. This
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2 DATA AND METHODS

2.1 Data sets

For this study, we used radiometric-calibrated and geometric distortion-corrected images (OSIRIS level 3), calibrated using the OSIRIS calibration pipeline (Tubiana et al. 2015). The analysed data sets are mostly ‘Dust Monitoring’ sequences, where images have been typically acquired with 1 h cadence for a full comet rotation (which was \( \approx 12.4 \) h during the considered time period; Mottola et al. 2014; Keller et al. 2015). With a full comet rotation, we make sure that we treat all subsolar longitudes in full illumination with the same statistic weighting. We selected 12 data sets, acquired monthly between 2014 December 29 and 2015 October 27. At the time of the observations, the comet moved from 2.66 au inbound to 1.53 au outbound, passing through perihelion at 1.24 au on 2015 August 13. This choice will allow us to investigate how the activity changed over time. Table 1 lists all sequences that we analysed, together with selected parameters that describe the time and observation configuration. A graphical visualization of selected parameters is presented in Fig. 2. The individual sequences are marked by the vertical grey lines, the thickness representing their durations. On the top panel, we see the two parameters describing the comet configuration, the heliocentric distance and subsolar latitude decreasing with time. The parameters on the lower panel describe the Rosetta orbit with the distance to the comet and the solar phase angle (with respect to the nucleus’s centre), which is close to the phase angle under which we observe dust jets. The phase angle is in a typical range between 60° and 90° and the cometocentric distance is between 50 and 600 km.

For the jet detection, it is important to have the whole nucleus in view, to not discriminate jets that would be outside the camera FOV. When Rosetta was at distances below 140 km, we have used WAC images since NAC images did not contain the full nucleus. For larger distances, the resolution of WAC images was too low and we have used NAC images.

We have selected NAC images acquired with the broad-band orange (649.2 nm, bandwidth 84.5 nm) and near infrared filters (882.1 nm, bandwidth 65.9 nm) and WAC images using the VIS610 narrowband filter (612.6 nm, bandwidth 9.8 nm). A total of 153 images were analysed. The exposure times were set to properly expose the dust jets, resulting in an overexposed nucleus.

2.2 Jet detection

To detect jets in every image, the image brightness was adjusted by reducing the maximum value in the display range, for which we used the image processing toolkit ImageJ (Schindelin et al. 2015). The display range was chosen for every image individually, such that as many jets as possible were visible. The jets were then identified and marked interactively. Jets that appeared very wide and bright were counted as two or more, if a temporary adjustment of the display range was chosen for every image individually, such that as many jets as possible were visible. The jets were then identified and marked interactively. The exposure times were set to properly expose the dust jets, resulting in an overexposed nucleus.

\footnote{The data is available through the Planetary Science Archive of the European Space Agency under https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/psa/rosetta.}
Table 1. List of all sequences that we analysed. The date on which most of the images were taken is displayed in the table. Most sequences were taken over 1 or 2 d. The only exception is MTP014_STP047_DUST_MONITORING_001 that includes nine images from 2015 March 11 and another nine images from March 14. The subsolar latitude and the minimum and maximum of the subspacecraft latitude for each sequence are displayed in columns two to four. The distance between the spacecraft and the comet, the sequence name, the camera, the number of used images in each sequence and the average number of jets per image are also reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Subsolar lat range</th>
<th>SC – comet distance</th>
<th>Sequence name</th>
<th>Camera</th>
<th># Images</th>
<th>Avg # Jets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014 Dec 30</td>
<td>32° 27° 30°</td>
<td>28 km</td>
<td>MTP011_STP036_DUST_004</td>
<td>WAC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Jan 19</td>
<td>29° −23° −11°</td>
<td>28 km</td>
<td>MTP012_STP039_DUST_MON_13bW_001</td>
<td>WAC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Feb 28</td>
<td>21° 57° 61°</td>
<td>106 km</td>
<td>MTP013_STP045_DUST_MON_003</td>
<td>WAC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Mar 11</td>
<td>18° −16° 46°</td>
<td>86 km</td>
<td>MTP014_STP047_DUST_MONITORING_001</td>
<td>WAC</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Apr 21</td>
<td>7° 69° 77°</td>
<td>139 km</td>
<td>MTP015_STP052_DUST_MON_004</td>
<td>WAC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 May 11</td>
<td>−1° 34° 41°</td>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>MTP016_STP055_DUST_MON_001</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 June 16</td>
<td>−17° 57° 61°</td>
<td>222 km</td>
<td>MTP017_STP060_DUST_MON_002</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 July 15</td>
<td>−34° 35° 39°</td>
<td>165 km</td>
<td>MTP018_STP065_ROT_ELEM_001</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Aug 05</td>
<td>−45° 1° 5°</td>
<td>256 km</td>
<td>MTP019_STP068_DUST_MON_002</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Sep 02</td>
<td>−52° −43° −34°</td>
<td>401 km</td>
<td>MTP020_STP072_DUST_MON_002</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Sep 25</td>
<td>−49° −42° −35°</td>
<td>591 km</td>
<td>MTP021_STP075_DUST_MON_001</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Oct 27</td>
<td>−38° −16° −10°</td>
<td>310 km</td>
<td>MTP022_STP079_DUST_MON_001</td>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Selected parameters to visualize the comet’s and Rosetta’s orbital and observational configuration.

Figure 3. Example of jet identification: the red dots show the locations where the coordinates of the jets have been measured. Image taken by the WAC on 2014 December 30, at 01:17:56 UTC. At the time of the observation, the spacecraft was at a distance of 28 km from the comet, resulting in an image FOV of 5.8 km × 5.8 km. The brightness has been adjusted to the same range as used for the data analysis to make as many jets as possible visible for detection.

2.3 Inversion

A jet can be tied to the comet’s surface using the identification in the image as described above, applying the method of Vincent et al. (2016b). Each jet is characterized by the line defined by the two points as described in Section 2.2. From each individual image, we only know that the jet has to be within the plane that includes the line of the jet and is perpendicular to the image plane. Since the comet rotates around its spin axis approximately every 12.4 h and the images were acquired on average every hour, OSIRIS imaged the comet from a slightly different angle each time. Thus, if we could identify the same jet in more than one image, it would be possible to calculate the intersection line of two or more planes that contain the jet. The intersection line can then be traced back to 67P’s surface to locate the jet’s source. This direct inversion method delivers precise lines in the three-dimensional space, but is unfortunately very time consuming and also leaves some room for interpretation when deciding if two jets imaged in different frames are the same.
Figure 4. Maps of 67P’s possible activity source regions on five different dates from 2014 December to 2015 May. The subsolar latitude is represented by the black, the range of the subspacecraft latitude by the white horizontal lines on each map. The number of intersections per facets is colour coded. The colour code from blue to red denotes the likelihood of a facet being a source of observed jet activity. In the top left panel 67P’s regions are displayed (El-Maarrry et al. 2016).

A more feasible approach for our large data set is to perform a statistical inversion: we calculated the cross-section of 67P’s surface with the plane that is perpendicular to the image and includes the measured jet coordinates. The plane perpendicular to the image can be found by using the vector pointing from Rosetta to the comet. Some parts of that intersection can already be dismissed as possible source regions indeed. (i) It is very likely that the sources are on the illuminated surface area. (ii) Furthermore, we can limit the angle that the jet is allowed to have with respect to the image plane: the jet would not be visible as a line if it was pointing directly to the camera or at its close vicinity. For this work, we allowed a maximum angle of 60° between the jet and the image plane, i.e. ≤ 30° from the Rosetta line of sight to 67P.

For the computation, we have used a combined comet shape model (SPG by Preusker et al. 2015 in the north, SPC by Jorda et al. 2016 in the south) with 16 000 facets. Applying this method to our complete data set, the number of intersections per facet indicates the probability that the facet’s region is an actual jet source.

3 RESULTS

Figs 4 and 5 show the results of the reconstructed jet sources as calculated with the statistical inversion method. For the February activity sequence (MTP013_STP045_DUST_MON_003), the method produced unreliable results, the technical reason for this is still under investigation, so the map has been discarded. Only facets with more than one intersection are displayed as coloured dots. The maximum number of possible sources varies in the different sequences, mostly due to the different number of images available for each sequence. Therefore, only the relative position on the colour scale of the dots should be used as an indicator for the likeliness of a source.

The activity sources follow the subsolar latitude, which is indicated by the black line. In late 2014 December, the highest density of source regions is located in the northern dusty regions of Ash and Ma’at on the body and head lobes, respectively (compared to Fig. 4 top left and El-Maarrry et al. 2016). This relation persists through 2015 January.
Starting in March, two months before equinox, the density of source regions increases and extends further to the Southern hemisphere, particularly close to the south polar Bes region. By 2015 May, we witness further increase in density of active regions, which are concentrated in the equatorial regions and mid-latitudes of both hemispheres. Longitudinally, the jet activity is particularly clustered in the Imhotep region.

From then, the activity gradually shifts towards the Southern hemisphere until it is predominantly there by 2015 August (perihelion time). While we could locate the activity to specific latitudes or regions in the north, it is smeared out and virtually homogeneous in the south between August and October. Vincent et al. (2016b) located jet sources in the Northern hemisphere around cliffs, steep walls and pits (see also Vincent et al. 2015). These are apparently not a predominant source of jet activity in the south, which is either due to the lack of pits and a statistically flatter cliff topography (Vincent et al. 2017) or due to the high-energy input during southern summer, such that a much larger fractional area of the Southern hemisphere can be active.

Interestingly, while activity still persists in the Southern hemisphere, some of the activity shifts back to the dusty regions of the Northern hemisphere during the 2015 September–October period. We cannot exclude this to be an artefact from the inversion method. An explanation for this trend though may be continued activity in the dust ejected from the south and re-deposited in the north during this period (Thomas et al. 2015; Keller et al. 2017).

4 CONCLUSIONS
We have detected jets in OSIRIS images acquired monthly between 2014 December and 2015 October. We summarize our main conclusions as follows.

(i) The source regions of jets follow the subsolar latitude for all times.
(ii) In the Northern hemisphere, a clustering in longitude is present.
(iii) Around equinox, the latitudinal range of the activity band is very broad.
(iv) In the Southern hemisphere, the activity sources are widely spread over all longitudes which is consistent with broad activity in the whole hemisphere as perihelion roughly coincides with southern summer.
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