Effects of Self-Assembling Peptide P11-4, Fluorides, and Caries Infiltration on Artificial Enamel Caries Lesions in vitro
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Abstract
The application of a self-assembling peptide on noncavitated caries lesions is supposed to be a feasible approach to facilitate remineralization and mask their unfavorable appearance. However, demineralizing conditions are common in the oral environment, so the aim of this pH-cycling study was to compare recommended and novel treatment methods regarding their ability to hamper demineralization and as a consequence mask artificial enamel caries lesions. Artificial caries lesions were prepared in bovine enamel and randomly allocated to 11 groups ($n=22$). Treatments before pH-cycling were as follows: the application of a self-assembling peptide (Curodont Repair [C]), a low-viscosity resin (Icon® [I]), 2 fluoride solutions (10,000 ppm F$: Elmex fluid [E] and 43,350 ppm F$: Tiefenfluorid® [T]), and no intervention (N). During pH-cycling (28 days, 6 × 60 min demineralization/day) half of the specimens in each group were brushed (10 s; 2 ×/day) with either fluoride-free (named e.g., C0) or NaF (1,100 ppm F$; e.g., C1) dentifrice slurry. In another subgroup specimens were pH-cycled but not brushed (N NB).

Differences in integrated mineral loss ($\Delta \Delta Z$), lesion depth ($\Delta LD$), and colorimetric values ($\Delta \Delta E$) were calculated between values after pre-demineralization, surface treatment, and pH-cycling. Specimens of C0, C1, NNB, 0, T0, and E0 showed significantly increased $\Delta Z$ and LD values after pH-cycling ($p \leq 0.003$; paired $t$ test). C0, C1, NNB, and N0 showed significantly higher changes in $\Delta \Delta Z$ than E1, I0, I1, and T1 ($p < 0.001$; ANOVA). Significantly reduced colorimetric values could only be observed for I1, I0, E1, and E0 after treatment and after pH-cycling ($p \leq 0.027$; paired $t$ test). In conclusion, under the conditions chosen only the application of a low-viscosity resin could mask caries lesions significantly, whereas self-assembling peptides could neither inhibit lesion progression nor mask the lesions considerably.
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Although efforts in caries prevention have resulted in a trend towards fewer caries lesions [Michaelis and Schiffner, 2006], initial caries lesions can still frequently be observed. These rather shallow lesions, being detectable within 2 weeks after lesion initiation in vivo [Holmen et al., 1985], have an opaque appearance as light is scattered differently within sound enamel (92% hydroxyapatite...
volume, 6% water volume, and 2% organic volume; hydroxyapatite refractive index \( [RI = 1.62] \) and lesion areas are filled with air (decreased hydroxyapatite volume and higher pore volume, later partially replaced by air \( [RI = 1.00] \) and water \( [RI = 1.33] \) ) [Kidd and Fejerskov, 2004]. Thus, initial lesions are often called white spots. Following orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances white spots showed a prevalence ranging between 50 and 97% [Gorelick et al., 1982; Boersma et al., 2005]. Therefore, several different treatment procedures to reverse, arrest, mask, or reduce the incidence of the lesions have been proposed. However, current noninvasive interventions do not seem to be sufficient to completely avoid the formation as well as the progression of (initial) caries lesions [Meyer-Lueckel and Paris, 2013].

White spots might be reversed with fluorides or casein-phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphates (CPP-ACP), both enhancing remineralization [Willsmot, 2004; Altenburger et al., 2010]. Microabrasion with an HCl pumice [Waggoner et al., 1989; Akin and Basciftci, 2012] or infiltration using a low-viscosity resin [Paris et al., 2013] have also been suggested. Nonetheless, the clinically visible success varies widely. Fluoride and CPP-ACP did not reveal a distinct positive clinically visible effect [Bailey et al., 2009; Rocha Gomes Torres et al., 2011]. Microabrasion, even though it reduced the unfavorable appearance of white spot lesions, resulted in a substantial loss of enamel [Meireles et al., 2009]. Contrastingly, infiltration, being initially developed to arrest proximal caries lesions [Paris et al., 2007], was suitable for masking white spot lesions [Knosel et al., 2013; Paris et al., 2013].

A relatively new approach to possibly reverse and mask white spot lesions is the application of the self-assembling peptide P11-4 [Aggeli et al., 1997]. Once the P11-4-containing solution has been applied the peptide diffuses into the lesion. Within the lesion it is supposed to self-assemble spontaneously and to produce 3-dimensional gels comprised of so-called β-sheet aggregates. Thereby, the attachment of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \) from saliva is supposed to be enhanced [Kirkham et al., 2007]. In a previous noncontrolled clinical trial [Brunton et al., 2013] the clinical appearance of class V white spot lesions was improved after a single application of a P11-4-containing solution. Although these results were stable for 6 months, only 11 lesions were included and the study design included neither a positive (e.g., fluoridation) nor a negative control (placebo/no treatment). In vitro, a significant increase in the subsurface microhardness could be observed after the application of Curodont™ Repair [Schmidlin et al., 2016]. Furthermore, after the application of Curodont™ Repair significantly improved quantitative light-induced fluorescence values could be observed in another in vitro study, but only when 2 control groups were merged in the analysis [Jablonski-Momeni and Heinzl-Gutenbrunner, 2014]. Nonetheless, in both in vitro studies enamel specimens were solely stored in remineralizing solutions for 4 or 12 weeks, respectively. No demineralization solution was used intermittently to simulate oral pH fluctuations that still occur on surfaces in need for remineralization.

Thus, the purpose of the present pH-cycling study was to compare recommended and novel treatment methods regarding their ability to hamper slight demineralization and, as a consequence, mask artificial enamel caries lesions under these conditions. The null hypothesis was that no significant differences in the change of mineral loss as well as colorimetric values would be observed after the application of fluorides, the peptide P11-4, or an infiltrant, and subsequent pH-cycling – all compared with negative controls.

### Materials and Methods

#### Specimen Preparation

Bovine incisors were obtained from freshly slaughtered cattle (negative BSE test) and stored in 0.08% thymol. Teeth were cleaned and 300 enamel blocks (5 × 3.5 × 3 mm) were prepared (Exakt 300; Exakt Apparatebau, Norderstedt, Germany) (Fig. 1). The enamel blocks were embedded in epoxy resin (Technovit 4071; Heraeus Kulzer, Hanau, Germany), ground flat, and polished (4,000 grit, silicon carbide; Phoenix Alpha, Wirtz-Buehler, Düsseldorf, Germany; Mikroschleifsystem Exakt; Exakt Apparatebau).

#### Lesion Formation

One-third of the surface of each specimen was covered with acid-resistant nail varnish (sound control area, window SC). In each of 300 specimens 1 artificial lesion was created (pH 4.95; 21 days) [Buskes et al., 1985]. After pre-demineralization half of the artificial lesion was covered with resin (Tetric EvoFlow, A4; Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Principality of Liechtenstein) (demineralized baseline area, window DB). Each of the second halves of the artificial lesions (demineralized treatment area, window DT) remained uncovered for the treatment procedure. In this way, 242 specimens with a mean (95% confidence interval) baseline color difference between SC and DT \( (\Delta E_{\text{baseline}}) \) of 13.2 (12.7; 13.6) were chosen from the 300 specimens originally prepared.

#### Surface Treatments

Specimens were randomly allocated to 11 experimental groups. Interventions before pH-cycling were as follows: application of a product containing self-assembling peptides (Curodont™ Repair [C₀ and C₁]), a low-viscosity resin (Icon® [I₀ and I₁]), a fluoride solution containing 10,000 ppm F⁻ (Elmex fluid [E₀ and E₁]), a fluoride solution containing 43,350 ppm F⁻ (Tiefenfluorid® [T₀ and T₁]), and no intervention \( (N₀, N₁, \text{and } N_{\text{NB}}) \).
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Curodont™ Repair (Credenits AG, Windisch, Switzerland) was applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions with the exception of the etching time. The surface of the lesion was cleaned using a brush (Pro Cup No. 990/30; Hawe Neos Dental, Bioggio, Switzerland) and, using 3% hypochlorite solution (Hedinger, Stuttgart, Germany) for 20 s, rinsed using distilled water for 30 s, etched using 37% phosphoric acid gel (Total Etch; Ivoclar Vivadent) for 5 s [Gray and Shellis, 2002] (instead 30 s as instructed), and rinsed again. Subsequently, the surface was dried, Curodont™ Repair was dissolved in 0.05 mL of deionized water, applied to the sample surface, and allowed to interact with the lesion for about 5 min.

Icon® (DMG, Hamburg, Germany) was applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions with the exception of the etching procedure. The surface of the lesion was etched using 37% phosphoric acid gel (Total Etch; Ivoclar Vivadent) for 5 s [Gray and Shellis, 2002; Paris et al., 2013] (instead 120 s using HCl as instructed) and rinsed again. Subsequently, the surface was dried, and Icon Infiltrant® was applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Elmex fluid was applied on the surface of the lesion using a soaked cotton pellet and allowed to set for about 5 min.

Tiefenfluorid® (43,350 ppm F− as magnesium fluorosilicate, copper-(II)-fluorosilicate, and sodium fluoride, pH = 2); Humanchemie GmbH, Alfeld/Leine, Germany) was applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The surface of the lesion was cleaned using a brush (Pro Cup No. 990/30; Hawe Neos Dental), dried, and the first solution of Tiefenfluorid® was applied using a soaked cotton pellet. Then, the second application of solution was applied, again using a soaked cotton pellet, and allowed to set for about 5 min.

**pH-Cycling and Brushing**

A computer-controlled pH-cycling and brushing machine (Department of Operative Dentistry, Periodontology and Preventive Dentistry, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany) was used to simulate oral pH fluctuation patterns and daily oral care (online suppl. Fig. 4; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000477215). The machine consists of 2 basins and a motor imparting a circular and a linear motion to 1 toothbrush head (Oral-B Indicator; Proctor & Gamble, Schwalbach am Taunus, Germany). The first (small) basin stores the solution with
the active ingredients (e.g., dentifrice slurry). The specimens are inserted in the second basin. Additionally, the second basin can be filled, flushed, and drained with 3 different solutions via controlled valves. The filling level (250 mL) is controlled with an optical sensor. Brushing force can be adjusted between 0 and 5 N by adding weights to a special device. Prior to brushing (linear motion) the brush is immersed into the slurry bath (circular motion). The machine and the vessels of the solution connected to it are stored in an incubator at 37°C. The control valves for filling, flushing, and draining of the solutions and the motor for brushing procedure are connected to a computer-controlled program. This program can be operated either manually or automatically via an individually adjustable protocol.

pH-Cycling Conditions

The pH-cycling lasted for 28 days and conditions were chosen with a daily schedule of 6 cycles. Specimens were consecutively subjected to a demineralizing (60 min), rinsing (30 s), remineralizing (120 min), and further rinsing (30 s) phase. During a 6-h “night” period the specimens were subjected to a remineralizing (120 min), and further rinsing (30 s) phase. Twice daily, after the first and last demineralizing phase, the specimens in each group were brushed for 10 s (Oral-B Indicator; Proctor & Gamble) with either fluoride-free (0 ppm F–, based on Crest Cure Dentifrice; Proctor & Gamble) or NaF (1,100 ppm F–; Crest Cure Dentifrice; Proctor & Gamble) (e.g., C1) dentifrice slurry. After another 110 s the specimens were perfused with distilled water to remove the slurry, hence simulating the recommended brushing time of 2 min [Ganss et al., 2009]. Specimens of group N NB remained unbrushed throughout the whole pH-cycling period (negative control).

According to the manufacturer’s instructions of Curodont™, oral hygiene should be performed carefully in the first days. Therefore, specimens of C1 and C2 were not brushed in the first 2 days [Brunton et al., 2013]. The machine was adjusted to a constant brushing frequency of 60 strokes/min and a constant brushing load of 1.5 N [Wiegand et al., 2013]. These settings ensured the prevention of brushing abrasion in the present study and simulated a relatively realistic brushing sequence at the lower end of the range. Dentifrice slurries were prepared with deionized water in a ratio of 1:3 (toothpaste:water) parts by weight and refresed every 2 days [Wierichs et al., 2017].

Transversal Microradiographic Analysis

After the in vitro period thin plano-parallel sections were prepared. Changes in mineral loss (ΔΔZ = ΔZ baseline – ΔZ pH-cycle) and lesion depth (ΔLD = LD baseline – LD pH-cycle) were calculated between values after pre-demineralization and after pH-cycling (ΔΔZ and ΔLD) using transversal microradiographic images. Microradiographs of the enamel samples were obtained and analyzed as described previously [Meyer-Lueckel et al., 2015b; Esteves-Oliveira et al., 2017]. Furthermore, graphics of mean mineral density profiles were prepared for all groups with the TMR/WIM Calculation Program (v5.25; University of Groningen, The Netherlands) and are presented in online supplementary Figure 5 [Wierichs et al., 2017].

Colorimetric Analysis

The stability, accuracy, and reliability of colorimetric measurements were evaluated as described previously [Paris et al., 2013]. In brief, within a lightproof box and under standardized conditions (aperture of H5, shutter speed at 1/60 s, and image ISO sensitivity 200), digital photographs were obtained using a fixed SLR camera (Nikon D7000; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Images were taken with a macro lens (Nikon 105 mm, 1:2.8; Nikon) and a ring flash (Sigma Em-140 DG, Nikon) at the following instants of times: after pre-demineralization (ΔE baseline), after surface treatment (ΔE treat), and after pH-cycling (ΔE pH-cycle). For colorimetric analysis the acidic-resistant varnish on the sound area (window SC) was temporarily removed using acetone.

Evaluation of digital images (RAW-Format) was performed using Photoshop CS6 extended (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA). The CIE-L*a*b* color system were used to analyze optical results [Johnston, 2009]. It records colorimetric parameters 3-dimensionally: lightness (L*: 0 to +100), red-yellow chromacity (a*: -150 to +150), and blue-green chromacity (b*: -100 to +150). Within a window of 105 × 105 pixel elements the CIE-L*a*b* values were measured, and color differences (ΔE baseline, ΔE treat, ΔE pH-cycle) between window SC (sound untreated enamel) and DT (treated artificial lesion) were calculated (Excel 2010; Microsoft, Redwood, CA, USA) using the equation ΔE = (ΔL* 2 + Δa* 2 + Δb* 2) 1/2. Changes in colorimetric values (ΔΔE baseline, ΔΔE treat, ΔΔE pH-cycle) were calculated.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS 22.0; SPSS, Munich, Germany). Variables were tested for normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test). Within 1 experimental group changes in mineral loss and lesion depth after pre-demineralization (ΔΔZ baseline/LD baseline) and after (ΔΔZ pH-cycle/LD pH-cycle) pH-cycling were analyzed using 2-tailed paired t tests. Changes in colorimetric values after demineralization (ΔE baseline), after surface treatment (ΔE treat), and after pH-cycling (ΔE pH-cycle) within 1 group were analyzed using 2-tailed paired t tests. One-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni post hoc test were used for pair-wise multiple comparisons to detect differences in changes of mineral loss (ΔΔZ), lesion depth (ΔLD), and colorimetric values (ΔΔE treat, ΔΔE pH-cycle). All tests were performed at a 5% level of significance.

Power Calculation

The number of specimens per group was calculated on the basis of pre-studies (nonpublished data). The α-error was set at 5%. Considering the differences between the 1,100 ppm fluoride and 0 ppm fluoride the statistical power calculated was 85% for ΔΔZ (mean difference of 450 [SD: 600]), 89% for ΔLD (mean difference of 4 [SD: 5]) and 76% for ΔΔE pH-cycle (mean difference of 1 [SD: 1.5]). The dropout rate was assumed not to exceed 20%. Approximately 22 specimens should have been enrolled into the study for analyses of at least 18–20 specimens per groups. Since the retrospective power analysis with 18 specimens per group still provided a power of at least 92% for ΔΔZ, 70% for ΔLD, and 81% for ΔΔE pH-cycle, no additional specimens were involved in the study.
Results

After pre-demineralization, subsurface lesions were created that did not differ significantly in mineral loss (p = 0.211), lesion depth (p = 0.164), and colorimetric values (p = 0.722; ANOVA; Tables 1, 2) between the several treatment groups. Mean (95% confidence intervals) ΔZbaseline was 2,665 vol% × μm (2,522; 2,807), LDbaseline was 128.5 μm (124.9; 132.0), and ΔEbaseline was 13.2 (12.7; 13.6). Due to losses during preparation, final TMR analysis was performed with 18–22 specimens per group (Tables 1, 2). All specimens revealed subsurface lesions without abrasive surface losses (online suppl. Fig. 5).

### Table 1. Mean mineral loss and lesion depth for specimens after pre-demineralization and after pH-cycling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>ΔZbaseline, vol% × μm</th>
<th>ΔZpH-cycling, vol% × μm</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>LDbaseline μm</th>
<th>LDpH-cycling μm</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2,971 (2,571; 3,371)</td>
<td>4,639 (4,073; 5,204)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>130.6 (124.1; 147.9)</td>
<td>193.6 (178.9; 208.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0</td>
<td>2,977 (2,427; 3,528)</td>
<td>5,210 (4,581; 5,840)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>131.4 (118.6; 144.2)</td>
<td>184.3 (174; 194.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>2,900 (2,416; 3,383)</td>
<td>3,009 (2,591; 3,427)</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>138.0 (124.5; 151.6)</td>
<td>134.8 (126.1; 143.6)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I0</td>
<td>2,747 (2,094; 3,400)</td>
<td>2,808 (2,302; 3,314)</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>127.2 (101.3; 143.2)</td>
<td>125.9 (113.3; 138.5)</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>2,633 (2,194; 3,072)</td>
<td>2,659 (2,198; 3,120)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>131.8 (119.4; 144.2)</td>
<td>155.8 (143.9; 167.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0</td>
<td>2,664 (2,146; 3,181)</td>
<td>3,395 (2,807; 3,982)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>123.3 (111.8; 134.8)</td>
<td>138.9 (125.7; 152.1)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>2,289 (1,815; 2,763)</td>
<td>2,365 (1,845; 2,880)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>127.2 (116.7; 137.7)</td>
<td>143.7 (122.5; 165)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>2,587 (2,125; 3,048)</td>
<td>3,395 (2,807; 3,982)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>123.3 (111.8; 134.8)</td>
<td>138.9 (125.7; 152.1)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>2,204 (1,761; 2,647)</td>
<td>2,808 (2,302; 3,314)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>127.2 (116.7; 137.7)</td>
<td>143.7 (122.5; 165)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>2,410 (1,894; 2,927)</td>
<td>3,395 (2,807; 3,982)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>123.3 (111.8; 134.8)</td>
<td>138.9 (125.7; 152.1)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNB</td>
<td>2,921 (2,395; 3,448)</td>
<td>4,666 (3,843; 5,489)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>136.7 (123.8; 149.5)</td>
<td>180.2 (160.8; 199.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means (95% confidence intervals) of mineral loss and lesion depth for specimens after pre-demineralization (ΔZbaseline/LDbaseline) and after pH-cycling (ΔZpH-cycle/LDpH-cycle). p values indicate significant differences in mineral loss/lesion depth before and after pH-cycling (2-tailed paired t test). Different letters indicate significant differences between the groups for each column (large caps) (p < 0.05; Bonferroni post hoc test). The exact p values for comparison between the groups can be seen in online supplementary Table 3.

### Table 2. Mean CIE-L*a*b* values for specimens after pre-demineralization, after surface treatment, and after pH-cycling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>ΔEbaseline</th>
<th>ΔEtreat</th>
<th>p1</th>
<th>ΔEpH-cycle</th>
<th>p2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>13.1 (11.6; 14.6)</td>
<td>11.7 (10.6; 12.8)</td>
<td>A a b</td>
<td>12.6 (11.6; 14.6)</td>
<td>B c b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0</td>
<td>13.9 (12.1; 15.7)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.1; 13.4)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>15.3 (13.7; 17.4)</td>
<td>A b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>12.9 (12.4; 15.3)</td>
<td>7.9 (6.5; 9.4)</td>
<td>B b</td>
<td>5.6 (4.8; 6.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I0</td>
<td>12.8 (11.4; 14.3)</td>
<td>6.6 (5.4; 7.8)</td>
<td>B b</td>
<td>5.6 (4.4; 6.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>12.5 (11.9; 15.7)</td>
<td>12.1 (11; 13.2)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>10.7 (9.5; 11.9)</td>
<td>C b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0</td>
<td>12.6 (11.8; 15.4)</td>
<td>11.7 (10.5; 12.9)</td>
<td>A a b</td>
<td>11.7 (10.6; 12.9)</td>
<td>C b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>12.0 (11.2 13.8)</td>
<td>11.4 (10.2; 12.6)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>10.5 (10.2; 12.9)</td>
<td>C b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>12.5 (10.2; 13.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (9.9; 12.2)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>11.1 (9.9; 12.4)</td>
<td>A B c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>12.9 (11.6; 14.2)</td>
<td>12.9 (11.6; 14.2)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>12.6 (10.9; 14.3)</td>
<td>C b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>12.9 (11.1; 14.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (11.1; 14.8)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>12.6 (13.5; 17)</td>
<td>A B c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNB</td>
<td>12.9 (11.1; 14.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (11.1; 14.8)</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>12.6 (13.5; 17)</td>
<td>A B c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means (95% confidence intervals) of the CIE-L*a*b*-values after pre-demineralization (ΔEbaseline), after surface treatment (ΔEtreat), and after pH-cycling (ΔEpH-cycle). Different letters indicate significant differences between the groups for each column (large caps) (p < 0.05; Bonferroni post hoc test) or within 1 group for each line (small caps) (p < 0.05; 2-tailed paired t test). Furthermore, exact p values are given (2-tailed paired t test) for comparison between ΔEbaseline and ΔEtreat (p1) as well as between ΔEbaseline and ΔEpH-cycle (p2). n/a: no intervention before pH-cycling has been performed. The exact p values for comparison between the groups can be seen in online supplementary Table 4.
Transversal Microradiographic Analysis

For mineral loss and lesion depth, significant changes between values after pre-demineralization and after pH-cycling were observed for C₀, C₁, N_NB, N₀, N₁, T₀, and E₀ (p < 0.05; 2-tailed paired t test; Table 1), whereas for E₁, I₀, I₁, and T₁ no significant changes could be revealed. For comparisons between the groups, specimens of C₀, C₁, N_NB, and N₀ showed significantly higher changes in mineral loss (ΔΔZ) than E₁, I₀, I₁, and T₁ (p < 0.001; Bonferroni post hoc test; Fig. 2). For lesion depth (ΔLD), only I₁ showed significantly higher values than all other groups except E₀, E₁, and T₁ (p < 0.007; Bonferroni post hoc test; Fig. 2). In general, specimens brushed with fluoride dentifrices revealed slightly less (nonsignificant) demineralization (ΔΔZ) compared with the respective specimens brushed with nonfluoride dentifrices.

Colorimetric Analysis

Intervention before pH-cycling reduced colorimetric values (ΔE) in all groups, being significant only for I₁ and I₀ (p < 0.001; 2-tailed paired t test; Table 2). Except for N_NB, C₀, C₁, and T₀, all other treatments reduced the color difference between ΔEaseline and ΔE_pH-cycle, but only significantly for I₁, I₀, E₁, E₀, and N₁ (p ≤ 0.027; 2-tailed paired t test). Values for ΔΔE of I₁, I₀, E₁, E₀, and N₁ were significantly higher compared with C₀ and N_NB (p ≤ 0.031; Bonferroni post hoc test; Fig. 3).

Discussion

The present in vitro study compared the effects of a self-assembling peptide, fluorides, and caries infiltration on artificial enamel caries lesions under (slightly) demineralizing conditions. Compared with the negative control, the application of fluorides and low-viscosity resin significantly hampered further mineral loss. Furthermore, the masking effect after the application of the low-viscosity resin was significantly better compared with all other groups. Contrastingy, the application of the self-assembling peptide could not significantly reduce further mineral loss as well as colorimetric values partially rejecting our null hypothesis that significant differences in the change of mineral loss as well as colorimetric values...
would also be observed between the peptide P11-4 and the negative controls.

For specimens treated with the self-assembling peptide P11-4 a significantly higher mineral loss was observed compared with the respective negative control samples being brushed. We speculate that one of the reasons why the additional use of P11-4 seemed to even hamper the “demineralizing inhibiting effect” of the fluoride toothpaste could be the pHdepending reactivity of P11-4 [Aggeli et al., 2003]. At pH >7.2, P11-4 is obtained as an isotropic fluid which is supposed to transform into a viscous birefringent nematic state in the pH range 5.0–7.0 [Aggeli et al., 2003]. In the nematic state P11-4 seems to promote remineralization in vitro [Kirkham et al., 2007; Jablonski-Momeni and Heinzel-Gutenbrunner, 2014; Schmidlin et al., 2016] by presumably diffusing into the lesions and producing 3-dimensional gels comprising β-sheet aggregates [Aggeli et al., 2003]. Therefore, it might be speculated that an effect of the enhanced incorporation of Ca^{2+} and PO_4^{3−} from saliva (and thus pronounced remineralization) after the application of the self-assembling peptide might be observed in the same setting but under net-remineralizing conditions. However, intermittent demineralizing conditions are common in the oral environment, in particular for proximal areas, where both caries infiltration and CurodontTM Repair are indicated. When pH decreases (3.2 < pH < 5.0) flocculation of the peptide can be observed [Aggeli et al., 2003]. This also seems to occur when pH changes several times; the concomitant increase in ionic strength seemed to lead to flocculation after approximately 4 “pH jumps” [Aggeli et al., 2003]. Thus, in the present study in which pH fluctuation was simulated by using daily (n = 6) periodic changes between remineralization (pH 7.0) and demineralization (pH 4.87) solutions, the nematic state of the peptide presumably changed into a “flocculated state.” The flocculated peptide is relatively unreactive [Aggeli et al., 2003]. Thus, remineralization is no longer promoted. Contrastingly, when the peptide flocculates after being incorporated into the enamel, the unreactive precipitate seems to even hamper the diffusion of ions (including F−, Ca^{2+}, and PO_4^{3−}) to the enamel surface during remineralization periods, resulting in a smaller fluoride availability that effectively protects the crystal from dissolution during the subsequent demineralization periods.

In the present study only caries infiltration was capable of masking the initial caries lesion after treatment as well as after subsequent pH-cycling. This is in agreement with previous in vitro [Rocha Gomes Torres et al., 2011; Paris et al., 2013] and in vivo studies [Kim et al., 2011; Knosel et al., 2013]. Due to the similar RI of the infiltrant (1.52) [Paris et al., 2013] compared with apatite, light scattering is reduced and visual color differences to enamel are decreased directly after application. P11-4 is presumed to mask initial lesions by reversing the caries lesions under (ideal) remineralizing conditions [Jablonski-Momeni and Heinzel-Gutenbrunner, 2014]. However, data from controlled clinical studies are inconclusive [Brunton et al., 2013]. Interestingly, the application of P11-4 could not induce a significant change in colorimetric values under the present conditions due to the missing remineralization, as discussed above.

In the present study the surfaces of the lesions treated with Curodont™ Repair or Icon® were both etched with phosphoric acid for 5 s. This is in contrast to the manufacturers’ instructions. For Icon®, the application of hydrochloric acid for 2 min is recommended and for Curodont™ Repair the application of phosphoric acid for 30 s. However, it could be shown previously that for artificial cavities lesions the relatively short etching time is sufficient to open up the pores of subsurface lesions for caries infiltration [Gray and Shellis, 2002; Paris et al., 2013]. It has been shown that the surface integrity of white spots was disrupted when phosphoric acid was applied for 10 s or longer [Gray and Shellis, 2002]. Thus, to ensure an intact, noncavitated surface, specimens treated with the self-assembling peptide or the low-viscosity resin were not etched as proposed by the manufacturer.

In the present study pre-demineralized (21 days of demineralization) caries-like enamel lesions with a mean lesion depth of approximately 130 μm were used to analyze re- and demineralization characteristics. Although the lesion depths of the specimens in the present study can be considered as deep when compared with lesion depths used in recent in vitro and in situ studies [Meyer-Lueckel et al., 2015a; Souza et al., 2015; Wierichs et al., 2016, 2017], the lesions can be considered “subclinical” from a clinical point of view. Some of them would probably even be difficult to detect in vivo [ten Cate et al., 2008]. However, the central aim of the present study was to compare different treatment methods regarding their ability to hamper slight demineralization. The anticaries effect of fluoride is assumed to be limited to the outer 150–200 μm of the lesion (at deeper levels no difference was observed compared with placebo) [ten Cate and Remt, 1986; White and Featherstone, 1987; Bjarnason and Finnbogason, 1991], thus, we decided to use rather shallow lesions to analyze different methods regarding their ability to influence the demineralizing of “subclinical” caries lesions.
However, various types of deeper lesions formed both in vitro and in vivo [Al-Khateeb et al., 2002] should be analyzed in further studies – firstly, to get more information about the tested agent; secondly, to get more information about the model being used; and thirdly, to choose the perfect setting for the scientific hypothesis under investigation (before performing clinical studies).

The concentration of fluorides in oral fluids is maintained by the release of ions from bioavailable reservoirs on the teeth, oral mucosa, and dental plaque [Vogel, 2011]. Since most of the fluoride reservoirs are mediated by calcium [Vogel, 2011], several methods to modulate the calcium concentration have been developed. In the present study the calcium concentration for Tiefenfluo-rid® should have been increased by the consecutive application of 2 solutions. The first solution contained a high concentration of magnesium fluorosilicate, copper-(II)-fluorosilicate, and sodium fluoride, and the second contained calcium hydroxide. By the precipitation reaction of a magnesium fluorosilicate solution with calcium hydroxide, CaF$_2$-like precipitations are supposed to be formed on the enamel and in the enamel porosities [Buchalla et al., 2007]. Thus, the bioavailability of Ca$^{2+}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ ions was supposed to be increased, which should have resulted in a more pronounced mineral gain. Interestingly, this effect could neither be observed in a previous study [Buchalla et al., 2007] nor in the present study. In the previous study no difference in the KOH-soluble fluoride content on the surface as well as in the structurally bound fluoride content in the outer 100 μm could be observed compared with a fluoride solution, but for both compared with the negative control (no fluoride application). In the present study no difference in the de-mineralizing inhibitory effect could be found when compared with an amine fluoride solution. For colorimetric analysis the used CIE-L*a*b* color system enables the evaluation of not only different areas, but also of changes in colorimetric values of one area over time, if one “reference area” remains unchanged. In the present study the sound untreated enamel (window SC) served as the “intra-specimen” reference area to analyze the change in ΔE of the treated artificial lesion (window DT) after the treatments as well as after subsequent pH-cycling. Therefore, sound untreated enamel had to be protected during treatment and pH-cycling. Care was taken to apply and remove the “protective” nail varnish. However, the CIE-L*a*b* values of sound untreated enamel slightly changed over time. Although no significant differences in the slight increase of the CIE-L*a*b* values could be observed between the groups, it might be speculated that if the reference area had remained unchanged, the colorimetric differences between sound untreated enamel and the treated artificial lesion after surface treatment (ΔE$_{treat}$) and after pH-cycling (ΔE$_{pH-cycle}$) would have been greater. Consequently, ΔΔE$_{treat}$ and ΔΔE$_{pH-cycle}$ as well as differences between the groups would be slightly smaller. However, the results of the colorimetric analysis are in accordance with the results of the transversal microradiography. Furthermore, absolute colorimetric results and the measured color differences between materials should be interpreted with caution [Paris et al., 2013], irrespectively of slight alterations of the reference area. Thus, digital imaging as performed in the present study seems to be suitable for evaluating color differences between specimens.

It can be concluded that under the in vitro conditions chosen the used highly concentrated fluorides inhibited further lesion progression but could not mask the unfavorable appearance of the white spot lesions considerably. Caries infiltration could simultaneously arrest and mask the lesion, whereas a self-assembling peptide could neither inhibit further lesion progression nor mask the lesions.
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