AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0199.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Buizza, R., B. Stephen, L. Haimberger, P. Laloyaux, M. Martin, M. Fuentes, M. Alonso-Balmaseda, A. Becker, M. Blaschek, P. Dahlgren, E. de Boisseson, D. Dee, M. Doutriaux-Boucher, X. Feng, V. John, K. Haines, S. Jourdain, Y. Kosaka, D. Lea, F. Lemarie, M. Mayer, P. Messina, C. Perruche, P. Paylin, J. Pullainen, N. Rayner, E. Rustemeier, D. Schepers, R. Saunders, J. Schulz, A. Sterin, S. Stichelberger, A. Storto, C. Testut, M. Valente, A. Vidard, N. Vuichard, A. Weaver, J. While, and M. Ziese, 2017: The EU-FP7 ERA-CLIM2 project contribution to advancing science and production of Earth-system climate reanalyses. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0199.1, in press.

The EU-FP7 ERA-CLIM2 project contribution to advancing science and production of Earth-system climate reanalyses

Roberto Buizza (1), Stefan Brönnimann (4), Leopold Haimberger (5), Patrick Laloyaux (1),
Matthew J. Martin (2), Manuel Fuentes (1), Magdalena Alonso-Balmaseda (1), Andreas
Becker (10), Michael Blaschek (5), Per Dahlgren (1), Eric de Boisseson (1), Dick Dee (1),
Marie Doutriaux-Boucher (3), Xiangbo Feng (14), Viju John (2,3), Keith Haines (14), Sylvie
Jourdain (9), Yuki Kosaka (1), Daniel Lea (2), Florian Lemarié (15), Michael Mayer (5),
Palmira Messina (16), Coralie Perruche (8), Philippe Peylin (16), Jounie Pullainen (13), Nick
Rayner (2), Elke Rustemeier (10), Dinand Schepers (1), Roger Saunders (2), Jörg Schulz (3),
Alexander Sterin (7), Sebastian Stichelberger (5), Andrea Storto (12), Charles-Emmanuel
Testut (8), Maria- Antónia Valente (6), Arthur Vidard (15), Nicolas Vuichard (16), Anthony
Weaver (11), James While (2), Markus Ziese (10)

- 1) European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF; Europe);
- 2) Met Office, UK (UKMO; UK);
- 3) European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT;
Europe);
- 4) University of Bern (Switzerland);
- 5) University of Vienna (Austria);
- 6) Instituto Dom Luiz Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa (Portugal);
- 7) Russian Research Institute of Hydrometeorological Information (RIHMI; Russia);
- 8) Mercator Ocean Société Civile (MERCATOR; France);

- 24 9) Météo-France (MF; France);
25 10) Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD; Germany);
26 11) Centre Européen de Recherche et de Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique
27 (CERFACS; France);
28 12) Centro Euro-Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC; Italy);
29 13) Ilmatieteen Laitos (FMI; Finland);
30 14) The University of Reading (UK);
31 15) Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique (INRIA; France);
32 16) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE) France.

33
34 *Corresponding author:* Roberto Buizza, European Centre for Medium-Range Weather
35 Forecasts (ECMWF), Shinfield Park, Reading, RG2-9AX, U.K..

36
37 *Submitted to the Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society (BAMS), 7 August 2017.*

38 39 **Capsule Summary**

40 The main goals and activities of the ERA-CLIM2 project are presented, and some of its key
41 results, including the first ensemble of coupled reanalysis of the 20th century, are discussed.

Abstract

ERA-CLIM2 is a European Union Seventh Framework Project started in January 2014 and due to be completed in December 2017. It aimed to produce coupled reanalyses, which are physically consistent data sets describing the evolution of the global atmosphere, ocean, land-surface, cryosphere and the carbon cycle. ERA-CLIM2 has contributed to advancing the capacity for producing state-of-the-art climate reanalyses that extend back to the early 20th century. ERA-CLIM2 has led to the generation of the first European ensemble of coupled ocean, sea-ice, land and atmosphere reanalyses of the 20th century. The project has funded work to rescue and prepare observations, and to advance the data-assimilation systems required to generate operational reanalyses, such as the ones planned by the European Union Copernicus Climate Change Service. This paper summarizes the main goals of the project, discusses some of its main areas of activities, and presents some of its key results.

1. The ERA-CLIM2 project

ERA-CLIM2 (European Reanalysis of Global Climate Observations 2) is a 4-year research project funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Program (EU FP7; Grant Agreement No. 607029; see Appendix for a list of the Consortium's Institutions), that started on the 1st of January 2014 following the successful completion of its predecessor project ERA-CLIM. The research initiated in these two projects underpins a concerted effort in Europe to build the information infrastructure needed to support climate monitoring, climate research and climate services, based on the best available science and observations.

ERA-CLIM2 is one of several collaborative research projects designated by the European Commission as precursors to the EU's Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S). Indeed, ERA-CLIM2 activities on data rescue, satellite data rescue and reprocessing, coupled data assimilation and reanalysis production have had a strong impact on the design and implementation of the C3S. Furthermore, activities performed in ERA-CLIM2 aimed at improving the assimilation of observations at inter-medium boundaries, i.e. sea surface temperature and sea-ice concentration observations, will benefit ocean and sea-ice reanalyses and operational marine forecast activities performed within the EU's Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS).

The main aim of the ERA-CLIM2 project was to enable production of state-of-the-art reanalyses of the coupled climate system for the 20th century. This follows earlier work on coupled, long-term climate reanalysis conducted at the National Center for Atmospheric Research and the National Centers for Environmental Predictions (NCAR and NCEP; *Saha et al.*, 2010, *Compo et al.*, 2011), and at the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (*Dee et al.*, 2014).

Climate reanalyses are physically consistent data sets derived from observations that document the recent evolution of the global atmosphere, ocean, land-surface, cryosphere and the carbon cycle. Reanalysis data are generated by a sequential process called data assimilation, which combines first-guess estimates defined by short-range numerical model forecasts with vast amounts of data from a range of observing platforms (surface, upper-air, satellites). Climate reanalyses provide long (multi-decadal) time series of gridded estimates for many different climate variables, which are used to study past weather events, estimate climatologies, monitor climate change, and supply crucial data on climate needed for science and applications.

The production of a reanalysis is a complex activity that requires large computational resources, access to observations from many providers, and expertise in multiple disciplines. During the past three decades, successive reanalyses of the global atmosphere have been produced by few, key Institutions: NCAR and NCEP (*Kalnay et al.*, 1996, *Saha et al.*, 2010), the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA; *Onogi et al.*, 2007, *Kobayashi et al.*, 2015), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA; *Schubert et al.*, 1993, *Rienecker et al.*, 2011, *Gelaro et al.*, 2017), in addition to ECMWF. A global reanalysis extending back to the late nineteenth century was first produced by NOAA in collaboration with the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), using only surface pressure observations and prior estimates of sea-surface temperature (SST) and sea-ice distributions to avoid the effects that large changes in the observing system could have had on the reanalysis (*Compo et al.*, 2011).

ERA-CLIM2 has contributed to advancing reanalysis science and the production of climate reanalyses in four main areas:

- i. *Observation data rescue and post-processing*: activities under this theme included a large effort on data rescue for historic in-situ weather observations around the world, and substantial work on the reprocessing of satellite climate data records and enabling the use of historical satellite data for reanalysis;
- ii. *Data assimilation methods*: activities under this theme aimed to progress the development and testing of ‘coupled assimilation methods’, capable of including observations from different Earth-system components (land surface, ocean, sea-ice, atmosphere, chemical components, ...) to produce a more consistent estimate of the Earth-system evolution, especially at the inter-medium boundaries;
- iii. *Reanalysis production*: activities under this theme aimed to generate the innovative reanalysis data-sets, such as the first European coupled ocean-land-atmosphere reanalysis of the 20th century, and to provide access to the reanalysis data;
- iv. *Evaluation and uncertainty estimation*: activities under this theme focused on the assessment of reanalyses’ quality, and how products differ from previous uncoupled products, and on the development of methods for estimating uncertainty in reanalyses.

Hereafter, we will discuss briefly some of these ERA-CLIM2 activities.

2. Reanalysis as a tool to monitor the climate

The Earth’s climate has traditionally been studied by statistical analysis of observations of particular weather elements such as pressure, temperature, wind and rainfall. These meteorological observations are temporally and spatially incomplete and are often presented in terms of long-term averages to identify evidence of climate change. Reanalyses provide a more complete source of data to understand and monitor the climate. In a reanalysis, the weather observations collected in past decades are fed into a modern forecasting system designed to assimilate observations from existing and planned platforms (e.g. forthcoming

satellite instruments), which provides a physically consistent description of the Earth system. By constantly correcting a model first guess (defined by short-range forecasts) towards the available observations, reanalyses combine the advantages of having a 3-dimensional first guess and available data (further details in section 4). Therefore, reanalyses are physically consistent, spatially complete and encompass many variables for which observations are not always available. Irregular and intermittent observation sampling throughout the reanalysis period, especially during early periods, might however prevent the reanalysis dataset from showing temporal homogeneity at both global and regional scale (e.g. *Ferguson and Villarini*, 2012; see also Section 7).

Since its creation in 1975, ECMWF has been a key player in the production of reanalyses. The initial focus was on producing atmospheric reanalyses covering the modern observing period, from 1979. The first of these reanalyses, FGGE (First GARP Global Experiment, where GARP stands for Global Atmospheric Research Program), was produced in the 1980s at the Geophysical Fluid Laboratories (GFDL; *Ploshay et al.*, 1992), followed by ERA-15 (European Reanalysis, version 15-years), ERA-40 (*Uppala et al.*, 2005) and ERA-Interim (*Dee et al.*, 2011). The next reanalysis in this series, ERA5, is now in production after many years of research and development.

Generating a reanalysis for climate monitoring is very challenging because it needs to be extended further back in time when the observing system was very sparse, especially before the availability of satellite data from the 1970s onwards, and even more so before the arrival of radiosonde measurements in the 1930s. To tackle the unavoidable issue of the ever-changing observational network, the European Union started the ERA-CLIM (European Reanalysis of Global Climate Observations) project, to investigate data selection for reanalyses covering the whole 20th century. As part of the ERA-CLIM project, ECMWF produced the uncoupled atmospheric reanalysis ERA-20C, which covers the period January

1900 to December 2010 (*Poli et al.*, 2016). The ERA-20C reanalysis assimilated only conventional observations of surface pressure and marine wind, obtained from well-established climate data collections. ERA-20C delivered three-hourly products describing the spatial and temporal evolution of the atmosphere, land surface and waves.

As part of the FP7 ERA-CLIM2 project, the reanalysis capabilities developed in the ERA-CLIM project have been extended to the ocean and sea-ice components. A new assimilation system (CERA, the Coupled European Reanalysis system) has been developed to simultaneously ingest atmospheric and ocean observations in the coupled Earth system model used for ECMWF's ensemble forecasts (*Laloyaux et al.*, 2016, 2017). This approach accounts for interactions between the atmosphere and the ocean during the assimilation process and has the potential to generate a more balanced and consistent Earth system climate reconstruction (Figure 1). CERA has also been found to reproduce better the observed negative SST-precipitation relationships on monthly timescales due to the resolving of atmospheric feedbacks on SST, although in some areas the response in CERA is overdone compared with observations (Figure 2). Efforts are being made to investigate whether this improvement will improve the prediction of precipitation (*Feng and Haines*, 2017). One of the key deliverables of the ERA-CLIM2 project is CERA-20C, the first ten-member ensemble of coupled climate reanalyses of the 20th century. It is based on the CERA system, which assimilates only surface pressure and marine wind observations as well as ocean temperature and salinity profiles. The data are openly available from the ECMWF platform <http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/>.

There is now a strong need for detailed information of CO₂ fluxes and carbon pools from the climate modelling community who want to understand and quantify the carbon cycle at global and regional scales, and from policy makers and citizens who want to take well-informed decisions on CO₂ emissions at regional and local scales. For this reason, ERA-

CLIM2 is also producing associated global reanalyses of carbon fluxes and stocks using terrestrial biosphere and ocean biogeochemistry models, which are forced by the CERA-20C reanalysis.

A new version of the CERA system has also been developed based on a higher resolution coupled model with the full observing system. This system has been used to produce the second coupled reanalyses planned by ERA-CLIM2, CERA-SAT (the Coupled European ReAnalysis of the SATellite era) reanalysis, which covers the period 2008-2016. Plans are now being drawn to extend backward CERA-SAT to cover also the period 2000-2008 and 2016-to date, and to keep it running to provide coupled analyses during the Year of Polar Prediction (2017-2019).

The availability of atmospheric, oceanic and coupled reanalyses allows for new advanced coupled diagnostics of the global energy cycle. For example, *Mayer et al.* (2017) improved the classic method of evaluating the vertically integrated atmospheric energy budget such that it is independent of reference temperature and consistent with diagnosed ocean heat budgets. It is also worth mentioning *Pietschnig et al.* (2017), who demonstrated the value of comparing the net energy transport through all major Arctic Ocean gateways from ocean reanalyses with independent mass-consistent transport estimates from instrumented mooring observations.

3. Observation data rescue

Reanalyses efforts strongly depend on observations of the atmosphere, land surface, the ocean and cryosphere. Observations are assimilated into a coupled general circulation model in order to produce the reanalysis in our project, but these are also used in several other steps. They are used to constrain the boundary conditions, to calibrate certain relations and to

201 validate the final product. Particularly when going back in time, not all observations can be
202 readily used in reanalysis production. A large fraction of historical meteorological
203 observations has never been digitised because the data have thus far not been considered
204 valuable. Even in the rather recent past, the availability of satellite products (and the
205 computer code to read and process these data) is an issue that needs to be addressed.

206 Major efforts were undertaken in ERA-CLIM2 to collect and make available observations for
207 reanalyses (*Brönnimann et al.*, 2017). Such an undertaking requires a much broader vision
208 than the production of a specific reanalysis, since these efforts should be seen as essential to
209 provide future more realistic reanalyses. Availability of historical observations becomes a
210 legacy, and producing reanalyses or other data products must be seen as a continuous effort.

211 Within ERA-CLIM2, millions of radiosonde, pilot balloon and other ascending instruments’
212 profiles were digitised, which provides a 3-dimensional view of the atmosphere back to the
213 early 20th century. Although the radiosonde data were not incorporated into the CERA-20C
214 reanalysis produced in ERA-CLIM2, they were used for its validation.

215 These data could be used in the future to produce a new version of a reanalysis that includes
216 historical upper-air data, following the preliminary results obtained by a pre-satellite era
217 reanalysis performed by *Hersbach et al.* (2017) for the period 1939-1967 (). By the end of the
218 project, all digitized upper air data will be available in assimilation-ready format with bias
219 adjustments for radiosonde temperatures (*Haimberger et al.*, 2012) extending back to 1939.
220 Any future reanalyses will be able to incorporate this vast amount of upper-air data and will
221 thus build on the ERA-CLIM2 efforts.

222 Surface pressure and mean-sea-level-pressure data were also digitised for several countries in
223 both the Northern and Southern Hemisphere (NH and SH), some with sparse observation
224 networks, and sent to the International Surface Pressure Databank (ISPD). These has been

225 assimilated in new ISPD versions to be used in future reanalyses. Many other daily and sub-
226 daily land surface , observations of temperature, relative humidity, surface wind, cloud cover,
227 precipitation, evaporation and sunshine duration were rescued. These have also been
228 subjected to quality control procedures and can be used for reanalyses comparison purposes
229 (*Brönnimann et al.*, 2017).

230 Snow is an important component of the climate system, at the interface of the land-surface,
231 vegetation and atmosphere. It is highly relevant for various fields such as ecology, water
232 resources, transport, and tourism. By digitising large amounts of historical snow data and
233 combining this with satellite products, ERA-CLIM2 generated snow products for various
234 uses, including (but not limited to) reanalyses. Snow courses are specified paths of a few km
235 length around a location: along this path, different snow properties are measured regularly.
236 Thanks to ERA-CLIM2, about 1.2 million snow course observations have been collected
237 from close to 400 stations compiled and made available.

238 Satellite data are the backbone of today's reanalysis data sets, but they became only available
239 in the mid-1960s and were built for the purpose of weather monitoring. Their use in
240 reanalysis requires a quantification and correction of long term effects due to systematic
241 differences between satellite instruments of the same kind and changes in the characteristics
242 of satellites and performance of sensors during their operational lifetime in space. A
243 reprocessing of the data that applies the corrections is fundamental to serve the generation of
244 physically consistent data records of geophysical variables by reanalysis.

245 Following the example of the works done by other groups (see references quoted in the
246 Introduction) ERA-CLIM2 also devoted resources to re-process satellite data of infrared and
247 microwave radiances from geostationary imagers and microwave sounders, radio occultation
248 bending angle profiles for several satellites and atmospheric motion vectors from different

instruments in geostationary and polar orbit. In addition, as part of satellite data rescue activities (*Poli et al., 2017*) radiative transfer calculations for some early satellite instruments were conducted, taking into account the characteristics of the instruments. These re-processed data will allow a more comprehensive use of early satellite data, which should lead to improved future reanalyses.

4. Data assimilation methods for reanalysis

The ERA-CLIM2 reanalyses have been produced using a state-of-the-art version of the ECMWF data assimilation system capable of combining observations in the atmosphere and ocean with a coupled ocean-land-atmosphere model. Part of the work within ERA-CLIM2 was devoted to improve such a data-assimilation system, testing also new methods and ideas that could be used in future reanalysis productions.

A crucial part of the coupled climate system is the interface between the ocean/sea-ice and atmosphere (*Feng and Haines, 2017*). In the existing CERA system, the sea surface temperature is constrained to follow a global observational analysis, such as HadISST2 (*Titchner and Rayner 2014*) or OSTIA (*Donlon et al. 2012*), which are calculated externally. Enabling the next CERA system to assimilate directly the wealth of high quality satellite SST observations should allow the system to combine them with the temperature profile data and the coupled model background in a more consistent manner, thereby improving the accuracy of the reanalysis. Care has to be taken to deal properly with biases in the satellite data that could otherwise introduce spurious trends. In addition, the in situ ocean data are sparse, particularly in the early part of the 20th Century.

272 The ocean data assimilation system used in CERA is a state-of-the-art three-dimensional
273 variational system called NEMOVAR (Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean
274 Variational assimilation system). It includes developments made to improve the assimilation
275 of sea-ice concentration during the satellite era. Sea-ice concentration estimates have error
276 characteristics which make it difficult to assimilate in most data assimilation algorithms so
277 techniques have been tested which transform the sea-ice concentration into a form which has
278 Gaussian errors. It has been evolved into a more flexible framework for assimilating data into
279 the NEMO ocean model, which includes changes (to the original NEMOVAR configuration)
280 to allow the ocean data to be assimilated using sophisticated techniques similar to those used
281 in the atmosphere (*Weaver et al.*, 2016).

282 An example of how the NEMOVAR 3d-VAR system can effectively utilize sparse
283 observations is illustrated in Figure 3. Sparse observations can be assimilated using Empirical
284 Orthogonal Functions (EOFs), computed to model the large-scale error covariances. The
285 EOFs can be used in conjunction with the existing local-scale error covariance model (see
286 e.g., *Karspeck et al.* 2012), thus allowing the spreading of information over large spatial
287 scales when the data are sparse, whilst making good use of the higher resolution
288 measurements during the satellite era. In ERA-CLIM2, this has been investigated for the
289 assimilation of SST measurements and the improvement has been plotted on the last panel of
290 Figure 3 versus the classic background statistics that doesn't contain EOF information.

291 The new version of NEMOVAR can also be configured to use information from an ensemble
292 of model runs to improve the assimilation, which is a more sophisticated system than the
293 algorithm used in the production of the ERA-CLIM2 reanalyses. In the present CERA
294 system, the ocean bias correction scheme is not applied during the ocean data assimilation as
295 it normally needs to be estimated from a priori run. However, now with CERA-20C being
296 finished, it becomes practically possible to implement this scheme throughout the whole 20th

century. Although implementing the bias correction scheme avoids the ocean model to produce a spurious reaction to adjust the imbalance between the ocean and atmosphere initial conditions, tests have to be performed to investigate if the implementation will benefit the atmosphere analysis. It is also worth mentioning that, also thanks to ERA-CLIM2 resources, a four-dimensional version of the ocean assimilation system has been developed and is under testing.

Currently, in the CERA system the coupled model is introduced at the outer-loop level (See Fig. 9 of *Dee et al.*, 2014, for a schematic), by coupling the ECMWF's Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) for the atmosphere, land and waves, to the NEMO model for the ocean and to the LIM2 model for sea ice. This means that air–sea interactions are continuously taken into account when observation misfits are computed and when the increments are applied to the initial condition. This allows feedback between the ocean and atmosphere models (*Laloyaux et al.* 2016, 2017). Investigations into whether the atmosphere observations could be used to directly correct the ocean model, and vice versa, have been carried out in ERA-CLIM2 (*Storto et al.*, 2017). This technique, known as “strongly coupled data assimilation”, could allow even better use of sparse observations. There are many open research questions in the development of strongly coupled data assimilation, so ERA-CLIM2 partners were involved in the organisation of a Coupled Data Assimilation workshop in October 2016, sponsored by WMO, to discuss these with the wider international research community.

Land and ocean carbon reanalyses are also being produced as part of ERA-CLIM2 and the techniques used to produce them are being developed for use in future reanalyses. Various new data streams have been tested for improving the accuracy of the land carbon reanalysis both through improved state-estimation, and through improving knowledge of the model parameters (*Peylin et al.*, 2016). The methods used to couple ocean biogeochemical models

to the physical ocean/atmosphere reanalysis system have also been investigated in order to provide improved information about the ocean carbon cycle.

5. Ensembles of reanalyses to estimate confidence

The accuracy of any physical measurement is limited. Furthermore, the spatial resolution of measurements and of assimilated gridded data is limited, and thus there may be deviations of the grid point values from the true values. Confidence (or uncertainty) is best described by the probability distribution of these deviations. The distribution itself can be characterized by a few parameters such as standard deviation, or by a limited-size ensemble of realizations drawn from the distribution, from which the user may derive statistics. The latter approach consumes much more data storage but also leaves more choices for informed users.

With reanalysis products getting more mature, it is now possible to estimate their accuracy and assign to the reanalysis data some level of confidence. In ERA-CLIM2, this has been achieved by applying an ensemble approach, based on several complete realizations of all quantities.

Both the 20th century reanalysis CERA-20C, and the coupled reanalysis of the satellite era, CERA-SAT, consist of ten realizations, run in parallel. The ten members can be used to estimate a range of possible states for all the reanalysis output variables. They have been generated using an Ensemble of Data Assimilation (EDA) in the atmosphere (*Isaksen et al. 2010; Bonavita et al. 2017*) and with perturbing the positions of in-situ ocean observations, the air-sea fluxes and the SST following ECMWF's Ocean ReAnalysis System-5 (ORAS5) (*Zuo et al. 2014*). The SST perturbations lead to variations in important output parameters such as surface precipitation. Figure 4 shows how CERA-20C precipitation has improved over the earlier 20th century uncoupled reanalysis ERA-20C (*Poli et al., 2016*) over less well

observed land areas, where ERA-20C significantly under-forecast high rainfall amounts..

Figure 4 also shows how the calculated precipitation varies within the ensemble. For

example, for strong precipitation episodes over Africa (upper right of panel a) the spread has

been increased by about 20%, indicating that the ensemble is reliable.

6. CERA-20C: the first European 110-year coupled ocean-land-atmosphere reanalysis

One of the key results of the ERA-CLIM2 project is CERA-20C, the first European 110-year

coupled ocean, land and atmosphere reanalysis of the 20th Century. Such a data set will allow

the community to investigate climate variability and trends, taking into account also how the

3-dimensional ocean evolves. The accurate representation of variability on inter-annual and

decadal time scales is a requirement for climate applications of reanalysis data, such as

reconstructing the time evolution of the atmosphere surface temperature and of the ocean heat

content (Figure 5). Following the completion of CERA-20C, as part of the project we have

also completed CERA-SAT, which includes 8 years of coupled reanalysis of the satellite era.

These two data sets have demonstrated that it is feasible to aim to reconstruct the 3-

dimensional climate of the Earth-system using a coupled approach. They provide state-of-art

coupled gridded fields of the Earth-system, which can be used not only to understand climate

variability, but also to estimate predictability of existing models (by providing initial

conditions spanning 110 years) and to re-visit cases of extreme interest for society, as we

discussed above.

Climate signals in reanalyses are inevitably affected by changes in the global observing

system and by the presence of time-varying biases in models and observations. To build

confidence in climate change information derived from reanalyses, it is important that

information about the data assimilation methodology, the forecast model, and the input

observations are made available. It is also necessary to compare results based on reanalyses (ECMWF CERA-20C, NOAA 20CR, ECMWF ORA-20C), with results obtained using more traditional, observation-only climate datasets (CRUTEM4 two-meter temperature, GPCC precipitation data, EN4 ocean temperature), and to test whether the climate signals in CERA-20C are robust to different analysis methodologies. CERA-20C data are been made freely available from the ECMWF web site (see: <https://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/climate-reanalysis/cera-20c>) precisely to favour these comparisons.

Reanalyses are valuable data-sets that allow to revisit past events, and to provide more evidence on the weather conditions that could have led to extreme conditions. A demonstration case was discussed by Brugnara et al., (2017), who examined the weather conditions in December 1916, in the middle of the First World War, when a massive snow fall event in the Southern Alps triggered countless avalanches, which killed thousands of soldiers and civilians. This event was studied thanks to ERA-CLIM2 funding in the first part of the ERA-CLIM2 project, using dynamical downscaling of the earlier ERA-20C reanalysis (the uncoupled reanalysis produced by the FP7 project ERA-CLIM, the precursor of ERA-CLIM2) in combination with historical observations. By looking at reanalysis data, the atmospheric conditions that led to such catastrophic events could be understood: a blocking flow situation, moisture transport from the warm Mediterranean Sea towards the Alps, and a rapidly rising snow line, leading to a dangerous “rain-on-snow” situation.

7. Summary and conclusions

ERA-CLIM2 is a European Union Seventh Framework project started in January 2014, which involves 17 organisations (see Appendix A). It aims to produce coupled reanalyses, i.e. physically consistent data sets describing the evolution of the global atmosphere, ocean, land-

surface, cryosphere and the carbon cycle. The main contributions of the ERA-CLIM2 project to climate science have been to rescue and re-process past conventional and satellite data, improve the capacity for producing state-of-the-art climate reanalyses that extend back to the early 20th century, along with uncertainties, and generate unique and extremely valuable data sets. One of the main deliverables of ERA-CLIM2 has been CERA-20C, the first European coupled reanalysis of the 20th century. CERA-20C is now being used to generate a land (water and energy) and a carbon (land and ocean) component (CERA-20C/Land and CERA-20C/Carbon). At the time of writing, the production of the CERA-SAT reanalysis has started: the aim is to complete the period 2008-to-date by the end of the project (December 2017). Thanks to ERA-CLIM2, many older data have been rescued and post-processed, and are delivered to relevant database providers so that they can be used in future reanalysis. Furthermore, new assimilation methods (e.g. use of a stronger coupling method between the ocean and the atmosphere and the direct assimilation of SST data) developed and tested within the project are planned to be integrated and used in future reanalysis productions. Understanding climate change is highly dependent on the availability of global satellite and conventional observational data in the atmosphere, the land and the ocean and sea-ice, and the development of coupled ocean-land-atmospheric models and assimilation systems that can ingest these data. A continuous cycle of research and development activities in data-assimilation, of data rescue and observation re-processing, production and diagnosis and evaluation is required to improve future reanalyses, so that they can provide a continuously improving depiction of the time evolution of the Earth system. Here are two examples of why we need a continuous stream of investments in the two areas mentioned above:

- Within ERA-CLIM2 millions of new observation records, mostly made before the International Geophysical Year 1958, have been discovered, rescued, digitized and prepared to be inserted in appropriate data sets so that they can be used in future reanalysis production. As this work has been progressing, new data are discovered, but unfortunately due to lack of resources and time (the project will finish at the end of 2017), they will not be rescued, digitized, quality controlled and prepared to be inserted in the data sets.
 - As part of the ERA-CLIM2 work, the possibility to assimilate directly sea-surface temperature observations has been explored and tested in prototype systems. Furthermore, the possibility to use ensemble methods to estimate flow-dependent background error statistics within the ocean has been developed and tested. Neither of these advances could be included in the current ERA-CLIM2 reanalyses, since tested software was not ready in time for production.
- Preliminary assimilation experiments have shown that the amount and quality of those data justify a full reanalysis, using earlier satellite observations and all conventional (surface and upper-air) data, back to the early 20th century (*Hersbach et al.*, 2017). Such a reanalysis would realize the potential of the data collected and would lead to a much better description of the climate evolution over the last century. Considering the European activities in reanalysis and climate monitoring, a sensible way to be able to continue to generate increasingly-accurate reanalyses would be to fund follow-on activities either through a new stream of European projects, or directly as part of the European Union Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) activities. In this way, Europe would continue to maintain a leading position in this field, building on the investments already made and the successes of projects such as ERA-CLIM and ERA-CLIM2.

8. Appendix A: the ERA-CLIM2 Consortium

The ERA-CLIM2 Consortium included 17 organisations:

- 1) European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF; Europe);
- 2) Met Office, UK (UKMO; UK);
- 3) European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT; Europe);
- 4) University of Bern (Switzerland);
- 5) University of Vienna (Austria);
- 6) Instituto Dom Luiz Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa (Portugal) ;
- 7) Russian Research Institute of Hydrometeorological Information (RIHMI; Russia);
- 8) Mercator Ocean Société Civile (MERCATOR; France);
- 9) Météo-France (MF; France);
- 10) Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD; Germany);
- 11) Centre European de Recherche et de Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique (CERFACS; France) ;
- 12) Centro Euro-Mediterraneo Sui Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC; Italy);
- 13) Ilmatieteen Laitos (FMI; Finland);
- 14) Universidad del Pacífico (Chile);
- 15) The University of Reading (UK);
- 16) Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique (INRIA; France) ;
- 17) Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (France).

9. References

- Bonavita, M, Trémolet, Y, Holm, E, Lang, STK, Chrust, M, Janiskova, M, Lopez, P, Laloyaux, P, De Rosnay, P, Fisher, M, Hamrud, M, English, S, 2017: A Strategy for Data Assimilation. *ECMWF Research Department Technical Memorandum* n. **800**, ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading RG2-9AX, UK, pp. 42.
- Brönnimann, S., Allan, R., Buizza, R., Bulygina, O., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Gomes, P., Jourdain, S., Haimberger, L., Hersbach, H., Poli, P., Pulliainen, J., Rayner, N., Schulz, J., Sterin, A., Stickler, A., Valente, MM. A., Ventura, M. C., Wilkinson, C., 2017: Observations for reanalysis. *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.*, submitted.
- Brugnara, Y., Brönnimann, S., Zamuriano, M., Schild, J., Roher, C., Segesser, D.M., 2017: Reanalysis sheds light on 1916 avalanche disaster. *ECMWF Newsletter*, **151**, 28-34 (doi:10.21957/h9b197).
- Compo, G.P., Whitaker, J.S., Sardeshmukh, P.D., Matsui, N., Allan, R.J., Yin, X., Gleason Jr, B.E., Vose, R.S., Rutledge, G., Bessemoulin P., Brönnimann, S., Brunet, M., Crouthamel, R.I., Grant, A.N., Groisman, P.Y., Jones, P.D., Kruk, M.C., Kruger, A.C., Marshall, G.J., Maugeri, M., Mok, H.Y., Nordli, Ø., Ross, T.F., Trigo, R.M., Wang, X.L., Woodruff, S.D., and Worley, S.J., 2011: The Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **137**, 1-28.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J.-N. and Vitart, F., 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis:

488 configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **137**,
489 553–597 (doi:10.1002/qj.828).

490 - Dee, D. P., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Engelen, R., Simmons, A. J. and J.-N. Thépaut,
491 2014: Toward a consistent reanalysis of the climate system. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **95**,
492 1235-1248 (doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00043.1).

493 - Donlon, C. J., Martin, M., Stark, J., Roberts-Jones, J., Fiedler, E., & Wimmer, W. (2012).
494 The operational sea surface temperature and sea ice analysis (OSTIA) system. *Remote*
495 *Sensing of Environment*, **116**, 140-158.

496 - Feng, X. and K. Haines, 2017. Uncertainties of sea surface and air temperature in the
497 CERA-20C coupled reanalysis ensemble. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, submitted.

498 - Ferguson, C. R., Villarini, G., 2012: Detecting inhomogeneities in the Twentieth Century
499 Reanalysis over the central United States. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, 2156-2202.

500 - Gelaro, R., W. McCarty, M. J. Suárez, R. Todling, A. Molod, L. Takacs, C. A. Randles, A.
501 Darmenov, M. G. Bosilovich, R. Reichle, K. Wargan, L. Coy, R. Cullather, C. Draper, S.
502 Akella, V. Buchard, A. Conaty, A. M. da Silva, W. Gu, G. Kim, R. Koster, R. Lucchesi, D.
503 Merkova, J. E. Nielsen, G. Partyka, S. Pawson, W. Putman, M. Rienecker, S. D. Schubert, M.
504 Sienkiewicz, and B. Zhao, 2017: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for research and
505 applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). *J. Climate*, **30**, 5419-5454 (doi 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-
506 0758.1).

507 - Haimberger, L., C. Tavitato, and S. Sperka, 2012: Homogenization of the global radiosonde
508 temperature dataset through combined comparison with reanalysis background series and
509 neighbouring stations. *J. Climate*, **25**, 8108–8131.

510 - Hersbach, H., S. Brönnimann, L. Haimberger, M. Mayer, L. Villiger, J. Comeaux, A.
 511 Simmons, D. Dee, S. Jourdain, C. Peubey, P. Poli, N. Rayner, A. Sterin, A. Stickler, M. A.
 512 Valente, S. Worley, 2017: The potential value of early (1939-1967) upper-air data in
 513 atmospheric climate reanalysis. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, **143**, 1185-1196
 514 (doi:10.1002/qj.3020).

 515 - Isaksen, L., Bonavita, M., Buizza, R., Fisher, M., Haseler, J., Leutbecher, & Raynaud, L.,
 516 2010: Ensemble Data Assimilations at ECMWF. *ECMWF Research Department Technical*
 517 *Memorandum* n. **636**, ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading RG2-9AX, UK, pp. 45

 518 - Kalnay E, Kanamitsu M, Kirtler R, Collins W, Deaven D, Gandin L, Iredell, M.,
 519 Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins W., Janowiak
 520 J., Mo, K.C., Ropelewski C., Wang J., Leetma, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., Joseph, D.,
 521 1996: The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, **77**, 437 –
 522 471.

 523 - Karspeck, A., Kaplan, A., and Sain, R. R., 2012: Bayesian modelling and ensemble
 524 reconstruction of mid-scale spatial variability in North Atlantic sea-surface temperatures for
 525 1850–2008. *Q. Jou. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, **138**, 234–248.

 526 - Kobayashi, S., Y. Ota, Y. Harada, A. Ebata, M. Moriya, H. Onoda, K. Onogi, H. Kamahori,
 527 C. Kobayashi, H. Endo, K. Miyaoka, and K. Takahashi, 2015: The JRA-55 reanalysis:
 528 general specifications and basic characteristics. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, **93**, 5-48.

 529 - Laloyaux, P., Balmaseda, M., Dee, D., Mogensen, K. and Janssen, P, 2016: A coupled data
 530 assimilation system for climate reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological*
 531 *Society*, **142**, 65-78.

 532 - Laloyaux, P., de Boisseson, E. and Dahlgren, P, 2017: CERA-20C: An Earth system
 533 approach to climate reanalysis. *ECMWF Newsletter*, **150**, 25-30).

534 - Mayer, M., L. Haimberger, J. M. Edwards, P. Hyder, 2017: Towards consistent diagnostics
535 of the coupled atmosphere and ocean energy budgets. *J. Climate*, 30, 9225-9246 -(DOI:
536 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0137.1).

537 - Onogi K., Tsutsui J., Koide H., Sakamoto, M., Kobayashi, S., Hatsushika, H., Matsumoto,
538 T., Yamazaki, N., Kamahori, H., Takahashi, K., Kadokura, S., Wada, K., Kato, K., Oyama,
539 R., Ose, T., Mannoji, N. and Taira R., 2007: The JRA-25 Reanalysis. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*,
540 **85**, 369 – 432.

541 - Peylin, P., Bacour, C., MacBean, N., Leonard, S., Rayner, P. J., Kuppel, S., Koffi, E. N.,
542 Kane, A., Maignan, F., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., and Prunet, P., 2016: A new stepwise carbon
543 cycle data assimilation system using multiple data streams to constrain the simulated land
544 surface carbon cycle, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **9**, 3321-3346 (doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3321-2016).

545 - Pietschnig, M., M. Mayer, T. Tsubouchi, A. Storto, L. Haimberger, 2017: Comparing
546 reanalysis-based volume and temperature transports through Arctic Gateways with mooring-
547 derived estimates. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, under review.

548 - Ploshay, J. J., Stern, W. F., and Miyakoda, K, 1992: FGGE reanalysis at GFDL. *Mon. Wea.*
549 *Rev.*, **120**, 2083-2108.

550 - Poli, P., D. Dee, R. Saunders, V. O. John, P. Rayer, J. Schulz, K. Holmlund, D. Coppens,
551 D. Klaes, J. E. Johnson, A. E. Esfandiari, I. V. Gerasimov, E. B. Zamkoff, A. F. Al-Jazrawi,
552 D. Santek, M. Albani, P. Brunel, K. Fennig, M. Schröder, S. Kobayashi, D. Oertel, W.
553 Döhler, D. Spänkuch, and S. Bojinski, 2017: Recent Advances in Satellite Data Rescue.
554 *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, **91**, 1471-1484.

555 - Poli, P., H. Hersbach, D.P. Dee, P. Berrisford, A.J. Simmons, F. Vitart, P. Laloyaux, D.G.
556 Tan, C. Peubey, J. Thépaut, Y. Trémolet, E.V. Hólm, M. Bonavita, L. Isaksen, and M. Fisher

557 (2016) ERA-20C: An Atmospheric Reanalysis of the Twentieth Century. *J. Climate*, **29**,
 558 4083–4097 (DOI:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0556.1).

559 - Rienecker, M.M., Suarez, M.J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., Bosilovich,
 560 M.G., Schubert, S.D., Takacs, L., Kim, G.-K., Bloom, S., Chen, J., Collins, D., Conaty, A.,
 561 da Silva, A., Gu. W., Joiner, J., Koster, R.D., Lucchesi, R., Molod, A., Owens, T., Pawson,
 562 S., Pegion, P., Redder, C.R., Reichle, R., Robertson, F.R., Ruddick, A.G., Sienkiewicz, M.,
 563 and Woollen, J., 2011: MERRA – NASA’s Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research
 564 and Applications. *J. Climate*, **24**, 3624-3648 (DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1).

565 - Saha S., Moorthi S., Pan H.-L., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Kistler, R.,
 566 Woollen, J., Behringer, D., Liu, H., Stokes, D., Grumbine, R., Gayno, G., Hou, Y.-T.,
 567 Chuang, H.-Y., Juang, H.-M.H., Sela, J., Iredell, M., Treadon, R., Kleist, D., van Delst, P.,
 568 Keyser, D., Derber, J., Ek, M., Meng, J., Wei, H., Yang, R., Lord, S., van den Dool, H.,
 569 Kumar, A., Wang, W., Long, C., Chelliah, M., Xue, Y., Huang, B., Schemm, J.-K.,
 570 Ebisuzaki, W., Lin, R., Xie, P., Chen, M., Zhou, S., Higgins, W., Zou, C.-Z., Liu, Q., Chen
 571 Y., Han, Y., Cucurull, L., Reynolds, R.W., Rutledge, G., Goldberg, M., 2010: The NCEP
 572 Climate Forecast System Reanalysis. *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, **91**, 1015-1057.

573 - Schneider, U., Becker, A., Finger, P., Meyer-Christoffer, A., Rudolf, B., Ziese, M. (2015):
 574 GPCC Full Data Reanalysis Version 7.0 at 1.0°: Monthly land-surface precipitation from
 575 rain-gauges built on GTS-based and historic data. 2015. (DOI:
 576 10.5676/DWD_GPCC/FD_M_V7_100).

577 - Schubert, S.D., Rood, R., and Pfaendtner, J., 1993: An assimilated dataset for Earth science
 578 applications. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **74**, 2331-2342.

579 - Storto, A., M. J. Martin, B. Deremble, S. Masina, 2017: Strongly coupled data assimilation
580 experiments with linearized ocean-atmosphere balance relationships. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*,
581 submitted.

582 - Titchner, H. A., & Rayner, N. A. (2014). The Met Office Hadley Centre sea ice and sea
583 surface temperature data set, version 2: 1. Sea ice concentrations. *Journal of Geophysical*
584 *Research: Atmospheres*, **119**(6), 2864-2889.

585 - Uppala, S. M., P. W. Kallberg, A. J. Simmons, U. Andrae, V. Da Costa Bechtold, M.
586 Fiorino, J. K. Gibson, J. Haseler, A. Hernandez, G. A. Kelly, X. Li, K. Onogi, S. Saarinen, N.
587 Sokka, R. P. Allan, E. Andersson, K. Arpe, M. A. Balmaseda, A. C. M. Beljaars, L. Van De
588 Berg, J. Bidlot, N. Bormann, S. Caires, F. Chevallier, A. Dethof, M. Dragosavac, M. Fisher,
589 M. Fuentes, S. Hagemann, E. Hólm, B. J. Hoskins, L. Isaksen, P. A. E. M. Janssen, R. Jenne,
590 A. P. McNally, J.-F. Mahfouf, J.-J. Morcrette, N. A. Rayner, R. W. Saunders, P. Simon, A.
591 Sterl, K. E. Trenberth, A. Untch, D. Vasiljevic, P. Viterbo, J. Woollen, (2005): The ERA-40
592 re-analysis. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **131**, 2961–3012 (doi:10.1256/qj.04.176).

593 - Weaver, A.T., Tshimanga J., Piacentini A., 2016. Correlation operators based on an
594 implicitly formulated diffusion equation solved with the Chebyshev iteration. *Q. J. Roy.*
595 *Meteorol. Soc.*, **142**: 455-471.

596 - Zuo, H., M. Balmaseda, A. Mogensen, K, 2014: The ECMWF-MyOcean2 eddy-permitting
597 ocean and sea-ice reanalysis ORAP5. Part 1: Implementation. *ECMWF Research Department*
598 *Technical Memorandum* n. **736**, ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading RG2-9AX, UK, pp. 44.

599

10. Figures captions

Figure 1. Longitudinal Hovmoller diagrams at 1° North in the Equatorial Pacific (90-180W) of high-pass filtered wind stress (colour, in N/m²) and Sea-Surface-Temperature (SST; contour, with 0.25K intervals) for ERA-20C (left) and CERA-20C (right) over the period 01/04/73 to 27/03/74. CERA-20C is capable to represent Tropical Instability Waves thanks to the fact that the ocean and the atmosphere are both responding in a consistent way. In ERA-20C, there are no TIWs and wind stress signals.

Figure 2. (a-c) SST-precipitation correlations for their monthly fluctuations in observational data (HadISST2 and GPCP), ERA-20C and CERA-20C (control run), over 1979-2010. Unshaded areas are where the correlations do not pass the significance test at the 90% confidence level. Note the agreement between observations and CERA-20C in the heavily precipitating regions.

Figure 3. Examples of sea surface temperature observations (left) and temperature profile observations (right) in °C from Jan 1953 (top panels) and Jan 2010 (middle panels). The bottom plot shows the percentage change in error in SST from assimilating the Jan 1953 data using an updated version of NEMOVAR.

Figure 4. Monthly regional mean short term precipitation forecasts from ERA-20C (black) and CERA-20C (grey) against Full Data Monthly V7 (Schneider et al., 2015) GPCC precipitation estimates from rain gauges provided by the Global Precipitation Climatology

623 Centre (GPCC). a) Africa, b) India/Monsoon region, c) South America. Time interval
624 considered: 1901-2000 on 1° spatial resolution, monthly temporal resolution.

625

626 Figure 5. Maps of the yearly-average global-mean anomalies in 2010 relative to the period
627 1961–1990 for the two-metre temperature (top) and the upper-ocean heat content (bottom) in
628 CERA20C. The two time series show the evolution of the yearly-average global-mean.

Figure 1. Longitudinal Hovmöller diagrams at 1° North in the Equatorial Pacific (90-180W) of high-pass filtered wind stress (colour, in N/m²) and Sea-Surface-Temperature (SST; contour, with 0.25K intervals) for ERA-20C (left) and CERA-20C (right) over the period 01/04/73 to 27/03/74. CERA-20C is capable to represent Tropical Instability Waves thanks to the fact that the ocean and the atmosphere are both responding in a consistent way. In ERA-20C, there are no TIWs and wind stress signals.

Figure 2. (a-c) SST-precipitation correlations for their monthly fluctuations in observational data (HadISST2 and GPCP), ERA-20C and CERA-20C (control run), over 1979-2010. Unshaded areas are where the correlations do not pass the significance test at the 90% confidence level. Note the agreement between observations and CERA-20C in the heavily precipitating regions.

645

646

647 Figure 3. Examples of sea surface temperature observations (left) and temperature profile
 648 observations (right) in °C from Jan 1953 (top panels) and Jan 2010 (middle panels). The
 649 bottom plot shows the percentage change in error in SST from assimilating the Jan 1953 data
 650 using an updated version of NEMOVAR.

Figure 4. Monthly regional mean short term precipitation forecasts from ERA-20C (black) and CERA-20C (grey) against Full Data Monthly V7 (Schneider et al., 2015) GPCC precipitation estimates from rain gauges provided by the Global Precipitation Climatology Centre (GPCC). a) Africa, b) India/Monsoon region, c) South America. Time interval considered: 1901-2000 on 1° spatial resolution, monthly temporal resolution.

a) 2-metre temperature anomaly relative to the period 1961-1990

b) Upper-ocean heat content anomaly relative to the period 1961-1990

658

659

660

661

662

Figure 5. Maps of the yearly-average global-mean anomalies in 2010 relative to the period 1961–1990 for the two-metre temperature (top) and the upper-ocean heat content (bottom) in CERA20C. The two time series show the evolution of the yearly-average global-mean.