1	Arthroscopic ankle fusion: Preoperative deformity can be successfully
2	corrected as long as the distal tibia is not deformed
3	
4	
5	ABSTRACT
6	Introduction
7	Coronal deformity is considered a relative contraindication for arthroscopic
8	ankle fusion. This study assessed whether preoperative coronal ankle joint
9	deformity influenced the outcome of arthroscopic ankle fusion.
10	Methods
11	97 patients had 62 arthroscopic and 35 open ankle fusions between 2005 and
12	2012. Clinical outcomes were prospectively recorded with use of the Ankle
13	Osteoarthritis Scale (AOS) and Ankle Arthritis Scale (AAS) preoperatively, 6,
14	12, 24 months and final follow-up.
15	Radiological alignment was measured using the tibiotalar angle, the tibial
16	plafond angle, the lateral talar station and the lateral tibiotalar angle.
17	Results
18	Both groups had the same demographics.
19	Preoperative deformity was the same regarding sagittal alignment and overall
20	coronal alignment but the arthroscopic group had less tibial deformity (tibial
21	plafond angle range 0 to 19 degrees vs. 0 to 43 degrees). At final follow-up
22	the arthroscopic mean AOS was 34.2, (95% CI 23.3 to 45.2) vs. open 33.9,
23	(CI 17.8 to 49.9). The AAS at final follow up for arthroscopic was 26.0, (CI
24	21.0 to 31.0) vs. open 27.5, (CI 19.7 to 35.2).
25	Both groups had the same tibiotalar angle, lateral talar station, and lateral

- 27 Regression analyses revealed no influence of type of surgery, preoperative
- deformity, postoperative radiological alignment, age, gender, BMI, smoking
- status, etiology of the arthritis, and need for bone grafting on outcome scores
- 30 (all p > 0.05).

### 31 Conclusion

- 32 Arthroscopic and open ankle fusion yielded equivalent results for both patient
- 33 reported outcome measure and radiographic alignment in patients with
- 34 coronal and sagittal joint deformity. Patients with higher tibial plafond angles
- 35 more often underwent open fusion.

### 36 Keywords

- 37 Ankle arthritis; ankle fusion; ankle arthrodesis; sagittal alignment;
- 38 coronal alignment; varus deformity; valgus deformity; arthroscopic
- 39 arthrodesis; arthroscopic fusion; coronal plane deformity
- 40

### 41 Introduction

- 42 End-stage ankle arthritis often affects young patients and causes substantial
- 43 pain and limitation of function.<sup>4</sup> Both open and arthroscopic fusions lead to
- 44 considerable reduction of pain and improved function, with arthroscopic fusion
- 45 reported to result in faster postoperative recovery and better outcome in the
- 46 short term.<sup>8</sup>
- 47 Substantial preoperative coronal deformity is considered a relative
- 48 contraindication and most studies advocate using an arthroscopic technique
- 49 only for ankles with less than 15 degrees of varus or valgus malalignment as
- 50 measured with the tibiotalar angle.<sup>5,11</sup> Yet, newer studies showed that
- 51 arthroscopic fusion is still feasible in ankle with coronal malalignment.

52 .<sup>2,12</sup> However, little information is available about the effect of preoperative

53 malalignment on the outcome after arthroscopic ankle arthrodesis.

54 The aims of this study were to elucidate the extent of ankle joint deformity that

- 55 was addressed by arthroscopic fusion in a single center with three surgeons
- 56 with expertise in arthroscopic ankle fusion and to reveal the influence of the
- 57 preoperative deformity onto the outcome after arthroscopic fusion. We

assumed that clinical outcome was comparable between these two groups

and that patients who underwent arthroscopic fusion had less malalignment

60 than those with open fusion. We also aimed to elucidate the clinical outcome

of arthroscopic and open ankle fusions over time to see whether there were

62 differences in the postoperative recovery period between the two groups.

63

#### 64 Material and Methods

The ongoing (blinded for reviewing) collects data on patients who had unsuccessful trial of nonoperative treatment, gave informed consent for database enrollment, and were treated with total ankle replacement or ankle arthrodesis. Patients enrolled in this study (blinded for reviewing) and had isolated ankle joint fusion at a single institution, by one of three fellowship trained surgeons between 2005 and 2012. This was a comparative case series.

After exclusion of all patients with either preexisting subtalar fusion (n = 13),

subtalar fusion in the same procedure (n = 3), revision surgery of prior ankle

fusion (n = 2), Charcot's neuroarthropathy (n = 4), and patients with

unavailable preoperative radiological workup (n = 12), 97 patients with

isolated arthroscopic (n = 62) or open (n = 35) ankle fusion were identified.

77 Figure 1 illustrates the selection process.

### 78 [Figure 1: Exclusion criteria]

#### 79 Collection of clinical data

- 80 Patient assessments were completed by the treating orthopedic surgeon
- 81 preoperatively, at six and 12 months following surgery, and annually
- 82 thereafter. Patient demographics, comorbidities, and diagnoses were
- 83 recorded preoperatively. Operative details were collected prospectively with
- use of the (blinded for reviewing). Clinical outcomes were recorded
- 85 preoperatively and at each follow-up visit with use of the Foot and Ankle
- 86 Follow-up Questionnaire developed by a coalition of ten orthopedic
- 87 associations, including the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons.
- 88 The components administered were the Ankle Osteoarthritis Scale (AOS) and
- 89 the Ankle Arthritis Score (AAS). The AOS is a validated, reliable, self-reported
- 90 ankle-specific assessment and consists of 20 questions regarding pain and
- 91 disability resulting from ankle osteoarthritis.<sup>3</sup> The AAS is a revised version of
- 92 the AOS. For the AAS, 13 of these 20 questions that either contained
- 93 duplicate information or lack of variability were eliminated. Additionally the
- 94 retained questions are now weighted according to their variability. The AAS
- 95 therefore retains the most discriminative information in the AOS but is shorter
- 96 and has improved psychometric properties.

97

#### 98 Radiographic measurements

- 99 Radiographic measurements were performed on weight bearing true-anterior
- 100 to posterior and lateral x-rays taken preoperatively and 12 months
- 101 postoperatively.

- 102 The talar tilt angle was measured between a line along the tibial plafond and
- 103 the proximal talar subchondral surface. Positive values corresponded to varus
- 104 tilting and negative values to valgus tilting. For the talar tilt measurement,
- 105 previous studies have shown intra-observer reliabilities of 0.93-0.99 and inter-
- 106 *observer reliabilities of 0.92-0.97.*<sup>1,6</sup> The medial tibiotalar surface angle was
- 107 the angle between the tibial axis and the proximal talar subchondral surface.
- 108 This angular measurement was shown to have an intra-observer reliability of
- 109 0.99 and an inter-observer reliability of 0.98.7 The distal tibial plafond angle
- 110 was computed using the aforementioned angles. Varus alignment
- 111 corresponded to values lower than 90 degrees and valgus alignment to values
- 112 higher than 90 degrees. (Figure 2)
- 113
- Figure 2: Measurement of the talar tilt angle, the tibiotalar angle, and the tibialplafond angle.
- 116

Sagittal alignment was measured as the angle between the anatomical axis of the tibia and the long axis of the talus on the lateral view. Antero-posterior translation of the talus was measured as the lateral talar station with positive values indicating anterior translation and negative values indicating posterior translation.<sup>9</sup>

122

### 123 **Operative technique**

Arthroscopic fusion was performed with a 2.9 mm arthroscope within a 4.0 mm fenestrated cannula or a 4.0 mm arthroscope with a 5.5 mm fenestrated cannula, a pump with 4 kPa of inflow pressure, and non-invasive traction of the joint. In the case of large anterior osteophytes, removing these with a curette as a
first step helped facilitate proper insertion of the instruments. Osseous
contours were preserved during removal of the articular cartilage. The
subchondral bone was scaled with a 2 mm drill and an osteotome or a highspeed burr.

Two surgeons used standard anteromedial and anterolateral portals only and removed the cartilage in the medial but not the lateral gutter. They only debrided osteophytes and scar tissue in the lateral gutter that impeded proper reduction in case of an internally rotated talus, but they did not remove the cartilage of the lateral gutter. These two surgeons stabilized the fusion with two or three partially threaded 6.5 mm cannulated compression screws placed under x-ray guidance.

One surgeon always added a low anteromedial and a low anterolateral portal
to remove the cartilage in the medial and the lateral gutter. He also used a
posteromedial portal to facilitate posterior debridement. He used four to five
4.5 mm full-threaded cortical screws, with one of these placed from the fibula
into the talus to fixate the debrided lateral gutter.

All surgeons used the first screw as a compression screw. This first screw aimed for the medial talar body in case of preoperative valgus alignment and for the lateral talar body in varus alignment. Postoperatively, patients were managed with immobilization of the ankle in high aircast boot for ten weeks and were kept nonweightbearing for the first six weeks.

150 Open arthrodesis was most commonly performed through a transfibular

approach and an additional anteromedial incision to debride the medial gutter.

- 152 Alternatively, a direct anterior approach in the interval between the tibialis
- anterior and extensor hallucis longus or two small incisions anteromedially
- 154 and anterolaterally were used.
- 155

# 156 Statistics

- 157 Primary outcome measure was the AOS collected at baseline, at 6, 12 and 24
- 158 months and at final follow-up. The AAS was also calculated. The scales at
- 159 different time points were compared using repeated ANOVA tests. The
- 160 differences of the scales for arthroscopic and open fusions at specific time
- 161 points were compared using Student *t* tests.
- 162 Radiological alignment between the two groups were compared using Student
- 163 *t* tests for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney tests for data not
- 164 normally distributed as verified by the Kolmogonov-Smirnov test.
- 165 Univariate regression analyses tested the influence of the preoperative
- 166 deformity onto the AOS and AAS at final follow-up. For the coronal
- 167 measurements the deviation from neutral was used, but the varus or valgus
- direction was ignored. We believed that the magnitude of the coronal plane
- 169 deformity was important but that the varus or valgus direction was not.
- 170 Furthermore, the influence of the following parameters onto the AOS and AAS
- 171 at final follow up was tested using univariate regression analyses: type of
- 172 surgery (arthroscopic vs. open), postoperative alignment, age, gender, BMI,
- 173 smoking status, and etiology of arthritis.

## 174 Funding

- 175 The database receives no direct funding. The authors receive commercial
- 176 funding for other studies performed in the research office. Any physician

- 177 remuneration for commercial research outside the database is used through
- the hospital foundation to support the research office and the database
- 179 initiative which has no direct forms of funding.
- 180

## 181 **Results**

- 182 No difference was found between the arthroscopic group and the open group
- regarding mean age at surgery (57.4 vs. 57.1 years, p = 0.882), female to
- 184 male proportion (23/29 vs. 9/26, p = 0.099), body mass index (28.2 vs. 28.2, p
- 185 = 0.457), incidence of diabetes (8 vs. 3, p = 0.741), smoking status (p =
- 186 0.317), incidence of posttraumatic (32 out of 62 vs. 21 out of 35, p = 0.525) or
- inflammatory arthritis (8 out of 62 vs. 2 out of 35, p = 0.486), and duration of
- 188 follow-up (4.5 vs. 4.1 years, p = 0.467). The demographic details of the
- 189 patient cohort are summarized in Table 1.
- 190 [Table 1: Demographics]
- 191

## 192 **Preoperative radiological alignment**

193 The coronal plane deformity was lower in the arthroscopic group compared to

the open group as measured using the mean tibiotalar angle (8.2 vs. 12.3

- 195 degrees, p = 0.014) and the tibial plafond angle (3.6 vs. 11.4 degrees, p < 100
- 196 0.0005). However, the range of the measured angles allows revealing to
- 197 which extent of deformity an arthroscopic fusion was performed. While the
- range of the tibiotalar angle was similar between the two groups (0 to 25
- degrees vs. 0 to 27 degrees), the range of the tibial plafond angle was notably
- 200 higher in the open group (0 to 19 degrees vs. 0 o 43 degrees). Furthermore,
- in the arthroscopic group the tibial plafond angle was 5 degrees or less in
- 202 79% of the patients, 6 to 10 degrees in 15% of the patients, and higher than

- 203 10 degrees in 6% of the patients. In the open group 46% of the patients
- 204 exhibited a tibial plafond angle of 5 degrees or less, 17% a tibial plafond angle
- of 6 to 10 degrees, and 37% of more than 10 degrees. (table 2a, figure 4a)
- 206 There was no difference in sagittal plane deformity between the groups as
- 207 measured by the lateral talar station (mean 2.9 mm, range -8 to + 14mm vs.
- 208 mean 3.8 mm, range -12 to +16mm, resp) (table 2, figure 4).
- 209 [Table 2: Preoperative radiological deformity and postoperative radiological
- 210 alignment]
- 211 [Figure 3: Ranges of preoperative tibiotalar angle and tibial plafond angle]
- 212

### 213 Clinical outcome

- 214 Both arthroscopic and open ankle fusion led to improvement of the mean AOS
- and AAS at 6, 12, 24 months, and at final follow up when compared to the
- 216 preoperative AOS and AAS (all p < 0.05).
- 217 (table 3 a and 3 b, and figure 4a and 4 b)
- 218 [Table 3a: AOS and Table 3 b: AAS]
- 219 [Figure 4a: AOS over time and Figure 4 b: AAS over time]
- 220

# 221 Postoperative alignment

- The radiological outcome at 12 months after surgery, presented in Table 2,
- was identical in both groups, with proper alignment in the coronal plane
- (medial tibiotalar angle 89.3 vs. 88.3 degrees, p = 0.371), and sagittal plane
- alignment regarding lateral talar station (1.3 mm vs. 2.3 mm, p = 0.061) and
- lateral tibiotalar angle (111.2 vs. 110.4 degrees, p = 0.574).

227

228	Clinical outcome dependent on preoperative deformity
229	Univariate regression analyses were performed to evaluate the influence of
230	several parameters onto the AOS and AAS at final follow up in the
231	arthroscopic group. As all patients in the arthroscopic group had tibial plafond
232	angles of less than 20 degrees, we also conducted the regression analyses
233	including all patients of both groups with tibial plafond angles below 20
234	degrees to compare open and arthroscopic fusions of ankles with the same
235	extent of tibial plafond deformity.
236	The univariate analysis demonstrated that the only variable to influence the
237	AOS and AAS at final follow up was the preoperative AOS or AAS.
238	Preoperative deformity in the coronal or the sagittal plane did not affect the
239	AOS or AAS at final follow up. Similarly, postoperative radiological alignment,
240	type of surgery, age, gender, BMI, smoking status, etiology of the arthritis or
241	need for bone grafting also had no effect on the AOS or AAS at final follow up
242	(all p > 0.05, see Table 4).
243	[Table 4: Univariate regression analyses]
244	
245	Complications
246	7 patients (10%) had 11 reoperations in the arthroscopic group and 5 patients

- 247 (14%) had 6 reoperations in the open group. The reoperations mainly
- consisted of hardware removals, whereas 2 patients (3%) in the arthroscopic
- and 1 patient (3%) in the open group needed symptomatic non-union revision.

250

### 251 **Discussion**

252 The presented study confirms arthroscopic ankle fusion as a viable option in

253 patients with preexisting *ankle malalignment*, thus confirming the results of

- 254 previous studies.<sup>2,12</sup>
- 255 We note with interest that our univariate analysis found that the only variable
- to influence the AOS or AAS at final follow up was the preoperative score, with
- a higher preoperative score resulting in a higher score at final follow up. This
- 258 suggests that patients experiencing the highest level of patient reported
- 259 dysfunction may fail to obtain the best possible function postoperatively.
- 260 However, Coe et al previously demonstrated that a higher preoperative AOS
- 261 Score resulted in a larger change score at last follow up (i.e. preoperative
- score minus postoperative score = change score) suggesting that higher
- 263 levels of patient reported dysfunction lead to a bigger functional improvement.
- 264 We believe further study is warranted to better understand the clinical
- significance if this finding, and in particular whether there is evidence to allow
- surgeons to better educate patients about the optimum time point to perform
- surgical reconstruction of their end stage ankle arthritis.
- 268 Winson reported the results of 105 arthroscopic ankle fusions.<sup>13</sup> The
- 269 preoperative coronal deformity was between 20 degrees of varus and 28
- 270 *degrees of valgus* as measured by the tibiotalar angle. 80% of the patients
- had a deformity of less than 10 degrees. Four patients required a calcaneal
- 272 osteotomy to correct persisting hindfoot malalignment after fusion. Clinical
- review showed excellent results in 48 patients, and 35 good, 10 fair and 11
- poor outcomes. Nine of the patients with poor outcome had non-union; the
- remaining two poor results required a subtalar fusion and still had ongoing
- pain. No information was given about the correlation between the

277 preoperative deformity and the clinical outcome in that cohort. During the 278 same period, the author performed 10 open fusions, thus about 8% of the 279 isolated ankle fusions were conducted by an open procedure. However, he 280 also stated that he accomplished 60 tibiotalocalcaneal fusions in the same 281 period, mainly in patients with higher degrees of ankle joint malalignment who 282 often exhibit subtalar joint degeneration and malalignment as well. 283 Dannawi compared the results of arthroscopic ankle fusion in 31 patients with 284 less than 15 degrees deformity and 24 patients with more than 15 degrees deformity, again measured by the tibiotalar angle.<sup>2</sup> Although clinical outcome 285 286 and non-union rates were similar between the two groups, patients with higher 287 deformities had longer time to union and longer hospital stay.

However, these studies used the tibiotalar angle only to describe the

preoperative deformity. Based on a more thorough radiological evaluation, our

study contributes additional information regarding the limits of preoperative

deformity that can be fused arthroscopically. While the tibiotalar angle

292 measures the talar deviation compared to the axis of the tibia, it does not give

293 conclusive information on where precisely the deviation occurs. The tibial

294 plafond angle represents deformities of the distal tibial surface. Therefore

using both angles allows for distinction between malalignment caused by

tilting of the ankle joint and malalignment due to bony deformities of the distal

tibial surface (figure 5 a, figure 5 b, figure 5 c, figure 5 d).

298 [Figure 5: The malalignment on the left is caused by simple tilting of the talus

in an otherwise normal ankle mortise, while the malalignment on the right is

300 caused by a deformity of the distal tibial surface. Whereas the tibiotalar angle

- 301 is similar for both ankles, the tibial plafond angle allows to differentiate
- 302 between the two different deformities.]

In our experience simple tilting of the ankle joint can be reduced manually and
 thus permits arthroscopic fusion whereas major deformities of the distal tibial
 surface require appropriate bone resection to realign the hindfoot, therefore
 frequently necessitating an open procedure.

307 The question then arises as to the maximum extent of deformity which can be 308 corrected in an arthroscopic procedure. In the present study, the surgeons 309 performed an open fusion in all cases with a tibial plafond angle deviation of 310 more than 19 degrees of coronal malalignment, indicating that larger 311 deformities of the tibial joint surface required open fusion. A closer look to the 312 distribution of the tibial plafond angle deviations showed, that only 21% of the patients in the arthroscopic group had tibial plafond angle deviations of more 313 314 than 5 degrees and only 6% had more than 10 degrees. In the open group 315 54% of the patients had more than 5 degrees and 37% of the patients had 316 more than 10 degrees of tibial plafond angle deviation. This emphasizes that 317 bigger deformities of the distal tibial surface were more often addressed by an 318 open procedure. No differences between the two groups were observed 319 regarding sagittal alignment as measured by the lateral talar station. We 320 regarded sagittal malalignment to be caused in most cases by osteophytes in 321 the anterior joint compartment, leading to anterior translation and rotation of 322 the talus. When present, removal of osteophytes at the beginning of the 323 procedure using a curette usually permits proper reduction of the talus. 324 Therefore, the sagittal malalignment does not seem to impede proper 325 realignment by arthroscopic fusion. 326 To allow proper arthroscopic reduction of coronal malalignment techniques

327 include the removal of osteophytes using a curette, and placement of partially

328 threaded compression screws to correct the deformity. Therefore, in varus

ankles the first screw should be placed into the lateral talar body, either
directed from the medial or from anterolateral tibial cortex. In valgus ankles
the first screw should be placed into the medial talar body.

Winson et al <sup>13</sup> proposed to add a sliding calcaneal osteotomy to correct

332

333 residual hind foot malalignment after arthroscopic ankle fusion. This might be 334 an option whenever the subtalar joint and the ankle joint are tilted into the 335 same direction. However, in about 50% of varus arthritic ankles, the subtalar 336 joint reveals valgus alignment to counterbalance the ankle malalignment.<sup>10</sup> 337 Thus, the subtalar joint was loaded asymmetrically mainly on the lateral part 338 during the development of the ankle varus alignment. A lateralizing calcaneal 339 osteotomy to correct residual varus alignment after ankle fusion would 340 therefore increase the asymmetric lateral load in the subtalar joint. In 341 conjunction with the increased stress due to the ankle fusion this might lead to 342 early subtalar joint degeneration. The same considerations apply to valgus 343 arthritic ankles, which are compensated in 39% by the subtalar joint. 344 Therefore, if a patient reveals this subtalar mechanism to counterbalance an 345 ankle malalignment that cannot be reduced completely, we prefer to correct 346 the deformity where it occurs. Consequently, we favor an open procedure to 347 perform appropriate resection of the joint line whenever the malalignment is 348 not completely reducible with an arthroscopic fusion.

349 Similar to earlier studies, the arthroscopic group showed a trend to quicker

clinical improvement than the open group during early follow-up at 6 and 12

351 months ,<sup>8</sup> even though the differences were not statistically significant.

However, the results of the open group gradually improved over time, and

both groups had similar results at final follow-up. This faster improvement of

354 the clinical results with arthroscopic ankle fusion is usually attributed to less

soft tissue dissection, leading to less swelling.<sup>8</sup> By the time the soft tissues
have recovered and the swelling in the open group decreases, results are
similar for both procedures.

358 Complications needing reoperation were similar in both groups, with two 359 revision surgeries due to ankle fusion non-union in the arthroscopic groups vs.

360 one in the open group. In both groups, one patient needed subtalar fusion

during follow-up. Overall, the follow-up duration of the study was too short to

362 provide conclusive evidence in terms of differences in the rate of subtalar joint

363 degeneration between the two groups. Since radiological alignment was

364 similar in the two both groups, we do not expect a remarkable difference.

365 The strengths of our study are the prospective data collection, a large cohort,

366 the validated clinical outcome measurement, and the detailed radiological

367 analysis of the preoperative ankle joint deformity.

Limitations include selection bias, as it was the surgeon who selected the type of procedure, i.e. open or arthroscopic fusion. This resulted in patients with a higher degree of deformity being more frequently being treated with the open surgical technique.

372

# 373 Conclusion

- 374 Clinical and radiological outcome after arthroscopic ankle fusion was not
- 375 dependent on the preoperative coronal or sagittal ankle joint deformity.
- 376 However, the type of surgery i.e. arthroscopic or open was chosen on the

377 surgeon's preference for each patient. It became apparent that ankles with

378 higher deviations of the tibial plafond angle were addressed with an open

379 procedure whereas the tibiotalar tilting was similar in both groups.

380

381	
382	
383	References

384	1.	Ahn TK, Yi Y, Cho JH, Lee WC. A Cohort Study of Patients Undergoing
385		Distal Tibial Osteotomy without Fibular Osteotomy for Medial Ankle
386		Arthritis with Mortise Widening. <i>The Journal of bone and joint surgery</i>
387 388	2.	<i>American volume.</i> 2015;97(5):381-388. doi:10.2106/JBJS.M.01360. Dannawi Z, Nawabi DH, Patel A, Leong JJ, Moore DJ. Arthroscopic ankle
389	۷.	arthrodesis: are results reproducible irrespective of pre-operative
390		deformity? Foot and ankle surgery : official journal of the European Society
391		of Foot and Ankle Surgeons. 2011;17(4):294-299.
392		doi:10.1016/j.fas.2010.12.004.
393	3.	Domsic RT, Saltzman CL. Ankle osteoarthritis scale. <i>Foot Ankle Int.</i>
394	0.	1998;19(7):466-471.
395	4.	Glazebrook M, Daniels T, Younger A, et al. Comparison of health-related
396		quality of life between patients with end-stage ankle and hip arthrosis.
397		The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume. 2008;90(3):499-
398		505.
399	5.	Glick JM, Morgan CD, Myerson MS, Sampson TG, Mann JA. Ankle
400		arthrodesis using an arthroscopic method: long-term follow-up of 34
401		cases. Arthroscopy : the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official
402		publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the
403		International Arthroscopy Association. 1996;12(4):428-434.
404	6.	Moon JS, Shim JC, Suh JS, Lee WC. Radiographic predictability of cartilage
405		damage in medial ankle osteoarthritis. Clinical orthopaedics and related
406		research. 2010;468(8):2188-2197. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1352-2.
407	7.	Nosewicz TL, Knupp M, Bolliger L, Hintermann B. The reliability and
408		validity of radiographic measurements for determining the three-
409		dimensional position of the talus in varus and valgus osteoarthritic
410		ankles. <i>Skeletal radiology.</i> 2012;41(12):1567-1573. doi:10.1007/s00256-
411		012-1421-6.
412	8.	Townshend D, Di Silvestro M, Krause F, et al. Arthroscopic versus open
413		ankle arthrodesis: a multicenter comparative case series. <i>The Journal of</i>
414		bone and joint surgery American volume. 2013;95(2):98-102.
415	0	doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.01240.
416	9.	Veljkovic A, Norton A, Salat P, et al. Lateral talar station: a clinically
417 418		reproducible measure of sagittal talar position. <i>Foot &amp; ankle international</i>
410 419		/ American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society [and] Swiss Foot and Ankle Society. 2013;34(12):1669-1676. doi:10.1177/1071100713500489.
419	10.	Wang B, Saltzman CL, Chalayon O, Barg A. Does the subtalar joint
421	10.	compensate for ankle malalignment in end-stage ankle arthritis? <i>Clin</i>
422		Orthop Relat Res. 2015;473(1):318-325.
423	11.	Wasserman LR, Saltzman CL, Amendola A. Minimally invasive ankle
424	11.	reconstruction: current scope and indications. <i>The Orthopedic clinics of</i>
425		North America. 2004;35(2):247-253. doi:10.1016/S0030-
426		5898(03)00117-2.
427	12.	Winson IG, Robinson DE, Allen PE. Arthroscopic ankle arthrodesis. <i>J Bone</i>
428		Joint Surg Br. 2005;87(3):343-347.
429		