Putative functions of extracellular matrix glycoproteins in secondary palate morphogenesis
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INTRODUCTION

Cleft palate is a common birth defect in humans. Elevation and fusion of paired palatal shelves are coordinated by growth and transcription factors, and mutations in these can cause malformations. Among the effector genes for growth factor signaling are extracellular matrix (ECM) glycoproteins. These provide substrates for cell adhesion (e.g., fibronectin, tenascins), but also regulate growth factor availability (e.g., fibrillins). Cleft palate in Bmp7 null mouse embryos is caused by a delay in palatal shelf elevation. In contrast, palatal shelves of Tgf-β3 knockout mice elevate normally, but a cleft develops due to their failure to fuse. However, nothing is known about a possible functional interaction between specific ECM proteins and Tgf-β/Bmp family members in palatogenesis. To start addressing this question, we studied the mRNA and protein distribution of relevant ECM components during secondary palate development, and compared it to growth factor expression in wildtype/wild type and mutant mice. We found that fibrillin-2 (but not fibrillin-1) mRNA appeared in the mesenchyme of elevated palatal shelves adjacent to the midline epithelial cells, which were positive for Tgf-β3 mRNA. Moreover, midline epithelial cells started expressing fibronectin upon contact of the two palatal shelves. These findings support the hypothesis that fibrillin-2 and fibronectin are involved in regulating the activity of Tgf-β3 at the fusing midline. In addition, we observed that tenascin-W (but not tenascin-C) was misexpressed in palatal shelves of Bmp7-deficient mouse embryos. In contrast to tenascin-C, tenascin-W secretion was strongly induced by Bmp7 in embryonic cranial fibroblasts in vitro. These results are consistent with a putative function for tenascin-W as a target of Bmp7 signaling during palatal elevation. Our results indicate that distinct ECM proteins are important for morphogenesis of the secondary palate, both as downstream effectors and as regulators of Tgf-β/Bmp activity.
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fusion of the palatal shelves (Proetzel et al., 1995; Taya et al., 1999). Whereas earlier work indicated that this growth factor stimulates epithelial-mesenchymal transformation at the palatal midline via transcription factors Smad2/Lef1 (Nashshad and Hay, 2003), more recent evidence shows that Tgf-β3 signaling mediates palatal fusion mainly or exclusively by inducing apoptosis of middle edge epithelial (MEE) cells (Xu et al., 2006; Nashshad, 2008; Huang et al., 2011). In contrast, in embryos deficient for Bmp7, another member of the Tgf-β family, the elevation of palatal shelves seems to be disturbed (Zouvelou et al., 2009a), pointing to a defect in cell division, reorganization, and/or ECM production. For both human and mouse malformations, however, practically nothing is known about the downstream effectors of the mutated genes. Thus, the cellular mechanisms by which these regulatory genes finally cause a specific morphogenetic defect are not known as yet.

Extracellular matrix (ECM) is essential for tissue integrity by forming a stable framework to which cells adhere via integrin receptors (Alberts et al., 2008). During development and regeneration, ECM is constantly remodeled by growth factor controlled synthesis and proteolysis by matrix-degrading enzymes (Mott and Werb, 2004; Larsen et al., 2006). Whereas fibrillar collagens and large proteoglycans are major structural entities of ECM, more minor ECM components have important regulatory functions: they promote cell adhesion (fibronectin, laminin) (Alberts et al., 2008), modulate cell spreading and motility (tenascins) (Chiquet-Ehrismann and Chiquet, 2003), control collagen matrix assembly (FACIT collagens) (Zhang et al., 2005), or store growth factors and present them to cells (fibrillins) (Kaartinen and Warburton, 2003). Not much has been published yet about the function of ECM in secondary palate formation, although early reports recognized its importance (Morris-Wiman and Brinkley, 1992). Tenascin-C was implied due to its striking expression pattern during palatogenesis (Ferguson, 1988), but these studies were not continued. Other early work tried to establish a causal link between elevation of the palatal shelves and a rapid accumulation of hyaluronan (reviewed in Ferguson, 1988), but again these studies were not pursued. A more recent paper implied a Tgf-β3-induced chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan in palatal shelf adhesion, but its exact molecular identity was not determined (Gato et al., 2002). Thus, surprisingly little is known about the functional role of ECM in palatogenesis.

ECM genes are important transcriptional targets for Tgf-β/Bmp family members (Verrecchia and Mauviel, 2007; Tucker and Chiquet-Ehrismann, 2009). Interestingly, different members of the Tgf-β family can regulate even closely related ECM proteins in distinct ways. For example, tenasin-C expression is induced by Tgf-β1 but not Bmp2, whereas tenasin-W is regulated in the opposite manner (Tucker and Chiquet-Ehrismann, 2009), indicating that growth factor signaling can affect the balance of local ECM composition. Tenascins are structurally related to fibronectin, but have a quite opposite mode of action: whereas fibronectin promotes cell adhesion (Alberts et al., 2008), tenascins interfere with fibronectin function (Chiquet-Ehrismann et al., 1988; Huang et al., 2001). Thus, tenascins are anti-adhesive and pro-migratory, and their aberrant regulation due to the lack of a specific growth factor might change cell-ECM interactions and induce a specific malformation. To give another example, certain ECM components are important for the presentation and bioavailability of growth factors, e.g., fibrillins (Kaartinen and Warburton, 2003) and fibronectin (Dallas et al., 2005; Fontana et al., 2005) for Tgf-β3 and Bmps, or proteoglycans for fibroblast growth factors (lozzo et al., 2009). Faulty expression of an ECM component in the absence of one growth factor might thus disturb signaling by others.

However, a physical or functional interaction between Tgf-β/Bmp growth factors and specific ECM components during morphogenesis of the secondary palate has not been studied so far. In a first step to address this question, we describe here the specific expression patterns of fibrillin-1, fibrillin-2, fibronectin, tenasin-C, and tenasin-W mRNAs during craniofacial development of mouse embryos between E13.5 and E15.5 using in situ hybridization, and compare them to those of Tgf-β1 and Tgf-β3.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMALS, EMBRYONIC TISSUE, AND CRYOSECTIONING**

C57BL/6 wildtype mouse embryos were obtained from J.-F. Spetz at the Friedrich-Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research in Basel, Switzerland. The Bmp7 null allele of Bmp7 heterozygous null mice was generated by Cre-mediated recombination in the germ line of a conditional Bmp7 allele (Bmp7flx/flx), in which exon 1 is flanked by loxP sites as described earlier (Zouvelou et al., 2009b). Bmp7 heterozygous null mice were intercrossed to obtain Bmp7+/+, Bmp7+/− and Bmp7−/− embryos from the same litter. After mating, appearance of a vaginal plug was taken as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Pregnant females were sacrificed by cervical dislocation at the desired stage (E13.5–E14.5), embryos were removed from the uterus and decapitated. Genotyping of embryos was carried out by allele-specific PCR. All procedures were approved by the Cantonal Veterinary Offices of Basel and Zurich, Switzerland. The embryo heads were washed in ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Na-phosphate, pH 7.4), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight, washed briefly in PBS, soaked for 24 h in 30% sucrose in PBS, embedded in Tissue Tek (O.C.T. compound; Sakura Finetek Europe B.V., Zoeterwoude, Netherlands), and frozen on a metal block cooled to −80°C. All tissue was stored at −80°C before sectioning. Serial frontal sections (10–12 μm thick) of the embryo heads were prepared on a Cryocut E cryomicrotome (Reichert-Jung, Leica Microsystems, Heerbrugg, Switzerland), dried at 37°C for 1–5 min, and stored at −80°C before further use.

**GENE-SPECIFIC RNA PROBES AND IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION**

Total RNA was isolated from E14.5 C57BL/6 wildtype mouse embryos or from mouse embry fibroblasts (Maier et al., 2008) using an RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland), and reverse transcribed to cDNA using Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). Gene specific primers (Microsynth, Balgrach, Switzerland) were designed using a program provided by NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/index.cgi?LINK_LOC=BlastHome), and fitted with BamH1 (forward primers) or HindIII (reverse primers) restriction sites.
at their 5’ ends, respectively (Table 1). Using these primers and mouse cDNA as a template, specific products were amplified by PCR using Go Taq polymerase (Promega), cut with respective restriction enzymes, and cloned into pBluescript SK+ plasmid (Stratagene/Agilent, Santa Clara, USA). Plasmids encoding mouse tenascin-C and -W cDNAs were obtained from R. Chiquet-Ehrismann (Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland). Digoxygenin-labeled anti-sense and sense RNA probes were generated with a labeling kit from Roche Diagnostics (Koch et al., 1995). The labeled probes were used for in situ hybridization as published in detail before (Fluck et al., 2000). In preliminary experiments, serial frontal sections were hybridized with individual probes. All genes described here were found to be equally expressed in the anterior (prospective hard) and posterior (prospective soft) palate, with only minor regional differences (see “Results”).

### IMMUNOCYTOCHEMISTRY

A polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse tenascin-W antibody was obtained from R. Chiquet-Ehrismann (Basel, Switzerland) and has been characterized before (Scherberich et al., 2004). Cryosections from paraformaldehyde-fixed mouse embryo heads were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in phosphate-buffered saline (BSA/PBS), incubated with anti-tenasin-W (1:100 in BSA/PBS) followed by peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody (Jackson Laboratories; 1:1000 in BSA/PBS). Sections were developed with 0.18 mg/ml chloronaphthol (Merck; stock solution 3 mg/ml in methanol) diluted in PBS, and counterstained with nuclear fast red solution (Sigma, Buchs, Switzerland).

### MICROSCOPY

Slides were viewed with 10× objectives on an Olympus BX-51 microscope. Digital images were recorded using a ProgRes CT3 CMOS camera and ProgRes Capture Pro software (Jenoptik, Jena, Germany). All slides from one experiment were photographed at exactly the same camera settings, and resulting images were processed identically.

### CELL CULTURE AND IMMUNOBLOTTING

Cranial fibroblasts were isolated from E14.5 C57BL/6 mouse embryos. The mid-facial region was dissected from embryo heads and incubated in 0.05% trypsin-EDTA solution (Gibco; Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland) for 1 h at 37°C, after which the tissue was triturated by repeated aspiration. Cells were centrifuged, resuspended in Dulbecco’s Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM; Gibco) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Gibco), plated onto 10 cm cell culture dishes, and incubated at 37°C with 6% CO2. At confluency, cranial fibroblasts were passaged by trypsinization. Bmp7 stimulation experiments were performed in 24-well dishes with cells from the second passage. Fibroblasts were first starved for 24 h in DMEM/0.3% FCS, before the medium was changed to DMEM/0.3% FCS containing human recombinant Bmp7 (PeproTech, Hamburg, Germany; 0, 10, 20, 50, and 100 ng/ml, respectively). For positive controls, wells were incubated with DMEM/10% FCS. Cell supernatant was collected from individual wells after 24 h, run on SDS-7.5% polyacrylamide gels under reducing conditions, and proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose. Blots were briefly stained with 0.1% Ponceau Red, and then incubated with rabbit anti-mouse tenasin-W antiserum (see above) or rat anti-mouse tenascin-C monoclonal antibody mTn12 (obtained from Ruth Chiquet-Ehrismann; Aufderheide and Ekblom, 1988), respectively, followed by the matching peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies (Jackson Laboratories). Blots were developed using ECL reagent (GE Healthcare, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) and viewed on a Storm 840 Phospho-Imager (Molecular Dynamics; GE Healthcare).

### RESULTS

CORRELATION OF FIBRILLIN-2 WITH TGF-ß3 EXPRESSION AT THE TIME OF PALATAL SHELF FUSION

Tgf-ßs are essential for secondary palate formation and fibrillins are known to bind and activate latent Tgf-ßs, but the role of fibrillins in palateogenesis has not been investigated. We therefore compared the expression patterns of fibrillin-1 and -2 mRNA with those of Tgf-ß1 and ß3 during palate morphogenesis (see Figure 1 for overview). In E13.5 wildtype embryos, a weak signal for fibrillin-1 mRNA overlapped with the one for Tgf-ß1 in the developing maxillary processes above the vertically oriented

---

**Table 1 | Primers used for generation of gene-specific RNA probes for in situ hybridization.**

*Mouse fibrillin-1 (Fbn1: NM 007983.2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward: 5′</td>
<td>CCGGATCCGGAGACCAAGGTTGGCTG 3′</td>
<td>1364–1383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse: 5′</td>
<td>CCAAGCTTACGATTGGGAGATCGGTGGTC 3′</td>
<td>1626–1606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR product (without restriction sites): 353 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mouse fibrillin-2 (Fbn2: NM 010181.2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward: 5′</td>
<td>CCGGATCCGGTGTTGGAGACCGAGC 3′</td>
<td>4506–4525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse: 5′</td>
<td>CCAAGCTTACGATTGGGAGATCGGTGGTC 3′</td>
<td>4826–4807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR product (without restriction sites): 277 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mouse transforming growth factor-ß3 (Tgfß3: NM 008368.3)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward: 5′</td>
<td>CCGGATCCCAACCCAGCTCAAGCG 3′</td>
<td>1578–1597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse: 5′</td>
<td>CCAAGCTTACGATTGGGAGATCGGTGGTC 3′</td>
<td>2046–2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR product (without restriction sites): 469 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mouse transforming growth factor-ß1 (Tgfß1: NM 011577.1)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward: 5′</td>
<td>CCGGATCCGGAGACCAAGGTTGGCTG 3′</td>
<td>1207–1226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse: 5′</td>
<td>CCAAGCTTACGATTGGGAGATCGGTGGTC 3′</td>
<td>1700–1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR product (without restriction sites): 348 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mouse fibronectin (Fn: NM 010233.1)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward: 5′</td>
<td>CCGGATCCGGAGACCAAGGTTGGCTG 3′</td>
<td>1211–1230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse: 5′</td>
<td>CCAAGCTTACGATTGGGAGATCGGTGGTC 3′</td>
<td>1591–1572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR product (without restriction sites): 453 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
palatal shelves (Figures 2A,B). In contrast to fibrillin-1, fibrillin-2 expression was seen within the vertical palatal shelves themselves, namely in their proximal-nasal mesenchyme (Figure 2C). On the other hand, Tgf-β3 mRNA was confined to the presumptive medial edge epithelial cells at the distal-nasal aspect of the shelves (Figure 2D). Thus at this stage, only Tgf-β3 and fibrillin-2 mRNA appeared to be present within palatal shelves, but no close correlation between their patterns of expression was observed. One day later (E14.5), however, the signal for fibrillin-2 was much increased in the now horizontally oriented shelves, whereas at E14.5 the shelves have elevated above the tongue and meet at the midline epithelial seam. p, Palatal shelves; t, tongue; n, nasal cartilage; e, eye; b, forebrain. Bars, 600 μm. Bottom row: schematic drawings of midsagittal sections through the heads of E13.5 (left) and E14.5 (right) wildtype mouse embryos. The two frontal section planes used in this study are indicated by dashed lines: a, level of anterior palate; p, level of posterior palate. Green, nasal cavity; red, tongue; ocre, brain; blue, elevated palate (E14.5).

**CONTACT-DEPENDENT EXPRESSION OF FIBRONECTIN BUT NOT TGF-β3 BY MIDDLE EDGE EPITHELIAL CELLS**

Another known regulator of Tgf-β activity is the ECM and cell adhesion protein fibronectin, which was reported to be expressed at the midline before palatal fusion in a Tgf-β3-dependent manner (Martinez-Sanz et al., 2008). We asked whether fibronectin induction in MEE cells in addition required direct contact of palatal shelves. This question was addressed by studying Bmp7-deficient mice, which develop cleft palate due to a delay in shelf elevation. In E14.5 wildtype (not shown) or heterozygous Bmp7+/− embryos (Figure 4A), prominent fibronectin mRNA expression was observed at the midline epithelial seam (MES)...
of opposing shelves, where it overlapped with the Tgf-β3 signal (Figure 4C). In contrast, little fibronectin mRNA was found in the still vertical palatal shelves of E14.5 Bmp7−/− embryos (Figure 4B), although Tgf-β3 mRNA was normally expressed in the presumptive MEE cells (Figure 4D; compare to E13.5 wildtype embryo in Figure 2D). Thus, whereas these cells started producing Tgf-β3 mRNA even before shelves elevate and meet, they expressed fibronectin only upon contact of the two shelves at the midline; the latter event failed to occur in Bmp7−/− embryos. Since, like fibrillins, fibronectin can bind Tgf-β, Saamid and modulate their activation, its localized and contact-dependent expression at the midline might be related to the function of Tgf-β3 during palatal shelf fusion.

**EXPRESSION OF TENASCINS DURING PALATOGENESIS IN WILDTYPE AND Bmp7-DEFICIENT MOUSE EMBRYOS**

Of the four members of the tenasin family of ECM glycoproteins (Chiquet-Ehrismann and Chiquet, 2003), the genes of two are expressed in distinct spatial and temporal patterns during morphogenesis of the secondary palate in the mouse embryo, namely tenasin-C and tenasin-W, as evidenced by in situ hybridization (Figure 5). At E13.5, before elevation of the palatal shelves, tenasin-C mRNA accumulated in the mesenchyme close to the nasal and distal surface of the shelf, i.e., underneath the prospective MEE cells (Figure 5A). Accordingly, after shelf elevation at E14.5, tenasin-C mRNA was enriched in the palate mesenchyme in a vertical stripe around the MES (Figure 5B). A second area of tenasin-C expression was observed at E13.5 near the developing maxillary processes, and at E15 sharply outlined their periphery (Figures 5A,B). In contrast, tenasin-W mRNA was detected at both stages within the forming maxillary processes (Figures 5C,D). Weak mesenchymal tenasin-W expression was also found in the proximal-nasal quadrant of the vertical shelves at E13.5, and after their elevation this pattern developed into a prominent horizontal stripe in the nasal half of the secondary palate (Figure 5D). Thus at E14.5, tenasin-W positive areas essentially corresponded to the osteogenic domains of the developing palate.

Since tenasin genes are known to be transcriptional targets of the Tgf-β/Bmp growth factor family, we then asked whether their expression was altered in Bmp7-deficient embryos, which develop cleft palate due to delayed shelf elevation. As can be seen from Figures 6A,C, at E14.5 the expression of both tenasin-C and -W was very similar in the elevated palate of heterozygous Bmp7+/− embryos when compared to wildtype (cf. Figures 5B,D). In Bmp7−/− embryos at E14.5 (Figure 6B), tenasin-C was found to be expressed in the still vertical shelves in a pattern reminiscent to that of wildtype embryos at E13.5 (cf. Figure 5A). In contrast, tenasin-W expression in palatal shelves of Bmp7−/− embryos appeared to be diminished not only relative to heterozygous embryos of the same stage (Figures 6C,D), but also when compared to the vertical shelves of E13.5 wildtype embryos (cf. Figure 5C).

To investigate a possibly aberrant expression of tenasin-W in palatal shelves of Bmp7-deficient embryos also on the protein level, we compared frontal sections from control and Bmp7-deficient E13.5 embryo heads (i.e., before palate elevation in the wildtype) by immunohistochemistry. Tenasin-C protein distribution turned out to be similar in palatal shelves from heterozygous versus Bmp7−/− embryos at both the anterior and posterior level at this stage (not shown), and it fitted with the mRNA expression pattern observed previously by in situ hybridization (cf. Figure 5). In contrast, tenasin-W protein was distributed differently in the anterior palate of E13.5 Bmp7−/− embryos when compared to the wildtype control (Figures 7A,B), and in the posterior palate its expression was considerably reduced.
FIGURE 6 | *In situ* hybridization for tenascin-C (TNC; A,B) and tenascin-W (TNW; C,D) respectively, on frontal sections through the heads of E14.5 Bmp7+/− (A,C) and Bmp7−/− (B,D) mouse embryos. In this anterior section, a low tenascin-C signal is seen in the maxillary processes of the heterozygous embryo, whereas expression in the palatal shelves is identical to the posterior level (cf. Figure 5). Note low expression of tenascin-W in the palatal shelves of the Bmp7−/− embryo (D) compared to Bmp7+/− (C) or wildtype (cf. Figure 1). Maxillary process (mx), palatal shelf (p), tongue (t). Bar, 200 µm.

FIGURE 7 | Immunocytochemistry for tenascin-W on frontal sections of E13.5 Bmp7+/+ (A,B) and Bmp7−/− (C,D) embryos. Sections through the anterior (A,C) and the posterior (B,D) palate are shown. Note tenascin-W protein staining extending into the palatal shelf in the Bmp7+/+ embryo (A), whereas it remains focussed to the region of the maxillary process in the Bmp7−/− embryo (B). In the posterior palate, tenascin-W protein expression is reduced in the maxillary process of the Bmp7−/− (D) compared to Bmp7+/+ (C) embryo (arrows). mx, Maxillary process; p, palatal shelf; t, tongue; tb, tooth bud; md, mandible; m, Meckel’s cartilage. Bar, 200 µm.

INDUCTION OF TENASCIN-W BUT NOT TENASCIN-C BY Bmp7 IN EMBRYONIC CRANIAL FIBROBLASTS *in vitro*

Since we observed an altered pattern of expression for tenascin-W in the palatal region of Bmp7−/− embryos, we asked whether this ECM protein was a direct target of Bmp7 signaling. To this aim, cranial fibroblasts were isolated from the heads of E14.5 wildtype embryos, and cultured under low serum conditions in the presence of increasing concentrations of recombinant Bmp7 (rBMP7). For negative and positive controls, cells were grown in either low (0.3%) or high (10%) fetal calf serum, respectively. The medium was collected after 24 h, and secreted tenascin-C and -W protein was analyzed by immunoblotting. As is evident from Figure 8, already 10 ng/ml rBMP7 strongly stimulated tenascin-W (180 kDa) production by cranial fibroblasts compared to the low serum control; maximal induction was seen with 50 ng/ml. In contrast, 100 ng/ml rBMP7 were required to detect a weak signal for the small (200 kDa) but not the large (250 kDa) splice variant of tenascin-C. Secretion of both tenascins was strongly induced by 10% serum (Figure 8). Thus, Bmp7 appears to directly regulate the production of tenascin-W but not tenascin-C, which agrees with the results obtained from the Bmp7−/− embryos.

DISCUSSION

INTERACTION BETWEEN GROWTH FACTORS AND EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX DURING PALATOGENESIS

Cleft lip, with or without cleft palate, and isolated cleft palate occur with a frequency of 1–2 in thousand live births. They...
represent the most common craniofacial birth defects in humans (Mitchell, 2009). Genetic and environmental factors cause these congenital anomalies (Ijegussur and Murray, 2005). It has been noted that various failures of either palatal shelf growth, elevation, adhesion, or the lack of mesenchymal differentiation and disappearance of the MES can cause cleft palate (Gritli-Linde, 2007). Thus, the study of palatogenesis and the etiology of cleft palate are key to understanding oral malformations. The essential roles of Tgf-βs and Bmps during palatogenesis have been investigated by various authors in the developing mouse embryo (Proetz et al., 1995; Martinez-Alvarez et al., 2000; Nawshad et al., 2004; Zouvelou et al., 2009a). It is well established that it is a major function of these growth factors to regulate ECM synthesis and turnover (Verrecchia and Mauviel, 2007; Tucker and Chiquet-Ehrismann, 2009). However, it is completely unknown so far whether changes in the expression of specific ECM components might be causally involved in the craniofacial and other malformations observed in Tgf-β- or Bmp-deficient mice. In other words, maybe with the exception of the well-established function of Tgf-β3 during palatal fusion, it is still largely a mystery how a growth factor deficiency eventually translates into a specific congenital malformation. Since ECM proteins are transcriptional targets of Tgf-β/Bmp growth factors and essential for tissue structure, as well as for cell adhesion and migration, it is obvious to hypothesize that they might be causally involved in linking growth factor deficiency to CLP.

WHY STUDY TENASCINS AND FIBRILLINS IN THE CONTEXT OF PALATOGENESIS?

Although numerous extracellular proteins are expressed in the developing orofacial complex, we have so far focused our attention mainly on two families of ECM glycoproteins, namely tenascins and fibrillins. Tenascins belong to the so-called “matri-cellular” ECM proteins that modulate cell-ECM interactions and ECM assembly (Chiquet-Ehrismann and Chiquet, 2003). Of the four family members, two are expressed in the developing secondary palate, namely tenasin-C and tenasin-W. In contrast to the prototype adhesive ECM protein fibronectin, both these tenascins are “anti-adhesive” in a context-dependent manner, i.e., they interfere with fibronectin-mediated cell spreading (Chiquet-Ehrismann et al., 1988; Brellier et al., 2012). Thus, they might be involved in tissue reorganization during palatogenesis. Fibrillins, on the other hand, are cysteine-rich glycoproteins present in extracellular microfibrils in elastic and nonelastic tissues (Keene et al., 1991; Ramirez and Sakai, 2010). These ECM components not only play a structural role in integrating connective tissues, but they also have an important regulatory function in modulating the distribution and activation of growth factors of the Tgf-β/Bmp family, which in turn regulate ECM formation and cell differentiation (Ramirez and Rifkin, 2009). Mutations in the Fibrillin-1 gene result in a complex connective tissue disorder called Marfan syndrome with prevalent cardiovascular, ophthalmologic and orthopaedic signs (Lee et al., 1991). These mutations also cause dysregulation of Tgf-β/Bmp activation and signaling (Nistala et al., 2010), resulting in apoptosis in the developing lung (Neptune et al., 2003). Mutations in Fibrillin-2 are responsible for a related, but less severe condition, namely congenital contractual arachnodactyly with mostly musculoskeletal manifestations (Lee et al., 1991; Ramirez and Rifkin, 2009). It is therefore reasonable to believe that fibrillins modulate the activity of Tgf-β/Bmp growth factors also during craniofacial morphogenesis.

FIBRILLIN-2 AND FIBRONECTIN: MODULATORS OF TGF-β3 ACTIVITY DURING PALATAL SHELF FUSION?

Growth factors of the Tgf-β family are secreted as inactive complexes with latent Tgf-β binding protein (LTBP), which itself can bind to fibrillin or fibronectin microfibrils (Ramirez and Rifkin, 2009). Activation of ECM-bound, latent growth factor complexes involve their interaction with integrins on cell surfaces and the application of cellular force (Wipff et al., 2007). In the complex process of activation, fibrillins (Ramirez and Rifkin, 2009) and fibronectin (Fontana et al., 2005) play an important role by presenting latent growth factor complexes to cells, thereby controlling bioavailability of active Tgf-βs. Interestingly, it has been shown recently that fibrillin-1 and fibrillin-2 regulate Tgf-β and Bmp activity in distinct ways: Whereas both fibrillins stimulate Tgf-β activation, binding of Bmps to fibrillin-1 (but not fibrillin-2) reduces activity of the latter growth factors (Nistala et al., 2010). It is therefore important to know how fibrillin-1 and -2 are expressed during palatogenesis in relation to growth factors known to be involved in this morphogenetic process.

Here we show that fibrillin-1 expression decreases whereas that of fibrillin-2 increases during palatogenesis. This observation fits with the notion that both Tgf-βs and Bmps need to be activated during the process. In addition, we found that fibrillin-2 was expressed in the mesenchyme adjacent to the MES before palatal shelf fusion. Moreover fibronectin, which is also known to positively regulate Tgf-β activation (Fontana et al., 2005), was expressed by MEE cells upon their contact. Thus, fibrillin-2 and fibronectin might be important for the essential role of Tgf-β3 during palatal shelf fusion. This hypothesis could be tested, e.g., in tissue culture, by examining the fusion capacity of fibrillin-2/Tgf-β3 double deficient palatal shelves in the presence or absence of Tgf-β3. Alternatively, it would be interesting to test whether heterozygous Tgf-β3+/− embryos (which develop normally) would present with cleft palate on a fibrillin-2−/− background.

TENASCIN-W: A POSSIBLE DOWNSTREAM TARGET OF BMP7 DURING PALATE REORIENTATION?

Because of its region-specific expression in embryonic palatal shelves before and after their reorientation, tenasin-C has been implicated in morphogenesis of the secondary palate more than 20 years ago. Since then, however, tenasin-C knockout mice have been generated, and these do not exhibit overt craniofacial abnormalities (Saga et al., 1992; Forsberg et al., 1996). A possible explanation for the surprisingly mild phenotype of tenasin-C-deficient mice might be compensation by other ECM proteins. A likely candidate is the functionally related tenasin-W (gene name Tmnt), whose expression is similar to that of tenasin-C, especially in osteogenic regions of the embryo (Scherberich et al., 2004).

www.frontiersin.org
In the mouse head, tenasin-W expression starts in the maxillary processes at E13.5 (Scherberich et al., 2004), and we show here that tenasin-W mRNA and protein is detected in a distinct spatial-temporal pattern during development of the secondary palate. In E13.5 wildtype embryos, tenasin-W is found in the vertical palatal shelves anteriorly in the nasal mesenchyme, and more posteriorly in the ossifying region of the maxillary processes. After shelf reorientation, tenasin-W is expressed in the dorsal half of the secondary palate, partially (but not completely) overlapping with tenasin-C. In Bmp7−/− mice in which palatal shelf reorientation is delayed, tenasin-W (but not tenasin-C) protein appeared to be distributed differently in the anterior shelf, and its amount was reduced more posteriorly. In perfect agreement with these results, the secretion of tenasin-W but not tenasin-C protein was found to be induced by recombinant Bmp7 in cranial fibroblasts isolated from E14.5 mouse embryos. We therefore speculate that tenasin-W might be a downstream effector of Bmp7 signaling, and that cleft palate in the Bmp7 knockout mouse might in part be caused by a misexpression of tenasin-W. To establish a causal relationship, however, it needs to be tested whether Bmp7, like Bmp2 (Tucker and Chiquet-Ehriasmann, 2009), regulates tenasin-W at the transcriptional level, and whether tenasin-W-deficient mice exhibit a palate phenotype. These experiments are underway.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The present study was undertaken to obtain first evidence for a functional interaction between Tgf-β/Bmp growth factors and selected ECM glycoproteins during morphogenesis of the secondary palate in mammalian embryos. Based on our results, we speculate that misexpression of the “matricellular” ECM protein tenasin-W might be involved in causing the cleft palate phenotype of the Bmp7 knockout mouse, and that fibrillin-2 and fibronectin could be important for the activation of Tgf-β3 at the palatal midline during fusion. Our present findings provide the basis for testable hypotheses concerning the precise function of ECM components as downstream effectors of growth factor signaling during palatogenesis.
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