TITLE PAGE:

Submission after revision to JID, Brief Report

NO EFFECT OF PEGYLATED INTERFERON-ALPHA ON TOTAL HIV-1 DNA LOAD IN HIV-1/HCV COINFECTED PATIENTS

Victoria P. Strouvelle^{1, 2}‡, Dominique L. Braun^{1, 2}‡, Valentina Vongrad^{1, 2}, Alexandra U. Scherrer¹, Yik Lim Kok^{1,2}, Roger D. Kouyos^{1,2}, Marcel Stöckle³, Andri Rauch⁴, Katharine Darling⁵, Matthias Hoffmann⁶, Karin J. Metzner^{1,2*}, Huldrych F. Günthard^{1,2*}, and the Zurich Primary HIV Infection Study and the Swiss HIV Cohort Study.

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work

*Shared corresponding authors. Email to: Karin.Metzner@usz.ch (KJM), Huldrych.Guenthard@usz.ch (HFG)

¹Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland.

²Institute of Medical Virology, University of Zurich, Switzerland.

³Department of Infectious Diseases & Hospital Hygiene, University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Switzerland.

⁴Department of Infectious Diseases, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland.

⁵Division of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Lausanne, University of Lausanne, Switzerland.

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

⁶Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, Kantonal Hospital St. Gallen,

Switzerland.

Running head: pIFN-α in HIV-1/HCV coinfected patients (39/40 characters and spaces

allowed)

Summary: Total HIV-1 DNA was quantified in HIV-1/HCV coinfected patients receiving

pIFN-α treatment. Total HIV-1 DNA remained stable before, during, and after pIFN-α

treatment, which questions the benefit of pIFN-α as an immunotherapeutic agent for reducing

the HIV-1 reservoir.

ABSTRACT

Pegylated interferon-alpha (pIFN-α) is suggested to lower HIV-1 DNA load in antiretroviral

therapy (ART) treated patients. We studied the kinetics of total HIV-1 DNA levels in 40 HIV-

1/hepatitis C (HCV) coinfected patients, treated with pIFN-α for HCV and categorized into

three groups according to start of ART: Chronic HIV-1 infection (n=22), acute HIV-1 infection

(n=8), no-ART (n=10). Total HIV-1 DNA levels were quantified in 247 PBMC samples and

remained stable before, during, and after pIFN-α treatment in all three groups. Our study-

results question the benefit of pIFN-α as an immunotherapeutic agent for reducing the HIV-1

reservoir.

BACKGROUND

Eradication of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection is not attainable by

antiretroviral drugs alone, due to the HIV-1 reservoir formed early during acute infection [1].

Pegylated interferon alpha (pIFN-α), used to treat hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection,

represents an interesting candidate to reduce the HIV-1 reservoir due to its variable antiviral

and immune stimulating properties against HIV-1 [2, 3]. However, effects of pIFN-α on total

HIV-1 DNA levels, a well-validated marker of the HIV-1 reservoir [4], in antiretroviral therapy

(ART) receiving, HIV-1 infected patients is not fully understood and there are conflicting

results concerning the effects and mechanisms of pIFN-α.

To examine benefits of pIFN-α treatment in reducing total HIV-1 DNA levels, we

conducted a study including 40 HIV-1/HCV coinfected patients from the Swiss HIV Cohort

Study (SHCS), and the Zurich Primary HIV Infection Study (ZPHI). Unique to our study, we

included patients from three groups: (i) HIV-1 chronic, (ii) HIV-1 acute - both stratified

according to time to initiation of ART after HIV-1 infection - and (iii) a no-ART HIV-1 infected

group, all with long follow-up times post pIFN-α treatment. We hypothesized that pIFN-α

would lead to sustained reduction of the total HIV-1 DNA.

PATIENTS, MATERIALS, AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a retrospective study using prospectively collected clinical information and blood

samples obtained within protocols of the ZPHI and SHCS (supplementary information 1) and

stored in respective biobanks. Complete inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in the

supplementary information 2. In brief, inclusion criteria for HIV-1 infected, ART treated

patients were: (i) HCV-coinfection and a history of pIFN-α/RBV treatment for ≥24 weeks; (ii)

no history of virological failure; (iii) no history of ART interruption; (iv) on suppressive

combination ART; (v) documented ART-adherence of ≥95% [5]. Exclusion criteria were: ≥1

blip during ART before start of pIFN-a/RBV. Inclusion criteria for no-ART HIV-1 infected

patients were: (i) HCV-coinfection and history of pIFN-α/RBV treatment for ≥24 weeks and,

(ii) ART-naïve at time of pIFN-α/RBV initiation, during and ≥1 year after pIFN-a/RBV

treatment.

Patient groups were defined as chronic when ART was started ≥6 months after the

estimated date of infection (EDI), as acute when ART was started <6 months after EDI, and

as no-ART when patients were not on ART as defined above. Information on pIFN-α dosage

and administration can be found in the supplemental information 3.

Quantitation of total HIV-1 DNA

Genomic DNA was isolated from ≥2 million cryopreserved PBMCs using DNeasy Blood &

Tissue Kits (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's description except that two elution

steps, each with 45 µl of pre-heated (70°C) AE elution buffer, were applied. Total HIV-1 DNA

was quantified in duplicates by qPCR with cycling profile: 95 °C 5 min, 50x (95 °C 15 sec,

60 °C 30 sec) using forward and reverse primers H7 and H1, alongside the probe mf381tg.

To measure genomic input, the single copy reference gene CC Chemokine Receptor 5

(CCR5), was quantified using primers mf51 and mf52 with the probe mf73tg (Supplementary

Table 1). Quantification was done using an in-house HIV-1 all-in-one Standard (supplemental

information 4, supplementary figure 1 A and B).

Quantitation of HIV-1 viral load

Values for HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma were obtained from patients' clinical history. These

values were measured using Roche Cobas AmpliPrep/Cobas TagMan HIV-1 assay versions

1 and 2. Detection limits were either 40 or 20 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma for version 1 and

2, respectively.

Cell Counts

CD4+, CD8+, and CD3+ T-cells were quantified by flow cytometry following routine

laboratory protocols.

Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test were applied to assess changes in total HIV-1

DNA, HIV-1 viral load, and cell counts using GraphPad Prism Version 5.04 (La Jolla, CA).

RESULTS

Patient population and HCV treatment

Forty HIV-1/HCV-coinfected individuals were categorized into three patient groups according

to their stage of HIV-1 infection at the time of ART initiation: (i) chronic HIV-1 infection

(n=22), (ii) acute HIV-1 infection (n=8), and (iii) no-ART (n=10). Baseline demographics and

clinical characteristics are depicted in Table 1.

Total HIV-1 DNA levels remain stable during pIFN-α treatment

We quantified total HIV-1 DNA in 247 PBMC samples with a mean number of time points

(range) per patient of 6.6 (2-12) in the chronic, 5.6 (2-10) in the acute and 5.7 (3-9) in the no-

ART groups. The average follow-up time after pIFN-α treatment is depicted in Table 1.

We analysed total HIV-1 DNA levels in PBMCs obtained prior to pIFN-α treatment

(Figure 1). Values were grouped according to time of pIFN-α treatment and median values

were calculated. We found that total HIV-1 DNA levels (median HIV-1 DNA copies/106

genomic equivalents [range]) were 0.15 log10 higher in the chronic (1652 [67.4-9807])

versus the acute group (1156 [50.2-2516.7], p=0.07), as well as 0.74 log10 higher than in the

no-ART group (298.1 [6.9-3635], p=0.06).

We evaluated the effect of pIFN-α/RBV treatment on total HIV-1 DNA. We observed

no decrease in total HIV-1 DNA levels during administration of pIFN-α/RBV in any of the

three patient groups (Figure 1). Some patients across all groups (chronic n=8, acute n=4, no-

ART n=1) had even moderate increases in total HIV-1 DNA levels during pIFN-α treatment (p

>0.05). After pIFN-α treatment, total HIV-1 DNA returned to the same levels as before pIFN-α

treatment in the acute and chronic groups. In the no-ART group, total HIV-1 DNA levels after

pIFN-α treatment were higher (p=0.02) than total HIV-1 DNA levels before pIFN-α treatment

(individual total HIV-1 DNA profiles provided in supplementary figures 2A, 2B, 2C). As

interferon is known to cause general lymphopenia, we calculated the total HIV-1 DNA levels

normalised to CD4+ T-cell counts, but observed no change in the total HIV-1 DNA kinetics.

Of note, four patients (C6, C19, C20, and A4) underwent repeated pIFN-α treatments due to

non-response to previous HCV treatment. In all four patients, no sustained decrease in total

HIV-1 DNA levels was detected (supplementary figures 2A and 2B).

 $\label{local_pownloaded} Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jid/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiy131/4924783 \\ by E-Library Insel user \\ on 19 March 2018$

pIFN-α decreases the HIV-1 viral load in the no-ART group

As IFN-α is known to have anti-viral effects against HIV-1, we studied effects of pIFN-α HIV-1

on viral load in the no-ART group. The mean viral load decreased by 0.8 log10 (p=0.004)

during treatment as compared to pre-treatment levels. After pIFN-α treatment cessation, viral

load returned to pre-treatment levels (Figure 1D). All patients in the chronic and acute groups

maintained undetectable viral loads (<20-40 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma) during pIFN-α

treatment (supplementary figure 3).

pIFN-α -induced lymphopenia observed in T-cell subsets

As expected, during pIFN-α treatment we observed a significant drop in mean values (cell

count/µl of blood) of CD4+ (p=<0.0001), CD8+ (p=<0.0001), and CD3+ (p=<0.0001) T-cells

and in absolute lymphocyte counts in comparison to pre-pIFN-α levels. After treatment stop,

all lymphocyte subsets returned to pre-treatment levels (supplementary figure 4).

DISCUSSION

In 40 well-characterized HIV-1/HCV-coinfected patients, we longitudinally assessed

effects of pIFN-α treatment on total HIV-1 DNA levels in PBMCs, a surrogate marker for the

HIV-1 reservoir [4]. We observed that total HIV-1 DNA levels remained stable during and

after pIFN-α in comparison to pre-treatment levels, also in patients who underwent repeated

pIFN-α treatments.

To dissect potential effects of pIFN-α with regard to the timing of ART initiation, we

analysed three different HIV-1/HCV coinfected patient groups: (i) HIV-1 infected patients

treated with ART during chronic HIV-1 infection; (ii) HIV-1 infected patients treated with ART

during acute HIV-1 infection, and (iii) untreated HIV-1 infected patients. Baseline total HIV-1

DNA levels in the acute group were 0.15 log10 lower compared to patients treated during the

chronic stage of infection. This observation is in line with several studies, showing that

patients treated in the early stage of HIV-1-infection have substantially lower total HIV-1 DNA

levels compared to individuals treated during chronic phase [6]. The low total HIV-1 DNA

levels observed in the no-ART group are most likely due to selection of patients controlling

HIV-1 well in absence of ART, which is associated with low total HIV-DNA levels [7]. Six of

the ten patients in this group showed viral loads of <5,000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma

before pIFN-α treatment, with one being an elite controller (Figure 1D) [7]. Viral load was

assessed in the no-ART group before, during, and after pIFN-α treatment and a reduction of

0.8 log10 observed. This finding is in line with the results of several clinical trials reporting an

approximately 0.5-1 log10 decrease in viral load when pIFN-α is administered to HIV-1-

infected persons in absence of ART [8].

With regard to effects of pIFN-α administration on the total HIV-1 DNA levels, our

findings differ from previous studies. Sun et al. (2014) reported a moderate reduction of

CD4+ T-cell-associated total and integrated HIV-1 DNA in 12 HIV-1/HCV-coinfected

individuals treated with pIFN-α for a median of 51 weeks [9]. In their study, reductions of HIV-

1 DNA occurred mainly in patients without treatment-associated lymphopenia, suggesting

that the decline in HIV-1 DNA levels did not result simply from lymphocellular toxicity of pIFN-

α treatment. In our study, all patients exhibited pIFN-α associated lymphopenia and no

reduction in HIV-1 DNA levels from PBMC was observed. A recent study [10] found results

more in line with ours as authors did not detect any change in total HIV-1 DNA levels in 10

patients receiving a short-term pIFN-α treatment of 28 days.

A possible explanation for the absence of a HIV-1 DNA decrease is that our assay

measures total HIV-1 DNA, however, this is not only a measure of replication competent

viruses [11]. The study from Morón-López et al. [10] where the replication competent

reservoir was measured by viral outgrowth assay (VOA) in patients receiving pIFN-α/RBV

showed no change in the number of infectious units per million CD4+ T-cells (IUPM) from

patients on ART. The absence of an HIV-1 DNA decrease is unlikely resulting from

insufficient pIFN-α treatment as (i) all our patients exhibited lymphopenia, (ii) the no-ART

group showed an RNA decline of 0.8 log10, and (iii) 90% had a sustained virological

response of the HCV infection.

The strength of this work is that, to our knowledge, our study is to date the largest one

investigating the effects of pIFN-α on total HIV-1 DNA levels in people on suppressive

antiretroviral therapy. The longitudinal nature is unprecedented with patients being followed

up for as long as 112 months post pIFN-α. Limitations are that due to the retrospective

nature of our study, we investigated only effects of pIFN-α on total HIV-1 DNA in

cryopreserved patient PBMCs. As we did not investigate cells from other biological

compartments, we may have missed tissue-specific pIFN-α effects. We also did not

differentiate between integrated and non-integrated proviral HIV-1 DNA. However, it has

been shown that after one year of ART almost no linear unintegrated HIV-1 DNA exists

anymore [12] and our ART-treated patients were virologically suppressed for ≥1 year before

of pIFN-α administration. Moreover, we did not use the VOA, which is suggested as the gold

standard for HIV-1 latency, although it fails to detect a considerable fraction of inducible

latently infected cells [13]. Finally, our study population did not include patients who received

pIFN-α administration close to the time of HIV-1 acquisition. Thus, a potential beneficial

pIFN-α effect on the establishment of the HIV-1 reservoir, which is formed early after HIV-1

infection [14] cannot be ruled out. The only study exploring pIFN-α together with antiretroviral

treatment in 12 acutely HIV-1 infected patients, however, found a total HIV-1 DNA decay that

was similar to that found in acutely infected ART treated patients alone [12, 15]. Thus, pIFN-

α would probably need to be administered much earlier, which is hardly achievable in clinical

practice.

In summary, our longitudinal study in different well-characterized patient groups did

not reveal any substantial effect of pIFN-α on the HIV-1 reservoir as measured by a total

HIV-1 DNA qPCR assay in PBMCs. Thus, our study does not support a sustainable effect of

pIFN-α as an immunotherapeutic intervention in patients who have initiated ART during acute

or chronic HIV-1 infection or who are off ART to reduce the HIV-1 reservoir.

FOOT NOTE PAGE

Conflicts of Interests and Source of Funding

This study has been financed within the framework of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study, supported

by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant #148522 and 177499), by SHCS project

#755 and by the SHCS research foundation. Furthermore, this work was supported by the

Swiss National Science Foundation [grant # 324730-130865 to HFG] and by the University of

Zurich's Clinical Research Priority Program (CRPP) "Viral infectious diseases: Zurich Primary

HIV Infection Study" [to HFG]. HFG has received unrestricted research grants from Roche,

Gilead and Merck Sharp & Dohme (all money went to institution). HFG reports receipt of

grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation, Swiss HIV Cohort Study, University of

Zurich, Yvonne Jacob Foundation, and Gilead Sciences; fees for data and safety monitoring

board membership from Merck; consulting/advisory board membership fees from Gilead

Sciences; and travel reimbursement from Gilead. KJM has received travel grants and

honoraria from Gilead, Roche Diagnostics, Tibotec, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp &

Dohme, Bristol-Myers Squibb, ViiV, and Abbott, consulting fees from Gilead and ViiV; the

University of Zurich has received research grants from Gilead, Roche, and Merck Sharp &

Dohme for studies that KJM served as principal investigator. DLB served as an advisor and

consultant for Merck, Janssen, ViiV and Gilead and received travel grants from Merck. RDK

has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant # BSSGI0_155851).

For the other authors no funding was declared. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. RDK received

travel grants and honoraria from Gilead.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all patients who participated in the ZPHI study and in the SHCS; to all

study- physicians and study-nurses for their dedicated patient care and Danièle Perraudin

and Mirjam Minichiello for excellent administrative assistance.

Data for this study was gathered by the SHCS-centres: Zurich, Basel, Berne, Lausanne, and

St. Gallen.

The members of the SHCS are: Anagnostopoulos A, Battegay M, Bernasconi E, Böni J,

Braun DL, Bucher HC, Calmy A, Cavassini M, Ciuffi A, Dollenmaier G, Egger M, Elzi L, Fehr

J, Fellay J, Furrer H (Chairman of the Clinical and Laboratory Committee), Fux CA, Günthard

HF (President of the SHCS), Haerry D (deputy of "Positive Council"), Hasse B, Hirsch HH,

Hoffmann M, Hösli I, Huber M, Kahlert C, Kaiser L, Keiser O, Klimkait T, Kouyos RD, Kovari

H, Ledergerber B, Martinetti G, Martinez de Tejada B, Marzolini C, Metzner KJ, Müller N,

Nicca D, Paioni P, Pantaleo G, Perreau M, Rauch A (Chairman of the Scientific Board),

Rudin C (Chairman of the Mother & Child Substudy), Scherrer AU (Head of Data Centre),

Schmid P, Speck R, Stöckle M, Tarr P, Trkola A, Vernazza P, Wandeler G, Weber R, Yerly

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

HFG, KJM and DLB conceptualized, designed and supervised the study. Data acquisition

was done by VPS, DLB, VV, AUS, YLK, RDK, MS, AR, KD, MH, KJM, HFG. Data analysis

was done by VPS, AUS, RDK, HFG and KJM. A first draft of the manuscript was written by

VPS, DLB, RDK, KJM and HFG. All investigators contributed to data collection and

interpretation of the data, reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final

manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Churchill MJ, Deeks SG, Margolis DM, Siliciano RF, Swanstrom R. HIV reservoirs: what,

where and how to target them. Nature reviews Microbiology 2016; 14:55-60.

2. Doyle T, Goujon C, Malim MH. HIV-1 and interferons: who's interfering with whom? Nature

reviews Microbiology **2015**; 13:403-13.

3. Sandler NG, Bosinger SE, Estes JD, et al. Type I interferon responses in rhesus

macaques prevent SIV infection and slow disease progression. Nature 2014; 511:601-5.

4. Avettand-Fenoel V, Hocqueloux L, Ghosn J, et al. Total HIV-1 DNA, a Marker of Viral

Reservoir Dynamics with Clinical Implications. Clin Microbiol Rev 2016; 29:859-80.

5. Glass TR, Battegay M, Cavassini M, et al. Longitudinal analysis of patterns and predictors

of changes in self-reported adherence to antiretroviral therapy: Swiss HIV Cohort Study.

Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes 2010; 54:197-203.

6. Gianella S, von Wyl V, Fischer M, et al. Effect of early antiretroviral therapy during primary

HIV-1 infection on cell-associated HIV-1 DNA and plasma HIV-1 RNA. Antivir Ther 2011;

16:535-45.

7. Gaardbo JC, Hartling HJ, Gerstoft J, Nielsen SD. Thirty Years with HIV Infection-

Nonprogression Is Still Puzzling: Lessons to Be Learned from Controllers and Long-Term

Nonprogressors. AIDS Res Treat 2012; 2012:161584.

8. Azzoni L, Foulkes AS, Papasavvas E, et al. Pegylated Interferon alfa-2a monotherapy

results in suppression of HIV type 1 replication and decreased cell-associated HIV DNA

integration. The Journal of infectious diseases **2013**; 207:213-22.

- 9. Sun H, Buzon MJ, Shaw A, et al. Hepatitis C therapy with interferon-alpha and ribavirin reduces CD4 T-cell-associated HIV-1 DNA in HIV-1/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients. The Journal of infectious diseases **2014**; 209:1315-20.
- 10. Moron-Lopez S, Gomez-Mora E, Salgado M, et al. Short-term Treatment With Interferon Alfa Diminishes Expression of HIV-1 and Reduces CD4+ T-Cell Activation in Patients Coinfected With HIV and Hepatitis C Virus and Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy. The Journal of infectious diseases **2016**; 213:1008-12.
- 11. Eriksson S, Graf EH, Dahl V, et al. Comparative analysis of measures of viral reservoirs in HIV-1 eradication studies. PLoS pathogens **2013**; 9:e1003174.
- 12. Koelsch KK, Liu L, Haubrich R, et al. Dynamics of total, linear nonintegrated, and integrated HIV-1 DNA in vivo and in vitro. The Journal of infectious diseases **2008**; 197:411-9.
- 13. Ho YC, Shan L, Hosmane NN, et al. Replication-competent noninduced proviruses in the latent reservoir increase barrier to HIV-1 cure. Cell **2013**; 155:540-51.
- 14. Ruelas DS, Greene WC. An integrated overview of HIV-1 latency. Cell 2013; 155:519-29.
- 15. Emilie D, Burgard M, Lascoux-Combe C, et al. Early control of HIV replication in primary HIV-1 infection treated with antiretroviral drugs and pegylated IFN alpha: results from the Primoferon A (ANRS 086) Study. AIDS **2001**; 15:1435-7.

Table 1. SHCS Patient Characteristics at baseline (pre IFN-α/Ribavirin treatment). Significant p values are italicised.

Data	chronic group	acute group	no-ART group	chronic
	(n=22)	(n=8)	(n=10)	versus acute
		(–3)	()	(p=)
Age (years) at time of	4E (27 EE)	20 (27 45)	42 (22 52)	0.005
Age (years) at time of	45 (37-55)	38 (27-45)	42 (32-53)	0.005
pIFN-α treatment, mean				
(range)				
Gender (%)				
Male	21 (95.5)	8 (100)	7 (70)	>0.9
Female	1 (4.5)	0 (0)	3 (30)	>0.9
Ethnicity (%)				
Caucasian	22 (100)	8 (100)	10 (100)	n.a.
Risk Group ^a (%)				
MSM	5 (22.7)	7 (87.5)	4 (40)	0.003
HET	1 (4.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (10)	0.46
IDU	11 (50)	0	2 (20)	0.01
IDU or HET	3 (13.6)	0	3 (30)	0.54
IDU or MSM	2 (9.1)	0	0	>0.9
HIV Subtype (%)				

В	22 (100)	7 (87.5)	8	0.27
Non B	0	1 (12.5)	1	0.27
Unknown	0	0	1	
Time under Suppressive	42.4 (7-110)	39.4 (4-98)	n.a. ^d	0.9
ART ^b , months (range)				
HCV Genotype			- (IX	
1	9	3	1	>0.9
2	0	0	3.	>0.9
3	9	1	6	0.21
4	2	2	0	0.28
Unknown	2	2	2	n.a.
HCV Treatment ^c	XO.			
pIFN-α	1	0	0	>0.9
pIFN-α + RBV	21	7	10	0.47
IFN-α + RBV	0	1	0	0.27
Treatment Duration	48.1 (24-68)	40.5 (24-48)	38.7 (20-51)	0.04
(weeks), mean (range)				
SVR 12 or 24 ^e with IFN-α				
(%)				
Yes	19 (86.4)	7 (87.5)	9 (90)	>0.9

No	1 (4.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (10)	0.46
Unknown	2 (9.1)	0	0	>0.9
Follow up (months) ^f ,	46.6 (3-112)	13.3 (0-34)	43.9 (3-99)	0.003
mean (range)				

^aMSM= men who have sex with men, HET= heterosexual, IDU= intravenous drug use.

^bSuppressive ART defined as treatment controlling HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL plasma

^cpIFN-α-=Pegylated Interferon alpha, RBV= Ribavirin, IFN-α= Interferon alpha

^dn.a. = Not applicable as were not on antiretroviral treatment at the time of Interferon administration

^eSustained Virological Response against HCV

^fAfter stop of Interferon-alpha treatment

Figure 1: Total HIV-1 DNA levels remain stable before, during, and after pIFN- α treatment periods in all three groups (chronic, acute, and no-ART) of HIV-1/HCV

coinfected patients with the HIV-1 viral load decreasing in the no-ART group.

Total HIV-1 DNA values are calculated to 10⁶ genomic equivalents (CCR5). Treatment period is displayed on the x axis, and median total HIV-1 DNA load on the y axis. Statistical significance is displayed as *(p ≤0.05), or ns (no significance). Each symbol and corresponding number (for example C1, A1, and N1) refers to an individual patient in the chronic, acute, or no-ART group. (A) Patients who started antiretroviral therapy (ART) during the chronic stage of HIV-1 infection and later went on to receive pIFN-α for a HCV infection. (B) Patients who started ART during the acute stage of HIV-1 infection and later received pIFN-α for a HCV infection. (C) Patients who received no ART at the time of pIFN-α administration. (D) Pegylated interferon alpha decreases the HIV-1 viral load in the no-ART group. HIV-1 viral load (RNA copies/mL plasma) is plotted as mean values for before, during, and after pIFN-α treatment periods. The samples below the limit of detection (L.O.D.) appear below the dashed line. The L.O.D. is below 40 and 20 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma for Roche Cobas Taqman HIV-1 assay version 1 and 2, respectively. Statistical significance is displayed as ** (p ≤0.01), or ns (no significance). Individual patients are depicted by a symbol and corresponding ID.

Figure 1.

