# MATHEMATIKA

A JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 19. Part 2. DECEMBER, 1972.

No. 38.

## ON THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF SPHERICAL POLYHEDRA AND THE EULER RELATION

### H. HADWIGER AND P. MANI

Let  $E^{n+1}$ , for some integer  $n \ge 0$ , be the (n + 1)-dimensional Euclidean space, and denote by S<sup>n</sup> the standard *n*-sphere in  $E^{n+1}$ , S<sup>n</sup> := { $x \in E^{n+1}$  : ||x|| = 1}. It is convenient to introduce the (-1)-dimensional sphere  $S^{-1} := \emptyset$ , where  $\emptyset$ denotes the empty set. By an *i*-dimensional subsphere T of  $S^n$ , i = 0, ..., n, we understand the intersection of  $S^n$  with some (i+1)-dimensional subspace of  $E^{n+1}$ . The affine hull of T always contains, with this definition, the origin of  $E^{n+1}$ .  $\emptyset$  is the unique (-1)-dimensional subsphere of S<sup>n</sup>. By the spherical hull, sph X, of a set  $X \subset S^n$ , we understand the intersection of all subspheres of  $S^n$  containing X. Further we set  $\dim X := \dim \operatorname{sph} X$ . The interior, the boundary and the complement of an arbitrary set  $X \subset S^n$ , with respect to  $S^n$ , shall be denoted by int X, bd X and cpl X. Finally we define the relative interior rel int X to be the interior of  $X \subset S^n$  with respect to the usual topology of sph  $X \subset S^n$ . For  $n \ge 1$ each (n-1)-dimensional subsphere of S<sup>n</sup> defines two closed hemispheres of S<sup>n</sup>, whose common boundary it is. The two hemispheres of the sphere  $S^0$  are defined to be the two one-pointed subsets of  $S^0$ . A subset  $P \subset S^n$  is called a closed (spherical) polytope, if it is the intersection of finitely many closed hemispheres. and, if, in addition, it does not contain a subsphere of  $S^n$ .  $Q \subset S^n$  is called an *i*-dimensional, relatively open polytope,  $i \ge 1$ , or shortly an *i*-open polytope, if there exists a closed polytope  $P \subset S^n$  such that dim P = i and Q = relint P.  $X \subset S^n$  is called a closed polyhedron, if it is a finite union of closed polytopes  $P_1, \ldots, P_r$ . The empty set  $\emptyset$  is the only (-1)-dimensional closed polyhedron of S<sup>n</sup>. We denote by  $\mathfrak{X}$  the set of all closed polyhedra of S<sup>n</sup>.  $Y \subset S^n$  is called an *i*-open polyhedron, for some  $i \ge 1$ , if there are finitely many *i*-open polytopes  $Q_1, ..., Q_r$  in S<sup>n</sup> such that  $Y = Q_1 \cup ... \cup Q_r$ , and dim Y = i. By  $\mathfrak{Y}_i$  we denote the set of all *i*-open polyhedra. Clearly  $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{Y}_i$ , for all  $i \ge 1$ , and each *i*-dimensional subsphere of  $S^n$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , belongs to  $\mathfrak{X}$  and to  $\mathfrak{Y}_i$ . For each *i*-dimensional subsphere T of S<sup>n</sup>, set  $\mathfrak{Y}_i(T) := \{T \in \mathfrak{Y}_i : Y \subset T\}.$ A map  $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n \to \{0, 1\}$  is defined by  $\varepsilon X := 0$ , for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ , and  $\varepsilon Y := 1$ , for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$ ,  $Y \notin \mathfrak{X}$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let 3 be a ring of subsets of  $S^n$ , generated by some subset of  $\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$ . An Euler characteristic on 3 is a map  $\psi : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  (the ring of

### [MATHEMATIKA 19 (1972), 139-143]

integers) with the following properties:

- (1) If  $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{Z}$ , then  $\psi \emptyset = 0$ .
- (2)  $\psi X = 1$ , whenever X is a closed non-void polytope, or an i-open polytope  $(i \ge 1)$ , contained in 3.
- (3) For all X, Y in 3,  $\psi(X \cup Y) + \psi(X \cap Y) = \psi X + \psi Y$ .

It is well known that there exists a unique Euler characteristic  $\chi_0$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and, for each *i*-dimensional subsphere T of S<sup>n</sup>, a unique Euler characteristic  $\chi_T$  on  $\mathfrak{Y}_i(T)$ (see [2], [3]). For notational convenience we denote all these characteristics by the same letter  $\chi$ . Thus a mapping  $\chi : \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup ... \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined, which satisfies (1) and (2), and which satisfies (3) for certain pairs of polyhedra. On the other hand we notice that there are rings 3 which admit no Euler characteristic, and others which admit more than one. For example there exists no Euler characteristic on the ring of sets generated by  $\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . To see this, consider a 1-dimensional subsphere  $S \subset S^n$ , a set  $X \subset S$  with two elements, and the complement  $Y := S \sim X$ . (3) would not hold for X and Y. Sometimes it is more convenient to study the map  $\omega: \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $\omega(U) := (-1)^{eU \dim U} \chi(U)$ , rather than  $\chi$  itself. For  $n \ge 1$ , let  $S \subset S^n$  be a subsphere of dimension n-2, and denote by  $\mathfrak{S}$  the set of all (n-1)-dimensional subspheres of  $S^n$  containing S, together with the usual topology.  $\mathfrak{S}$  is homeomorphic to the real projective line, and hence to  $S^1$ . Each choice of an orientation of  $\mathfrak{S}$ and of a fixed element  $S_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$  determines, by means of the "angular parameter", a continuous and periodic map  $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{S}$  with  $p(t) = p(t + \pi)$ , for each real number t, and with the fundamental interval  $I := [0, \pi)$ . For the rest of this article we assume that a fixed choice of the covering projection p has been made, for every (n-2)-dimensional subsphere  $S \subset S^n$ . The sphere  $p(t) \in \mathfrak{S}$  will often be denoted by  $S_t$ . Given a map  $f: \mathfrak{S} \to \mathbb{R}$  and an element  $t \in I$ , we define the right-hand limit  $f^+(S_t)$  in the usual way. If there exists a real number x such that for each sequence of numbers  $t_n$  with  $t_n \ge t$  and  $t_n \to t$   $(n \to \infty)$  we have  $fp(t_n) \to x$  $(n \to \infty)$ , we set  $f^+(S_t) := x$ . We say that two subspheres S and T of  $S^n$  are in general position, if either  $S \cap T = \emptyset$  or dim  $(S \cap T) = \dim S + \dim T - n$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $X \subset S^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , be a spherical polyhedron,

$$X \in \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n,$$

and let  $S \subset S^n$  be an (n-2)-dimensional subsphere. With the notation introduced above,

(i) 
$$\omega X = \omega(X \cap S) + \sum_{t \in I} (\omega(X \cap S_t) - \omega^+ (X \cap S_t)).$$

As above  $I := [0, \pi)$  is the fundamental interval of the periodic map  $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}$  stands for the set of all (n-1)-spheres in  $S^n$  containing S. Before we proceed to prove Proposition 1, notice that the value  $\omega(X \cap S_t) - \omega^+(X \cap S_t)$ vanishes for all but a single  $t \in I$ , whenever X is a closed polytope, or an *i*-open polytope, for some  $i \ge 1$ . Thus the sum to the right of the equality sign is in fact finite, for each polyhedron X. Proposition 1 is a spherical counterpart of a well known recursion formula for the Euler characteristic for Euclidean polyhedra (see [1]).

Proof of Proposition 1. We assume  $X \in \mathfrak{Y}_i$ , for some  $i \ge 1$ . The case  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  may be treated by an obvious modification of the argument. Set  $R := \operatorname{sph} X$ , and for each  $Z \in \mathfrak{Y}_i(R)$ ,

$$\psi Z := (-1)^i \Big( \omega(Z \cap S) + \sum_{t \in I} \big( \omega(Z \cap S_t) - \omega^+ (Z \cap S_t) \big) \Big).$$

It suffices to show that  $\psi$  is an Euler characteristic on  $\mathfrak{Y}_i(R)$ . The requirements (1) and (3) of Definition 1 are satisfied by  $\psi$ . Now suppose that Z is an *i*-open polytope in R. Let us first assume  $Z \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . We distinguish three cases. If the spheres S and R are in general position we have  $i \ge 2$ , dim  $(Z \cap S) = i - 2$ , dim  $(Z \cap S_t) = i - 1$ , for each t in the interval  $I := [0, \pi)$ , hence  $\psi Z = \chi(Z \cap S) = 1$ . In the case  $R \subset S$  we find  $Z \cap S_t = Z \cap S = Z$ , for every  $t \in I$ . This again implies  $\psi Z = \chi(Z \cap S) = 1$ . If none of the above cases hold we see that  $R \notin S$ , but  $R \subset S_t$ , for some number  $t \in I$ . Hence  $Z \cap S_{t'} = Z \cap S$ for all  $t' \in I$ ,  $t' \neq t$ , and

$$\psi Z = (-1)^i (\omega(Z \cap S) + \omega(Z \cap S_t) - \omega(Z \cap S)) = 1.$$

Assume now  $Z \cap S = \emptyset$ . We are confronted with two cases. If  $R \subset S_t$ , for some point  $t \in I$ , we have  $Z \cap S_t = Z$  and  $Z \cap S_{t'} = \emptyset$ , for every  $t' \in I$ ,  $t' \neq t$ . Clearly  $\psi Z = 1$ . If R and S are in general position, let  $A \subset I$  be the set of all points  $t \in I$  such that  $Z \cap S_t \neq \emptyset$ . A is an open interval in I, denote its left endpoint by x. Clearly

$$\omega(Z \cap S_x) - \omega^+(Z \cap S_x) = -(-1)^{i-1},$$

whereas  $\omega(Z \cap S_t) - \omega^+ (Z \cap S_t) = 0$ , for all  $t \neq x$ . This shows again  $\psi Z = 1$ , and  $\psi$  is indeed an Euler characteristic on  $\mathfrak{Y}_i(R)$ . To prove (3) for  $\psi$ , notice that  $\chi(X) = 0$ , for each odd dimensional sphere X, hence for each  $X \in \mathfrak{Y}_{2k+1} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let X be a spherical polyhedron,  $X \in \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$ . By a  $\delta$ -decomposition of X we understand a finite set  $\mathfrak{D} \subset X \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$  such that  $\bigcup \mathfrak{D} = X$ , and, further,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  whenever U and V are two different members of  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

If, for example,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a complex, in the usual sense of the word, whose members are closed spherical simplices, then the relative interiors of the elements of  $\mathfrak{C}$  form a  $\delta$ -decomposition of  $\bigcup \mathfrak{C}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** For each spherical polyhedron  $X \subset S^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$X \in \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$$

and for each  $\delta$ -decomposition  $\mathfrak{D}$  of X we have

(ii)  $\omega X = \sum_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{D}} \omega \mathbf{Y}.$ 

#### H. HADWIGER AND P. MANI

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the dimension n of the sphere  $S^n$  containing X, the case n = 0 being trivial. For given  $n \ge 1$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{Y}_n$ , and for a  $\delta$ -decomposition  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $X \subset S^n$ , choose an (n-2)-sphere  $S \subset S^n$ . With the notation of the section preceding Proposition 1 we find, by Proposition 1 and the inductive assumption of our statement

$$\omega X = \omega(X \cap S) + \sum_{t \in I} (\omega(X \cap S_t) - \omega^+ (X \cap S_t))$$
  
=  $\sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathfrak{D}}} \omega(Y \cap S) + \sum_{\substack{t \in I}} \sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathfrak{D}}} (\omega(Y \cap S_t) - \omega^+ (Y \cap S_t))$   
=  $\sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathfrak{D}}} (\omega(Y \cap S) + \sum_{\substack{t \in I}} (\omega(Y \cap S_t) - \omega^+ (Y \cap S_t)))$   
=  $\sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathfrak{D}}} \omega Y.$ 

As an application of the foregoing arguments let us derive some elementary relations involving the Euler characteristic.

**PROPOSITION 3.** 

(iii) 
$$\chi(S^n) = 1 + (-1)^n$$
  
(iv)  $\chi X = \chi(\text{bd } X) + (-1)^n \chi(\text{int } X)$   $X \subset S^n, X \in \mathfrak{X}$   
(v)  $\chi(\text{cpl } X) = 1 + (-1)^n - (-1)^n \chi X$   $X \subset S^n, X \in \mathfrak{X}$   
(vi)  $\chi(\text{cpl } Y) = 1 + (-1)^n - (-1)^n \chi Y$   $Y \subset S^n, Y \in \mathfrak{Y}_n$ .

*Proof.* (iii) We proceed by induction on n. The cases  $n \leq 0$  are trivial. For  $n \geq 1$  choose an arbitrary (n-2)-dimensional subsphere S of  $S^n$ , and apply Proposition 1 to the polyhedron  $S^n \in \mathfrak{X}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,

$$\chi S^n = \chi S = 1 + (-1)^{n-2} = 1 + (-1)^n.$$

(iv) {bd X, int X} is a  $\delta$ -decomposition of the polyhedron  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ . By Proposition 2,  $\omega X = \omega(\text{bd } X) + \omega(\text{int } X)$ . Since {X, bd X}  $\subset \mathfrak{X}$  and int  $X \in \mathfrak{Y}_n$ , our assertion follows at once from the definition of  $\omega$ .

(v)  $\{X, \operatorname{cpl} X\}$  is a  $\delta$ -decomposition of the polyhedron  $S^n \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Our assertion follows immediately from Proposition 2 if we keep in mind that  $\{X, S^n\} \subset \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\operatorname{cpl} X \in \mathfrak{Y}_n$ .

(vi) The proof of this relation is quite analogous to that of (v).

### References

- 1. H. Hadwiger, "Eine Schnittrekursion für die Eulersche Charakteristik euklidischer Polyeder mit Anwendungen innerhalb der kombinatorischen Geometrie", El. Math., 23 (1968), 121–132.
- 2. V. Klee, "The Euler characteristic in combinatorial geometry". Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 119–127.
- 3. H. Lenz, "Mengenalgebra und Eulersche Charakteristik," Abh. Math. Seminar Univ. Hamburg, 34 (1970), 135-147.

Mathematisches Institut, Universitat Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

- 05A99: Combinatorics; Classical combinatorial problems.
- 50B99: Geometry; Euclidean geometry.
- 57A99: Manifolds and cell complexes; Topological manifolds.

(Received on the 3rd of July, 1972.)