

ESMO Minimum Clinical Recommendations for the diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of acute myeloblastic leukemia (AML) in adult patients

Incidence

- The crude incidence of AML in adults in Europe is 5–8/100 000 per year. The mortality is approximately 4–6/100 000 per year.

Diagnosis

- The diagnosis of AML requires examination of peripheral blood samples and bone marrow aspirates. Work-up should comprise morphological examination, cytochemistry, immunophenotyping and cytogenetic analysis.

Risk assessment

- Risk assessment in AML includes the patient's age, the initial leukocyte count, the AML subtype, karyotype data, and medical conditions in the patient's history which may affect the feasibility of intensive chemotherapy. Elderly patients (>60 yrs.) have an adverse prognosis if MDR-positivity or unfavorable genetic changes are present and are more susceptible to treatment complications. AML with the chromosomal translocations t(15;17) (i.e. acute promyelocytic leukemia; APL), t(8;21) and inv(16) including acute myelo-monocytic leukemia with preponderance of eosinophil granulocytes are considered as favorable [II, A]. An antecedent or concomitant myelodysplastic syndrome or complex aberrant karyotypes are adverse prognostic factors.
- If fungal infection is suspected a thoracic CT-scan and an abdominal ultrasound or a CT-scan may be performed to assess liver, spleen, lymph nodes and kidneys for possible pathological alterations. Cardiac examination including echocardiography is recommended for patients with risk factors or a history of heart disease [A].
- In addition to hematological and chemistry tests a coagulation screening is to be performed prior to the insertion of central venous lines. HLA typing should be performed on patients who are candidates for an allogeneic bone marrow or stem cell transplant, and should include their family members [A].

Treatment plan

- Treatment is divided into induction and consolidation chemotherapy. Whenever possible, treatment should be planned with a curative intent. Candidates for allogeneic stem cell transplantation should be identified early during induction.

Patients with poor performance status and considerable comorbidity, as well as elderly patients not eligible for curative treatment should receive supportive care.

- Whenever possible, AML treatment should be in clinical trials and in centres offering a multidisciplinary approach. Such centres should provide an adequate infrastructure including a full hematology and medical oncology service, a close collaboration with a bone marrow transplant unit, as well as adequate infectious disease and transfusion services.

Induction chemotherapy

- Chemotherapy should be postponed until satisfactory material for all diagnostic tests has been harvested. Patients with excessive leukocytosis at presentation may require emergency leukapheresis prior to induction chemotherapy.
- Induction chemotherapy should include an anthracycline and cytosine arabinoside [II, A]. Patients failing to respond to 1–2 cycles of such treatment are considered refractory.
- APL induction chemotherapy should consist of an anthracycline and all-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA) [III, A].

Consolidation therapy

- Patients entering clinical and hematological remission should receive one to two cycles of post-remission therapy [II, A]. There is no consensus on a single preferred post remission treatment strategy. Patients with good risk features as defined above should receive chemotherapy only, preferably including high-dose cytarabine. Other patients with an HLA-identical sibling are candidates for allogeneic stem cell transplantation in first remission [III, A]. Patients with particular poor risk features and no donor in their family may qualify for allogeneic transplant with an unrelated matched donor [III, A]. The role of high-dose consolidation chemotherapy with autologous peripheral stem cell support in AML is controversial. In APL, postremission consolidation with 1 to 2 cycles of chemotherapy should include ATRA. Maintenance chemotherapy and ATRA are beneficial in APL [III, A].

Therapy of relapsed or refractory patients

- Patients in second or subsequent remission may qualify for allogeneic transplantation with an unrelated donor.

- In relapsed APL arsenic trioxide can induce remission even if patients have become refractory to ATRA [III, B].

Response evaluation

- Response to induction is monitored through clinical examination, serial peripheral blood counts and bone marrow aspirates. During induction-induced aplasia a bone marrow aspirate should be obtained to monitor for early marrow response or leukemic blast persistence. The usual requirements of AML remission are a normal cellularity of the bone marrow, morphologically normal hematopoiesis and blast levels <5% at evaluation of bone marrow smears [B].
- For certain risk categories of APL patients molecular follow-up is recommended [III, C].

Follow-up

- Patients are followed clinically with hematological examination to detect early relapse. Serial bone marrow examination is of uncertain value in remission patients without any clinical or hematological evidence of relapse.

Note

Levels of Evidence [I–V] and Grades of Recommendation [A–D] as used by the American Society of Clinical Oncology are given in square brackets. Statements without grading were considered justified standard clinical practice by the experts and the ESMO faculty.

Literature

1. Cheson BD, Cassileth PA, Head DR et al. Report on the National Cancer Institute-sponsored workshop on definitions of diagnosis and response in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 1990; 8: 813–819.
2. Grimwade D, Walker H, Oliver F et al. The importance of diagnostic cytogenetics on outcome in AML: analysis of 1'612 patients entered into the MRC AML 10 trial. *Blood* 1998; 92: 2322–2333.
3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network NCCN, Appelbaum FR, Baer MR, Carabasi MH et al. NCCN practice guidelines for acute myelogenous leukemia. *Oncology* 2000; 14: 53–61.
4. Hiddemann W, Kern W, Schoch C et al. Management of acute myeloid leukemia in elderly patients. *J Clin Oncol* 1999; 17: 3569–3576.
5. Zittoun RA, Mandelli F, Willemze R et al. Autologous or allogeneic bone marrow transplantation compared with intensive chemotherapy in acute myelogenous leukemia. *New Engl J Med* 1995; 332: 217–223.
6. Tallman MS, Nabhan C, Feusner JH, Rowe JM. Acute promyelocytic leukemia: evolving therapeutic strategies. *Blood* 2002; 99(3): 759–767.
7. Sanz MA, Martin G, Gonzalez M et al. Risk-adapted treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with all-trans-retinoic acid and anthracycline monotherapy: a multicenter study by the PETHEMA group. *Blood* 2004; 103: 1237–1243.
8. Raffoux E, Rousselot P, Poupon J et al. Combined treatment with arsenic trioxide and all-trans-retinoic acid in patients with relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; 21: 2326–2334.
9. Fenaux P, Chastang C, Chevret S et al. A randomized comparison of all transretinoic acid (ATRA) followed by chemotherapy and ATRA plus chemotherapy and the role of maintenance therapy in newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia. The European APL Group. *Blood* 1999; 94: 1192–1200.
10. Lo Coco F, Diverio D, Avvisati G et al. Therapy of molecular relapse in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1999; 94: 2225–2229.

Coordinating authors for the ESMO Guidelines Task Force: M. F. Fey¹, R. Greil² & L. M. Jost³

¹Invited author, Institute of Medical Oncology, Inselspital and University of Berne, CH-3010 Berne, Switzerland; ²Assigned task force member, University Hospital Salzburg, Dept of Hematology/Oncology, Muellnerhauptstrasse 48, 5020 Salzburg, Austria; ³Assigned task force member, Oncology, Kantonsspital, CH-4101 Bruderholz/BL, Switzerland

Approved by the ESMO Guidelines Task Force: August 2002, last update December 2004.

Correspondence to:
ESMO Guidelines Task Force
ESMO Head Office
Via La Santa 7
CH-6962 Viganello-Lugano
Switzerland