Jansen TL, Janssen M, Macfarlane JD, De Long AJ. Poststreptococcal reactive myalgia: a novel syndrome secondary to infection with group A or G streptococci. Br J Rheumatol 1998;37:1343–8.

Rheumatology 2001;40:706-707

When is a geode not a geode: when LSMFT?

SIR, 'LSMFT' was an old American tobacco commercial, whose name is equally applicable to the subject of the article by Cohen and McWilliams [1]. The large lesion of the femoral neck with a sclerotic margin, no capsule, no communicating channel, containing 'myxoid type tissue with adipose elements and some atypical features' [1] is actually pathognomonic for what is now called liposclerosing myxofibrous tumour (LSMFT) [2]. A cross-section of an example from the 11th–15th century St Petersinsel, Switzerland, is illustrated in Fig. 1. The lesion described by Cohen and McWilliams [1] and that illustrated here in Fig. 1 are quite different in size and the nature of the margins from the pseudocysts of osteoarthritis [3, 4]. The multilobular character, transversely intact trabeculae and areas of micronodular bone formation are not compatible with a diagnosis of pseudocyst [3]. LSMFT has a predilection for the femoral neck (90%) and affects individuals aged 15-69 yr (average 42 yr) [2]. It is a benign, indolent fibro-osseous lesion with sclerotic margins (mild in 10%, moderate in 59% and extensive in 31% of cases). Mild to moderate radionuclide accumulation may be noted on the technetium bone scan. As 10% of cases proceed to malignant



Fig. 1. Cross-sections of a medieval Swiss case of LSMFT.

degeneration, it is important to distinguish LSMFT from pseudocysts.

Liposclerosing myxofibrous tumour is certainly a more socially acceptable appellation than 'Lucky Strike Means Fine Tobacco' for the acronym, LSMFT. Further, LSMFT seems a precise identification for the lesion described by Cohen and McWilliams [1].

B. Rothschild, S. Ulrich-Bochsler¹, F. Ruhle²

Arthritis Center of Northeast Ohio and Northeastern Ohio Universities College of Medicine, Youngstown, OH 44512, USA, ¹Historische Anthropologie, Medizinhistorisches Institut Universität Bern, Fabrikstrasse 29 D, CH-3012 Bern and ²Institute of Diagnostic Radiology, University Hospital, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland Accepted 27 November 2000

Correspondence to: B. Rothschild, Arthritis Center of Northeast Ohio, 5500 Market, Youngstown, OH 44512, USA.

- Cohen AP, McWilliams TG. Giant geode (pseudocyst) formation of the femoral neck in a case of osteoarthritis. Rheumatology 2000:39:443-4
- Ragsdale BD. Polymorphic fibro-osseous lesions of bone: An almost site-specific diagnostic problem of the proximal femur. Human Pathol 1993;24:505–12.
- 3. Resnick D, Niwayama G, Coutts RD. Subchondral cysts (geodes) in arthritic disorders: Pathologic and radiographic appearance of the hip joint. Am J Roentgenol 1977;128:799–806.
- 4. Landells JW. The bone cysts of osteoarthritis. J Bone Joint Surg 1953;35B:643-9.

Rheumatology 2001;40:707

Reply

We thank Rothschild and colleagues for allowing us the opportunity to clarify the histological findings relating to the lesion we described. The histological findings were those of a myxoid, paucicellular tissue including scattered blood vessels. The term 'adipose elements' in the context we intended referred to the vascular and cellular pattern observed which led to the initial concern regarding the possibility of a myxoid liposarcoma. Subsequent expert review of the histology confirmed that there were no lipomatous areas or lipoblasts seen within the lesion, nor were there any areas of fibrosis within its matrix. A diagnosis of primary liposarcoma or, for that matter, any other malignant or premalignant condition, was excluded, and a diagnosis of geode (pseudocyst) confirmed. They have interpreted the X-ray provided as showing transversely intact trabeculae. This was not the case; indeed no interstitial sclerosis was noted and the bony pattern shown was entirely contained within the periphery of the lesion. No osseous elements were, in fact, seen within the matrix of the lesion. In summary, the absence of lipomatous, sclerosing or fibrous features in this lesion is inconsistent with a diagnosis of liposclerosing myxofibrous tumour as described by Ragsdale [1], and is entirely compatible with geode formation. We acknowledge that the use of the term 'adipose elements'

in describing the initial histology was rather misleading, and apologise for any confusion caused. The response by Rothschild and colleagues reinforces the point that such lesions in bone can create diagnostic difficulty.

A. P. COHEN, T. G. McWILLIAMS

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Bradford Royal Infirmary, Duckworth Lane, Bradford BD9 6RJ, UK Accepted 27 November 2000

Correspondence to: A. Cohen.

1. Ragsdale BD. Polymorphic fibro-osseous lesions of bone: An almost site-specific diagnostic problem of the proximal femur. Hum Pathol 1993;24:505–12.