

## On the functional equation $x + f(y + f(x)) = y + f(x + f(y))$

JÜRIG RÄTZ

**Abstract.** For an abelian group  $(G, +, 0)$  we consider the functional equation

$$f : G \rightarrow G, \quad x + f(y + f(x)) = y + f(x + f(y)) \quad (\forall x, y \in G), \quad (1)$$

most times together with the condition

$$f(0) = 0. \quad (0)$$

Our main question is whether a solution of  $(1) \wedge (0)$  must be additive, i.e., an endomorphism of  $G$ . We shall answer this question in the negative (Example 3.14) Rätz (Aequationes Math 81:300, 2011).

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 39B12, 39B52.

**Keywords.** Abelian groups, composite functional equations.

### 1. Introduction, notation, preliminaries, and some history

We denote by  $S(G)$  the set of all solutions of (1) and put

$$S_0(G) := \{f \in S(G); f(0) = 0\}. \quad (2)$$

The symbol  $:=$  means that the right-hand side defines the left-hand side.  $=_{(\dots)}=$  is a short form of quotation of  $(\dots)$ , and  $\square$  marks the end of a proof.  $i_A$  denotes the identity mapping of the set  $A$  and  $\underline{a}$  the constant mapping with value  $a$ .  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}^0, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$  stand for the sets of prime numbers, positive integers, nonnegative integers, integers, rational and real numbers, respectively. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^n$  means the  $n$ -th iterate of  $f : G \rightarrow G$ . Throughout the paper,  $(G, +, 0)$  or  $(G, +)$  or  $G$  denotes an abelian group.

For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\omega_n : G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\omega_n(x) := nx$  ( $\forall x \in G$ ) is in  $\text{End}(G)$ , i.e.  $\omega_n$  is an endomorphism of  $G$ . For every  $z \in G$ ,  $\langle z \rangle$  denotes the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $z$ , and  $t_z : G \rightarrow G$  is the translation  $x \mapsto x + z$  ( $\forall x \in G$ ) of  $G$  by  $z$ . We use  $0$  for the identity element of  $G$  as well as for

the integer 0; it will always be clear from the context what is meant. We freely use the fact that for abelian groups  $A$  and  $B$  we have  $A \times B \cong A \oplus B$ , e.g., by denoting the elements of  $A \oplus B$  as ordered pairs  $(a, b) \in A \times B$  whenever we find it convenient. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  stand for the cyclic group with  $n$  elements, most times written as  $\{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ .

The following remarks are easily verified.

*Remark 1.1.* If  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian groups and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  is an isomorphism, if  $f : G \rightarrow G, g : H \rightarrow H, g = \varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , then

- (a)  $f \in S(G) \implies g \in S(H); f \in S_0(G) \implies g \in S_0(H)$ .
- (b)  $f \in \text{End}(G) \implies g \in \text{End}(H)$ .
- (c)  $S_0(G) \subset \text{End}(G) \implies S_0(H) \subset \text{End}(H)$ .

*Remark 1.2.* The abelian group  $G$  is a unitary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module in a natural way. We shall tacitly use the corresponding computation rules many times.

In particular, for  $f : G \rightarrow G : f(0) = 0 \iff f(n \cdot 0) = n f(0) \ (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})$ .

In [2], Brillouët-Belluot asked what the continuous functions in  $S(\mathbb{R})$  are. By a connectedness argument, Jarczyk and Jarczyk [3] showed that there are none.

Balcerowski [1] found many interesting and fundamental properties of solutions of (1); we list here some of them:

- (B1)  $f \in S(G) \implies f$  is injective.
- (B2)  $f \in S(G) \implies 0 \in f(G)$ .
- (B3)  $f \in S_0(G) \implies$

$$f^2(x) + x = f(x) \ (\forall x \in G). \tag{3}$$

- (B4)  $f \in S_0(G) \implies f^3 = -i_G$  and  $f$  is odd.
- (B5)  $f \in \text{End}(G) \implies [f \in S_0(G) \iff (3)]$ .
- (B6)  $f \in S(G), z \in G \implies f \circ t_z \in S(G)$ .
- (B7)  $f \in S_0(G) \implies 2f \in \text{End}(G)$ .
- (B8)  $f \in S_0(G), \omega_2$  injective  $\implies f \in \text{End}(G)$ .
- (B9)  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}) \implies f$  is nowhere continuous.

(Cf. [1, Lemma 1, Corollary 1, Remark 1, Corollary 2, Lemma 2, Remark 2, Theorem 1, Corollary 3, Corollary 4]). (B9) strengthens and confirms the main result of [3].

(B10) **Open question** [1, Remark 3]): Is  $S_0(G) \subset \text{End}(G)$  true in general? I.e., can the injectivity of  $\omega_2$  in (B8) be deleted?

(B6) and (B1) above can slightly be sharpened:

*Remark 1.3.* (B6')  $S(G) = \{f \circ t_z; f \in S_0(G), z \in G\}$ ,

(B1')  $f \in S(G) \implies f$  is bijective.

*Proof.* (B6'):  $B := \{f \circ t_z; f \in S_0(G), z \in G\}$ . Now  $B \subset S(G)$  follows from  $S_0(G) \subset S(G)$  and (B6). Conversely, let  $h \in S(G)$ . By (B2) there exists

$z \in G$  with  $h(z) = 0$ . Define  $f := h \circ t_z$ , and by (B6)  $f \in S(G)$ , moreover  $f(0) = h(z) = 0$ , so that we even have  $f \in S_0(G)$  and  $h = f \circ t_{-z}$ , so  $h \in B$ , finally  $S(G) \subset B$ , in the total  $S(G) = B$ . – (B1’): If  $f \in S(G)$ , then by (B6’) there are  $f' \in S_0(G)$  and  $z \in G$  such that  $f = f' \circ t_z$ . By (B4)  $(f')^3 = -i_G$ , so  $f'$  must be bijective, and together with the bijectivity of  $t_z$  we get that of  $f$ . □

(B6’) says that it is sufficient to consider  $S_0(G)$  rather than  $S(G)$  and ensures

$$S(G) \neq \emptyset \iff S_0(G) \neq \emptyset. \tag{4}$$

## 2. New results

**Lemma 2.1.** (a)  $\omega_1 = i_G \in S_0(G) \iff G = \{0\}$ .

(b)  $\omega_{-1} = -i_G \in S_0(G) \iff 3G = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$ : Let  $x, y \in G$  be arbitrary. Then  $x + y + x =_{(1)} y + x + y$ , i.e.,  $x = y$ , so  $\text{card } G = 1, G = \{0\}$ . –  $\impliedby$  is trivial.

(b)  $\omega_{-1}$  is an involution and in  $\text{End}(G)$ . So  $\omega_{-1} \in S_0(G) \xleftarrow{(B5)} \omega_{-1}^2 + \omega_1 = \omega_{-1} \iff 3\omega_1 = 0 \iff 3G = \{0\}$ . □

**Corollary 2.2.** *There are no continuous functions in  $S(\mathbb{R})$ .*

After the proofs given in [3] and [1] (cf. (B9)), we proceed completely differently.

*Proof.* Assume  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$ . By (B2) there exists  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(z) = 0$ . For  $h := f \circ t_z$  we get  $h \in S(\mathbb{R})$  by (B6) and  $h(0) = f(z) = 0$ , so  $h \in S_0(\mathbb{R})$ . (B4) implies  $h^3 = -i_{\mathbb{R}}$ , so  $h^6 = i_{\mathbb{R}}$ , moreover  $h$  is continuous. By a theorem of McShane [4],  $h$  must be an involution in all possible cases, i.e.,  $h^2 = i_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Together with  $h^3 = -i_{\mathbb{R}}$  we obtain  $h = -i_{\mathbb{R}}$ , so by Lemma 2.1(b)  $h \notin S_0(\mathbb{R})$ , a contradiction. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(G_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of abelian groups,  $G := \prod_{i \in I} G_i$  their (cartesian) product,  $G' := \bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i$  their direct sum,  $f_i \in S_0(G_i) (\forall i \in I)$ , and  $f : G \rightarrow G, f : (x_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto (f_i(x_i))_{i \in I}$  for all  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in G$ . Then:*

- (a)  $f \in S_0(G)$ .
- (b)  $f(G') \subset G'$ .
- (c) *If  $f' : G' \rightarrow G'$  is the restriction of  $f$ , then  $f' \in S_0(G')$ .*

*Proof.* (a) is established by a straightforward computation. (b) If  $x := (x_i)_{i \in I} \in G'$ , then the support of  $x$  is finite. Since  $f_i(0) =_{(0)} 0 (\forall i \in I)$ , the support of  $(f_i(x_i))_{i \in I}$  is finite as well, so  $f(x) \in G'$ . – (c) By (b), the restriction  $f' : G' \rightarrow G'$  of  $f$  exists.  $G'$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , and by (a),  $f'$  clearly satisfies (1) on  $G'$  and (0), so  $f' \in S_0(G')$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Every  $f \in S(G)$  has exactly one fixed element.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in S(G)$ . 1) Let  $z, w \in G, f(z) = z, f(w) = w$ . Put  $x = z, y = w$  in (1):  $z + f(w + z) = w + f(z + w)$ , so  $z = w$ , i.e.,  $f$  has at most one fixed element. – 2) Assume that  $f$  has no fixed element. By (B2) there exists  $z \in G$  with  $f(z) = 0$ . Put  $x = z$  in (1):

$$z + f(y + 0) = y + f(z + f(y)) \quad (\forall y \in G). \quad (5)$$

By (B1')  $f$  is bijective. Define  $g : G \rightarrow G, g(y) := f(z + f(y)) (\forall y \in G)$ , i.e.,  $g = f \circ t_z \circ f$ , so

$$g \text{ is bijective.} \quad (6)$$

By assumption,  $f(y) \neq y (\forall y \in G)$ , so by (5)  $z \neq f(z + f(y)) (\forall y \in G)$ , i.e.  $z \neq g(y) (\forall y \in G)$ , in contradiction to (6). Therefore,  $f$  has to have at least one fixed element.  $\square$

Lemma 2.4 confirms and explains Lemma 2.1(a).

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $f \in S_0(G)$ , then*

$$f(ny) = nf(y) \quad (\forall y \in G, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad (7)$$

*i.e.,  $f$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in S_0(G)$ . By (B1') and (B4),  $f$  is bijective and odd. (7) trivially holds for all  $y \in G$  and  $n = 1$  as well as for  $n = 0$ , the latter by (2) or (0). Induction hypothesis: For some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f(ky) = kf(y) \quad (\forall y \in G, 0 \leq k \leq n) \quad (H)$$

is assumed to hold.

(1) We first prove three auxiliary assertions:

$$f(ky) = kf(y) \quad (\forall y \in G, -n \leq k \leq n), \quad (*)$$

$$f^{-1}(kz) = kf^{-1}(z) \quad (\forall z \in G, -n \leq k \leq n), \quad (**)$$

$$f(y + (n-1)f^{-1}(y)) = f(y) + (n-1)y \quad (\forall y \in G). \quad (***)$$

*Proof of (\*).* Let  $y \in G$  be arbitrary. If  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then the assertion holds by (H). Let  $-n \leq k < 0$ , so  $0 < -k \leq n$ . By (H) we have  $f((-k)y) = (-k)f(y)$ . Since  $(-k)z = -kz$  (remember Remark 1.2) and  $f$  is odd, we get  $-f(ky) = -kf(y)$ , i.e.,  $f(ky) = kf(y)$ . As  $y \in G$  was arbitrary, (\*) holds.

*Proof of (\*\*).* Let  $z \in G$  be arbitrary,  $-n \leq k \leq n$ , and  $y := f^{-1}(z)$ . Then  $f(ky) \stackrel{(*)}{=} kf(y)$ , so  $ky = f^{-1}f(ky) = f^{-1}(kf(y))$ , i.e.,  $kf^{-1}(z) = f^{-1}(kf(y)) = f^{-1}(kz)$ . Since  $z \in G$  was arbitrary, (\*\*) is established.

*Proof of (\*\*\*)*. Let  $y \in G$  be arbitrary and  $x := -f((n-1)y)$ . Then (1) becomes  $-f((n-1)y) + f(y + f(-f((n-1)y))) = y + f(-f((n-1)y) + f(y))$ .  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

implies  $0 \leq n - 1 < n$ , so by (H)  $-(n - 1)f(y) + f(y + f(-(n - 1)f(y))) = y + f(-(n - 1)f(y) + f(y))$  and again by (H)  $-(n - 1)f(y) + f(y + (n - 1)f(-f(y))) = y + f((-n + 2)f(y))$ . Now  $-n < -n + 2 \leq -1 + 2 = 1 \leq n$ , so (\*) yields

$$-(n - 1)f(y) + f(y + (n - 1)f(-f(y))) = y + (-n + 2)f^2(y).$$

By (B4),  $f$  is odd, so

$$-(n - 1)f(y) + f(y - (n - 1)f^2(y)) = y + (-n + 2)f^2(y).$$

Furthermore  $-f^2(y) = -f^3f^{-1}(y) \stackrel{(B4)}{=} f^{-1}(y)$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -(n - 1)f(y) + f(y + (n - 1)f^{-1}(y)) &= y + (n - 2)f^{-1}(y), \text{ i.e.,} \\ f(y + (n - 1)f^{-1}(y)) &= y + (n - 1)f(y) + (n - 2)f^{-1}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The right-hand side of (8) is  $y + f(y) + (n - 2)(f(y) + f^{-1}(y)) = y + f(y) + (n - 2)(f^2f^{-1}(y) + f^{-1}(y)) \stackrel{(3)}{=} y + f(y) + (n - 2)ff^{-1}(y) = y + f(y) + (n - 2)y = f(y) + (n - 1)y$ , so by (8)  $f(y + (n - 1)f^{-1}(y)) = f(y) + (n - 1)y$ . Since  $y \in G$  was arbitrary, (\*\*\*) holds.

(2) For arbitrary  $y \in G$  and  $x := f^{-1}(ny)$ , (1) becomes  $f^{-1}(ny) + f(y + ff^{-1}(ny)) = y + f(f^{-1}(ny) + f(y))$ , i.e.,  $f^{-1}(ny) + f((n + 1)y) = y + f(f^{-1}(ny) + f(y))$ , so by (\*\*)

$$nf^{-1}(y) + f((n + 1)y) = y + f(nf^{-1}(y) + f(y)). \tag{9}$$

The right-hand side of (9) is  $y + f((n - 1)f^{-1}(y) + f^{-1}(y) + f(y)) \stackrel{(3)}{=} y + f((n - 1)f^{-1}(y) + y) \stackrel{(***)}{=} y + f(y) + (n - 1)y = f(y) + ny$ , so (9) is  $nf^{-1}(y) + f((n + 1)y) = f(y) + ny$ , i.e.,

$$f((n + 1)y) = f(y) + ny - nf^{-1}(y). \tag{10}$$

The right-hand side of (10) is  $f(y) + n(y - f^{-1}(y)) = f(y) + n(ff^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y)) \stackrel{(3)}{=} f(y) + nf^2f^{-1}(y) = f(y) + nf(y) = (n + 1)f(y)$ , so (10) is  $f((n + 1)y) = (n + 1)f(y)$ . As  $y \in G$  was arbitrary, we have  $f((n + 1)y) = (n + 1)f(y) (\forall y \in G)$ . By the so-called second principle of induction, we have so far

$$f(ny) = nf(y) \quad (\forall y \in G, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^0). \tag{11}$$

(3) Let  $y \in G$  be arbitrary,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n < 0$ , hence  $(-n) \in \mathbb{N}^0$ . Then  $f(ny) = f((-n)(-y)) \stackrel{(11)}{=} (-n)f(-y) \stackrel{(B4)}{=} (-n)(-f(y)) = nf(y)$ . Together with (11), we have reached (7).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $G$  is cyclic and  $f \in S_0(G)$ , then  $f \in \text{End}(G)$ , i.e.,  $f$  is additive.*

*Proof.* Say  $G = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in G$ . Let  $x, y \in G$  be arbitrary. Then  $x = ka, y = la$  for suitable  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $f(x + y) = f(ka + la) = f((k + l)a) \stackrel{(7)}{=} (k + l)f(a) = kf(a) + lf(a) \stackrel{(7)}{=} f(ka) + f(la) = f(x) + f(y)$ . Since  $x, y \in G$  were arbitrary,  $f \in \text{End}(G)$ .  $\square$

*Example 2.7.*  $S(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ .

In fact: assume  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Z})$ . By Corollary 2.6  $f \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z})$ , so by (B1')  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{i_{\mathbb{Z}}, -i_{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ . By Lemma 2.1  $f \notin S_0(\mathbb{Z})$ , contradicting the assumption. So  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ , and by (4)  $S(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* The integers

$$m_k := k^2 - k + 1 \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{12}$$

are positive and odd and satisfy

$$m_{-k} = m_{k+1} \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{13}$$

**Lemma 2.9.**  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \implies [\omega_k \in S_0(G) \iff m_k G = \{0\}]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. Since  $\omega_k \in \text{End}(G)$ , we get from (B5)  $\omega_k \in S_0(G) \iff \omega_k^2 + \omega_1 = \omega_k \iff \omega_{k^2-k+1} = \underline{0} \iff m_k G = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\omega_k : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . But here  $\omega_{n+k} = \omega_k (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z})$ , so  $\text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{n-1}\}$ . Since  $m_k \mathbb{Z}_n = \{0\} \iff n|m_k (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ , we obtain from Lemma 2.9

$$S_0(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\omega_k; k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, n|m_k\} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{14}$$

**Corollary 2.11.** *If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is even, then  $S(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $n$  is even and  $m_k$  odd ( $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), (14) implies  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \emptyset$ , so by (4)  $S(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Example 2.12.* It follows from (14) that  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_1) = \{\omega_0\}$  [cf. Lemma 2.1(a)],  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \{\omega_2\}$  [cf. Lemma 2.1(b)],  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_5) = \emptyset, S_0(\mathbb{Z}_7) = \{\omega_3, \omega_5\}, S_0(\mathbb{Z}_9) = \emptyset, S_0(\mathbb{Z}_{11}) = \emptyset$ .

*Example 2.13.*  $S(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ .

In fact: Let  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Q})$ . By (B8),  $f \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ , so by (B1')  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ . So there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f(x) = cx (\forall x \in \mathbb{Q})$ . From (B3) we get  $c^2x + x = cx (\forall x \in \mathbb{Q})$ , therefore (put  $x = 1$ )  $c^2 + 1 = c$ . But  $c^2 - c + 1 = (c - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4} + 1 > 0 (\forall c \in \mathbb{Q})$ , so  $c$  cannot exist. This means  $S_0(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ , and by (4)  $S(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.14.* For  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}_p^m$  is the additive group of the Galois field  $\text{GF}(p^m)$ ; if moreover  $p$  is odd, then  $\omega_2 : \mathbb{Z}_p^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^m$  is bijective, so by (B8)  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_p^m) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p^m)$ . Furthermore by (B1') and (B5)

$$S_0(\mathbb{Z}_p^m) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p^m); f \text{ satisfies (3)}\} \quad (p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ odd}, m \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{15}$$

We shall see in the next section that the situation for  $p = 2$  is quite different.

### 3. The case $2G = \{0\}$

The condition  $2G = \{0\}$  means that  $G$  is an elementary abelian 2-group, so (isomorphic to) a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space [cf., e.g., [6], p.82, (9.14)]. In this section, where  $2G = \{0\}$ ,  $\dim G$  will always stand for  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} G$ .

*Remark 3.1.* For  $2G = \{0\}$  and every  $f : G \rightarrow G$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , we have

- (a)  $f$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homogeneous.
- (b)  $f$  is even and odd.
- (c)  $2f = \underline{0} \in \text{End}(G)$ .

In fact: (a), (b), (c) follow from

$$ny = \begin{cases} 0 & n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ y & n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \end{cases} \quad (\forall y \in G). \tag{16}$$

Therefore Theorem 2.5, the second half of (B4) as well as (B7) lose their power in the process of finding  $S_0(G)$  in the case  $2G = \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $2G = \{0\}$  and  $f \in S_0(G)$ , then  $G$  is the disjoint union of  $C_0 := \{0\}$  and, for  $G \neq \{0\}$ , of 3-cycles  $C_x := \{x, f(x), x + f(x)\} (\forall x \in G \setminus \{0\})$  of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in S_0(G)$  be arbitrary. By (B1'),  $f$  is bijective. Define

$$x, y \in G; x \sim_f y :\iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } y = f^k(x).$$

Then  $\sim_f$  is an equivalence relation on  $G$ ; let  $C_x$  denote the  $\sim_f$ -class of  $x (\forall x \in G)$ . By (B4)  $f^3 = -i_G =_{(16)} i_G$ . So  $C_x = \{x, f(x), f^2(x)\} =_{(B3)} \{x, f(x), -x + f(x)\} =_{(16)} \{x, f(x), x + f(x)\} (\forall x \in G)$ , and this automatically becomes  $\{0\}$  for  $x = 0$ , while for  $x \in G \setminus \{0\}$ , (B1'), (0), and Lemma 2.4 ensure  $\text{card } C_x = 3$ . □

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $2G = \{0\}$ ,  $f \in S_0(G)$ , and*

$$H_x := C_x \cup \{0\} \quad (\forall x \in G), \tag{17}$$

*then  $H_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $H_x \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2 (\forall x \in G \setminus \{0\})$ , and*

$$f(H_x) = H_x \quad (\forall x \in G). \tag{18}$$

So all  $H_x (x \in G)$  are  $f$ -invariant subgroups (subspaces) of  $G$ , and if  $x \neq 0$ ,  $H_x$  is isomorphic to the Klein four group.

*Proof.* (B3) and (16) imply  $c = a + b$  for all pairwise distinct  $a, b, c \in C_x$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Moreover  $2a = 2b = 2c = 0$ , so  $H_x \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2 (\forall x \in G \setminus \{0\})$  is clear, and  $H_0 = \{0\}$  is trivial. As cycles of  $f$ , the  $C_x (x \in G)$  satisfy  $f(C_x) = C_x$ , and (0) and (17) imply (18). □

*Example 3.4.* Let  $2G = \{0\}$ . Then

- (a)  $\dim G = 0 \implies S_0(G) = \{i_G\}$ .

- (b)  $\dim G = 1 \implies S_0(G) = \emptyset$ .
- (c)  $\dim G = 2 \implies S_0(G) = \{f_1, f_2\}$ , where  $f_1, f_2$  are the two permutations of  $G$  with 0 as their unique fixed element, and these are additive.

*Proof.* (a) follows from Lemma 2.1(a). (b) We have  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . By Corollary 2.11,  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \emptyset$ , so by Remark 1.1,  $S_0(G) = \emptyset$ . (c) Let  $f \in S_0(G)$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $f$  must have the 1-cycle  $C_0 = \{0\}$  and a unique 3-cycle, say  $\{a, b, c\}$ , disjoint to  $\{0\}$ . So, in cycle notation,  $f = (0)(abc) =: f_1$  or  $f = (0)(acb) =: f_2$ , so  $S_0(G) \subset \{f_1, f_2\}$ . Moreover,

$$f_1^2 = f_2 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2^2 = f_1. \tag{19}$$

Conversely, let  $f \in \{f_1, f_2\}$  and  $x, y \in G$  be arbitrary.

*Case 1:*  $x = y$ . Then  $f_1(x + y) = f_1(x + x) = f_1(0) = 0 = f_1(x) + f_1(x) = f_1(x) + f_1(y)$ .

*Case 2:*  $x \neq y$ .

*Case 2a:*  $x = 0, y \neq 0$ . Then  $f_1(x + y) = f_1(y) = 0 + f_1(y) = f_1(0) + f_1(y) = f_1(x) + f_1(y)$ .

*Case 2b:*  $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ , say  $x = a, y = b$ .

Then  $f_1(x + y) = f_1(a + b) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.3}}{=} f_1(c) = a \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.3}}{=} b + c = f_1(a) + f_1(b) = f_1(x) + f_1(y)$ .

Thus in all three cases  $f_1(x + y) = f_1(x) + f_1(y)$ . Since  $x, y \in G$  were arbitrary,  $f_1 \in \text{End}(G)$ , hence  $f_2 \stackrel{(19)}{=} f_1^2 \in \text{End}(G)$ . Furthermore,

$$f_1 + f_2 = \frac{0 \quad a \quad b \quad c}{0 \quad b + c \quad c + a \quad a + b} = \frac{0 \quad a \quad b \quad c}{0 \quad a \quad b \quad c} = i_G, \tag{20}$$

hence  $f_1^2 + i_G \stackrel{(19)}{=} f_2 + i_G \stackrel{(20)}{=} f_2 + (f_1 + f_2) = f_1$  and analogously  $f_2^2 + i_G = f_2$ . Therefore,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  satisfy (3), and by (B5)  $f_1, f_2 \in S_0(G)$ . In total,  $S_0(G) = \{f_1, f_2\}$ . □

**Corollary 3.5.**  $2G = \{0\}, f \in S_0(G), x \in G \implies f$  is additive on  $H_x$ .

*Proof.* By (18)  $f(H_x) = H_x$  and by Lemma 3.3  $\dim H_x \in \{0, 2\}$ . Now the assertion follows from Example 3.4(a) and (c). □

*Remark 3.6.* For  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  we got  $S_0(G) \subset \text{End}(G)$  in Example 3.4(c), in the absence of injectivity of  $\omega_2 : G \rightarrow G$ . So this latter condition is sufficient for  $S_0(G) \subset \text{End}(G)$  by (B8), but by no means necessary, as already noted in [1, p. 300, Remark 3].

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $2G = \{0\}$ . Then

- (a) If  $n \in \mathbb{N}^0, \dim G = n$ , then  $[S_0(G) \neq \emptyset \iff n \text{ is even}]$ .
- (b) If  $\dim G \geq \aleph_0$ , then  $S_0(G) \neq \emptyset$ .



*Proof.* (a) Clearly  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n \cdot 1 \implies$ : Let  $S_0(G) \neq \emptyset, f \in S_0(G)$ . By Lemma 3.2

$$2^n = \text{card } G \equiv_3 1. \tag{21}$$

Assume that  $n = 2k + 1 (\exists k \in \mathbb{N}^0)$ . Then  $2^n = 2^{2k+1} = 4^k \cdot 2 \equiv_3 1 \cdot 2 = 2$ , a contradiction to (21). So  $n$  must be even. 2)  $\longleftarrow$ : For  $n = 0, S_0(G) \neq \emptyset$  by Example 3.4(a). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be even. Then  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  ( $n/2$  direct summands) by the associativity of  $\oplus$ . By Example 3.4(c)  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^2) \neq \emptyset$ , so by Lemma 2.3,  $S_0(G) \neq \emptyset$ .

(b) There exists an infinite set  $J$  with  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{(J)}$  (direct sum of  $\text{card } J$  copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ). If we put  $J_0 := J \times \{0\}, J_1 := J \times \{1\}$ , then  $\text{card}(J_0 \cup J_1) = \text{card } J$ , i.e.  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{(J_0 \cup J_1)}$ . Now the sets  $I_j := \{(j, 0), (j, 1)\} (j \in J)$  form a partition of  $J_0 \cup J_1$ , so  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{I_j} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{(J_0 \cup J_1)} \cong G$ . Since  $\text{card } I_j = 2 (\forall j \in J)$ , we have  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{I_j} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2 (\forall j \in J)$ , so  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^{(J)}$ . By Example 3.4(c)  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^2) \neq \emptyset$ , so by Lemma 2.3(c) and Remark 1.1(a)  $S_0(G) \neq \emptyset$ . □

*Remark 3.8.* Lemma 3.7 implies that  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) = \emptyset$  for odd  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^4) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^0, n \leq 3$ , we have seen so far (cf. Example 3.4) that  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ . Does this also hold for  $n = 4$ ?

**Theorem 3.9.**  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^4) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^4)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^4)$  as well as  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4$  be arbitrary but fixed in the following.

*Case 1.*  $H_x \subset H_y$  and/or  $H_y \subset H_x$ . Then  $H_x \cup H_y$  is the larger one of  $H_x, H_y$ . By Corollary 3.5,  $f$  is additive on  $H_x \cup H_y$ . Since by (17)  $x, y \in H_x \cup H_y$ , we have

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y). \tag{22}$$

*Case 2.*  $H_x \not\subset H_y$  and  $H_y \not\subset H_x$ . Then  $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ . By (17),  $C_x = C_y$  would imply  $H_x = H_y$ , which is excluded in Case 2. So  $C_x \neq C_y$ , hence, as  $\sim_f$ -classes,  $C_x \cap C_y = \emptyset$ , and by (17)  $H_x \cap H_y = \{0\}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\dim H_x = \dim H_y = 2$ , hence  $H_x \oplus H_y = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ . It is clear from Lemma 3.2 and  $\text{card } \mathbb{Z}_2^4 = 16$ , that  $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$  is the disjoint union of  $C_0 = \{0\}$  and five 3-cycles of  $f$ .

We first prove three auxiliary statements (3.9.1), (3.9.2), (3.9.3).

(3.9.1).  $x + y \neq 0, x + f(y) \neq 0, y + f(x) \neq 0$ .

In fact,  $x + y = 0$  would imply  $x = y$ , in contradiction to  $C_x \cap C_y = \emptyset$ . Furthermore,  $x + f(y) = 0$  would lead to  $f(y) = x$ , i.e., to  $x \sim_f y$ , also contradicting  $C_x \cap C_y = \emptyset$ , and the third formula is obtained analogously.

(3.9.2).  $C_x, C_y, C_{x+y}, C_{x+f(y)}, C_{y+f(x)}$  are pairwise disjoint.

In fact,  $C_x \cap C_y = \emptyset$  was already an immediate consequence of Case 2.

Assume  $C_x \cap C_{x+y} \neq \emptyset$ . As  $\sim_f$ -classes,  $C_x = C_{x+y}$ , we have  $x + y \in C_x \subset H_x$ . But also  $x \in H_x$ , so  $y = x + y - x \in H_x$ . Since  $y \neq 0$ , we have by (17)  $y \in C_x$ , so  $y \in C_x \cap C_y$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $C_x \cap C_{x+y} = \emptyset$  and, analogously,  $C_y \cap C_{x+y} = \emptyset$ .

Assume  $x + f(y) \sim_f x$ . Then  $x + f(y) \in C_x \subset H_x$  and  $f(y) = x + x + f(y) \in H_x$ . Now  $y \neq 0$ , (0) and (B1') require  $f(y) \neq 0$ , so by (17)  $f(y) \in C_x$ . But also  $f(y) \in C_y$ , a contradiction. So  $C_{x+f(y)} \cap C_x = \emptyset$  and analogously  $C_{y+f(x)} \cap C_y = \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $x \sim_f y + f(x)$ . Then  $y + f(x) \in C_x \subset H_x$ , so  $y = y + f(x) - f(x) \in H_x$ , so, since  $y \neq 0$ , we have  $y \in C_x$ , i.e.,  $y \in C_x \cap C_y$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $C_x \cap C_{y+f(x)} = \emptyset$  and analogously  $C_y \cap C_{x+f(y)} = \emptyset$ .

Assume  $x + y \sim_f y + f(x)$ . Then  $y + f(x) \in C_{x+y} \subset H_{x+y}$ , so  $x + f(x) = x + y + y + f(x) \in H_{x+y}$ . Because of (0),  $x \neq 0$  and Lemma 2.4  $f(x) \neq x$ , so  $x + f(x) \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $x + f(x) \in C_{x+y}$ , so  $x + f(x) \in C_x \cap C_{x+y}$ , which was already recognized above to be impossible. So  $C_{x+y} \cap C_{y+f(x)} = \emptyset$  and analogously  $C_{x+y} \cap C_{x+f(y)} = \emptyset$ .

Finally suppose  $x + f(y) \sim_f y + f(x)$ . Since  $x + f(y) \sim_f f(x + f(y))$ , we have  $f(x + f(y)) \in C_{y+f(x)} \subset H_{y+f(x)}$  and furthermore  $f(x + f(y)) + f(y + f(x)) \in H_{y+f(x)}$ , so by (1) (remember  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^4)$ )  $x + y \in H_{y+f(x)}$ . By (3.9.1)  $x + y \neq 0$ , so  $x + y \in C_{y+f(x)}$ , in contradiction to  $C_{x+y} \cap C_{y+f(x)} = \emptyset$ , which is already established. Hence  $C_{x+f(y)} \cap C_{y+f(x)} = \emptyset$ .

$$(3.9.3). \quad f(x) + f(y) \in C_{x+y}.$$

In fact, by (3.9.1) and (3.9.2),  $C_x, C_y, C_{x+y}, C_{x+f(y)}, C_{y+f(x)}$  are the five 3-cycles of  $f$ , hence

$$\mathbb{Z}_2^4 = C_0 \dot{\cup} C_x \dot{\cup} C_y \dot{\cup} C_{x+y} \dot{\cup} C_{x+f(y)} \dot{\cup} C_{y+f(x)}. \tag{23}$$

$f(x) + f(y) \in C_0 = \{0\}$  would imply  $f(x) = f(y)$ , so by (B1)  $x = y$ , in contradiction to Case 2. So  $f(x) + f(y) \notin C_0$ .

Assume  $f(x) + f(y) \in C_x$ . Then  $f(x) + f(y) \in H_x, f(y) = f(x) + f(x) + f(y) \in H_x$ , so  $f(y) \in H_x \cap H_y =_{\text{Case 2}} \{0\}$ , i.e.,  $f(y) = 0$ , so by (B1) and (0)  $y = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $f(x) + f(y) \notin C_x$ , and analogously  $f(x) + f(y) \notin C_y$ .

Suppose  $f(x) + f(y) \in C_{x+f(y)} \subset H_{x+f(y)}$ . Then  $x + f(x) = x + f(y) + f(x) + f(y) \in H_{x+f(y)}$ . But  $x + f(x) \in_{\text{Lemma 3.2}} C_x$ , so  $x + f(x) \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $x + f(x) \in C_x \cap C_{x+f(y)}$ , a contradiction to (3.9.2). So  $f(x) + f(y) \notin C_{x+f(y)}$  and analogously  $f(x) + f(y) \notin C_{y+f(x)}$ .

Now it follows from (23) that  $f(x) + f(y) \in C_{x+y}$ , and (3.9.3) is proved. (3.9.3) says by Lemma 3.2 that

$$f(x) + f(y) \in \{x + y, f(x + y), x + y + f(x + y)\}. \tag{24}$$

*Case 2a.*  $f(x) + f(y) = x + y$ . So  $y + f(x) = x + f(y)$ , i.e.  $f(y + f(x)) = f(x + f(y))$ , so by (1)  $x = y$ , which is excluded in Case 2. Therefore, Case 2a is impossible.

*Case 2b.*  $f(x) + f(y) = x + y + f(x + y)$ . We replace  $y$  by  $y + f(x)$  in (1) and obtain  $x + f(y + f(x) + f(x)) = y + f(x) + f(x + f(y + f(x)))$ , i.e.  $x + f(y) = y + f(x) + f(x + f(y + f(x)))$ , i.e.,  $x + y + f(x) + f(y) = f(x + f(y + f(x)))$ , so by the assumption of Case 2b  $f(x + y) = f(x + f(y + f(x)))$ , and by (B1)  $x + y = x + f(y + f(x))$ , i.e.,  $y = f(y + f(x))$ . But  $f(y + f(x)) \in C_{y+f(x)}$ , so  $y \in C_y \cap C_{y+f(x)}$ , in contradiction to (3.9.2). Therefore, Case 2b is impossible too.

From (24) we get (22)  $f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y)$ . Therefore, (22) holds in the two complementary cases 1 and 2. Since  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4$  were arbitrary, we have  $f \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^4)$ , and Theorem 3.9 is proved. □

*Remark 3.10.* In (3.9.2), among other things,  $x+y \not\sim_f x+f(y)$  was established. This shows that  $+$  and  $\sim_f$  are not compatible in the sense that  $z, w, z', w' \in G$ ;  $z \sim_f z', w \sim_f w' \not\Rightarrow z + w \sim_f z' + w'$ . So  $\sim_f$  is not a congruence relation on the group  $(G, +)$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $2G = \{0\}$ ,  $f \in S_0(G)$  and  $M$  is a 4-dimensional subspace of  $G$  with  $f(M) = M$ , then  $f$  is additive on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ , and the restriction  $\tilde{f} : M \rightarrow M$  of  $f$  is available and in  $S_0(M)$ . By Theorem 3.9 and Remark 1.1(c)  $S_0(M) \subset \text{End}(M)$ , so  $\tilde{f} \in \text{End}(M)$ , i.e.,  $f$  is additive on  $M$ . □

**Corollary 3.12.** *If  $2G = \{0\}$ ,  $f \in S_0(G)$ , and  $f(M) = M$  for all 4-dimensional subspaces of  $G$ , then  $f \in \text{End}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in G$  be arbitrary. If  $H_x \subset H_y$  and/or  $H_y \subset H_x$ , we proceed as in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.9 to get (22)  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ . In the opposite case,  $\dim M = 4$  for  $M := H_x \oplus H_y$ , and by hypothesis we have  $f(H_x \oplus H_y) = H_x \oplus H_y$ . By Corollary 3.11, we arrive again at (22). Since  $x, y \in G$  were arbitrary,  $f \in \text{End}(G)$ . □

*Remark 3.13.* Corollaries 3.11 and 3.12 show the way how to possibly obtain a non-additive  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$ ; remember  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^5) = \emptyset$  by Lemma 3.7(a). The experience gained in the proof of Theorem 3.9 is that  $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$  is just narrow enough for enforcing an  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^4)$  to be additive.

Now we come to a serious contrast to Remark 3.8 and Theorem 3.9.

*Example 3.14.*  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6) \not\subset \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$ , so the open question (B10) in Sect. 1 is answered in the negative.

*Proof.* 1) First we do some heuristics for finding a function in  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6) \setminus \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$ . We assume that  $f$  is such a function. Non-additivity of  $f$  is manifested by the existence of two elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6$ , say  $e_1$  and  $e_3$ , with

$$f(e_1 + e_3) \neq f(e_1) + f(e_3). \tag{25}$$

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3,  $C_x$  and  $H_x (x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^6)$  are available for  $f$ . It follows from (25) and Corollary 3.5 that  $H_{e_1} \not\subset H_{e_3}$  and  $H_{e_3} \not\subset H_{e_1}$ , therefore  $e_1 \neq 0, e_3 \neq 0, C_{e_1} \cap C_{e_3} = \emptyset, H_{e_1} \cap H_{e_3} = \{0\}, \dim H_{e_1} = \dim H_{e_3} = 2$  and  $\dim(H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}) = 4$ ; cf. the beginning of Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.9. We now put

$$e_2 := f(e_1) \in H_{e_1}, \quad e_4 := f(e_3) \in H_{e_3} \tag{26}$$

and see that  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  is a basis of the subspace  $H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$ . Assume for a moment that  $f(H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}) \subset H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$ . Then, since  $f$  is injective by (B1) and  $H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$  is finite, we would have  $f(H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}) = H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$ , so by Corollary 3.11,  $f$  would be additive on  $H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$ , in contradiction to (25). Therefore

$$f(H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}) \not\subset H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}. \tag{27}$$

More explicitly, we find

$$f(e_1 + e_3) \notin H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}. \tag{28}$$

Namely, (0), (B1), Lemma 2.4, (25), and the pairwise disjointness of  $C_{e_1}, C_{e_3}, C_{e_1+e_3}, C_{e_1+f(e_3)}, C_{e_3+f(e_1)}$  (true in analogy to (3.9.2)) prevent the equality of  $f(e_1 + e_3)$  to any one of the elements of  $H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$ . Because  $H_{e_2} \stackrel{(26)}{=} H_{f(e_1)} = H_{e_1}$  and  $H_{e_4} = H_{e_3}$ , we get similarly

$$f(e_2 + e_4) \notin H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}, \tag{29}$$

and we define

$$e_5 := f(e_1 + e_3), \quad e_6 := f(e_2 + e_4). \tag{30}$$

Furthermore, (B4), the linear independence of  $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$ , (28), (29) lead to  $e_1 + e_3 \not\sim_f e_2 + e_4$ , so to  $H_{e_1+e_3} \cap H_{e_2+e_4} = \{0\}$ . So by (30),  $\{e_5, e_6\}$  is linearly independent and  $e_5, e_6 \notin H_{e_1} \oplus H_{e_3}$ . Therefore,  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6$ . By Lemma 3.2 and because of  $\text{card } \mathbb{Z}_2^6 = 64, \mathbb{Z}_2^6$  is the disjoint union of  $C_0 = \{0\}$  and 21 3-cycles of  $f$ . So far some heuristic thoughts on what an  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6) \setminus \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$  has to look like.

2) On the basis of part 1) of this proof and keeping in mind (3) and special cases of (1) as necessary conditions for a function to belong to  $S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$ , we now construct the bijective function  $f_0 : \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^6$  as follows:

2.1) Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6$  and put

$$f_0(e_1) := e_2, \quad f_0(e_3) := e_4, \quad f_0(e_1 + e_3) := e_5, \quad f_0(e_2 + e_4) := e_6 \tag{31}$$

[cf. (26) and (30)]. Furthermore

$$f_0(0) := 0. \tag{32}$$

No matter how we extend (31) to a function  $f_0$  with domain  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6$ , this extension will certainly not be additive since

$$f_0(e_1 + e_3) = e_5 \neq e_2 + e_4 = f_0(e_1) + f_0(e_3). \tag{33}$$

We write in the following  $z \mapsto w$  for  $f_0(z) = w(z, w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^6)$ .

(31) with the assistance of (3) generates the following four 3-cycles of  $f_0$

$$e_1 \mapsto e_2 \mapsto e_1 + e_2 \mapsto e_1, \tag{34}$$

$$e_3 \mapsto e_4 \mapsto e_3 + e_4 \mapsto e_3, \tag{35}$$

$$e_1 + e_3 \mapsto e_5 \mapsto e_1 + e_3 + e_5 \mapsto e_1 + e_3, \tag{36}$$

$$e_2 + e_4 \mapsto e_6 \mapsto e_2 + e_4 + e_6 \mapsto e_2 + e_4. \tag{37}$$

2.2) For possibly finding the remaining seventeen 3-cycles of  $f_0$ , our procedure is to put appropriate elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6$  into (1) in the places of  $x$  and  $y$  with the aim to determine a new pair  $(w, f_0(w))$ , of course by the aid of 3-cycles already computed; we then complete the 3-cycle by means of (3). This program can in fact be realized, e.g., in the order in which the 3-cycles are listed in the following table, where the last element of every line is sent by  $f_0$  to the first one.

$x$	$y$	resulting 3-cycle of $f_0$	
$e_1+e_2$	$e_3$	$e_1+e_2+e_4 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_3+e_5 \mapsto e_3+e_4+e_5$	(38)
$e_3+e_4$	$e_1$	$e_2+e_3+e_4 \mapsto e_1+e_3+e_4+e_5 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_5$	(39)
$e_3$	$e_2$	$e_1+e_2+e_3 \mapsto e_2+e_3+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_6$	(40)
$e_1$	$e_4$	$e_1+e_3+e_4 \mapsto e_1+e_4+e_6 \mapsto e_3+e_6$	(41)
$e_1+e_3$	$e_3$	$e_3+e_5 \mapsto e_4+e_6 \mapsto e_3+e_4+e_5+e_6$	(42)
$e_1+e_3$	$e_1$	$e_1+e_5 \mapsto e_2+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_5+e_6$	(43)
$e_5$	$e_1$	$e_2+e_5 \mapsto e_1+e_4+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_4+e_6$	(44)
$e_5$	$e_3$	$e_4+e_5 \mapsto e_2+e_3+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_2+e_3+e_4+e_6$	(45)
$e_2$	$e_6$	$e_1+e_2+e_6 \mapsto e_2+e_3+e_4+e_5 \mapsto e_1+e_3+e_4+e_5+e_6$	(46)
$e_2$	$e_2+e_3+e_6$	$e_1+e_3+e_6 \mapsto e_2+e_4+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_3+e_4+e_5$	(47)
$e_1+e_6$	$e_1$	$e_2+e_3 \mapsto e_2+e_3+e_4+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_4+e_5+e_6$	(48)
$e_2+e_6$	$e_1+e_2$	$e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_3+e_4+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_3+e_4$	(49)
$e_1$	$e_3$	$e_1+e_4 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_4+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_2+e_5+e_6$	(50)
$e_1+e_3$	$e_2+e_4$	$e_2+e_4+e_5 \mapsto e_1+e_3+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_3+e_4+e_6$	(51)
$e_1+e_2$	$e_4+e_5$	$e_1+e_4+e_5 \mapsto e_3+e_5+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_3+e_4+e_6$	(52)
$e_2+e_5+e_6$	$e_5$	$e_1+e_2+e_3+e_6 \mapsto e_2+e_3+e_5 \mapsto e_1+e_5+e_6$	(53)
$e_2+e_3+e_4+e_6$	$e_1$	$e_3+e_4+e_6 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_4+e_5 \mapsto e_1+e_2+e_3+e_5+e_6$	(54)

Now  $f_0 : \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^6$  is explicitly defined by (32), (34), ..., (54), is indeed bijective since the cycles were computed from a partition of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6$  and is not additive by (33). It remains to show that  $f_0 \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$  by inspecting the validity of (1) for  $f_0$  and all pairs  $(x, y)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^6$ . It causes no principal problem to do this by hand, but it was done electronically. I cordially thank Hanspeter

Bieri and Heinz Bruggesser, University of Bern, for their valuable assistance by writing and performing the corresponding computer program.

In total,  $f_0 \in S_0(\mathbb{Z}_2^6) \setminus \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_2^6)$ , and Example 3.14 is established.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *If  $2G = \{0\}$  and  $\dim G \geq \aleph_0$  or  $\dim G \in 2\mathbb{N}$  and  $\geq 6$ , then  $S_0(G) \not\subset \text{End}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.7,  $S_0(G) \neq \emptyset$  in both cases. There are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear subspaces  $M, N$  of  $G$  with  $G = M \oplus N$  and  $\dim M = 6$ ; therefore  $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^6$ . By Example 3.14 and Remark 1.1(c)  $S_0(M) \not\subset \text{End}(M)$ ; let  $g_0 \in S_0(M) \setminus \text{End}(M)$ . Since  $\dim N \geq \aleph_0$  or  $\dim N \in 2\mathbb{N}^0$ , Lemma 3.7 guarantees  $S_0(N) \neq \emptyset$ ; choose  $g_1 \in S_0(N)$ . By Lemma 2.3(a),  $g : G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $g(x_1, x_2) := (g_0(x_1), g_1(x_2)) (\forall (x_1, x_2) \in M \times N = M \oplus N = G)$  belongs to  $S_0(G)$ . But  $g_0 \notin \text{End}(M)$  implies  $g \notin \text{End}(G)$ , so  $S_0(G) \not\subset \text{End}(G)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Balcerowski, M.: On the functional equation  $x + f(y + f(x)) = y + f(x + f(y))$ . *Aequationes Math.* **75**, 297–303 (2008)
- [2] Brillouët-Belluot, N., Problem 15. Report of Meeting. The Thirty-eighth International Symposium on Functional Equations (Noszvaj, 2000). *Aequationes Math.* **61**, 304 (2001)
- [3] Jarczyk, J., Jarczyk, W.: On a problem of N Brillouët-Belluot. *Aequationes Math.* **72**, 198–200 (2006)
- [4] McShane, N.: On the periodicity of homeomorphisms of the real line. *Am. Math. Mon.* **68**, 562–563 (1961)
- [5] Rätz, J.: On the functional equation  $x + f(y + f(x)) = y + f(x + f(y))$ . Report of Meeting. The Forty-eighth International Symposium on Functional Equations (Batz-sur-Mer, 2010). *Aequationes Math.* **81**, 300 (2011)
- [6] Suzuki, M.: Group theory I *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* 247. Springer, Berlin (1982)

Jürg Rätz

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bern  
Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland  
e-mail: math@math.unibe.ch

Received: January 18, 2013