

Angiographic derived endothelial shear stress: a new predictor of atherosclerotic disease progression

Christos V. Bourantas^{1,2}*[†], Anantharaman Ramasamy¹*[†], Alexios Karagiannis³, Antonis Sakellarios³, Thomas Zanchin⁴, Kyohei Yamaji⁴, Yasushi Ueki⁴, Xiaohui Shen⁵, Dimitrios I. Fotiadis⁶, Lampros K. Michalis⁷, Anthony Mathur¹, Patrick W. Serruys⁸, Hector M. Garcia-Garcia⁹, Kostantinos Koskinas⁴, Ryo Torii⁵, Stephan Windecker⁴, and Lorenz Räber⁴

¹Department of Cardiology, Barts Heart Centre, Barts Health NHS Trust, London EC1A 7BE, UK; ²Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University College London, London, UK; ³CTU Bern, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Bern University, Bern, Switzerland; ⁴Department of Cardiology, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland; ⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, University College London, London, UK; ⁶Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece; ⁷2nd Department of Cardiology, Medical School, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece; ⁸International Centre for Circulatory Health, NHLI, Imperial College London, London, UK; and ⁹Section of Interventional Cardiology, MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC, USA

Received 15 May 2018; editorial decision 9 June 2018; accepted 19 June 2018

Aims	To examine the efficacy of angiography derived endothelial shear stress (ESS) in predicting atherosclerotic disease progression.
Methods and results	Thirty-five patients admitted with ST-elevation myocardial infarction that had three-vessel intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) immediately after revascularization and at 13 months follow-up were included. Three dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the non-culprit vessels were performed using (i) quantitative coronary angiography (QCA) and (ii) methodology involving fusion of IVUS and biplane angiography. In both models, blood flow simulation was performed and the minimum predominant ESS was estimated in 3 mm segments. Baseline plaque characteristics and ESS were used to identify predictors of atherosclerotic disease progression defied as plaque area increase and lumen reduction at follow-up. Fifty-four vessels were included in the final analysis. A moderate correlation was noted between ESS estimated in the 3D QCA and the IVUS-derived models ($r=0.588$, $P<0.001$); 3D QCA accurately identified segments exposed to low (<1Pa) ESS in the IVUS-based reconstructions (AUC: 0.793, $P<0.001$). Low 3D QCA-derived ESS (<1.75 Pa) was associated with an increase in plaque area, burden, and necrotic core at follow-up. In multivariate analysis, low ESS estimated either in 3D QCA [odds ratio (OR): 2.07, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.17–3.67; $P=0.012$) or in IVUS (<1Pa; OR: 2.23, 95% CI: 1.23–4.03; $P=0.008$) models, and plaque burden were independent predictors of atherosclerotic disease progression; 3D QCA and IVUS-derived models had a similar accuracy in predicting disease progression (AUC: 0.826 vs. 0.827, $P=0.907$).
Conclusions	3D QCA-derived ESS can predict disease progression. Further research is required to examine its value in detecting vulnerable plaques.
Keywords	vulnerable plaque • shear stress • intravascular ultrasound

* Corresponding author. Tel: +44 (20) 7377 7000; Fax: +44 (20) 7791 9670. E-mail: cbourantas@gmail.com

 † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author(s) 2018. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Introduction

Cumulative evidence has shown that an invasive evaluation of coronary plaque morphology can provide useful prognostic information and identify, with however low accuracy, lesions that are likely to progress and cause cardiovascular events.^{1–3} This predictive accuracy increases considerably when information about plaque physiology and in particular the endothelial shear stress (ESS) distribution is added to the prognostic model.^{4–6} Traditionally, ESS computation requires the use of tedious and time consuming methodologies that rely on the fusion of intravascular imaging data and coronary angiography and thus these approaches cannot be used in the clinical setting while the patient is on the catheterization laboratory.⁷

On the other hand three dimensional (3D) QCA can be performed in real time, it appears able to accurately assess luminal dimensions⁸ and it has been used to evaluate lumen geometry⁹ and detect haemodynamically significant lesions^{10,11}; however, there is limited evidence about its value in assessing the ESS distribution.^{12,13} The aim of this study is to explore whether basic computational fluid dynamic (CFD) processing of 3D QCA models—which can be performed in real time while the patient is on the catheterization laboratory—can reliable evaluate ESS distribution and identify segments that are likely to exhibit atherosclerotic disease progression.

Methods

Study patients

We analysed the angiography and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) imaging data acquired from the non-culprit vessels of patients recruited in the Integrated Biomarkers Imaging 4 (IBIS 4) study (NCT00962416), a substudy of the COMFORTABLE AMI trial. The study protocol has already been described in detail¹⁴; in summary the study included 103 patients admitted with ST-elevation myocardial infarction who had successful revascularization and serial three-vessel IVUS, IVUS-virtual histology (VH), and optical coherence tomography imaging at baseline immediately after stent implantation and at 13 months follow-up after receiving intensive treatment with rosuvastatin.

In the present analysis, we included only the patients that had biplane angiography and IVUS imaging at baseline and follow-up. Segments where there was IVUS hang up—that resulted in a length difference >3 mm between baseline and follow-up examinations—and those with a short length (<15 mm) were excluded from the study.

Data acquisition and processing

IVUS imaging

IVUS imaging was performed in the culprit and non-culprit vessel using a 20 MHz catheter (Eagle Eye, Volcano Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA). The catheter was advanced distally to a side branch that was used as anatomical landmark and then was pulled back at a constant speed of 0.5 mm/ s using an automated pullback device. The pullback was completed when the catheter was back into the left main stem, or the guide catheter in the cases of right coronary artery imaging. All the recruited patients underwent repeat angiography and IVUS imaging at 13 months follow-up. An effort was made to study the same segment based on the most proximal and distal anatomical landmarks in baseline IVUS. Imaging at follow-up was performed to the exact standard as the baseline imaging protocol.

The IVUS data were anonymized and analysed by an independent Core Laboratory (Cardialysis B.V., Rotterdam, The Netherlands) using validated imaging software (Qlvus, Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands). The present analysis included only the IVUS data acquired in the non-culprit vessels; in these data side branches were identified and were used to define the segment of interest, i.e. the largest segment that was assessed by IVUS both at baseline and follow-up. For this segment the lumen, external elastic membrane (EEM), the plaque burden, and its composition, derived by IVUS-VH (i.e. fibrotic fibrofatty, calcific, and necrotic core area and burden) were estimated in every end-diastolic frame (at ~0.4 mm interval).¹⁴

Coronary artery reconstruction

The proximal and distal end of the segment of interest were identified in coronary angiography (Supplementary data online, *Figure S1*) and then this segment was reconstructed using two methodologies: the first (IVUS-based reconstruction) relies on the fusion of coronary angiography with IVUS and has already been described and validated in detail.⁷ This approach enables reliable coronary reconstruction from data acquired during routine invasive coronary imaging and accurate evaluation of ESS distribution, and involves the following steps: extraction of the INUS contours perpendicularly onto the luminal centreline and estimation of their absolute orientation using side branches that are visible in both angiography and INUS.⁷ This approach was used to reconstruct the segment of interest from the INUS data acquired both at baseline and follow-up examinations.

The 2nd reconstruction methodology (the *3D QCA reconstruction*) was used to reconstruct the same segment of interest (assessed by IVUS at baseline and follow-up) only at baseline and was performed using well validated software (QAngio XA 3D RE, Medis Specials bv, Leiden, the Netherlands).¹⁵ In brief, two end-diastolic angiographic projections (>25° apart), where there was no overlapping or foreshortening of the segment of interest and enabled accurate delineation of the lumen silhouette were selected; after image calibration its lumen centreline and the lumen borders were automatically identified in the two projections using an established edge detections algorithms. Corresponding points were identified in the two centrelines and used to extract the 3D centreline of the segment of interest. For each pair of corresponding points two lumen diameters were estimated in the two angiographic projections that were used to define an ellipse that corresponded to the lumen cross section in the 3D lumen model.

Blood flow simulation and data processing

The baseline models were processed with CFD techniques using proprietary software (ICEM CFD and CFX 14.5, Ansys, Canonsburg, PA, USA). The same simulation was performed and the same boundary conditions were used in both IVUS- and 3D QCA-based models. Blood was considered homogenous, Newtonian fluid with a dynamic viscosity of 0.0035 Pa, and a density of 1050 kg/m³; blood flow was assumed to be steady, laminar and incompressible; the arterial wall was considered to be rigid; no-slip conditions were applied to the lumen surfaces and zero pressure conditions were imposed at the outlet of the model. A flat flow profile was imposed at the inlet of the lumen which it was assumed that was fully developed after the first 3 mm of the reconstruction. In IVUS-based and QCA-based models, blood flow was calculated by measuring the number of frames required for the contrast dye to pass from the inlet to outlet of the segment of interest, the volume of the model and the cine frame rate.¹⁶

The baseline IVUS and 3D QCA models were divided in 3 mm consecutive segments; the first and last segment of each model were excluded from the analysis and for the remaining 3 mm segments the minimum predominant ESS values were estimated which, as it has been shown in the PREDICTION study, appear able to predict atherosclerotic disease progression.^{5,6} In addition, in the IVUS-based models for each 3 mm segment the mean lumen, EEM, plaque area, plaque burden, and the area and burden of each tissue component as well as the remodelling



pattern (i.e. excessive expanding remodelling, positive compensatory, and negative remodelling) were computed. 6

The follow-up IVUS-based models were processed similarly to the IVUS-based reconstructions. The models were divided in 3 mm segments and for each segment the mean lumen, EEM, plaque area, and plaque burden and its composition were estimated.

Statistics

Continuous values are presented as median and interquartile range and categorical as counts and percentages. Spearman correlation coefficient, linear logistic analysis, and Bland and Altman analysis were used to examine the association between models' length and the association between the minimum predominant ESS estimated in the IVUS-based and 3D QCA reconstructions. Receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis was used to examine the efficacy of the 3D QCA-derived ESS in detecting segments exposed to low (<1 Pa) athero-promoting ESS on IVUS-based reconstructions.⁶

To compare plaque features between baseline and follow-up IVUS examinations linear and logistic mixed effects models were used with a random effect at patient level to adjust for the multiple segments per patient. Univariate linear regression models were applied to associate baseline variables with the changes in lumen area, plaque area, plaque burden, and necrotic core area. Variables associated with these changes (P < 0.1) were entered into a multivariate model. In case of co-linearity (r > 0.5, P < 0.05), only the variable with the higher β was entered into the multivariate analysis. All models included a random patient-specific intercept.

Atherosclerotic disease progression was defined as a reduction in lumen and an increase in plaque area at follow-up. Binary mixed effects logistic regression analysis was used to identify predictors of disease progression and the variables that appear as independent predictors were used to build two multivariate models: the first included the minimum predominant ESS estimated in IVUS-based models and the other the ESS in the 3D QCA reconstructions. The efficacy of the two models in detecting disease progression was compared using ROC curve analysis.

Analyses were performed in Stata (version 14.2, StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) and R (version 3.4.2, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna).

Results

Studied population

Eighty-two (151 vessels) out of the 103 recruited patients had IVUS imaging at baseline and follow-up. From these patients, 50 patients (88 vessels) had biplane angiography. After excluding segments with

short length, vessels where there was IVUS hang-up during pullback and these where post-processing of the final IVUS-based models were not feasible, fusion of IVUS with X-ray angiography and blood flow simulation was successfully performed in 73 coronary segments. From these 19 segments were excluded from the analysis as accurate 3D QCA reconstruction was not feasible; therefore, 35 patients (54 vessels) were included the final analysis (*Figure 1*). The baseline characteristics of the studied population are illustrated in *Table 1*.

Association between ESS estimated in IVUS- and QCA-based models

The median length of the 54 IVUS-based models was 31.71 mm (22.47–44.01 mm); after excluding the proximal and distal 3 mm segments 470 3 mm segments were included in the final analysis. A strong and statistical significant correlation was noted between the length of the 3D QCA (median: 30.95 mm, IQR: 23.00-43.00 mm) and the IVUS-based models (r = 0.936, P < 0.001). On the other hand, the correlation of the minimum predominant ESS estimated in the IVUS-based reconstructions (median: 1.12 Pa, IQR: 0.65-1.80 Pa) and 3D QCA models (median: 1.60 Pa, IQR: 0.92–2.80 Pa) was moderate but statistical significant (r = 0.588, P < 0.001, Figure 2A). Bland–Altman analysis demonstrated a mean difference between IVUS- and 3D QCA-derived ESS of -0.75 ± 1.85 Pa indicating that 3D QCA overestimates the ESS; it is apparent in Figure 2B that the scatter is higher in high ESS compared with low ESS values. ROC curve analysis demonstrated that 3D QCA-derived ESS has a high accuracy in identifying segments exposed to low (<1 Pa) ESS in the IVUS-based models (AUC: 0.793). A cut-off of 1.76 Pa was able to identify segments exposed to low (<1 Pa) ESS with a moderate specificity and positive predictive value (0.672 and 0.656) and a high sensitivity and negative predictive value (0.810 and 0.820 respectively; Figure 3A). This cut-off was used to define low ESS in the QCA-based reconstructions and explore its value in predicting atherosclerotic evolution.

Predictors of atherosclerotic disease progression

At follow-up, both the lumen and plaque area decreased. This should be attributed to the aggressive treatment with rosuvastatin. The reduction in plaque area was due to a decrease in the fibrous and fibrofatty tissue component whereas the calcific tissue increased at follow-up (Supplementary data online, *Table S1*).

Low minimum predominant ESS in IVUS-based (<1 Pa) but not in 3D QCA reconstructions (<1.76 Pa) were associated with an increase in plaque area, plaque burden, and necrotic core component at 13 months follow-up. Low IVUS-based ESS was associated with lumen reduction at follow-up while in 3D QCA models the association between low predominant ESS and lumen changes was not statistical significant. In multivariate analysis, low predominant ESS in the IVUS-based models was independently associated with the changes in lumen area, plaque area, and burden but not with the changes in the necrotic core component, whereas low predominant ESS in the 3D QCA reconstructions was related only with the changes in plaque area (*Table 2*).

Table I Baseline demographic of the studied patients

	Studied patients $(n = 35)$
Age (years)	57.2 ± 10.6
Gender (male)	33 (94.3%)
Current smoker	13 (37.1%)
Co-morbidities	
Diabetes mellitus	5 (14.3%)
Hypertension	17 (48.6%)
Hypercholesterolaemia	14 (40.0%)
Renal failure ^a	1 (2.9%)
Previous PCI	0 (0.0%)
LV function ^b	
Normal LV function	21 (60.0%)
Moderate LV dysfunction	14 (40.0%)
Clinical presentation	
Anterior STEMI	21 (60.0%)
Lateral—posterior STEMI	3 (8.6%)
Inferior STEMI	11 (31.4%)
Studied vessels	(<i>n</i> = 54)
Left anterior descending artery	15 (27.8%)
Left circumflex coronary artery	18 (33.3%)
Right coronary artery	21 (38.9%)
Medications at discharge	
Aspirin	35 (100%)
Thienopyridines	35 (100%)
Beta-blocker	33 (94.3%)
RAAS inhibitor	32 (91.4%)
Statin	35 (100%)

LV, left ventricular; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; RAAS, renin angiotensin aldosterone system; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

 $^{a}\text{Renal}$ failure was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate ${<}60\,\text{mL/min}/$ 1.73 $\text{m}^{2}.$

^bNormal LV systolic function: LV ejection fraction \geq 50%, moderate LV systolic dysfunction: LV ejection fraction >30% and <50%.

Predictors of atherosclerotic disease progression

At 13 months follow-up atherosclerotic disease progression was noted in 24% (n = 113) of the studied 3 mm segments. The predictors of atherosclerotic disease progression are listed in *Table 3*. Decreasing baseline plaque burden and low minimum predominant ESS estimated either by IVUS-based or 3D QCA CFD modelling were predictors of disease progression in multivariate analysis. The model that included the ESS estimated in the IVUS-based reconstruction and the model that included the ESS derived from the QCA reconstructions had the same accuracy in predicting disease progression (AUC: 0.827 vs. 0.826, P = 0.907; *Figure 3B*).

Discussion

In this study, we examined for the first time in a large number of vessels the efficacy of 3D QCA CFD modelling in assessing the local haemodynamic forces and predicting atherosclerotic disease progression. We found (i) a moderate but significant correlation



Figure 2 Linear regression analysis (A) and Bland and Altman analysis (B) of the IVUS-based vs. the 3D QCA-derived minimum predominant ESS values estimated in the 470 3 mm segments included in the present analysis.



Figure 3 (A) ROC curve plot demonstrating the efficacy of the minimum predominant ESS estimated 3D QCA models in detecting segments that are exposed to low (<1 Pa) ESS in the models reconstructed from the fusion of IVUS and X-ray angiography. A cut-off value of 1.76 Pa was able to indentify segments exposed to low ESS in IVUS-based reconstructions with an AUC of 0.793. (B) ROC curve analysis demonstrating the efficiency of the model that included the minimum predominant ESS estimated in the 3D QCA (AUC: 0.826) and the model that included the ESS derived from the IVUS-based reconstructions in detecting atherosclerotic disease progression (AUC: 0.827). It is apparent that there is no significant difference in the predictive accuracy of the two models (P = 0.907).

between the minimum predominant ESS estimated in models reconstructed by 3D QCA and the ESS computed in IVUS-based reconstructions, (ii) that 3D QCA is able to identify with high accuracy segments exposed to low ESS in the IVUS-based models (<1 Pa), (iii) that low minimum predominant ESS values in 3D QCA are associated with the changes in plaque and necrotic core component at follow-up, and (iv) that the ESS estimated in 3D QCA and in IVUS-based reconstructions have the same efficacy in predicting atherosclerotic disease progression (*Figure 3*).

Cumulative evidence has shown that computational modelling can be used to process 3D QCA data and accurately evaluate the haemodynamic significance of coronary artery lesions.^{10,17} Advances in software technology have enabled real time assessment of pressure drop in 3D QCA models carrying promise for future applications in the



Figure 4 ESS and plaque distribution in a right coronary artery (RCA) at baseline and 13 months follow-up. (A_1, B_1) X-ray angiography of the segments of interest at baseline—its proximal and distal end are indicated with arrows. (A_2) The segment of interest reconstructed from the fusion of X-ray angiography and IVUS were described. The ESS distribution in the 3D model is colour coded displayed (blue colour indicates low ESS and redhigh ESS), while the EEM model is shown in a semi-transparent fashion. $(A_3 \text{ and } A_4)$ Spread-out plots of the ESS and plaque distribution were described, respectively. $(B_2 \text{ and } B_3)$ The corresponding 3D QCA model and a spread-out plot of the ESS distribution are shown. It is apparent that there is a similarity in ESS values between the IVUS-based and 3D QCA model. (C_1) An angiographic image of the RCA at follow-up is shown. Although lumen's silhouette is similar at baseline and follow-up in coronary angiography, the reconstruction of the segment of interest from the IVUS and X-ray data (C_2, C_4) shows significant changes in the lumen dimensions and plaque burden (C_4) . These changes have an impact on the ESS distribution at follow-up (C_3) . (Δ) The changes in plaque thickness between baseline and follow-up (green indicates plaque regression and red plaque progression) are shown. Segments exposed to low minimum predominant ESS in the baseline reconstructions (IVUS- or 3D QCA-based) exhibit disease progression at follow-up.

clinical arena.¹¹ Despite these encouraging results the full potential of 3D QCA in assessing vessel physiology has not been explored yet. Today only two small scale studies that included seven and nine coronary arteries have examined the accuracy of the 3D QCA in assessing the ESS distribution and showed a statistical significant correlation between the ESS estimated in 3D QCA and IVUS-based models.^{12,13} Moreover, the study of Timmins *et al.*¹² that included serial IVUS

imaging at baseline and 6 months follow-up showed that in contrast to IVUS-based reconstructions, the ESS estimated in 3D QCAmodels were unable to predict disease progression. However, this analysis had significant limitations as it included only nine vessels, and it used a 3D QCA software which assumed that the lumen has circular cross sections—therefore, it was unable to accurately reconstruct eccentric lesions.¹²

Table 2	Univariate and	multivariate anal	yses of the va	riables assoc	ciated with th	e changes in 🛛	lumen dimensi	ions and
plaque bui	rden							

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate model including the IVUS-based ESS		Multivariate model including the 3D QCA-based ESS	
Associated factor	β (95% CI)	Р	β (95% CI)	Р	β (95% CI)	Р
Reduction in lumen area (per 1 mm ²)						
Presence of low predominant ESS in IVUS models	0.78 (0.54–1.02)	< 0.001	0.67 (0.42-0.93)	< 0.001		
Presence of low predominant ESS in 3D QCA models	0.21 (-0.03 to 0.46)	0.089			0.12 (-0.13–0.37)	0.337
Increasing baseline lumen area (per 1 mm ²)	0.12 (0.09–0.16)	< 0.001				
Increasing baseline plaque burden (per 1%)	-0.21 (-0.31 to -0.10)	< 0.001	-0.11 (-0.22 to -0.00)	0.045	-0.17 (-0.28 to -0.06)	0.002
Presence of excessive expanding remodelling	0.45 (0.14–0.76)	0.004	0.28 (-0.03 to 0.58)	0.075	0.37 (0.06–0.68)	0.020
Increasing baseline fibrotic tissue burden (per 1%)	0.10 (0.00–0.19)	0.044				
Increase in plaque area (per 1 mm ²)						
Presence of low predominant ESS in IVUS models	0.67 (0.45–0.89)	< 0.001	0.27 (0.03-0.52)	0.029		
Presence of low predominant ESS in 3D QCA models	0.48 (0.26-0.70)	< 0.001			0.24 (0.02–0.47)	0.034
Increasing baseline lumen area (per 1 mm ²)	0.06 (0.02-0.09)	0.005				
Increasing baseline plaque area (per 1 mm ²)	-0.15 (-0.19 to -0.12)	< 0.001				
Increasing baseline plaque burden (per 1%)	-0.42 (-0.52 to -0.33)	< 0.001	-0.38 (-0.51 to -0.26)	< 0.001	-0.40 (-0.52 to -0.29)	< 0.001
Increasing baseline fibrotic tissue burden (per 1%)	-0.19 (-0.28 to -0.09)	< 0.001				
Increasing baseline fibrofatty tissue burden (per 1%)	-0.43 (-0.70 to -0.15)	0.003	-0.07 (-0.38 to 0.24)	0.650	-0.04 (-0.35 to 0.26)	0.778
Increasing baseline necrotic core burden (per 1%)	-0.34 (-0.48 to -0.19)	< 0.001				
Increasing baseline calcific tissue burden (per 1%)	-0.25 (-0.50 to -0.00)	0.047	0.08 (-0.19 to 0.36)	0.558	0.13 (-0.15 to 0.40)	0.366
Increase in plaque burden (per 1%)						
Presence of low predominant ESS in IVUS models	0.39 (0.28–0.51)	< 0.001	0.30 (0.18–0.42)	< 0.001		
Presence of low predominant ESS in 3D QCA models	0.17 (0.05–0.29)	0.007			0.08 (-0.04 to 0.20)	0.190
Increasing baseline lumen area (per 1 mm ²)	0.05 (0.03–0.07)	< 0.001				
Increasing baseline plaque area (per 1 mm ²)	-0.03 (-0.05 to -0.01)	< 0.009				
Increasing baseline plaque burden (per 1%)	-0.17 (-0.22 to -0.12)	< 0.001	-0.13 (-0.18 to -0.07)	< 0.001	-0.16 (-0.21 to -0.10)	<0.001
Presence of excessive expanding remodelling	0.17 (0.02–0.32)	0.029	0.06 (-0.09 to 0.20)	0.454	0.10 (-0.05 to 0.25)	0.186
Increase in necrotic core area (per 1 mm ²)						
Presence of low predominant ESS in IVUS models	0.13 (0.02–0.24)	0.020	0.09 (-0.04 to 0.21)	0.165		
Presence of low predominant ESS in 3D QCA models	0.14 (0.03–0.25)	0.011			0.08 (-0.03 to 0.20)	0.157
Increasing baseline lumen area (per 1 mm ²)	0.01 (-0.00 to 0.03)	0.094				
Increasing baseline plaque burden per (1%)	-0.05 (-0.10 to -0.00)	0.032	-0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	0.304	-0.04 (-0.10 to 0.02)	0.207
Increasing baseline fibrotic tissue burden (per 1%)	0.08 (0.03-0.12)	0.001				
Increasing baseline fibrofatty tissue burden (per 1%)	0.39 (0.26–0.53)	< 0.001	0.36 (0.21–0.51)	< 0.001	0.37 (0.22–0.51)	<0.001
Increasing baseline necrotic core burden (per 1%)	0.30 (-0.37 to -0.23)	< 0.001				
Increasing baseline calcific burden (per 1%)	-0.37 (-0.49 to -0.25)	< 0.001	-0.26 (-0.40 to -0.13)	< 0.001	-0.25 (-0.39 to -0.12)	<0.001

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of the factors associated with atherosclerotic disease progression

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Associated factor	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Presence of low predominant ESS in IVUS models	3.01 (1.79–5.07)	<0.001	2.07 (1.17–3.67)	0.012		
Presence of low predominant ESS in 3D QCA models	2.70 (1.59–4.58)	0.001			2.23 (1.23-4.03)	0.008
Baseline lumen area (per 1 mm ² increase)	1.15 (1.04–1.28)	0.006	1.05 (0.94–1.17)	0.814	1.02 (0.91–1.14)	0.732
Baseline plaque area (per 1 mm ² increase)	0.89 (0.81–0.98)	0.014				
Baseline plaque burden (per 1% increase)	0.58 (0.45–0.75)	< 0.001	0.64 (0.49–0.84)	0.001	0.65 (0.50-0.86)	0.002
Baseline necrotic core burden (per 1% increase)	0.65 (0.45–0.93)	0.019				

The present analysis overcomes the above limitations as it includes a large number of segments and uses a software that is able to generate elliptical cross-sections of the lumen and thus to accurately reconstruct eccentric lesions. More importantly this study included only patients who had biplane coronary angiography, we processed angiographic projection that enabled accurate delineation of the lumen borders, and we excluded a considerable number of segments (26%) because of suboptimal angiographic projections. We found a statistical significant-yet moderate-correlation between the ESS estimated in 3D QCA and IVUS-based models but more importantly we demonstrated that 3D QCA has a high accuracy in detecting segments that are exposed to a low (<1 Pa) athero-promoting ESS environment.⁶ Low minimum predominant ESS estimated in 3D QCA models appears able to predict changes in plaque and necrotic core dimensions in univariate analysis and was an independent predictor of the changes in plaque area, but, in contrast to IVUS-based ESS, it was not independently associated with the changes in lumen area and plaque burden (Figure 4). The superiority of IVUS-based modelling in assessing ESS distribution and its effect on plaque pathology should be attributed to the fact that IVUS, compared with 3D QCA, enables more accurate reconstruction of lumen geometry. IVUS has a higher axial resolution than QCA and allows detailed visualization of the lumen border that can have a complex morphology, especially in diseased vessels. Conversely, coronary angiography enables a 2D assessment of the lumen silhouette, while 3D QCA assumes that the lumen has elliptical cross sections that are generated from the lumen diameters measured in corresponding points in QCA, and therefore, it is likely to provide inaccurate estimation is hazy, complex lesions. However, it appears that in our study these limitations did not affect the predictive value of 3D QCA-derived ESS in detecting segments that will exhibit disease progression at 13 months follow-up.

The findings of our analysis highlight the potential value of 3D QCA modelling in identifying segments that are exposed in an unfavourable haemodynamic environment and are likely to exhibit disease progression. Consistent evidence has shown that the local haemodynamic forces combined with the plaque characteristics, can detect lesions that are likely to progress and cause events with a positive predictive value that exceeds >50% carrying promise for an early detection of vulnerable lesions.^{5,18,19} However, these studies estimated the ESS in models reconstructed form IVUS data and it is well known that this process is laborious and time consuming and thus it cannot be used in the clinical setting. In contrary, 3D QCA can be performed within few minutes, while the patient is on the catheterization laboratory, offering unique opportunities for a real time assessment of vessel physiology. The results of the present analysis provide proofs of the value of 3D QCA CFD modelling in the search of the vulnerable plaque; however, it has to be acknowledged that this analysis has soft imaging endpoints. Therefore, further research is needed to examine the efficacy of 3D QCA modelling in high-risk plaques and effort should be made to develop user-friendly software that will incorporate computational inexpensive methodologies which will allow fast computation of the ESS distribution before advocating its broad use in detecting high-risk lesions.²⁰

Limitations

A limitation of the present analysis is the fact that both IVUS-based and 3D QCA models did not include the side branches on the reconstructed geometry, which can possibly affect the ESS distribution as shown in a previous report.²¹ In addition, we assumed a steady flow profile and thus did not investigate the role of oscillating ESS on plaque progression.²² These approximations however were made intentionally so as to reduce the computational time and explore the potential of 3D QCA, coupled with basic CFD analysis, in the clinical setting, while the patient is in the catheterization laboratory, to identify and treat vulnerable plaques.^{5,6} In addition, as it has already been stated, although this study in the largest of its kind the number of patients and segments included was too small to allow us to investigate the value of 3D QCA-based modelling in detecting plaques that progress and caused events. Another limitation of the analysis is the fact that CFD processing of 3D QCA is of value only in cases of optimal angiographic projections. Finally, it has to be stressed that the studied patients received high dose of statins which changed plaque burden and composition at follow-up and thus it is unclear whether these findings also apply to other populations.

Conclusions

CFD analysis of 3D QCA models enables evaluation of the local haemodynamic forces and detection with high accuracy of segments that are exposed to an unfavourable haemodynamic environment and are likely to exhibit disease progression at follow-up. Further research is required to examine the value of 3D QCA modelling in detecting high-risk plaques that are likely to progress and cause events.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging online.

Funding

The IBIS4 trial was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation and St. Jude Medical. A.R. was funded by research funds of Whipps Cross University Hospital, London.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- Bourantas CV, Garcia-Garcia HM, Torii R, Zhang YJ, Westwood M, Crake T et al. Vulnerable plaque detection: an unrealistic quest or a feasible objective with a clinical value? *Heart* 2016;**102**:581–9.
- Stone GW, Maehara A, Lansky AJ, de Bruyne B, Cristea E, Mintz GS et al. A prospective natural-history study of coronary atherosclerosis. N Engl J Med 2011; 364:226–35.
- Calvert PA, Obaid DR, O'Sullivan M, Shapiro LM, McNab D, Densem CG et al. Association between IVUS findings and adverse outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease: the VIVA (VH-IVUS in Vulnerable Atherosclerosis) Study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2011;4:894–901.
- Thondapu V, Bourantas CV, Foin N, Jang IK, Serruys PW, Barlis P. Biomechanical stress in coronary atherosclerosis: emerging insights from computational modelling. *Eur Heart J* 2017;38:81–92.
- Stone PH, Maehara A, Coskun AU, Maynard CC, Zaromitydou M, Siasos G et al. Role of low endothelial shear stress and plaque characteristics in the prediction of nonculprit major adverse cardiac events: the PROSPECT Study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2018;11:462–71.
- 6. Stone PH, Saito S, Takahashi S, Makita Y, Nakamura S, Kawasaki T et al. Prediction of progression of coronary artery disease and clinical outcomes using vascular profiling of endothelial shear stress and arterial plaque characteristics: the PREDICTION Study. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:172–81.

- Bourantas CV, Papafaklis MI, Athanasiou L, Kalatzis FG, Naka KK, Siogkas PK et al. A new methodology for accurate 3-dimensional coronary artery reconstruction using routine intravascular ultrasound and angiographic data: implications for widespread assessment of endothelial shear stress in humans. *EuroIntervention* 2013;9:582–93.
- Bourantas CV, Tweddel AC, Papafaklis MI, Karvelis PS, Fotiadis DI, Katsouras CS et al. Comparison of quantitative coronary angiography with intracoronary ultrasound. Can quantitative coronary angiography accurately estimate the severity of a luminal stenosis? Angiology 2009;60:169–79.
- Rittger H, Schertel B, Schmidt M, Justiz J, Brachmann J, Sinha AM. Three-dimensional reconstruction allows accurate quantification and length measurements of coronary artery stenoses. *EuroIntervention* 2009;5:127–32.
- Papafaklis MI, Muramatsu T, Ishibashi Y, Lakkas LS, Nakatani S, Bourantas CV et al. Fast virtual functional assessment of intermediate coronary lesions using routine angiographic data and blood flow simulation in humans: comparison with pressure wire—fractional flow reserve. *EuroIntervention* 2014;10:574–83.
- Xu B, Tu S, Qiao S, Qu X, Chen Y, Yang J et al. Angiography-based quantitative flow ratio for online assessment of coronary stenosis: FAVOR II China study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017 Oct 20 [EPub ahead of print].
- Timmins LH, Suo J, Eshtehardi P, Molony DS, McDaniel MC, Oshinski JN et al. Comparison of angiographic and IVUS derived coronary geometric reconstructions for evaluation of the association of hemodynamics with coronary artery disease progression. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;**32**:1327–36.
- Toutouzas K, Chatzizisis YS, Riga M, Giannopoulos A, Antoniadis AP, Tu S et al. Accurate and reproducible reconstruction of coronary arteries and endothelial shear stress calculation using 3D OCT: comparative study to 3D IVUS and 3D QCA. Atherosclerosis 2015;240:510–9.
- Räber L, Taniwaki M, Zaugg S, Kelbæk H, Roffi M, Holmvang L et al. Effect of high-intensity statin therapy on atherosclerosis in non-infarct-related coronary arteries (IBIS-4): a serial intravascular ultrasonography study. *Eur Heart J* 2015;36: 490–500.

- Tu S, Huang Z, Koning G, Cui K, Reiber JH. A novel three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography system: in-vivo comparison with intravascular ultrasound for assessing arterial segment length. *Catheter Cardiovasc Intervent* 2010;**76**: 291–8.
- 16. Bourantas CV, Papafaklis MI, Kotsia A, Farooq V, Muramatsu T, Gomez-Lara J et al. Effect of the endothelial shear stress patterns on neointimal proliferation following drug-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold implantation: an optical coherence tomography study. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2014;7:315–24.
- Tu S, Westra J, Yang J, von Birgelen C, Ferrara A, Pellicano M et al. Diagnostic accuracy of fast computational approaches to derive fractional flow reserve from diagnostic coronary angiography: the International Multicenter FAVOR Pilot Study. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016;9:2024–35.
- Papafaklis MI, Mizuno S, Takahashi S, Coskun AU, Antoniadis AP, Tsuda M et al. Incremental predictive value of combined endothelial shear stress, plaque necrotic core, and plaque burden for future cardiac events: a post-hoc analysis of the PREDICTION study. Int J Cardiol 2016;202:64–6.
- Bourantas CV, Garcia-Garcia HM, Diletti R, Muramatsu T, Serruys PW. Early detection and invasive passivation of future culprit lesions: a future potential or an unrealistic pursuit of chimeras? *Am Heart J* 2013;**165**:869–81.e4.
- McGregor RH, Szczerbal D, Muralidhar K, Szekely G. A fast alternative to computational fluid dynamics for high quality imaging of blood flow. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv* 2009;**12(Pt 1)**: 124–31.
- Sakellarios A, Bourantas CV, Papadopoulou SL, Kitslaar PH, Girasis C, Stone GW et al. The effect of coronary bifurcation and haemodynamics in prediction of atherosclerotic plaque development: a serial computed tomographic coronary angiographic study. *EuroIntervention* 2017;**13**:e1084–91.
- 22. Timmins LH, Molony DS, Eshtehardi P, McDaniel MC, Oshinski JN, Giddens DP et al. Oscillatory wall shear stress is a dominant flow characteristic affecting lesion progression patterns and plaque vulnerability in patients with coronary artery disease. J R Soc Interface 2017;14:20160972.