Contrasting CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from different Holocene land-use reconstructions: Does the carbon budget add up?
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Reliable reconstructions of past land-use change are essential to address key challenges in understanding the role of humans in the Earth’s environmental history. Here we discuss how to integrate past carbon cycle changes within constraints of the land carbon budget.

Particular features in the ice-core CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} records have been hypothesized to be linked to the rise and fall of agriculture and societies (Ruddiman 2003) and have been used to serve as stratigraphic markers for the Anthropocene (Lewis and Maslin 2015). The trend reversals in atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} around 7000 years (7 ka) before present (present=1950 CE) and in CH\textsubscript{4} around 5 ka BP, and the continuous increase in their concentrations thereafter, have been linked to the expansion of early agriculture, associated deforestation and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, and the establishment of wet rice cultivation with high CH\textsubscript{4} emissions. The apparent downturn in atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} after 1500 CE falls into the period following the arrival of Europeans in the Americas and the subsequent dramatic collapse of native populations. However, these proposed hypotheses, claiming a causal link between the history of civilizations and past greenhouse gas changes, critically rest on the plausibility of land-use and land-cover change (LULC) reconstructions and the magnitude of associated greenhouse gas emissions. Several studies have put these links into question (e.g. Pongratz et al. 2009; Singeray et al. 2011; Stocker et al. 2011).

**Contrasting land-use reconstructions**

Expansion of agriculture has reduced the land area covered by forest throughout much of the Holocene. Today, about a third of the Earth’s land surface area is – more or less intensively – in use for agriculture. This estimate of modern land use is derived from national statistics and satellite data. However, such precise information is only available for the last few decades. Past vegetation, reconstructed from pollen records in lake sediments or bogs, have only just begun to be used for quantitative land-cover reconstructions (Editorial, this issue). Instead, reconstructions of past LULC are typically based on estimates of historical population size and assumptions on the per-capita requirement of cropland and pasture area over the Holocene. These values are highly uncertain, and the most widely used land-use reconstructions provide conflicting results (see Harrison et al., this issue).

Replacement of forests by agricultural land also affects the energy balance at the land surface, reduces evapotranspiration where trees have been replaced by crops and grasses, or increases evapotranspiration where lands are being irrigated. It further increases the surface albedo, especially in northern latitudes when snow is present. This effect has contributed to a cooling in the mid-latitudes that counteracted LULC-induced warming due to greenhouse-gas emissions in the past, and may have exacerbated cold conditions during the Little Ice Age (Betts et al. 2007). Reducing the large uncertainties in reconstructions of past LULC is therefore important to define a reliable land-surface boundary condition for climate model simulations covering past centuries and millennia, and for other simulations that use preindustrial boundary conditions (e.g. piControl, historical, abrupt-4×CO\textsubscript{2}, 1pctCO\textsubscript{2} of CMIP6 model simulations; see Eyring et al. 2016).

The boundary between preindustrial and industrial conditions is commonly set at 1850 CE (see also Harrison et al., this issue).

Land conversion also impacts carbon (C) storage in the soil. The long residence time of soil carbon entails legacy effects of past land use on the terrestrial carbon balance, even decades after land conversion. Conflicting land-use reconstructions underpin the ongoing debate about the extent and impact of preindustrial human activities on the carbon cycle and climate. However, there are two constraints that help narrow the range of possible land-use histories in the past (Stocker et al. 2017) and the influence of past LULC on climate and the carbon cycle.

**Constraints on LULC histories**

First, the present-day state of land use and the biomass density of vegetation across space is relatively well known. The difference between C storage in actual vegetation and in potential natural vegetation is equal to cumulative CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from past LULC since appearance of the first agriculturalists. Hence, cumulative LULC CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are relatively well-constrained, implying that uncertainty in past LULC emissions becomes mainly a problem of distributing their cumulative total through time. More emissions in distant millennia imply that less is left for the more recent past. Emissions have to converge to within the constrained...
range of estimates for cumulative emissions. Quantitatively, Stocker et al. (2017) found relatively small differences in cumulative LULC-induced CO₂ emissions when applying different LULC histories in their model (Fig. 1). However, further research is needed to reduce remaining uncertainty in cumulative emissions and differences between satellite and model-based estimates (Erb et al. 2018).

The second constraint comes from the total C budget of the terrestrial biosphere. Ice-core data on past CO₂ concentrations and carbon-isotopic composition have been successfully used to reconstruct the net C balance of the land biosphere, as well as the C balance of the ocean (Elsig et al. 2009). Knowing all natural contributions to land C inventory changes, we can isolate anthropogenic sources by difference. Despite imperfect knowledge on C sources and sinks from all ecosystems, this approach provides a way to integrate our understanding of past C cycle changes within the budget constraint. Two examples below illustrate this point.

Unprecedented terrestrial C release after 1850 CE: very likely anthropogenic

During the period 1850–1920 CE, ice-core data suggest a substantial terrestrial C source of 45 PgC in total. This is on average 0.64 PgC/yr, or around half the current annual CO₂ emissions from modern LULC and by far the most rapid land-C-balance change ever recorded in all preceding periods of the Holocene. Is this the anthropogenic signal? One reconstruction of past LULC (HYDE 3.2; Klein Goldewijk 2016) suggests that emissions fall right into the same magnitude as this apparent land C source (Fig. 1). The alternative reconstruction (KK10; Kaplan et al. 2009) suggests a much larger land area under use in 1850 CE than HYDE 3.2. This necessarily leaves less room for further land-use expansion after that point in time, and resulting emissions cannot explain the net C source derived from the ice-core data. Additional natural C loss from land in response to the slight warming trend was likely counteracted by the fertilizing effect of slowly rising CO₂ between 1850 and 1920 CE, assuming that our estimates of these feedback strengths are accurate. Taken together, the land C budget suggests that the KK10 scenario, featuring extensive deforestation before 1850 CE, leaves a C source of 31 PgC unexplained and therefore appears less likely than a more moderate extent of pre-1850 CE deforestation as suggested by HYDE 3.2. However, KK10 is more in line with pollen-based quantitative vegetation reconstructions in northwestern Europe than HYDE 3.2 (Kaplan et al. 2017). These considerations of the land C budget and timing of emissions illustrate the relevance of improving preindustrial land-use reconstructions to understand terrestrial C sources and sinks and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks also in the most recent decades.

A large terrestrial C source after the Mid-Holocene

The parallel evolution of ice-core CO₂ and its isotopic composition suggests no significant change in terrestrial C storage between 5 and 3 ka BP. Could large anthropogenic emissions have been masked by an equally large and contemporaneous natural C sink? Indeed, the build-up of northern peatlands, initiated after ice-age conditions, was a major C sink at these timescales. Through large-scale data synthesis (Loisel et al. 2014) and global modeling (Stocker et al. 2014), it is now possible to estimate the timing and amount of C sequestration in peatlands throughout the Holocene. This allows subtracting their contribution from the total land C balance and reveals that a large terrestrial non-peatland C source must have contributed to the near-neutral land C balance in that period (Fig. 2). Can anthropogenic emissions close the budget? Probably not. A comparison of the inferred natural non-peatland C source with LULC emission estimates based on available LULC reconstructions suggests that other, still unknown, natural sources must have contributed to C cycle changes during this period.

Other gradual changes in the land C cycle amount to substantial sinks or sources at these long timescales. Examples include permafrost changes, the continuous build-up of soil C after the retreat of ice sheets, biome shifts in response to monsoon weakening in Africa and summer cooling in the boreal zone after the Mid-Holocene. It would be fruitful to specifically target and reduce uncertainties in these less-well-quantified but important components of the land C cycle through multi-model studies, constrained by data from paleoecological archives. This would allow us to isolate additional components of the terrestrial C budget and further narrow the range of possible land-use histories. This range will additionally be reduced by integrating information from pollen-based vegetation reconstructions and archaeology-based land-use characteristics as produced by PAGES’ LandCover6k working group (http://pastglobalchanges.org/ini/wg/landcover6k, see also Editorial and Harrison et al., this issue). The combination of such bottom-up and top-down constraints for the land C budget will improve our understanding of the Earth’s environmental history and the role of humans therein.
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