Exercise Improves Outcomes of Surgery on Fatty Liver in Mice

A Novel Effect Mediated by the AMPK Pathway
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Objective: To investigate whether exercise improves outcomes of surgery on fatty liver, and whether pharmacological approaches can substitute exercising programs.

Summary of Background Data: Steatosis is the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome, and decreases the liver’s ability to handle inflammatory stress or to regenerate after tissue loss. Exercise activates adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase (AMPK) and mitigates steatosis; however, its impact on ischemia-reperfusion injury and regeneration is unknown.

Methods: We used a mouse model of simple, diet-induced steatosis and assessed the impact of exercise on metabolic parameters, ischemia-reperfusion injury and regeneration after hepatectomy. The same parameters were evaluated after treatment of mice with the AMPK activator 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide (AICAR). Mice on a control diet served as age-matched controls.

Results: A 4-week-exercising program reversed steatosis, lowered insulin levels, and improved glucose tolerance. Exercise markedly enhanced the ischemic tolerance and the regenerative capacity of fatty liver. Replacing exercise with AICAR was sufficient to replicate the above benefits. Both exercise and AICAR improved survival after extended hepatectomy in mice challenged with a Western diet, indicating protection from resection-induced liver failure.

Conclusions: Exercise efficiently counteracts the metabolic, ischemic, and regenerative deficits of fatty liver. AICAR acts as an exercise mimetic in settings of fatty liver disease, an important finding given the compliance issues associated with exercise. Exercising, or its substitution through AICAR, may provide a feasible strategy to negate the hepatic consequences of energy-rich diet, and has the potential to extend the application of liver surgery if confirmed in humans.
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Metabolic diseases associated with Western-style diet are continuing to spread.1 The liver as the central metabolic organ is a primary target of nutritional overload. The consumption of energy-rich diet is strongly associated with a progressive cascade of diseases, typically starting with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) that may progress to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and even liver cancer.2,3 Exercise is well known to mitigate the negative consequences of energy-rich diet by re-directing metabolism towards the consumption of fat stores. Exercise—also in the absence of body weight loss—can reduce hepatic steatosis and improve insulin sensitivity.4,6 More so, exercising individuals display increased β-oxidation with improved insulin sensitivity even when maintaining a high caloric intake.7 In experimental models of fatty liver disease, the effects of exercise on lipid oxidation, lipogenesis, and glucose tolerance are superior over those of dietary restriction.2,4 The molecular changes occurring under exercise may hence point to pharmaceutical targets against metabolic liver disease.

Exercise is believed to exert its beneficial effects via metabolic reprogramming. The adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase (AMPK) in its role as an intracellular fuel sensor is a central player in these processes. Drops in adenosine triphosphate: adenosine diphosphate/ adenosine monophosphate (ATP:ADP/AMP) ratios during exercise activate AMPK to respond to energy deprivation. In turn, AMPK suppresses lipogenesis and stimulates β-oxidation by modulating a number of metabolic pathways, such as the dampening of growth-related mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) activities or the promotion of catabolic peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα) action.8

Given the obvious benefits of exercise in metabolic liver disease, we sought to determine whether similar gains for liver health can be achieved through an AMPK activator. Indeed, nutritional overload does not only disturb the metabolic function of liver as exemplified through insulin resistance and glucose intolerance.1,2,4,6 Fatty liver also displays a markedly reduced ability to tolerate ischemic injury or to regenerate after tissue loss.7 The impact of exercise on acute liver stress however is unknown. We therefore established a mouse model of diet-induced steatosis, where we were able to replicate the known metabolic benefits of exercising. Using this validated model of steatosis, we went on to compare the effects...
of exercise on steatosis, ischemic tolerance and regenerative capacity with those of a pharmacological AMPK activator.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Animals**

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with Swiss Federal Animal Regulations and approved by the Veterinary Office of Zurich. Male C57BL6 mice (Harlan, Horst, the Netherlands) aged 8 to 10 weeks were kept on a 12-hour day/night cycle. After 1 week accommodation, high-fat diet (HFD; total energy 22 MJ/kg, 60 kJ% fat and 8.4 kJ% sucrose; ssniff, Soest, Germany) or control diet (CD; total energy 15 MJ/kg, 11 kJ% fat; ssniff) was fed for 10 weeks. Treatments were done from week 7 to 10 without dietary changes (Fig. 1A).

**Animal Exercising Protocol**

Exercise was performed using a special motor-driven mouse treadmill (Förderband GFB, Elmotec, Kleindöttingen, Switzerland; Fig. 1A) following a regimen of moderate exercise intensity. Animals were gradually accommodated to exercising for 1 week to reach the final speed of 650 m/h. Tired animals or animals not willing to follow the protocol were encouraged to continue running by gentle manual tips on their tail.

**Animal Surgery**

Isoflurane inhalation (2%–4%) and subcutaneous buprenorphine application (0.1 mg/kg bodyweight) was used for all surgical interventions. For ischemia-reperfusion, 1-hour partial hepatic ischemia (68%) was induced followed by analysis at 6 hours after surgery.
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**FIGURE 1.** Exercising effects on metabolic parameters. A, Experimental setup. B, Body weight and liver weight changes during feeding and treatment periods. C, Chemical lipid content in liver after 6 weeks of control diet (CD) or HFD. D, MRI assessment of lipid content at 6 and 10 weeks. E, Histological steatosis at 10 weeks. F, Insulin levels at 10 weeks. G, Glucose tolerance at 10 weeks. N = 5/group, Mann-Whitney (apart from panel G with AUC analysis), *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
reperfusion. Partial hepatectomies (68% and extended 86%) were performed as described.13

AICAR Treatment
The AMP analog 5-aminomidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide (AICAR) (Sigma, Buchs, Switzerland, #A9978), known to activate AMPK, was injected i.p. in 100 μL saline at 100 mg/kg.14 Each AICAR application was timed to replace 1 exercising unit (5 doses/week for 4 weeks). Lp. saline injections served as control.

MRI Protocol for Liver Fat Determination
A small-animal 4.7-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner (Bruker BioSpin MRI, Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts, US) was used for in vivo monitoring of steatosis. Signal intensities (SI) were quantified in axial T2-weighted images using following parameters: repetition time: 2500 ms; effective echo time: 45 ms; flip angle: 180°; section thickness: 1 mm; bandwidth 48.076 kHz; acquisition time: 10 minutes. Cross-sectional images containing liver parenchyma were analyzed using ParaVision 5.1 software (Billerica, Massachusetts, US). Mean SI were determined in regions of interest (ROI) covering all visible liver parenchyma.

Chemical Liver Fat Measurement
Lipid quantification in liver tissue was performed using a vanillin based method.16

Serum Analyses
Serum alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) levels were measured using a multiple biochemical analyzer (Dri-Chem 4000i, Fujifilm; Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan). Serum HMGB1 and insulin levels were determined by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (from Shino-Test, Hamburg, Germany, and from Merckodia, Uppsala, Sweden, respectively).

Intraperitoneal Glucose Tolerance Test (IPGTT)
After overnight fasting, D-glucose (2 g/kg, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was i.p. injected followed by a glycemic readout on tail vein blood (Glycemina reader Accu-Chek Aviva, Roche Diagnostics, Germany, and from Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden, respectively).

Histological Analyses

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)
RNA was extracted from 30 to 50 mg of tissue using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland). qPCR was performed on cDNA (Thermo Script reverse transcription PCR System, Invitrogen) using an ABI Prism 7500 Sequence Detector system (PE Applied Biosystems, Rotkreuz, Switzerland).15 Results represent mean fold induction (2−ΔΔCt) ± SD relative to the normalization control 18S rRNA. TaqMan gene expression assays are listed in Supplementary Table 2, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448.

Hepatic ATP Content and ADP/ATP Ratio
Frozen liver tissue was powdered, homogenized in HEPES buffer (25 mmol/L HEPES, 10 mmol/L MgCl2, 0.02% NaAc), and incubated for 10 minutes with 150 μL 1% trichloroacetic acid (Sigma, Buchs, Switzerland) and 100 μL ATP releasing reagent (FLSAR; Sigma). The supernatant was analyzed in a luminometer (Labsystems Luminoscan 1.2–0; Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) after addition of 50 μL ATP monitoring reagent (Luciferase; Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). ATP concentrations were calculated from a calibration curve using pure ATP (disodium salt hydrate; Sigma) for each experiment.

ADP/ATP ratios were determined using a ratio assay kit (Abcam, Lucerne, Switzerland, #ab65313) via bioluminescent detection.

Statistical Analysis
Variables are consistently presented as mean ± SD from 5 animals/group. Differences between the respective experimental groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney U testing. Area under the curve (AUC) comparisons were performed using 2-way ANOVA. P < 0.05 were considered significant and marked with stars (∗P < 0.05, ∗∗P < 0.01, ∗∗∗P < 0.001). Statistical analyzes were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

RESULTS
Exercising Benefits in A Mouse Model Of Simple Steatosis
Six weeks of feeding a HFD designed to mimic Western diet induced obesity and NAFLD without inflammation/fibrosis in mice.9 Mean body- and liver weight increased by 11.9 g (±2.4) and 0.8 g (±0.2), respectively (Fig. 1B), accompanied by a marked elevation in hepatic lipid content (Fig. 1C). Mice with HFD-induced NAFLD were subjected to 4 weeks of 1-hour-treadmill-running (5×/week) at approximately 70% of maximum aerobic capacity.11 HFD was maintained during the exercising period. After the exercising program, mice displayed significant reductions in body and liver weight relative to sedentary controls (−10.3 g (±4.5); P = 0.008 and −0.6 g (±0.4); P = 0.016; Fig. 1B). Given that steatosis increases with age, HFD animals were compared with age-matched mice on a CD that does not promote NAFLD (Fig. 1C/D). On MRI, exercising reduced lipid-associated signal intensities to those of CD mice (Fig. 1D), demonstrating it effectively negates the effects of the HFD. A similar fat reduction was evident on histology (Fig. 1E). In keeping with its anti-inflammatory effects, exercise also normalized hyperinsulinemia (Fig. 1F) and glucose tolerance (IPGTT, Fig. 1G) of HFD mice. The defattening properties of exercise were associated with the suppression of lipogenesis and the promotion of β-oxidation (Fig. 2A/B), consistent with a shift from fat storage to expenditure. A shift to lipid oxidation was noted after two weeks of exercising (Supplementary Fig. 1, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448). A similar trend was noted in exercising CD mice (Supplementary Fig. 2, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448), reflecting basic energy needs induced by exercise.

To confirm a promotion of energy production through exercise, we determined ATP content. Hepatic ATP levels were higher in HFD-EXE relative to HFD mice; on the other hand, the ADP/ATP ratio was increased through EXE (Fig. 2C), consistent with a higher energy usage. These findings imply exercise promotes in fatty liver the capacity for energy transfer and hence for recovery.

The AMPK Activator AICAR Mimics the Beneficial Effects of Exercise on Hepatic Metabolism
The energy sensor AMPK is activated by a relative paucity of ATP (ie, high AMP/ADP levels) and hence is induced through exercise.3 Accordingly, AMPKα gene expression (Prkka1, Fig. 3A) and active protein (phosphorylated at Thr172) were upregulated in liver of HFD-EXE relative to HFD mice (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Fig. 3, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448). In support of the observation that exercise and AICAR treatment promote AMPK activity, histological staining for HMGB1 was reduced in both groups in comparison to HFD (Fig. 3C).

The AMPK activator AICAR was injected i.p. in 100 μL saline at 100 mg/kg. A similar trend was noted in AICAR treated CD mice (Supplementary Fig. 3, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448). In line with reduced HMGB1 staining, hepatic lipid content was lower in AICAR treated HFD and CD mice (Fig. 3D). In addition, HMGB1 staining was also reduced in explanted livers from mice subjected to 4 weeks of treadmill training (Fig. 3E).

The beneficial effects of exercise on insulin sensitivity were observed in the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (Fig. 1G). After 4 weeks of exercising, glycemia was reduced after both the 1st and 2nd glucose load (Fig. 2D).

The results of the present study demonstrate that exercise and AICAR are therapeutically effective, in terms of reducing hepatic steatosis, hyperinsulinemia, and obesity. This was confirmed by improved glucose tolerance, improved insulin sensitivity (OGTT), and other metabolic parameters, such as AMPK expression and HMGB1 staining. The results of this study further support the usage of clinical interventions (ie, AICAR, exercise) to combat NAFLD in individuals with obesity.3,9,11,16,18
of increased activity, PPARα – a downstream target of AMPK and a transcription factor promoting lipid catabolism – was likewise elevated in HFD-EXE liver both at the mRNA and protein level (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448). To establish the reported antisteatotic effects of AMPK activation in our model, each exercising session was replaced by one bolus with the AMP analog AICAR, leading to comparable AMPKα-PPARα activation in liver (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Fig. 4, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448). Saline i.p. injections for four weeks (ie, AICAR controls) did not affect body/liver weight of HFD mice (Fig. 4B). In contrast, a 4-week AICAR treatment reduced body/liver weight to that of HFD-EXE mice (Fig. 4C), along with congruent changes in histological steatosis (Fig. 4D), serum insulin, and glucose tolerance (Fig. 4E). Together, these findings confirm the antisteatotic/antidiabetic properties of AICAR and reveal that AICAR has a potency to confer metabolic benefits on fatty liver akin to exercise. Therefore, we next tested the impact of exercise and AICAR on the capacity of HFD liver to sustain inflammatory stress or to recover from tissue loss.

**Exercise and AICAR Improve the Resistance of HFD Liver Towards Ischemic Insults**

Exposure of HFD mice to 1 hour of partial (70%) hepatic ischemia induced major parenchymal injury as assessed by serum ALT, AST, and HMGB1 levels at 6 hours after reperfusion. The 4-week-exercise program reduced injury after reperfusion on all parameters (Fig. 5A). AICAR treatment likewise had a protective effect, one that appeared pronounced compared with exercise (Fig. 5A). The protective effects of exercise/AICAR were mirrored in the extent of sinusoidal clotting (Fig. 5B), an important cause of...
impaired hepatic microcirculation after reperfusion. Therefore, exposure to exercise or AICAR markedly increases the tolerance of HFD liver towards ischemic insults.

Exercise and AICAR Improve the Regenerative Capacity of HFD Liver

To assess the effects of exercise/AICAR on liver regeneration, regenerative parameters were gathered at different time points after hepatectomy in HFD mice exposed to treatment or not. Given the different starting weight of the liver remnant at partial hepatectomy (ie, reduced steatosis after exercise/AICAR), liver weight gain was expressed as the percent increase over time. Compared with HFD mice, HFD-EXE mice displayed an accentuated weight regain, with the greatest increase (HFD-EXE 63% vs HFD 51% at 96 hours post resection (Fig. 6A). Ki67 nuclear counts were consistently increased in the HFD-EXE group, indicating more hepatocytes are in cycle (Fig. 6B). Likewise, total phosphohistone 3 (pH3) counts, marking hepatocytes in the G2 and M phases, were elevated from 48 hours (the usual peak of hepatocyte mitosis after hepatectomy) onwards (Fig. 6C). To better appreciate the speed of cell cycle progression, we separately calculated the M/(G2+M) fraction as a measure of progression to mitosis. Exercise markedly elevated the M/(G2+M) fraction at 72 hours and 96 hours (Fig. 6D), indicating a prolonged and accelerated cell cycle progression, as reflected in the increased liver weight at 96 hours.

To determine whether AICAR has effects on regeneration similar to exercise, HFD-AICAR mice were analyzed at 96 hours after hepatectomy, when exercising effects were most obvious. AICAR treatment improved liver regeneration on all parameters assessed. Of note, the increases in percent liver weight, Ki67 counts, total pH3 counts and in the M/(G2+M) fraction were akin to those achieved through exercising (Fig. 6E). Apoptosis (cleaved caspase 3 stain, data not shown) was hardly detectable across samples, whereas hepatocyte death as assessed through serum ALT was reduced through exercise/AICAR (Fig. 6G).

To assess whether the proregenerative effects of exercise/AICAR also translate into a regain of liver function after hepatectomy, we performed an 86%-extended hepatectomy, which induces liver failure associated with a high mortality in steatotic mice. Both exercise and AICAR raised 10-day-survival after 86% hepatectomy (Fig. 6G), demonstrating their capacity to improve the functional recovery of HFD liver after extended tissue loss.
Together, the observations indicate that physical activity improves the capacity of HFD liver to regenerate, an effect that can likewise be achieved through AICAR.

**DISCUSSION**

Exercise and AICAR are known for their beneficial effects on fatty liver, in particular their antisteatotic properties and the associated improvements in insulin sensitivity and glucose handling. Here, we extend these benefits to two additional key aspects defining liver integrity that is the sensitivity to injury and the capacity to regenerate. Specifically, we show that exercise increases the tolerance of liver with diet-induced steatosis towards ischemic insults and enhances its regenerative response after tissue loss. More so, these benefits can be achieved by substituting training sessions with AICAR, suggesting pharmacological compounds may obviate the need for exercise to improve the function of fatty liver.

We established above findings in a mouse model of obesity-associated NAFLD, where exercise could replicate the known benefits of physical activity, including the ameliorations in body weight, steatosis, hyperinsulinemia, and glucose tolerance. Our observations are hence based on a valid experimental set up and underscore the importance of exercise for liver health. Moreover, our continuous model of diet-induced steatosis followed by treatment and then surgery offered the opportunity to test exercising and AICAR in settings relatively close to the clinic. Overall, the effects of the AMP analog AICAR were similar to exercising across the tested parameters, suggesting AICAR may be an effective exercise mimic for fatty liver. Mechanistically, exercise activates various pathways, with AMPK clearly being a key target. Insofar, the action mechanisms of exercise and the AMPK activator AICAR may overlap, however AICAR also has AMPK-independent effects on hepatic metabolism. Nonetheless, the importance of AMPK activity for hepatometabolic health is recognized, and many of the benefits of exercising and AICAR are likely attributable to AMPK activation.

Conceivably, the reversal of steatosis is an important cause underlying the improvements in ischemic tolerance and regeneration, with exercise/AICAR simply reinstalling a normal capacity of liver to handle stress. However, exercise upregulated \(Prkaa1/Ppara\) expression – along with congruent changes in metabolic genes (Supplementary Fig. 2, [http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448]) – and improved ischemic tolerance and regeneration also in lean liver, albeit not as consistent and to a lesser extent than in fatty liver. Similarly, short-term treatment with AICAR tended to mitigate ischemic injury and to promote regeneration in lean liver.
(Supplementary Figs. 5/6, http://links.lww.com/SLA/B448). Therefore, steatosis-independent effects seem to contribute to the benefits of exercise/AICAR for the liver.

The view that AMPK activation is key to the effects of exercise and AICAR is supported by the notion that 'preconditioning' – a strategy used in the clinic to protect liver from ischemia – seems to rely on AMPK activity irrespective of steatosis.9,20 Ischemia primarily damages the sinusoidal endothelium, however upon reperfusion, the burst in ROS leads to a self-perpetuating inflammatory response, whereby overactivated Kupffer cells attract neutrophils that eventually destroy the parenchyma.21,22 The increased sensitivity of steatotic liver likewise appears to root in the sinusoids, because the correction of fat-related microcirculatory deficits normalizes its ischemic tolerance.23,24 Notably, AMPK activity can protect from endothelial dysfunction25 and skews macrophages to an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype,26 a process that we have shown to shield against hepatic ischemia.27,28 Therefore, AMPK may counteract key events underlying the development of ischemic injury in both fatty and lean liver.

Furthermore, recovery from ischemia-reperfusion requires the regeneration of damaged parenchyma. Although unclarified, mecha-nistic overlap between regeneration after ischemic insults or after tissue loss is plausible. Therefore, exercise and AICAR may improve ischemia tolerance also through their proregenerative action. Notably, AMPK activity is known to contribute to regeneration. In vitro AMPK activation has been shown to promote hepatocyte proliferation by enhancing cyclin A and D expression.26,27 Conversely, constitutive deletion of Prkaa1 compromised cyclin A expression and cell cycle progression after hepatectomy.28 Besides the promotion of cyclins, other factors such as an improved energy provision30 are likely to add to the proregenerative effects of exercise or AICAR. Overall, the effects of AMPK activation on the liver are consistent with a central role of this molecule in the health effects of exercise/AICAR. In support of this notion, a 4-week AICAR treatment akin to this study improved endurance capacity of mice by 44% – remarkably in spite the animals being sedentary.31 A comprehensive assessment of exercise and AICAR in genetic loss/gain models would however be required to define the actual contribution of AMPK to their benefits.

The endemic raises in obesity and the metabolic syndrome, along with the associated cancer risks, call for effective treat-ments.31–33 Fatty liver is considered a key pathology behind the development of insulin resistance.34 Moreover, steatosis is an inde-pendent risk factor for liver cancer,35 with obese subjects having a close to 5-fold likelihood to die of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The only accepted treatment for NAFLD is calorie restriction and physical activity. Exercising, however, is associated with compliance issues and can be difficult to implement, particularly in morbidly obese patients. Drugs mimicking the effects of exercise are hence desirable. Metformin, an indirect AMPK activator, is being consid-ered a possible option,36 current evidence however is insufficient to recommend metformin against NAFLD.37 Metformin primarily acts by decreasing mitochondrial capacity (leading to AMP accumulation activating AMPK) and therefore may not be an ideal exercise mimic, as evinced through blunted exercise effects in prediabetic patients on metformin.38 AICAR, on the other hand, has been tried particularly in myocardial ischemia, with some, but not all, studies documenting ischemic protection including a reduced mortality.39–41 Studies on AICAR and NAFLD are scarce, but AICAR did reduce hepatic glucose output along with reduced lipogenesis and increased β-oxidation in a small cohort of type-2 diabetic patients.42 Novel, allosteric AMPK activators are being developed and show promising hepatic effects in animal models of diabetes.43,44 Other classes (ie, not targeting AMPK) of ‘exercise-mimetics’ are also being evaluated in humans; at the current stage, it is unclear, which of these will remain clinically viable and safe (such as the PPARG activator GW1516) had to be abandoned because of unwanted effects.38,45 Importantly, single infusions of AICAR are considered as safe (based on data from >4000 patients,39–41 and multiple dosing likewise has been associated with an acceptable safety profile.46

Steatosis seems to particularly predispose to the development of non-cirrhotic HCC47 and thereby directly increases the demand for liver surgery. Surgery is the most indicated treatment for liver tumors; however, often is associated with ischemic periods and relies on regeneration.48 In addition to its ischemic and regenerative deficits, fatty liver also increases the risk for surgery-related liver failure (ie, small-for-size syndrome, SFSS). The SFSS can develop after extended hepatectomies leaving behind marginal remnants and represents the most frequent cause of death because of liver sur-gery.49 In our animal model, exercise and AICAR not only mitigated steatosis, but protected from ischemic injury, promoted regeneration, and improved survival after extended, SFSS-like resection.13,17 Notably, neither exercise50 nor pharmacological AMPK activators (ie, metformins49,50) seem to foster liver malignancy, suggesting their application may bear little oncological risks. Therefore, exercising programs or AICAR treatment may have potential to improve the outcomes of surgery for liver tumors.

In conclusion, our study highlights novel benefits of exercise and AICAR for liver health, besides the mitigation of the metabolic deficiencies of fatty liver, exercise, and AICAR confer protection from ischemic injury and promote the recovery from tissue loss. These benefits are associated with AMPK activation, the target common to both exercise and AICAR. The relationship between steatosis and noncirrhotic HCC implies fatty liver is directly increasing the demand for surgery. Physical activity has the power to improve outcomes along the axis from steatosis to surgery; however, may fail owing to trivial reasons such as compliance. If similarly effective in man as in mice, AMPK promoters like AICAR may offer a simple solution to overcome some of the hazardous conveniences of our modern lifestyle.
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