

1 RESEARCH PAPER

2 Running head (Authors): *A Mirra et al.*

3 Running head (short title): Transversus abdominis plane block in calves

4 **Ultrasound-guided lateral and subcostal transversus abdominis plane block in calves: a**  
5 **cadaveric study**

6 Alessandro Mirra<sup>a</sup>, Alois von Rotz<sup>b</sup>, Marta Schmidhalter<sup>c</sup>, Lara Moser<sup>d</sup>, Daniela Casoni<sup>a</sup> &  
7 Claudia Spadavecchia<sup>a</sup>

8 <sup>a</sup>Division of Anaesthesiology and Pain Therapy, Department of Clinical Veterinary Medicine,  
9 Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

10 <sup>b</sup>Division of Veterinary Anatomy, Department of Clinical Research and Veterinary Public  
11 Health, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

12 <sup>c</sup>Division of Clinical Radiology, Department of Clinical Veterinary Medicine, Vetsuisse  
13 Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

14 <sup>d</sup>Clinic for Ruminants, Department of Clinical Veterinary Medicine, Vetsuisse Faculty,  
15 University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

16

17 **Correspondence:** Alessandro Mirra, Division of Anaesthesiology and Pain Therapy,  
18 Department of Clinical Veterinary Medicine, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern,  
19 Länggassstrasse 124, Bern 3012, Switzerland. E-mail: [alessandro.mirra@vetsuisse.unibe.ch](mailto:alessandro.mirra@vetsuisse.unibe.ch)

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 **Objectives** To describe and assess the ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane (TAP)  
24 block feasibility in calf cadavers, to compare two injection volumes and to evaluate possible  
25 undesired solution spreads.

26 **Study design** Prospective, descriptive, anatomic study.

27 **Animals** Fifteen bovine cadavers weighing  $47 \pm 11$  kg, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

28 **Methods** Two TAP block approaches were assessed, lateral ( $n = 24$ ) and subcostal ( $n = 12$ ).

29 Two volumes, 0.2 or 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>, of toluidine blue and contrast medium were injected for

30 each approach using both sides of the animals. Nerve staining was assessed by anatomical

31 dissection; spread of injectate by contrast-enhanced computed tomography. Objective and

32 subjective technique feasibility was evaluated by a specific score (poor, good, excellent).

33 **Results** Using the lateral approach, 58, 92 and 25% and 75, 83 and 25% of the thirteenth

34 thoracic, first and second lumbar nerves were stained by 0.2 and 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

35 Craniocaudal and dorsoventral solution spread and number of blocks that adequately stained

36 an individual nerve was not significantly different between the volumes. Using the subcostal

37 approach, 67, 83, 67, 67 and 50%, and 83, 100, 83, 83 and 50% of the eighth, ninth, tenth,

38 eleventh, twelfth thoracic nerves were stained by 0.2 and 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. With both

39 techniques no intra-spinal and one intra-peritoneal spread were observed. Objective and

40 subjective feasibility score was excellent for both approaches in the majority of the cases.

41 **Conclusion and clinical relevance** TAP injections were easy to perform with both

42 techniques in calf cadavers. The volume of injectate did not influence spread. Authors

43 conclude that a combination of the two approaches is necessary, but maybe not sufficient, to

44 stain all of the nerves innervating the ventral abdominal wall. Further studies are required to

45 refine the technique and evaluate its efficacy in preventing nociception in calves.

46

47

48 **Keywords** calves, local anaesthesia, nerve staining, TAP block, ultrasound.

49

## 50 **Introduction**

51 Umbilical hernia is the most common congenital disease in calves requiring surgical  
52 intervention (Fazili et al. 2013) and general anaesthesia has been recommended (Baird 2008).  
53 The anatomical site for median celiotomy in a calf is innervated by the ventral branches of the  
54 last thoracic nerves and first (L1) and second (L2) lumbar nerves (Arnold & Kitchell 1957;  
55 Budras & Wünsche 2002). During surgery, a skin incision around the umbilicus,  
56 subcutaneous tissue dissection and a body wall incision are performed (Baird 2008),  
57 inevitably eliciting nociception and acute pain. In humans, pain elicited by the surgical  
58 incision is known to significantly contribute to postoperative pain following abdominal  
59 surgery (McDonnell et al. 2008). Only few analgesic drugs are allowed by European  
60 regulations for use in food-producing animals. Improving local anaesthetic techniques in this  
61 species may provide more extensive or consistent perioperative analgesia.

62 The transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block will interrupt neural transmission in the  
63 sensory afferent nerves innervating the abdominal wall. The technique is commonly  
64 performed using ultrasound (US)-guidance. In humans, the TAP block decreases  
65 postoperative pain, opioid consumption and its related side effects (nausea, vomiting)  
66 following abdominal surgeries (Johns et al. 2012; Kitlik et al. 2017; Ma et al. 2017). The  
67 anatomy of the TAP block in humans has been studied using cadavers (Barrington et al. 2009;  
68 Moeschler et al. 2013), computed tomography (CT; McDonnell et al. 2007; Moeschler et al.  
69 2013) and magnetic resonance (McDonnell et al. 2007). In veterinary medicine, a few studies  
70 in dogs (Schroeder et al. 2011; Bruggink et al. 2012; Portela et al. 2014; Drozdzyńska et al.  
71 2017), and two case reports, one in a lynx (Schroeder et al. 2010) and one in calves (Zoff et  
72 al. 2017a), document its possible usefulness. Conflicting evidence about the effect of the  
73 injected volume on the spread of the solution have been reported in both humans (Carney et  
74 al. 2011; Moeschler et al. 2013; Forero et al. 2015) and dogs (Bruggink et al. 2012; Zoff et al.  
75 2017b).

76 The objectives of this study were: 1) to develop an US-guided TAP block technique in  
77 calves; 2) to evaluate the spread of two injection volumes of toluidine blue solution by  
78 anatomical dissection; and 3) to identify any spread of contrast medium into abdominal  
79 cavity, visceral organs and spinal canal using contrast-enhanced CT.

80

## 81 **Materials and methods**

82 A total of 15 calf cadavers weighing less than 100 kg were collected between February  
83 2016 and March 2017. All the animals were euthanized for reasons unrelated to the present  
84 study. Immediately after death they were frozen and then thawed before the study was  
85 performed. Exclusion criteria were presence of abdominal wall abnormalities or history of  
86 previous abdominal surgeries. Being a cadaveric study, no committee approval was requested  
87 by our institution. All the procedures were performed with the animal in dorsal recumbency.

88 Sonography of the targeted injection sites was performed by an anaesthesia resident  
89 with moderate experience in US-guided locoregional blocks (AM). An US machine (M-Turbo  
90 Ultrasound System; Fujifilm SonoSite, WA, USA) equipped with a 6–13 MHz linear array  
91 transducer was used. Needles with ultrasound-enhancing features (21 gauge × 110 mm;  
92 SonoTAP cannula; Pajunk, Germany) and a solution composed of saline, a staining solution  
93 [1% toluidine blue solution containing 1% borax (Toluidinblau O, Carl Roth GmbH,  
94 Germany)] and a contrast medium (Accupaque 300; GE Healthcare, Switzerland) in a 2:1:1  
95 ratio were used for bilateral injections. Injection volumes of 0.2 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>  
96 were chosen.

97

### 98 **Lateral TAP block**

99 In 12 animals, the TAP block was performed using a lateral approach (24 blocks).  
100 Both injection volumes were used on each animal. The starting side (right or left) and the  
101 volume were randomized using software available online ([www.randomization.com](http://www.randomization.com)).

102 Initially, the probe was positioned cranial to the iliac crest and perpendicular to the long axis  
103 of the body, as previously described in humans and dogs (El-Dawlatly et al. 2009; Schroeder  
104 et al. 2011). From this point, the probe was tilted in the direction of the xiphoid and moved  
105 slowly toward the ventral part of the abdomen to obtain better simultaneous visualization of  
106 the obliquus externus abdominis muscle, obliquus internus abdominis muscle and transversus  
107 abdominis muscle (TAM). Thereafter, the needle was inserted in a craniocaudal direction  
108 using an in-plane technique, with a 20° angle. When the interfascial plane located between the  
109 obliquus internus abdominis muscle and the TAM was thought to be reached, a test dose of  
110 solution (1 mL) was injected to confirm correct positioning. In case of incorrect spread (e.g.  
111 intramuscular spread, wrong interfascial plane) the needle was repositioned and another test  
112 dose was injected. This procedure was repeated until the correct site was reached. Then, the  
113 predefined volume of solution (minus the 1 mL of the test dose injected in the correct place)  
114 was injected. Afterward, the technique was repeated on the contralateral side.

115

#### 116 Subcostal TAP block

117 In six animals, the TAP block was performed using a subcostal approach (12 blocks).  
118 Both the lateral and subcostal approaches were administered to three of these animals.

119 The initial probe positioning was just caudal to the xiphoid process, in a transverse  
120 orientation, as previously described in dogs (Drozdzyńska et al. 2017). At this point the linea  
121 alba and the bilateral bodies of the pectoralis major muscle were identified. The probe was  
122 moved laterally and simultaneously rotated along the subcostal margin, until a good view of  
123 the rectus abdominis muscle and the TAM was obtained. The probe was then slid in a  
124 craniocaudal direction until it reached the midpoint between the xiphoid and the last rib.  
125 Thereafter, the needle was inserted in a craniocaudal direction using an in-plane technique,  
126 with a 20° angle. When the interfascial plane between the rectus abdominis muscle and the  
127 TAM was thought to be reached, a test dose of solution (1 mL) was injected to confirm

128 correct positioning. Afterward, the same procedure used for the lateral approach was followed  
129 and the technique was repeated on the contralateral side.

130

### 131 Lateral and subcostal TAP block assessment

132 The quality of US visualization was scored as excellent, good or poor if 3, 2 or 1/none  
133 of the following criteria were met: a) muscular layers easily distinguishable (obliquus  
134 externus abdominis muscle, obliquus internus abdominis muscle and TAM for the lateral  
135 approach, and rectus abdominis muscle and TAM for the subcostal approach); b) needle fully  
136 visualized; and c) clear separation of the two muscular layers (obliquus internus abdominis  
137 muscle and TAM for the lateral approach, and rectus abdominis muscle and TAM for the  
138 subcostal approach) during injection.

139 Then, the investigator subjectively judged the feasibility of the technique as excellent,  
140 good or poor. Finally, the number of attempts to achieve a correct injection was recorded.  
141 Possible spread of the solution into the abdominal cavity, visceral organs and spinal canal was  
142 assessed by contrast-enhanced CT images analysis. Spread of the solution and staining of the  
143 ventral rami from the eighth thoracic nerve (T8) to L2 was assessed by anatomical dissection.  
144 The nerve was considered adequately stained if the long axis of the nerve was stained  $\geq 1$  cm.  
145 CT images analysis and anatomical dissections were always performed by the same  
146 investigator (MS and AvonR, respectively).

147

### 148 Statistical analysis

149 The number of animals included to investigate the lateral TAP block was based on  
150 previous anatomical studies in humans and dogs (Tran et al. 2009; Schroeder et al. 2011).  
151 After obtaining the first results, a power calculation based on the craniocaudal spread in cm  
152 [group 0.2 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>: mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of 7.6  $\pm$  2; group 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>: mean of  
153 10.6; using software available online (<http://clinicalcalc.com/stats/samplesize.aspx>)] was

154 performed. To have a power of 0.8 with an alpha value of 0.05, a total of 14 blocks (7 per  
155 group) were needed. Descriptive statistics were used for both the lateral and subcostal TAP  
156 block.

157 Statistical tests were performed using SigmaStat (SigmaStat Version 3.5; Systat  
158 Software Inc., CA, USA). Outcome parameters were: craniocaudal spread (cm), dorsoventral  
159 spread (cm) and number of blocks (%) that adequately stained an individual nerve. A  
160 Kolmogorov-Smirnov's test was performed, revealing not normally distributed data.  
161 Differences between the two volumes of injection were evaluated using Wilcoxon signed rank  
162 test and Fisher exact test. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . All  $p$  values were  
163 corrected for multiple testing by applying Bonferroni-Holm adjustment.

164

## 165 **Results**

166 The cadavers were of two breeds [Holstein Friesian ( $n = 13$ ) and Simmental ( $n = 2$ )],  
167 10 males and five females with a mean  $\pm$  SD weight of  $47 \pm 11$  kg. At the end of the study, a  
168 total of 24 lateral and 12 subcostal injections were collected and evaluated. Among these, 12  
169 lateral injections were performed with a volume of  $0.2 \text{ mL kg}^{-1}$  and 12 with a volume of  $0.4$   
170  $\text{mL kg}^{-1}$ , while 6 subcostal injections were performed with a volume of  $0.4 \text{ mL kg}^{-1}$  and 6  
171 with  $0.2 \text{ mL kg}^{-1}$ .

172 An anatomical dissection displaying the innervation of the ventral abdominal wall of  
173 the calf and a three-dimensional CT image showing the diffusion of the contrast medium  
174 following bilateral lateral and subcostal injections are available as supporting information  
175 online (Figs S1 & S2).

176

### 177 **Lateral TAP block**

178 The quality of US visualization, feasibility score, number of attempts, and spread of  
179 the solution are reported in Table 1. US visualization was scored as excellent in 22 out of 24

180 injections and as good in 2 other injections. Feasibility was scored as excellent in 22 out of 24  
181 injections and as good in the 2 other injections. One attempt was sufficient to correctly  
182 perform the injection in 21 out of 24 injections, and two and three attempts were required in 2  
183 and 1 injections, respectively.

184           The number of blocks that adequately stained an individual nerve is reported in  
185 Table 2. None of the nerves cranial to T13 were stained by a lateral TAP injection.

186           One intraperitoneal spread and no intraspinal spread were detected. The dorsal spread  
187 of the contrast medium toward the spinal canal was always blocked by the epaxial lumbar  
188 musculature (musculus longissimus dorsi). No significant differences were found in the  
189 craniocaudal and dorsoventral spread of the solution and in the number of blocks that  
190 adequately stained an individual nerve between the two volumes of injectate.

191

#### 192 Subcostal TAP block

193           The quality of US visualization, feasibility score, number of attempts, and spread of  
194 the solution are reported in Table 3. US visualization was scored as excellent in 10 out of 12  
195 subcostal injections and as good in the other 2 injections. Feasibility was scored as excellent  
196 in 8 out of 12 injections and as good in 4 injections. One attempt was sufficient to correctly  
197 perform the injection in 8 out of 12 injections, and two attempts were needed for 4 injections.  
198 The number of nerves adequately stained by subcostal injection is reported in Table 2; none of  
199 the nerves caudal to T12 were stained. No intraspinal spread and one intraperitoneal spread  
200 were detected.

201           A noteworthy peculiarity of the rectus abdominis muscle at US visualization was the  
202 presence of oblique, hyperechoic, regularly distributed lines in its body, that likely represent  
203 the tendinous intersections (Fig.1).

204

205



206 **Discussion**

207           The present study aimed to develop and evaluate the TAP block technique in calf  
208 cadavers. The results indicate that two injections, lateral and subcostal, on each side of the  
209 abdomen, are necessary to stain all of the nerves innervating segments of the ventral  
210 abdominal wall possibly involved in common median celiotomies.

211           Both the lateral and subcostal approaches were easy to perform. Indeed, US  
212 visualization and feasibility for both approaches were judged as excellent and only one  
213 attempt was needed to perform them correctly in the majority of the injections. Moreover,  
214 intraperitoneal spread was rarely detected and intraspinal spread of the solution was not  
215 observed.

216           Increasing the volume did not affect the craniocaudal and dorsoventral spread of the  
217 solution when the lateral TAP block was performed, or the number of injections that  
218 adequately stained an individual nerve. This is in accordance with the studies of Carney et al.  
219 (2011) and Forero et al. (2015) in humans and Zoff et al. (2017b) in dogs, in which no  
220 differences in solution spread occurred with different injection volumes. Conversely, studies  
221 in humans published by Suresh et al. (2015) and Moeschler et al. (2013) and in dogs by  
222 Bruggink et al. (2012) identified a direct correlation between injection volume and spread of  
223 the solution. In light of the results from the present and the above mentioned studies, further  
224 investigations are needed to improve the TAP block technique, aimed at increasing the  
225 percentage of nerves stained. If volume is not the limitation of solution spread, combination  
226 of the TAP block with a second technique could lead to better nerve staining.

227           In this study, difficulty was encountered when attempting the subcostal approach  
228 during the preliminary pilot trial because the fascia between the rectus abdominis muscle and  
229 the TAM was difficult to delineate. This problem arose because the pectoralis major muscle  
230 extends quite caudally in calves, it is a large muscle in this species and was initially identified  
231 as the rectus abdominis muscle. Another misleading feature was the presence of oblique,

232 hyperechoic, regularly distributed lines in the rectus abdominis muscle body that the authors  
233 postulated represented the tendinous intersections. Their regular distribution and oblique  
234 direction made them easily recognizable, however, it is important to not consider them as  
235 intermuscular fascia when performing this technique.

236         Sensory innervation of the ventral abdominal wall possibly involved in routine median  
237 celiotomy is provided by the last thoracic nerves and the first two lumbar nerves (Arnold &  
238 Kitchell 1957; Budras & Wünsche 2002). Based on previous literature (Budras & Wünsche  
239 2002) and on the findings of the anatomical dissections in the present study, the ventral  
240 branches of T8 innervate the most cranial abdominal region that could be involved during the  
241 surgical procedure. The ventral branches of the T8 to L2 pass over the TAM, providing  
242 innervation of the peritoneum, muscular layers, subcutis and skin (Arnold & Kitchell 1957;  
243 Budras & Wünsche 2002). Since the incision is performed on the midline, a bilateral nerve  
244 block is needed to ensure adequate analgesia. As already suggested by Drozdzyńska et al.  
245 (2017) and in the light of the present results, a combination of the lateral and subcostal  
246 techniques may represent the best option for providing analgesia during median celiotomy,  
247 particularly when a long surgical incision is required. For surgeries involving the inguinal  
248 area, blockade of the third lumbar nerve (L3) could be necessary. In the present study, L3 was  
249 never stained and an additional anaesthetic technique would be required.

250         The L2 nerve following the lateral approach and the T12 nerve following the subcostal  
251 approach were stained only in 25 and 50% of the cases, respectively. Nevertheless,  
252 communication between adjacent thoracolumbar nerves has been described in humans (Rozen  
253 et al. 2008) and the extent of sensory blockade of the area innervated by these two nerves  
254 following the combination of lateral and subcostal TAP block may be still adequate *in vivo*.

255         In the present study, the soft tissues adhering to the caudal part of the last rib impeded  
256 the spread of the solution from the lateral TAP injection site to the intercostal space, as  
257 reported in humans (Tran et al. 2009). Thus, staining of the nerves cranial to T13 was not

258 possible solely with a lateral injection, although effectiveness of a higher injection volume,  
259 possibly reaching the intercostal nerve exiting the costal arch, cannot be ruled out. However,  
260 the potential toxicity of higher volumes of local anaesthetic agents needs to be considered. An  
261 initial injection volume of 0.2 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> block was chosen based on the maximum suggested  
262 dosage of procaine 1% (4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Rioja Garcia 2015) when the study design initially  
263 included only a bilateral lateral TAP block injection. Of relevance, procaine is the only local  
264 anaesthetic drug allowed by European and Swiss regulations for use in food producing  
265 animals. Doubling the volume was chosen to investigate the influence of volume on the  
266 spread of the solution. With the final study design investigating the combination of both  
267 lateral and subcostal techniques, a volume of 0.2 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> for each injection resulted in a total  
268 procaine dose of 8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. No known study on the toxic dose of procaine has been  
269 performed in calves. In humans, a maximum dose of 500 mg for infiltration anaesthesia has  
270 been suggested (Berde & Strichartz 2015) but no side effects have been reported after single  
271 intravenous (IV) administration of dosages up to 5000 mg (EMEA/MRL/217/97-FINAL  
272 1998). In dogs, procaine (20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) IV resulted in muscle tremors and incoordination  
273 (EMEA/MRL/217/97-FINAL 1998). In horses, procaine (2–10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) administered IV  
274 resulted in locomotor, cardiovascular and behavioural reactions similar to the ones described  
275 when procaine benzylpenicillin is administered, none of which was fatal  
276 (EMEA/MRL/217/97-FINAL 1998).

277 To overcome a potential overdose, if an increase in the volume of injection is desired,  
278 drugs can be diluted. Nevertheless, lower concentrations might be less efficacious and the  
279 minimum concentration at which procaine would still provide sensory block is, to date,  
280 unknown. Moreover, the role that the volume:concentration ratio has on block success rate,  
281 onset time and duration remains controversial in the literature (Taboada Muñiz et al. 2008;  
282 Bertini et al. 2009; Cappelleri et al. 2014).

283           This study has some limitations that need to be considered before implementing the  
284 technique in clinical practice: 1) the technique was performed on thawed cadavers at room  
285 temperature, therefore, solution spread, ultrasound imaging and extent of sensory blockade  
286 may be different *in vivo*; 2) dilution of local anaesthetics might be necessary to obtain a  
287 minimal effective injection volume, possibly reducing the analgesic efficacy of the technique;  
288 3) only six cadavers were used to describe the subcostal approach, therefore only descriptive  
289 statistical analysis was possible; and 4) only one investigator performed the US-guided block,  
290 thus the feasibility of the technique could change depending on operator experience.

291           In conclusion, the TAP block is a feasible and easy to perform technique in calves. A  
292 combination of the lateral and subcostal approaches is recommended to obtain adequate  
293 spread of the solution over the sensory nerves supplying the ventral abdominal wall.  
294 However, further investigations are needed in order to improve this technique aiming at  
295 increasing the percentage of nerves stained. The TAP block could be used in any surgery  
296 requiring access to the ventral abdominal wall, and a selective caudal or cranial block could  
297 be achieved by using the lateral or subcostal approach, respectively. Injection volume does  
298 not seem to influence the spread of the solution when performing the lateral TAP block.  
299 Prospective controlled clinical studies in calves are needed to: 1) establish the best  
300 volume:concentration ratio of local anaesthetic solution to provide reliable analgesia of the  
301 ventral abdominal wall; and 2) to assess the intra- and postoperative analgesic efficacy of the  
302 block.

303

#### 304 **Acknowledgements**

305 The authors thank the committee for veterinary specialization (SpezKo) of the Vetsuisse  
306 Faculty, University of Bern, for financial support for this study.

307

#### 308 **Authors' contributions**

309 AM: study design, selection of study material, acquisition of data, statistical analysis,  
310 preparation of the manuscript; AvonR, MS and LM: acquisition of data, revision and approval  
311 of the manuscript; DC: study design, revision and approval of the manuscript; CS: study  
312 design, selection of study material, revision and approval of the manuscript.

313

314 **Conflict of interest statement**

315 Authors declare no conflict of interest.

316 **References**

- 317 Arnold JP, Kitchell RL (1957) Experimental studies of the innervation of the abdominal wall  
318 of cattle. *Am J Vet Res* 18, 229–240.
- 319 Baird AN (2008) Umbilical surgery in calves. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract* 24, 467–  
320 477.
- 321 Barrington MJ, Ivanusic JJ, Rozen WM, Hebbard P (2009) Spread of injectate after  
322 ultrasound-guided subcostal transversus abdominis plane block: a cadaveric study.  
323 *Anaesthesia* 64, 745–750.
- 324 Berde CB, Strichartz GR (2015) Local anesthetics. In: *Miller's Anesthesia* (8th edn). Miller  
325 RD, Cohen NH, Eriksson LI et al. (eds). Elsevier Saunders, USA. pp. 1028-1053.
- 326 Bertini L, Palmisani S, Mancini S et al. (2009) Does local anesthetic dilution influence the  
327 clinical effectiveness of multiple-injection axillary brachial plexus block?: a prospective,  
328 double-blind, randomized clinical trial in patients undergoing upper limb surgery. *Reg*  
329 *Anesth Pain Med* 34, 408–413.
- 330 Bruggink SM, Schroeder KM, Baker-Herman TL, Schroeder CA (2012) Weight-based  
331 volume of injection influences cranial to caudal spread of local anesthetic solution in  
332 ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane blocks in canine cadavers. *Vet Surg* 41,  
333 455–457.
- 334 Budras K-D, Wünsche A (2002) *Stammskelett und Hals, Bauchwand und Bauchhöhle. Atlas*  
335 *der Anatomie des Rindes*. Schlütersche, Germany. pp. 58–59, 66–67.
- 336 Cappelleri G, Ambrosoli AL, Turconi S et al. (2014) Effect of local anesthetic dilution on the  
337 onset time and duration of double-injection sciatic nerve block: a prospective,  
338 randomized, blinded evaluation. *Anesth Analg* 119, 489–493.
- 339 Carney J, Finnerty O, Rauf J et al. (2011) Studies on the spread of local anaesthetic solution  
340 in transversus abdominis plane blocks. *Anaesthesia* 66, 1023–1030.

341 Drozdzyńska M, Monticelli P, Neilson D, Viscasillas J (2017) Ultrasound-guided subcostal  
342 oblique transversus abdominis plane block in canine cadavers. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 44,  
343 183–186.

344 El-Dawlatly AA, Turkistani A, Kettner SC et al. (2009) Ultrasound-guided transversus  
345 abdominis plane block: description of a new technique and comparison with conventional  
346 systemic analgesia during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Anaesth* 102, 763-767.

347 EMEA/MRL/217/97-FINAL (1998) Committee for veterinary medicinal products, procaine,  
348 summary report.  
349 [http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\\_GB/document\\_library/Maximum\\_Residue\\_Limits\\_-](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Maximum_Residue_Limits_-_Report/2009/11/WC500015791.pdf)  
350 [\\_Report/2009/11/WC500015791.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Maximum_Residue_Limits_-_Report/2009/11/WC500015791.pdf)

351 Fazili MR, Buchoo BA, Bhattacharyya H, Khan I (2013) Uncomplicated (simple) umbilical  
352 hernia in crossbred dairy calves: management with or without surgery. *Indian J Vet Surg*  
353 34, 111–114.

354 Forero M, Heikkila A, Paul JE et al. (2015) Lumbar transversus abdominis plane block: the  
355 role of local anesthetic volume and concentration-a pilot, prospective, randomized,  
356 controlled trial. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 1, 10.

357 Johns N, O'Neill S, Ventham NT et al. (2012) Clinical effectiveness of transversus abdominis  
358 plane (TAP) block in abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis.  
359 *Colorectal Dis* 14, e635–e642.

360 Kitlik A, Erdogan MA, Ozgul U et al. (2017) Ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane  
361 block for postoperative analgesia in living liver donors: a prospective, randomized,  
362 double-blinded clinical trial. *J Clin Anesth* 37, 103–107.

363 Ma J, Jiang Y, Tang S et al. (2017) Analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided subcostal  
364 transversus abdominis plane block. *Medicine* 96, e6309.

365 McDonnell JG, O'Donnell BD, Farrell T et al. (2007) Transversus abdominis plane block: a  
366 cadaveric and radiological evaluation. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 32, 399–404.

367 McDonnell JG, Curley G, Carney J et al. (2008) The analgesic efficacy of transversus  
368 abdominis plane block after cesarean delivery: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesth*  
369 *Analg* 106, 186–191.

370 Moeschler SM, Murthy NS, Hoelzer BC et al. (2013) Ultrasound-guided transversus  
371 abdominis plane injection with computed tomography correlation: a cadaveric study. *J*  
372 *Pain Res* 6, 493–496.

373 Portela DA, Romano M, Briganti A (2014) Retrospective clinical evaluation of ultrasound  
374 guided transverse abdominis plane block in dogs undergoing mastectomy. *Vet Anaesth*  
375 *Analg* 41, 319–324.

376 Rioja Garcia E (2015) Local anesthetics. In: Lumb & Jones' *Veterinary Anesthesia and*  
377 *Analgesia* (5th edn). Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ et al. (eds). Wiley Blackwell,  
378 USA. pp. 332–354.

379 Rozen WM, Tran TMN, Ashton MW et al. (2008) Refining the course of the thoracolumbar  
380 nerves: a new understanding of the innervation of the anterior abdominal wall. *Clin Anat*  
381 21, 325–333.

382 Schroeder CA, Schroeder KM, Johnson RA (2010) Transversus abdominis plane block for  
383 exploratory laparotomy in a Canadian lynx (*Lynx canadensis*). *J Zoo Wildlife Med* 41,  
384 338–341.

385 Schroeder CA, Snyder LB, Tearney CC et al. (2011) Ultrasound-guided transversus  
386 abdominis plane block in the dog: an anatomical evaluation. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 38, 267–  
387 271.

388 Suresh S, Taylor LJ, De Oliveira GS Jr (2015) Dose effect of local anesthetics on analgesic  
389 outcomes for the transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block in children: a randomized,  
390 double-blinded, clinical trial. *Paediatr Anaesth* 25, 506–510.

391 Taboada Muñoz MT, Rodríguez J, Bermúdez M et al. (2008) Low volume and high  
392 concentration of local anesthetic is more efficacious than high volume and low



393 concentration in Labat's sciatic nerve block: a prospective, randomized comparison.  
394 *Anesth Analg* 107, 2085–2088.

395 Tran TMN, Ivanusic JJ, Hebbard P, Barrington MJ (2009) Determination of spread of  
396 injectate after ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block: a cadaveric study.  
397 *Br J Anaesth* 102, 123–127.

398 Zoff A, Dugdale A, Coates AN, Rioja E (2017a) Transversus abdominis plane block in two  
399 calves undergoing umbilical herniorrhaphy. *Vet Rec Case Rep* 5, e000447.

400 Zoff A, Laborda-Vidal P, Mortier J et al. (2017b) Comparison of the spread of two different  
401 volumes of contrast medium when performing ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis  
402 plane injection in dog cadavers. *J Small Anim Pract* 58, 269–275.

403

404 **Supporting Information**

405 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

406 **Figure S1** Dissection of the left abdominal wall showing the pathway of the eighth thoracic to  
407 second lumbar (T8-L2) nerves over the transversus abdominis muscle (TAM) in a calf  
408 cadaver. Skin, subcutis, obliquus externus abdominis muscle and obliquus internus abdominis  
409 muscle have been removed.

410 **Figure S2** Three-dimensional computed tomography image reconstruction showing the  
411 spread of the contrast solution following four ultrasound-guided transverse abdominal plane  
412 injections [two lateral (0.2 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> left and 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> right) and two subcostal (0.2 mL  
413 kg<sup>-1</sup> bilaterally)] in a calf cadaver.

414 **Figure 1** Ultrasonographic image obtained while performing the subcostal transversus  
415 abdominis plane (TAP) block. The ultrasound probe was positioned parallel to the subcostal  
416 margin and slid midway between the xiphoid and the last rib.  
417 RAM, body of the rectus abdominis muscle with its tendinous intersections (arrows); TAM,  
418 the transversus abdominis muscle.  
419  
420

421 **Table 1** Lateral transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block. Quality of ultrasound (US) visualization, feasibility of the technique, and number of  
 422 attempts necessary to perform a correct injection, craniocaudal spread and dorsoventral spread of a solution of toluidine blue and a contrast medium  
 423 using 2 injection volumes (0.2 and 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>) in calf cadavers.

<b>Calf number</b>	<b>Side</b>	<b>US visualization</b>	<b>Feasibility</b>	<b>Number of attempts</b>	<b>Volume (mL kg<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>Craniocaudal spread (cm)</b>	<b>Dorsoventral spread (cm)</b>
1	L	Excellent	Excellent	2	0.2	9	10
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	10	12
2	L	Excellent	Excellent	3	0.2	5	4
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	20	8
3	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	8	10
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	9	11
4	L	Good*	Excellent	2	0.2	5	10
	R	Good*	Excellent	1	0.4	11	12
5	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	9	9
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	10	15
6	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	8	10
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	12	10
7	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	7	7
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	11	10
8	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	10	9

	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	9	11
9	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	6	9
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	8	8
10	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	9	11
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	5	7
11	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	7	10
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	16	10
12	L	Excellent	Good	1	0.2	8	20
	R	Excellent	Good	1	0.4	5	5

---

424 L, left side; R, right side. \*Separation of muscle layers not clearly visualized during injection.

425

426

427 **Table 2** Nerves stained  $\geq 1$  cm by lateral or subcostal transversus abdominus plane (TAP) injections using two volumes (0.2 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> or 0.4 mL  
 428 kg<sup>-1</sup>) in calf cadavers.

Spinal nerve	Injection technique	Volume (mL kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Number/total (%)
T8	Lateral	0.2	0/12 (0)
		0.4	0/12 (0)
	Subcostal	0.2	4/6 (67)
		0.4	5/6 (83)
T9	Lateral	0.2	0/12 (0)
		0.4	0/12 (0)
	Subcostal	0.2	5/6 (83)
		0.4	6/6 (100)
T10	Lateral	0.2	0/12 (0)
		0.4	0/12 (0)
	Subcostal	0.2	4/6 (67)
		0.4	5/6 (83)
T11	Lateral	0.2	0/12 (0)
		0.4	0/12 (0)
	Subcostal	0.2	4/6 (67)
		0.4	5/6 (83)

T12	Lateral	0.2	0/12 (0)
		0.4	0/12 (0)
	Subcostal	0.2	3/6 (50)
		0.4	3/6 (50)
T13	Lateral	0.2	7/12 (58)
		0.4	9/12 (75)
	Subcostal	0.2	0/6 (0)
		0.4	0/6 (0)
L1	Lateral	0.2	11/12 (92)
		0.4	10/12 (83)
	Subcostal	0.2	0/6 (0)
		0.4	0/6 (0)
L2	Lateral	0.2	3/12 (25)
		0.4	3/12 (25)
	Subcostal	0.2	0/6 (0)
		0.4	0/6 (0)

429

430

431 **Table 3** Subcostal transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block. Quality of ultrasound (US) visualization, feasibility of the technique, and number of  
 432 attempts necessary to perform a correct injection, craniocaudal spread and dorsoventral spread of a solution of toluidine blue and a contrast medium  
 433 using 2 injection volumes (0.2 and 0.4 mL kg<sup>-1</sup>) in calf cadavers.

<b>Calf number</b>	<b>Side</b>	<b>US visualization</b>	<b>Feasibility</b>	<b>Number of attempts</b>	<b>Volume (mL kg<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>Craniocaudal spread (cm)</b>	<b>Dorsoventral spread (cm)</b>
10	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	13	5
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	15	4
11	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.2	10	3
	R	Excellent	Excellent	2	0.2	10	5
12	L	Excellent	Good	1	0.2	7	7
	R	Excellent	Good	2	0.2	7	9
13	L	Good*	Good	2	0.4	11	9
	R	Good*	Good	2	0.4	8	8
14	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	8	5
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	15	5
15	L	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	12	8
	R	Excellent	Excellent	1	0.4	17	10

434 L, left side; R, right side. \*Muscle layers not easily visualized.

435