Psychological Medicine

cambridge.org/psm

Commentary

Cite this article: Walther S, Bernard JA, Mittal VA, Shankman SA (2018). The utility of an RDoC motor domain to understand psychomotor symptoms in depression. Psychological Medicine 49, 212–216. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718003033

Received: 15 July 2018 Revised: 10 September 2018 Accepted: 22 September 2018 First published online: 16 October 2018

Key words:

Brain circuits; motor behavior; RDoC; transdiagnostic

Author for correspondence: Dr Sebastian Walther, E-mail: sebastian. walther@upd.unibe.ch The utility of an RDoC motor domain to understand psychomotor symptoms in depression

S. Walther¹, J.A. Bernard², V. A. Mittal³ and S.A. Shankman⁴

¹Translational Research Center, University Hospital of Psychiatry, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; ²Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Texas A&M Institute for Neuroscience, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX, USA; ³Department of Psychology, Department of Psychiatry, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA and ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

Abstract

Despite the clinical impact of motor symptoms such as agitation or retardation on the course of depression, these symptoms are poorly understood. Novel developments in the field of instrumentation and mobile devices allow for dimensional and continuous recording of motor behavior in various settings, particularly outside the laboratory. Likewise, the use of novel assessments enables to combine multimodal neuroimaging with behavioral measures in order to investigate the neural correlates of motor dysfunction in depression. The research domain criteria (RDoC) framework will soon include a motor domain that will provide a framework for studying motor dysfunction in mood disorders. In addition, new studies within this framework will allow investigators to study motor symptoms across different stages of depression as well as other psychiatric diagnoses. Finally, the introduction of the RDoC motor domain will help test how motor symptoms integrate with the original five RDoC domains (negative valence, positive valence, cognitive, social processes, and arousal/regulation).

Psychomotor retardation and agitation (i.e. psychomotor disturbances) are core features of depression (one of nine primary phenomenology in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual 5) (APA, 2013) and reflect deficits in fine motor function as well as gross motor behavior (Sobin and Sackeim, 1997). Clinicians' ratings of retardation or agitation are as frequent as 60-70% in acute depressive episodes, as indicated by the STAR*D trial (Novick et al., 2005). These disturbances are particularly frequent in episodes of severe depression (Parker, 2000) and have been linked to poor outcome in treatment trials of depression (Ulbricht et al., 2016, 2018; Sakurai et al., 2017). Furthermore, clinician-rated psychomotor disturbances are suggested to distinguish the melancholic subtype of unipolar depression (Sobin and Sackeim, 1997; Parker, 2000) and also distinguish major depressive disorder from bipolar disorder, with stronger effects for retardation than agitation (Leonpacher et al., 2015). A longitudinal investigation of subjects with bipolar disorder reported that clinician-rated agitation was the best discriminator between mood episodes, performing better than retardation, and most importantly, much better than mood symptoms of common clinical rating scales for depression and mania (Cheniaux et al., 2018). Other motor abnormalities such as neurological soft signs are associated with psychosis in multiple disorders, including depression (Owoeye et al., 2013; Sagheer et al., 2018). In sum, psychomotor disturbances are of clinical value in determining poor outcome or treatment response.

Despite this importance, research on motor issues in depression is quite scarce. Some have argued that shifting the focus of psychopathology from (observable) signs to (self-reported) symptoms has led to an under-appreciation of overt behavior, a trend particularly fateful for motor disturbances (Kendler, 2016). However, in the last decade some groups have investigated the role of motor abnormalities in psychiatric disorders using new instrumental measures of motor behavior, allowing for objective and dimensional assessment (van Harten *et al.*, 2017). Today, with mobile technology being widely available, it is possible to collect large scale health instrumental assessments of motor behaviors. This approach to motor phenomena conceptualizes motor disturbance dimensionally, enables the integration with other types of motor assessment (e.g. lab-based tests, experiments with brain stimulation, ecological momentary assessment, and smartphone applications) and consequently, holds significant relevance for the NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC).

These novel measures allow further insight into the neural mechanisms guiding multiple motor disturbances (Walther, 2015; Walther and Mittal, 2017). Classical studies on motor disturbances in psychiatric disorders have usually applied observer-based rating scales covering one particular sign or a group of symptoms (e.g. tardive dyskinesia) in the context of one disorder, therefore, these measures fall short in describing the complete set of motor alterations

© Cambridge University Press 2018



Psychological Medicine 213

possible. As a result, motor domains of unknown pathobiology such as agitation and retardation have been commonly lumped together, even though they may be mediated by different mechanisms, as they tend to do in neurological disorders (DeLong and Wichmann, 2009). Further, as self-reports of psychomotor disturbance are subject to patient insight and bias, and observer-based rating scales are reliant on the most readily observable or impactful disturbances, it is also unclear if these behaviors change across the course of depression. This lack of rigorous measures for motor disturbances in depression is particularly surprising for a core feature of the disease, as motor markers have been highly predictive and intimately tied to disease and course in other disorders such a psychosis, autism, and dementia (Scarmeas *et al.*, 2004; Jansiewicz *et al.*, 2006; Mittal and Walker, 2007; Asgari and Shafran, 2010; Peralta and Cuesta, 2017).

Another limitation of prior work on motor disturbance in depression is the lack of a framework describing motor disturbances in depression, let alone a neurobiological model explaining these behaviors. The RDoC initiative proposes a framework consisting of five domains of constructs thought to be associated with psychopathology - negative valence, positive valence, cognitive systems, social processes, and arousal/regulatory systems - that can each be studied using multiple units of analysis (e.g. genes, molecules, cells, circuits, behavior, physiology, and self-report). For some of the constructs there is evidence of transdiagnostic principles guiding neural activation (McTeague et al., 2017) or physiological reactions (Lang et al., 2016) during tasks. However, an important domain that has been missing from the RDoC project is the motor domain, although NIMH is in the final stages of considering adding motor constructs to the RDoC framework (Bernard and Mittal, 2015; Garvey and Cuthbert, 2017; Mittal et al., 2017; Mittal and Wakschlag, 2017). Studying the role of motor functioning (along with the other RDoC domains) has tremendous promise for yielding a more holistic understanding of depression. First, within the framework, distinct motor disturbances can be assessed dimensionally and inform on prevalence and course of motor disturbances in depression. Second, mapping these motor disturbances on brain circuits will prepare the field to develop specific treatment, e.g. using brain stimulation techniques. Finally, given the heterogeneity of depression (and the broader internalizing disorders in general), examining the specific role of motor symptoms can parse this heterogeneity, potentially identify more homogeneous subtypes, and yield novel and focal treatment targets that can be assessed with reliable and easily administered observational methods.

However, there are also significant challenges the motor symptoms domain will need to overcome. While other RDoC domains such as cognition had a tradition of testing multiple constructs with a battery of tests in the same individuals, the motor field has previously relied on investigations of single signs (e.g. tardive dyskinesia). Therefore, an RDoC motor domain will have the particular challenge of combining several motor subprocesses and integrating various very specific motor signs with unique definitions and referencing frameworks. On the other hand, instrumentation for the valid dimensional assessment of motor behavior is becoming increasingly available (van Harten et al., 2017). An excellent example is the assessment of extrapyramidal signs in untreated psychosis, for which instrumental measures such as force variability or velocity scaling have much higher sensitivity and specificity than clinical rating scales (Cortese et al., 2005; van Harten et al., 2017). The same improved sensitivity was reported in comparisons of instrumental measures v. rating scales in depression; 40% of depressed patients had motor disturbances according to clinicians' ratings, but 60% according to instrumentation (Caligiuri and Ellwanger, 2000). Likewise, computerized fine motor tasks reported motor dysfunction in both medicated and unmedicated depressed subjects, as well as changes in fine motor slowing after antidepressant pharmacotherapy (Sabbe et al., 1996a; 1996b; Pier et al., 2004; Schrijvers et al., 2009).

Another strength lending to an RDoC motor domain rests in the well-defined brain circuitry underlying normative motor behavior. This can serve as an anchoring point for the circuitcentric nature of the RDoC framework. Furthermore, as the field starts to understand motor-brain mechanisms, this may help to shed light on psychiatric illnesses where these motor behaviors occur. Notably, certain instrumental assessments map on to well-defined motor circuitry, which can be related to behavior or psychopathology (Mittal et al., 2017): (1) the cortico-basal ganglia circuit from primary motor cortex (M1) via caudate, putamen, pallidum, and subthalamic nucleus to the thalamic motor nuclei and back to M1, which controls excitation and inhibition of movements (Aron and Poldrack, 2006; Obeso et al., 2014). The function of this circuit can be tested using actigraphy or force variability, which map on components of this circuit (Bracht et al., 2013; Mittal et al., 2013). (2) The cerebello-thalamo-motor circuit, which combines M1, thalamus, and the cerebellar cortex. This loop is suggested to coordinate sensorimotor dynamics (Bostan et al., 2010; Bernard et al., 2016). Therefore, postural sway is an applicable instrumental measure capturing the function of this circuit (Bernard et al., 2014). And finally, (3) the cortico-cortical motor circuit includes premotor and motor cortex, medial prefrontal cortex as well as posterior parietal cortex. This loop is thought to regulate movement organization and speed (Picard and Strick, 1996; Chouinard and Paus, 2006). Quantitative video analysis of complex behaviors such as hand gesture may monitor the function of this circuit (Dutschke et al., 2018). Notably, aberrant functional connectivity in exactly these abovementioned motor circuits was demonstrated in psychosis patients with evident motor abnormalities and subjects at risk for psychosis with distinct motor behavior profiles (Walther et al., 2017; Dean et al., 2018). Given the well-defined functional neuroanatomy of the motor system, it should be possible to identify the neural mechanisms of altered motor behavior in depression.

How could motor disturbances in depression be conceptualized within the proposed RDoC motor domain? Motor disturbances could be tested as a dimension of depression; for example, psychomotor retardation could be quantified by the total amount of movement, allowing for changes within the course of depressive episodes. Indeed, using actigraphy, studies have linked individual differences in total movement with current mood states in depression (Razavi et al., 2011; Bewernick et al., 2017; van Diermen et al., 2018). Motor retardation in terms of fine motor slowing can be assessed by analysis of key strike delay on smart phones, which have been shown to longitudinally predict mood ratings (Stange et al., 2018). Likewise, fine motor slowing was evidenced in drawing and writing tasks may separate subgroups of depression (Sabbe et al., 1999; Caligiuri and Ellwanger, 2000; Pier et al., 2004). Furthermore, continuous recordings of gross motor activity and smart phone typing behavior can easily be assessed outside of the laboratory, adding ecological validity to their measurement.

Reliable assessment of agitation seems to be much more difficult, but could be accomplished by focusing on continuous actigraphy data or frequency of typing errors on mobile devices 214 S. Walther *et al.*

(Walther *et al.*, 2014). In the laboratory, measures such as force variability could be useful to assess agitation (Mittal *et al.*, 2013; Willems *et al.*, 2016).

To further understand psychomotor disturbances in depression from an RDoC perspective, these instrumental dimensional measures could be measured longitudinally to determine whether these motor behaviors are stable or variable during the course of illness, i.e. tapping into state or trait characteristics of the disorder. Finally, to complement the lab-based and ecologically valid measures, new self-report instruments are needed assessing the subjective experience of agitation or retardation (beyond single items from scales such as the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale). Novel questionnaires assessing the subjective experience and everyday consequences of psychomotor retardation and agitation would be useful.

How would retardation or agitation in depression map on the cerebral motor circuits? Following the proposed function of the motor circuits, psychomotor retardation should be associated with structural and functional alterations in both the cortico-basal ganglia circuit and the cortico-cortical motor circuit. Preliminary evidence seems to support this view, demonstrating increased resting state perfusion in the motor cortex of patients with psychomotor retardation (Yin et al., 2018). In addition, studies using actigraphy to assess gross motor activity reported an association of these dimensional measures with resting state perfusion and white matter properties in premotor cortices, external globus pallidus, and white matter motor tracts, all components of the cortico-basal-ganglia or cortico-cortical circuits (Bracht et al., 2012; Walther et al., 2012a, 2012b; Cantisani et al., 2016). While these findings generally support the impact of the cerebral motor system in depression, the exact mechanism underlying psychomotor retardation still needs to be identified. In contrast, the neural correlates of agitation in depression have not been reported before. Lack of inhibitory control or functional imbalance in basal ganglia loops shifted toward motor excitation could be potential mechanisms, but that remains to be tested.

How could RDoC research on motor functioning in depression relate to treatment and research on other disorders? The proposed motor domain would allow for in depth dimensional assessment of motor behavior in depression. As these measures have been used with other disorders, these data can be combined with data on motor disturbance in other neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism, or dementia in order to study the transdiagnostic nature of motor behavior (Bernard and Mittal, 2015; Mittal et al., 2017). This would also help integrate motor behavior into the depressive syndrome cluster. The proposed RDoC motor domain has the potential to inform tests clarifying whether motor behavior has unique predictive value in the course and treatment response of depression. Current post-hoc analyses suggest that motor disturbances would indicate poor treatment response (Ulbricht et al., 2016, 2018; Sakurai et al., 2017). Again, instrumental measures are very likely to outperform self-rated motor disturbances and predictions could become even more precise (Caligiuri and Ellwanger, 2000). Relatedly, in motor phenomena such as catatonia or Parkinsonism, researchers are increasingly focusing on the syndrome in various conditions, e.g. delirium, schizophrenia, depression, and bipolar disorder (Stuivenga and Morrens, 2014; Wilson et al., 2015). Along this line, the field could test whether psychomotor retardation and agitation in depression were a minor form of catatonia, a sign related to Parkinsonism, or phenomena distinct from catatonia and Parkinsonism. Furthermore, also brain imaging studies exploring motor disturbances in depression within this framework would be readily comparable with similar studies in other disorders. Given that similar mechanisms were involved across a spectrum of psychiatric disorders, specific treatments for motor inhibition or motor excitation could be tested and offered independent of the underlying psychiatric disorder. For example, studies could use noninvasive brain stimulation to target aberrant neural activity within cortical motor areas (Walther *et al.*, 2017) in order to ameliorate motor disturbance in depression. In sum, studying motor functioning in depression with an RDoC lens holds a great deal of promise for enhancing understanding (and ultimately treatment) for this important feature of depression.

Author ORCIDs. D Sebastian Walther http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4026-3561.

Financial support. None.

Conflict of interest. Dr Walther has received honoraria from Lilly, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Janssen and Sandoz in the past, which is not in conflict with this work. Drs Bernard and Mittal worked on the RDoC Motor group, but the statements made in this commentary do not reflect the official views or policies of the RDoC workgroup. Dr Shankman has no conflicts of interest.

References

American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2013) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association.

Aron AR and Poldrack RA (2006) Cortical and subcortical contributions to stop signal response inhibition: role of the subthalamic nucleus. *Journal* of Neuroscience 26, 2424–2433.

Asgari M and Shafran I (2010) Predicting severity of Parkinson's disease from speech. Conference proceedings: 32nd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society 2010, 5201–5204.

Bernard JA and Mittal VA (2015) Updating the research domain criteria: the utility of a motor dimension. *Psychological Medicine* 45, 2685–2689.

Bernard JA, Dean DJ, Kent JS, Orr JM, Pelletier-Baldelli A, Lunsford-Avery JR, Gupta T and Mittal VA (2014) Cerebellar networks in individuals at ultra high-risk of psychosis: impact on postural sway and symptom severity. Human Brain Mapping 35, 4064–4078.

Bernard JA, Orr JM and Mittal VA (2016) Differential motor and prefrontal cerebello-cortical network development: evidence from multimodal neuroimaging. Neuroimage 124, 591–601.

Bewernick BH, Urbach AS, Broder A, Kayser S and Schlaepfer TE (2017) Walking away from depression-motor activity increases ratings of mood and incentive drive in patients with major depression. *Psychiatry Research* 247, 68–72.

Bostan AC, Dum RP and Strick PL (2010) The basal ganglia communicate with the cerebellum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107, 8452–8456.

Bracht T, Federspiel A, Schnell S, Horn H, Hofle O, Wiest R, Dierks T, Strik W, Muller TJ and Walther S (2012) Cortico-cortical white matter motor pathway microstructure is related to psychomotor retardation in major depressive disorder. PLoS One 7, e52238.

Bracht T, Schnell S, Federspiel A, Razavi N, Horn H, Strik W, Wiest R, Dierks T, Muller TJ and Walther S (2013) Altered cortico-basal ganglia motor pathways reflect reduced volitional motor activity in schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Research 143, 269–276.

Caligiuri MP and Ellwanger J (2000) Motor and cognitive aspects of motor retardation in depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 57, 83–93.

Cantisani A, Stegmayer K, Bracht T, Federspiel A, Wiest R, Horn H, Muller TJ, Schneider C, Hofle O, Strik W and Walther S (2016) Distinct resting-state perfusion patterns underlie psychomotor retardation in unipolar vs. bipolar depression. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 134, 329–338.

Psychological Medicine 215

- Cheniaux E, Silva RAD, Santana CM and Filgueiras A (2018) Changes in energy and motor activity: core symptoms of bipolar mania and depression? *Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria* 40, 233–237.
- Chouinard PA and Paus T (2006) The primary motor and premotor areas of the human cerebral cortex. Neuroscientist 12, 143–152.
- Cortese L, Caligiuri MP, Malla AK, Manchanda R, Takhar J and Haricharan R (2005) Relationship of neuromotor disturbances to psychosis symptoms in first-episode neuroleptic-naive schizophrenia patients. *Schizophrenia Research* 75, 65–75.
- Dean DJ, Walther S, Bernard JA and Mittal VA (2018) Motor clusters reveal differences in risk for psychosis, cognitive functioning, and thalamocortical connectivity: evidence for vulnerability subtypes. Clinical Psychological Science 6, 721–734.
- **DeLong M and Wichmann T** (2009) Update on models of basal ganglia function and dysfunction. *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders* **15**(Suppl. 3), S237–S240.
- Dutschke LL, Stegmayer K, Ramseyer F, Bohlhalter S, Vanbellingen T, Strik W and Walther S (2018) Gesture impairments in schizophrenia are linked to increased movement and prolonged motor planning and execution. Schizophrenia Research 200, 42–49.
- **Garvey MA and Cuthbert BN** (2017) Developing a motor systems domain for the NIMH RDoC program. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **43**, 935–936.
- Jansiewicz EM, Goldberg MC, Newschaffer CJ, Denckla MB, Landa R and Mostofsky SH (2006) Motor signs distinguish children with high functioning autism and Asperger's syndrome from controls. *Journal of Autism and Devopmental Disorders* 36, 613–621.
- Kendler KS (2016) Phenomenology of schizophrenia and the representativeness of modern diagnostic criteria. JAMA Psychiatry 73, 1082–1092.
- Lang PJ, McTeague LM and Bradley MM (2016) RDoc, DSM, and the reflex physiology of fear: a biodimensional analysis of the anxiety disorders spectrum. *Psychophysiology* 53, 336–347.
- Leonpacher AK, Liebers D, Pirooznia M, Jancic D, MacKinnon DF, Mondimore FM, Schweizer B, Potash JB, Zandi PP, Consortium NGIBD and Goes FS (2015) Distinguishing bipolar from unipolar depression: the importance of clinical symptoms and illness features. *Psychological Medicine* 45, 2437–2446.
- McTeague LM, Huemer J, Carreon DM, Jiang Y, Eickhoff SB and Etkin A (2017) Identification of common neural circuit disruptions in cognitive control across psychiatric disorders. American Journal of Psychiatry 174, 676–685.
- Mittal VA and Wakschlag LS (2017) Research domain criteria (RDoC) grows up: strengthening neurodevelopment investigation within the RDoC framework. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 216, 30–35.
- Mittal VA and Walker EF (2007) Movement abnormalities predict conversion to Axis I psychosis among prodromal adolescents. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 116, 796–803.
- Mittal VA, Orr JM, Turner JA, Pelletier AL, Dean DJ, Lunsford-Avery J and Gupta T (2013) Striatal abnormalities and spontaneous dyskinesias in non-clinical psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* **151**, 141–147.
- Mittal VA, Bernard JA and Northoff G (2017) What can different motor circuits tell us about psychosis? An RDoC perspective. Schizophrenia Bulletin 43, 949–955.
- Novick JS, Stewart JW, Wisniewski SR, Cook IA, Manev R, Nierenberg AA, Rosenbaum JF, Shores-Wilson K, Balasubramani GK, Biggs MM, Zisook S and Rush AJ, investigators SD (2005) Clinical and demographic features of atypical depression in outpatients with major depressive disorder: preliminary findings from STAR*D. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 66, 1002–1011.
- Obeso JA, Rodriguez-Oroz MC, Stamelou M, Bhatia KP and Burn DJ (2014) The expanding universe of disorders of the basal ganglia. *Lancet* **384**, 523–531.
- Owoeye O, Kingston T, Scully PJ, Baldwin P, Browne D, Kinsella A, Russell V, O'Callaghan E and Waddington JL (2013) Epidemiological and clinical characterization following a first psychotic episode in major depressive disorder: comparisons with schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder in the Cavan-Monaghan First Episode Psychosis Study (CAMFEPS). Schizophrenia Bulletin 39, 756–765.
- Parker G (2000) Classifying depression: should paradigms lost be regained? American Journal of Psychiatry 157, 1195–1203.

Peralta V and Cuesta MJ (2017) Motor abnormalities: from neurodevelopmental to neurodegenerative through "functional" (neuro)psychiatric disorders. Schizophrenia Bulletin 43, 956–971.

- Picard N and Strick PL (1996) Motor areas of the medial wall: a review of their location and functional activation. Cerebral Cortex 6, 342–353.
- Pier MP, Hulstijn W and Sabbe BG (2004) Differential patterns of psychomotor functioning in unmedicated melancholic and nonmelancholic depressed patients. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 38, 425–435.
- Razavi N, Horn H, Koschorke P, Hugli S, Hofle O, Muller T, Strik W and Walther S (2011) Measuring motor activity in major depression: the association between the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale and actigraphy. *Psychiatry Research* 190, 212–216.
- Sabbe B, Hulstijn W, Van Hoof J and Zitman F (1996a) Fine motor retardation and depression. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **30**, 295–306.
- Sabbe B, van Hoof J, Hulstijn W and Zitman F (1996b) Changes in fine motor retardation in depressed patients treated with fluoxetine. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 40, 149–157.
- Sabbe B, Hulstijn W, van Hoof J, Tuynman-Qua HG and Zitman F (1999) Retardation in depression: assessment by means of simple motor tasks. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 55, 39–44.
- Sagheer TA, Assaad S, Haddad G, Hachem D, Haddad C and Hallit S (2018) Neurological soft signs in bipolar and unipolar disorder: a casecontrol study. Psychiatry Research 261, 253–258.
- Sakurai H, Suzuki T, Yoshimura K, Mimura M and Uchida H (2017) Predicting relapse with individual residual symptoms in major depressive disorder: a reanalysis of the STAR*D data. *Psychopharmacology* 234, 2453–2461.
- Scarmeas N, Hadjigeorgiou GM, Papadimitriou A, Dubois B, Sarazin M, Brandt J, Albert M, Marder K, Bell K, Honig LS, Wegesin D and Stern Y (2004) Motor signs during the course of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* **63**, 975–982.
- Schrijvers D, Maas YJ, Pier MP, Madani Y, Hulstijn W and Sabbe BG (2009) Psychomotor changes in major depressive disorder during sertraline treatment. *Neuropsychobiology* **59**, 34–42.
- Sobin C and Sackeim HA (1997) Psychomotor symptoms of depression. American Journal of Psychiatry 154, 4–17.
- Stange JP, Zulueta J, Langenecker SA, Ryan KA, Piscitello A, Duffecy J, McInnis MG, Nelson P, Ajilore O and Leow A (2018) Let your fingers do the talking: passive typing instability predicts future mood outcomes. *Bipolar Disorders* 20, 285–288.
- Stuivenga M and Morrens M (2014) Prevalence of the catatonic syndrome in an acute inpatient sample. Frontiers in Psychiatry 5, 174.
- Ulbricht CM, Dumenci L, Rothschild AJ and Lapane KL (2016) Changes in depression subtypes for women during treatment with citalopram: a latent transition analysis. Archives of Womens Mental Health 19, 769–778.
- Ulbricht CM, Dumenci L, Rothschild AJ and Lapane KL (2018) Changes in depression subtypes among men in STAR*D: a latent transition analysis. American Journal of Mens Health 12, 5–13.
- van Diermen L, Walther S, Cools O, Fransen E, Birkenhager TK, Sabbe BCG and Schrijvers D (2018) Observer-rated retardation but not agitation corresponds to objective motor measures in depression. *Acta Neuropsychiatrica*, 1–6. Published online 30 July 2018 https://doi.org/10.1017/neu.2018.21.
- van Harten PN, Walther S, Kent JS, Sponheim SR and Mittal VA (2017) The clinical and prognostic value of motor abnormalities in psychosis, and the importance of instrumental assessment. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 80, 476–487.
- Walther S (2015) Psychomotor symptoms of schizophrenia map on the cerebral motor circuit. Psychiatry Research 233, 293–298.
- Walther S and Mittal VA (2017) Motor system pathology in psychosis. Current Psychiatry Reports 19, 97.
- Walther S, Hofle O, Federspiel A, Horn H, Hugli S, Wiest R, Strik W and Muller TJ (2012a) Neural correlates of disbalanced motor control in major depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 136, 124–133.
- Walther S, Hugli S, Hofle O, Federspiel A, Horn H, Bracht T, Wiest R, Strik W and Muller TJ (2012b) Frontal white matter integrity is related to psychomotor retardation in major depression. *Neurobiology of Disease* 47, 13–19.

216 S. Walther *et al.*

Walther S, Ramseyer F, Horn H, Strik W and Tschacher W (2014) Less structured movement patterns predict severity of positive syndrome, excitement, and disorganization. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 40, 585–591.

- Walther S, Stegmayer K, Federspiel A, Bohlhalter S, Wiest R and Viher PV (2017) Aberrant hyperconnectivity in the motor system at rest is linked to motor abnormalities in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 43, 982–992.
- Willems AE, Sommer IE, Tenback DE, Koning JP and van Harten PN (2016) Instrumental measurements of spontaneous dyskinesia and
- schizotypy in subjects with auditory verbal hallucinations and healthy controls. *Psychiatry Research* **244**, 24–27.
- Wilson JE, Niu K, Nicolson SE, Levine SZ and Heckers S (2015) The diagnostic criteria and structure of catatonia. Schizophrenia Research 164, 256–262.
- Yin Y, Wang M, Wang Z, Xie C, Zhang H, Zhang H, Zhang Z and Yuan Y (2018)

 Decreased cerebral blood flow in the primary motor cortex in major depressive disorder with psychomotor retardation. *Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 81, 438–444.