Research Article

Author for correspondence:
G. Álvarez-García, E-mail: gemaga@vet.ucm.es

Development and characterization of monoclonal antibodies against *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites

P. García-Lunar[1], G. Schares[2], A. Sanz-Fernández[3], A. Jiménez-Meléndez[1], I. García-Soto[3], J. Regidor-Cerrillo[1], I. Pastor-Fernández[1], A. Hemphill[4], M. Fernández-Álvarez[1], L. M. Ortega-Mora[1] and G. Álvarez-García[1]

[1]SALUVET, Animal Health Department, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Complutense University of Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain; [2]Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Institute of Epidemiology, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany; [3]Inmunología y Genética Aplicada, SA (INGENASA), Calle de los Hermanos García Noblejas, 41, 28037, Madrid, Spain and [4]Institute of Parasitology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Länggass-Strasse 122, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

This is the first report on the development and characterization of eight monoclonal antibodies (MABs) generated against whole- and membrane-enriched tachyzoite extracts of the apicomplexan parasite *Besnoitia besnoiti*. Confocal laser scanning immunofluorescence microscopy was used to localize respective epitopes in *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites along the lytic cycle. A pattern compatible with dense granule staining was observed with MABs 2.A.12, 2.F.3 and 2.G.4, which could be confirmed by immunogold electron microscopy for MABs 2.A.12 and 2.F.3. In particular, MABs 2.F.3 and 2.G.4 were secreted during early invasion, proliferation and egress phases. MABs 3.10.8 and 5.5.11 labelled the tachyzoite surface, whilst MABs 1.17.8, 8.9.2 and 2.G.A recognized the apical tip, which is reminiscent for microneme localization. Besides, the epitopes recognized by the latter two (MABs 8.9.2 and 2.G.A) exhibited a redistribution from the anterior part across the parasite surface towards the posterior end during invasion. Most MABs developed were genus-specific. Indeed, the MABs cross-reacted neither with *T. gondii* nor with *N. caninum* tachyzoites. In summary, we have generated MABs that will be useful to study the key processes in the lytic cycle of the parasite and with additional promising diagnostic value. However, the molecular identity of the antigens recognized remains to be elucidated.

Introduction

Besnoitia besnoiti is a cyst-forming apicomplexan parasite (Marotel, 1912) responsible for bovine besnoitiosis, a re-emerging disease in Europe characterized by both local and systemic clinical signs (Álvarez-García *et al.*, 2013; Cortes *et al.*, 2014). *Besnoitia besnoiti*, together with the closely related parasites *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum*, belongs to subfamily Toxoplasmatinae (Tenter *et al.*, 2002). Members of this subfamily are characterized by the presence of two sequential asexual parasite stages that develop in the intermediate hosts. First, rapidly dividing tachyzoites are responsible for the acute stage of the disease, and subsequently tachyzoites undergo differentiation to bradyzoites that form tissue cyst during the chronic stage (Álvarez-García *et al.*, 2014; Gutiérrez-Expósito *et al.*, 2017).

Morphologically, *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites closely resemble the tachyzoite stage of *N. caninum* and *T. gondii*. The *B. besnoiti* invasive stages possess the typical apicomplexan secretory organelles of apicomplexan parasites such as rhoptries, micronemes and dense granules, which may most likely, similar to *T. gondii* and *N. caninum*, play an important role in various aspects of the host–parasite relationship (Dubey *et al.*, 2003; Langenmayer *et al.*, 2015).

To date, only a few *Besnoitia* proteins have been studied. Protein disulfide isomerase (*Bb*PDI), a protein that acts as a chaperone in the endoplasmatic reticulum, is thought to be secreted by *B. besnoiti* micronemes during host cell invasion (Naguleswaran *et al.*, 2005; Marcelino *et al.*, 2011). On the other hand, calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 (*Bb*CDPK1), which is expressed exclusively in plants, fungi algae and apicomplexan parasites, represents a promising target for a new generation of drugs named bumped kinase inhibitors, and is expected to play a role in tachyzoite invasion and proliferation (Jiménez-Meléndez *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, a few proteomic studies have been carried out in order to investigate relevant biological processes as well as the host immune response associated with *B. besnoiti* infection that identified several proteins involved in conservative pathways among Toxoplasmatinae parasites (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2013; García-Lunar *et al.*, 2013*b*). However, the contribution of these studies has been hampered by the absence of *B. besnoiti* genome sequence which became available only very recently (Schares *et al.*, 2017). Surface antigens and proteins from secretory organelles, which have been described to be highly immunogenic in *N. caninum* and *T. gondii*, and which are therefore considered to be valuable and specific diagnostic

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

targets (Schares *et al.*, 2000), could not be identified in *B. besnoiti* proteome (García-Lunar *et al.*, 2013*b*).

We have shown earlier that at least 25 *B. besnoiti* antigens cross-reacted with specific anti-*N. caninum* antibodies, and these may be responsible for false-positive reactors (García-Lunar *et al.*, 2013*b*; García-Lunar *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the development of monoclonal antibodies (MABs) was regarded as a suitable approach to develop novel markers for diagnostic purposes and for studies on *B. besnoitia* cell biology. Eight MABs directed against different compartments of *B. besnoiti* tachyzoite antigens were identified and respective epitopes were localized during the lytic cycle in tachyzoites by immunofluorescence and immunogold transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In addition, the genus, species and stage specificity was investigated in the closely related protozoans *B. tarandi*, *N. caninum*, *T. gondii* and *Sarcocystis* spp. as well as in the bradyzoite stage of *B. besnoiti*.

Materials and methods

Parasites

Tachyzoites from the BbSpain-1 isolate of *B. besnoiti* (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2009*b*), *B. tarandi* (Dubey *et al.*, 2004), the Nc-1 isolate of *N. caninum* (Dubey *et al.*, 1988) and TgME49 isolate of *T. gondii* (Lunde and Jacobs, 1963) were grown in Marc-145 cell monolayers with DMEM supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum. Tachyzoites were separated from host cells as previously described (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2009*a*), centrifuged at $1350 \times \boldsymbol{g}$ for 10 min, and stored at −80 °C until use for Western blot assays (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2009*b*).

Besnoitia besnoiti bradyzoites were released by a trypsin treatment of a skin biopsy sample from a chronically naturally infected cow, following a previously described method (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2009*a*). *Sarcocystis* spp. cystozoites were purified from naturally infected bovine hearts as previously described by More *et al.* (2008) and were pelleted by centrifugation and stored at −80 °C until use for Western blot experiments.

Production of hybridomas

MABs were developed against a whole *B. besnoiti* tachyzoite extract and an enriched membrane extract that were prepared following previous procedures (Wouda *et al.*, 1998; Schares *et al.*, 2013). Briefly, whole *B. besnoiti* tachyzoite extract was obtained from detergent lysate of purified *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites that were pelleted through a 20% sucrose cushion in PBS for 1 h at $13\,000 \times \boldsymbol{g}$ at 4 °C (Wouda *et al.*, 1998). Next, tachyzoite pellets were suspended in PBS containing 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100. Enriched membrane extract was prepared by surface biotinylation and subsequent immunoprecipitation (Schares *et al.*, 2013).

For the production of MABs against intact whole purified *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites, BALB/C mice were immunized with 50 µg of the respective extract four times with 15 days intervals. Three days prior to the fusion, mice were boosted by an intraperitoneal injection of 50 µg of whole *B. besnoiti* tachyzoite extract. After euthanasia, splenocytes were fused with P3 × 63 Ag8653 myeloma cells. The supernatants of the hybridomas were screened for antibodies by ELISA. Positive hybridomas were cloned by limiting dilution and recloned at least four times.

MABs against the enriched membrane extract of *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites were obtained following a previously reported procedure (Schares *et al.*, 1999*a,b*; Aguado-Martínez *et al.*, 2010). Briefly, mice were immunized by an intraperitoneal injection of 50 µg of *B. besnoiti* membrane-enriched extract on day 0. Three days prior the fusion, mice were boosted by a subcutaneous injection of 50 µg of the respective extract. Then, mice were euthanized and splenocytes were fused with SP2/0 myeloma cells. The supernatants of the resulting hybridomas were screened for antibodies against *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites by Western blot and positive hybridomas were cloned by limiting dilution and recloned at least twice.

Isotyping of MABs was performed with a commercially available kit (IsoQuick™ Kit for Mouse Monoclonal Isotyping, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

SDS-PAGE and Western blot

SDS-PAGE was carried out using 15% polyacrylamide gels (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2009*a*; Schares *et al.*, 2010; García-Lunar *et al.*, 2013*a*; Schares *et al.*, 2013). A total of 4×10^7 tachyzoites of *B. besnoiti* and *B. tarandi*, 2×10^7 tachyzoites of *N. caninum* and *T. gondii* and 10^7 *Sarcocystis* spp cystozoites were used as antigens. Both reducing and non-reducing conditions were employed for each antigen. For reducing conditions, dithiothreitol or *β*-mercapto-ethanol was added to the five times concentrated loading buffer. Parasite antigens and marker proteins separated in SDS-PAGE were electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Immobilon-P, Millipore, Germany). After blocking with PBS-TG [PBS, 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, 2% (v/v) fish gelatine liquid (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany)], the antigen-coated membrane was cut into strips and stored frozen at −20 °C until used (Schares *et al.*, 2010). To detect antibodies against parasite antigens, the incubation of the strips with serum was performed as previously described by Schares *et al.* (1999*a*) with few modifications. Strips were probed with undiluted hybridoma supernatants and reactions visualized using peroxidase conjugates [anti-mouse IgG (H + L) or anti-mouse IgG (Fc), MinX Hu,Bo,Ho, Dianova, Hamburg, Germany] and 4-chloro-1-naphthol as a substrate.

Protein localization dynamics throughout the lytic cycle by immunofluorescence

Protein localization dynamics alongside the lytic cycle of *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites were studied using infected Marc-145 cells cultured on coverslips, and six out of the eight MABs, namely MAB 2.F.3, 2G.4, 2.G.A, 8.9.2, 5.5.11 and 3.10.8. For this, 5×10^4 Marc-145 cells were placed on sterile 13 mm coverslips in 24-well plates and cultured overnight at 37 °C/5% CO₂. Subsequently, *B. besnoiti* BbSpain-1 tachyzoites were collected by scrapping an infected culture flask, passing the suspension through a 25-gauge needle, and the number of viable parasites was determined in a Neubauer chamber by trypan blue exclusion. Subsequently, the Marc-145 monolayers were exposed to *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites during 30 min, 1, 2, 6, 24, 48 and 72 h. After these time points, extracellular/non-adherent parasites were removed by three PBS washes, and the specimens were fixed in either 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS (2% PFA-PBS) or in 2% paraformaldehyde-0.05% glutaraldehyde in PBS (2% PFA + 0.05%GA-PBS) for 10–30 min at room temperature (RT). After fixation, the infected monolayers were permeabilized in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (Merck Chemicals) for 20 min at RT, and blocking of unspecific binding sites was carried out using PBS/0.1% Triton X-100/3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Roche) for 15 min at RT. Then, cultures were labelled with a polyclonal rabbit antiserum against *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites (Gutiérrez-Expósito *et al.*, 2012) (1:1000 dilution) to delineate the tachyzoite surface, and with non-diluted hybridoma, supernatants (MABs 2.F.3, 2G.4, 2.G.A, 8.9.2, 5.5.11 and 3.10.8) for 30 min at RT. Following three washes with PBS, coverslips were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1000)

and Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1000) (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 30 min at RT. Parasite and host cell nuclei were stained with 40, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and finally, coverslips were mounted on glass slides with ProLong® Gold antifade reagent (Molecular Probes, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and sealed with clear nail polisher. Single stacks of immunofluorescence staining were captured with a Leica TCS-SP5 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems) in the Spanish National Centre for Biotechnology (CNB, Madrid). Image processing was performed using the LAS AF (Leica Microsystems) and the ImageJ software (NCBI, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

Transmission electron microscopy

Besnoitia besnoiti tachyzoite-infected Vero cells were grown in T25 tissue culture flasks. After a 72 h culture period, the medium was removed and cells were washed with 100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2, and were fixed in cacodylate buffer containing 3% paraformaldehyde and 0.05% glutaraldehyde for 1 h at 20 °C. The cell layer was removed with a cell scraper, washed in cacodylate buffer, centrifuged, and the pellet was resuspended in cacodylate buffer. Cells were then dehydrated by sequential washing in progressively increasing concentrations of pre-cooled (−20 °C) ethanol (30–50–70–90–100%), and finally embedded in LR-White resin as previously described (Hemphill *et al.*, 2004; Risco-Castillo *et al.*, 2007). Infiltration of the resin was carried out over 3 days at −20 °C, with one resin change/day. Finally, the specimen blocks were polymerized at 60 °C over night. Sections of 80 nm thickness were prepared using a Reichert and Jung ultramicrotome, placed onto formvar-carbon-coated nickel grids (Plano GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany), and air-dried.

For immunogold labelling, sections were on-grid-labelled in a moist chamber with MAB culture supernatants that were used undiluted for 1 h at 20 °C, followed by three washes in PBS, 10 min each. Goat anti-rabbit conjugated to 10 nm diameter gold particles (Aurion, Wageningen, The Netherlands) was applied at a dilution of 1:5 in PBS-0.3% BSA as secondary antibody. After another three washes, 10 min each, grids were air-dried and contrasted with uranyle acetate and lead citrate (Hemphill *et al.*, 2004). Specimens were viewed on a CM12 TEM operating at 80 kV.

Results and discussion

MABs labelled the surface, the apical tip and the granular content of B. besnoiti *tachyzoites*

We have developed eight MABs that clearly label different subcellular compartments of *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites (Fig. 1). The characterization of these antibodies is timely, since tools for cell biological studies on *B. besnoiti* are essentially missing. Only five MABs against *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites have been developed in the past. Njagi *et al.* (2004) developed three MABs that were shown to label the apical cone and one MAB that stained cytoplasmic granules of the tachyzoites. Based on immunofluorescence results and the *in vitro* neutralizing properties of these MABs, it was suspected that these antibodies recognized proteins localized in secretory organelles, but the precise subcellular location was not confirmed. Similarly, Shkap *et al.* (1995) characterized one MAB that showed different recognition patterns, labelling a 70 kDa polypeptide in the soluble cytosol and the membrane fraction of *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites. Thus, the MABs we have generated can be added to this limited panel of biological reagents on *B. besnoiti* cellular biology and, potentially, also diagnosis.

In the present study, MABs 2.A.12, 2.F.3 and 2.G.4 labelled cytoplasmic granular content compatible with what has been observed for dense granule proteins (Leineweber *et al.*, 2017), MABs 2.G.A, 1.17.8 and 8.9.2 labelled the apical tip of the tachyzoites, which resembles microneme staining (Li *et al.*, 2015), whereas MABs 3.10.8 and 5.5.11 appeared to bind to structures on the tachyzoite surface (Schares *et al.*, 1999b). Interestingly, the later ones were produced using an enriched membrane antigen extract of tachyzoites, prepared by surface biotinylation and subsequent immunoprecipitation (Schares *et al.*, 2013). In the past, Schares *et al.* (1999b) obtained four MABs directed against biotinylated *N. caninum* tachyzoites and, similarly, three of them labelled the outer membrane surface of the parasite. TEM analysis was carried out with all MABs. However, only MABs 2.A.12 and 2.F.3 gave conclusive results, confirming dense granule staining as shown in Fig. 2.

Epitope co-localization dynamics showed distinctive pattern for each MAB along the lytic cycle

The lytic cycle in Toxoplasmatinae parasites is a conserved process, and involves key events that occur sequentially, during which specialized organelles secrete their contents in an orchestrated manner. First, apical micronemes release their contents during the early attachment–invasion process, mediating attachment and also re-orientation of tachyzoites. At the onset, and also during the invasion process, rhoptry proteins are released, and the dense granules discharge their contents shortly prior, or upon completion of host cell invasion, and they are involved in parasitophorous vacuole (PV) formation and maturation, and eventually parasite egress to ensure parasite dissemination and survival (Black and Boothroyd, 2000; Blader *et al.*, 2015). Initially, two MABs representative of each subcellular localization were selected for the studies of localization dynamics during the lytic cycle of *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites: 2.F.3, 2G.4 (dense granules), 2.G.A, 8.9.2 (micronemes), and 5.5.11 and 3.10.8 (tachyzoite surface). Moreover, different fixatives should be employed to characterize proteins in closely related parasites in order to obtain a concise picture of their localization, particularly for those proteins located in subcellular compartments. Thus, we selected two fixation methods for crosslinking proteins, one comprised only of paraformaldehyde, and the other one employing combined paraformaldehyde/glutaraldehyde fixation. In addition, a precipitation fixation protocol using methanol was also employed, but this resulted in either absence of labelling or lower staining intensity (data not shown). In general, paraformaldehyde fixation was more efficient in retaining the reservoirs of intracellular epitopes, whilst combined paraformaldehyde/glutaraldehyde fixation resulted in improved preservation of proteins after secretion. This is in agreement with the previous studies (Sohn *et al.*, 2011; Pastor-Fernández *et al.*, 2016a, 2016b). Membrane staining, most likely on the surface of tachyzoites, was visualized regardless the fixation protocol.

Immunofluorescence staining with MABs 2.F.3 and 2.G.4 confirmed the punctated, granular-dense granules-like staining (Fig. 3). Secretion of respective proteins recognized by these antibodies was observed with both fixatives, and with MAB 2.F.3 most pronounced after 1–2 h post infection (hpi), extending up to PV maturation at 24 hpi. Interestingly protein secretion in evacuoles was visible in samples fixed with paraformaldehyde and glutaraldehyde at 6 hpi. In *T. gondii*, numerous studies have demonstrated that the parasite modifies the PV and its host cell by secreting numerous rhoptry and dense granule proteins. In particular, Dunn *et al.* (2008) reported that the dense granule protein TgGRA7 was present in strand-like structures in the host cytosol together with other dense granule proteins. Similar findings were reported for the *Neospora* rhoptry protein NcROP2

Fig. 1. Confocal laser scanning microscopy of MABs labelling the surface (A and B), the apical tip (C–E) and granular content (F–H) of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites. Infected cultures were fixed with either PFA or PFA + GA and double labelled with anti-*B. besnoiti* polyclonal antibody (green) and mouse MABs (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). All the images show a single-stack 1 μm slice. Scale bars represent 5 μm.


Fig. 2. Immunogold-TEM of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites stained with MABs 2.A.12 (A) and 2.F.3 (B) and anti-mouse-10 nm gold particles. Arrows pint towards gold particles indicating dense granule staining. Bars = 1.8 μm.

Fig. 3. Confocal laser scanning microscopy at different stages of the lytic cycle of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites, stained with MABs displaying a granular staining pattern compatible with dense granule localization (2.F.3 and 2.G.4). Infected cultures were fixed with paraformaldehyde (PFA) or paraformaldehyde combined with glutaraldehyde (PFA + GA), and infected cells were double labelled with a polyclonal antibody against *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites (green) and monoclonal antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). All the images show a single 1 μm slice. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

(Pastor-Fernández *et al.*, 2016*b*). Accordingly, the proteins labelled with our MABs are likely to participate in invasion, PV formation and/or PV maturation. However, *B. besnoiti* Sp-1 tachyzoites are able to survive in the extracellular environment for extended periods of time, and thus exhibit a more asynchronous invasion process compared with *Neospora* or *Toxoplasma* (Frey *et al.*, 2016). From 24 hpi onwards, the granular staining pattern observed with MAB 2.F.3 changed to a more pronounced staining of the membrane, and protein secretion was again detected during parasite egress at 72 hpi. The labelling pattern of MAB 2.G.4 was similar to the one displayed by 2.F.3, but a few remarkable differences were noted (Fig. 3). Protein secretion into evacuoles was detected earlier at 1 hpi, in close proximity to the host cell nucleus, and secretion extended up to 6 hpi in parallel with a punctated

Fig. 4. Confocal laser scanning microscopy at different stages of the lytic cycle of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites, stained with MABs that exhibit a staining pattern compatible with microneme localization (2.G.A and 8.9.2). Infected cultures were fixed with paraformaldehyde (PFA) or paraformaldehyde combined with glutaraldehyde (PFA + GA), and were double labelled with a polyclonal antibody against *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites (green) and monoclonal antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). All the images show a single 1 μm slice. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

staining. Once the PV was formed, a slight labelling seemed to be associated with the membrane of the tachyzoites, and a granular staining was again observed during egress. Similar findings regarding dense granules staining were observed in closely related parasites. In *Neospora*, NcNTPase and NcGRA7 protein secretion was also evident during early invasion, PV maturation and egress. However, NcNTPase was scattered throughout the tachyzoite cytoplasm, whereas NcGRA7 labelling was observed closer to the parasite surface, suggestive of differential protein trafficking (Pastor-Fernández *et al.*, 2016*a*).

MABs 2.G.A and 8.9.2 showed a distinct apical staining upon paraformaldehyde fixation (Fig. 4). Interestingly, at 6 hpi, both MABs labelled the anterior as well as the posterior end of intracellular parasites, and staining was also found on the surface membrane. This clearly indicates that the proteins recognized by these MABs are secreted, and most likely micronemal components. During *Toxoplasma* gliding motility and invasion, microneme proteins have been reported to migrate across the tachyzoite surface and redistribute towards the posterior pole of the parasite (Soldati *et al.*, 2001) as shown, e.g. by the apical membrane antigen AMA1 during moving junction formation (Alexander *et al.*, 2005). Similar findings were reported for the *Neospora* microneme antigen MIC3, which was shown to be secreted at the anterior end, and then redistributed along the tachyzoite surface, finally ending up at the posterior pole (Naguleswaran *et al.*, 2001). The expected microneme protein discharge induced upon host cell contact was not observed. However, Lodoen *et al.* (2010) postulated that secretion of low-abundance proteins may be undetectable by immunofluorescence approaches, so that further secretion assays could be more suitable to address this issue.

Finally, MABs 3.10.8 and 5.5.11 labelled epitopes that are associated with, or in close proximity to the surface membrane and staining did not vary along the lytic cycle (Fig. 5). However, it is not clear whether the epitopes recognized by these two MABs are oriented towards the extracellular space. If so, these MABs could be of special interest for the prevention of infection by immunological means.

MABs 2.G.A, 2.A.12, 2.G.4 and 1.17.8 represent promising diagnostic markers

The development of MABs against specific *B. besnoiti* proteins is an optimal approach to develop new diagnostic markers for *Besnoitia* spp. infection. Similar approaches were successfully employed in related parasites. For instance, Baszler *et al.* (1996, 2001) generated a MAB that recognized the surface protein NcSRS2, and applied this antibody for the development of a competitive ELISA that has been widely used to detect anti-*Neospora* antibodies in cattle. Another MAB was developed by Ghalmi *et al.* (2009) to detect *N. caninum* infection in wildlife species. Uzeda *et al.* (2013) employed a combination of MABs targeting the *N. caninum* tachyzoite surface protein NcSRS2 and the dense granule protein NcGRA7 to improve the immunohistochemical detection of *N. caninum* in tissue sections from naturally infected cattle.

In order to verify the genus, species and stage specificity of our MABs, potential cross-reactions with antigens of the closely related apicomplexans *N. caninum*, *T. gondii*, and *Sarcocystis* spp and *B. tarandi*, and with *B. besnoiti* bradyzoites, were investigated by Western blot. Table 1 summarizes the results, and also provides information on the Ig isotypes of the MABs. As can be seen, the results of the present study revealed that most MABs developed herein were genus specific. None of the MAB showed cross-reactions with *T. gondii* antigens, neither under reducing nor non-reducing conditions. None of the MABs cross-reacted with *N. caninum* antigens. Only MAB 2.F.3 exhibited cross-reaction with *Sarcocystis* spp. cystozoites when proteins were separated under non-reducing conditions (Table 1). Previously, Shkap *et al.* (1995) investigated the specificity of the MAB against a soluble fraction of *T. gondii* tachyzoites, and a negative result was observed. However, cross-reactions against *N. caninum* and *Sarcocystis* spp. were not studied, although it is widely known that *N. caninum* and *Sarcocystis* spp. infections are highly prevalent in cattle worldwide (Bartels *et al.*, 2006; Moré *et al.*, 2008; Eiras *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, antisera obtained from *N. caninum-*


Fig. 5. Confocal laser scanning microscopy at different stages of the lytic cycle of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites, stained with MABs labelling the tachyzoite surface membrane (3.10.8 and 5.5.11). Infected cultures were fixed with paraformaldehyde (PFA) or paraformaldehyde combined with glutaraldehyde (PFA + GA). Specimens were double labelled with a polyclonal antibody against *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites (green) and monoclonal antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). All the images show a single 1 μm slice. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

and *Sarcocystis* spp.-infected animals cross-reacted with *B. besnoiti* antigens, causing false-positive results (García-Lunar *et al.*, 2015) and at least 25 *B. besnoiti* antigens are recognized by anti-*N. caninum* antibodies (García-Lunar *et al.*, 2013b). Previous MABs developed against other Toxoplasmatinae members also showed cross-reactions with closely related parasites. A MAB directed against a marker of *T. gondii* bradyzoites – TgBAG-1 – cross-reacted with *N. caninum* bradyzoites (Weiss *et al.*, 1999). Similarly, the MAB CC2, which reacts with *T. gondii* bradyzoites (Gross *et al.*, 1996) was shown to also cross-react with *N. caninum* tissue cyst-like structures generated *in vitro* (Vonlaufen *et al.*, 2002; Risco-Castillo *et al.*, 2004).

In contrast to the non-reactivity with *T. gondii*, *N. caninum* and *Sarcocystis* antigens, most MABs, with the exception of MABs 1.17.8 and 2.G.A, recognized *B. tarandi* antigens under both, reducing and non-reducing, conditions. These results agree with the previous findings where *B. besnoiti-* and *B. tarandi*-infected animals exhibited the same pattern of immunodominant antigens and no specific antigenic spots for *B. besnoiti* and *B. tarandi* were recognized by 2-DE immunoblotting (Gutiérrez-Expósito *et al.*, 2012; García-Lunar *et al.*, 2014). Thus, we corroborate the difficulty in developing specific tools to differentiate infections by these two species. The species

Table 1. Characterization of the MABs obtained against *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites: IFAT co-localization, immunoglobulin isotyping and protein bands detected by Western blot under reducing and non-reducing conditions

MAB	Localization[a]	Isotyping	*B. besnoiti* T nr[b]	*B. besnoiti* T r	*B. besnoiti* B nr	*B. besnoiti* B r	*B. tarandi* T nr	*B. tarandi* T r	*N. caninum* T nr	*N. caninum* T r	*T. gondii* T nr	*T. gondii* T r	*Sarcocystis* spp. C nr	*Sarcocystis* spp. C r
3.10.8	Surface	IgG1	37.1 (35.1; 32.9)	–	–	–	32.8; 30.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
5.5.11	Surface	IgG1	19.7; 16.5 (22.3; 14.1)	–	–	–	19.9; 14.8 (18.3; 14.4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
1.17.8	Apical tip of the tachyzoite	IgG2a	224.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
8.9.2	Apical tip of the tachyzoite	IgG2a	42.8	–	–	–	39.8 (42)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
2.G.A	Apical tip of the tachyzoite	IgG2b	84.5	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
2.A.12	Granular contain inside the tachyzoite	IgG2b	21.3 (23.1; 19.2)	20	–	–	19.4	20	–	–	–	–	–	–
2.F.3	Granular contain inside the tachyzoite	IgG1	29.2; 26.3	26	–	–	26.9	26	–	–	–	–	38.8	–
2.G.4	Granular contain inside the tachyzoite	IgG1	21.1 (23; 19.1)	20	–	–	19.4	19.4	–	–	–	–	–	–

T, tachyzoite; B, bradyzoite; C, cystozoite; nr, non-reducing conditions; r, reducing conditions.
[a]Localization of the protein band recognized by the MABs established by IFAT.
[b]Values in parenthesis indicate the molecular size of additional faint reactivity.
–No reactivity observed.

specificity of MABs 1.17.8 and 2.G.A should be further assessed with other *Besnoitia* species affecting ungulates such as *B. bennetti* and *B. caprae*.

None of the MABs recognized *B. besnoiti* bradyzoite antigens, despite the similarity in the proteome profiles of these two stages (Fernandez-García *et al.*, 2013). However, differences in the immunodominant antigenic pattern between *B. besnoiti* tachyzoites and bradyzoites has been observed by others (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2009a; Schares *et al.*, 2010).

In conclusion, we have generated a set of MABs that will be invaluable tools as markers for studying the localization and the role of proteins originating from secretory organelles of these parasites. Two MABs bind to the tachyzoite surface membrane, three MABs label the apical part and show a localization pattern during the lytic cycle that indicates that they react with micronemal proteins, and three show a staining pattern that clearly suggest a dense granule localization, with secretion observed during early invasion in evacuoles, PV formation and egress. The molecular identity of the antigens recognized by these MABs is currently under investigation, and these MABs will be useful tools to elucidate the role of the respective antigens in the invasion process. Ideally, MABs of diagnostic value (e.g. to develop a competitive ELISA) should recognize *B. besnoiti*-specific antigens. Thus, the MABs 2.G.A, 2.A.12 and 2.G.4, together with those recognizing the apical tip of the tachyzoite (1.17.8 and 8.9.2), and the surface of the tachyzoites (3.10.8 and 5.5.11) are promising candidates to be applied as diagnostic tools. The absence of cross-reactions between MABs 1.17.8 and 2.G.A with *B. tarandi* antigens supports their additional value as diagnostic markers to differentiate between these two closely related species. Moreover, tachyzoite-specific MABs could be employed as markers for monitoring tachyzoite–bradyzoite conversion; however, bradyzoite-specific markers in *B. besnoiti* remain to be discovered.

References

Aguado-Martínez A, Álvarez-García G, Schares G, Risco-Castillo V, Fernández-García A, Marugán-Hernández V and Ortega-Mora LM (2010) Characterisation of NcGRA7 and NcSAG4 proteins: immunolocalisation and their role in the host cell invasion by *Neospora caninum* tachyzoites. *Acta Parasitologica* **55**, 304–312.

Alexander DL, Mital J, Ward GE, Bradley P and Boothroyd JC (2005) Identification of the moving junction complex of *Toxoplasma gondii*: a collaboration between distinct secretory organelles. *PLoS Pathogens* **1**, e17.

Álvarez-García G, Frey CF, Mora LM and Schares G (2013) A century of bovine besnoitiosis: an unknown disease re-emerging in Europe. *Trends in Parasitology* **29**, 407–415.

Álvarez-García G, García-Lunar P, Gutiérrez-Expósito D, Shkap V and Ortega-Mora LM (2014) Dynamics of *Besnoitia besnoiti* infection in cattle. *Parasitology* **141**, 1419–1435.

Bartels CJ, Arnaiz-Seco JI, Ruiz-Santa-Quitera A, Bjorkman C, Frossling J, von BD, Conraths FJ, Schares G, van MC, Wouda W and Ortega-Mora LM (2006) Supranational comparison of *Neospora caninum* seroprevalences in cattle in Germany, The Netherlands, Spain and Sweden. *Veterinary Parasitology* **137**, 17–27.

Baszler TV, Knowles DP, Dubey JP, Gay JM, Mathison BA and McElwain TF (1996) Serological diagnosis of bovine neosporosis by *Neospora caninum* monoclonal antibody-based competitive inhibition enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **34**, 1423–1428.

Baszler TV, Adams S, Vander-Schalie J, Mathison BA and Kostovic M (2001) Validation of a commercially available monoclonal antibody-based competitive-inhibition enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of serum antibodies to *Neospora caninum* in cattle. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **39**, 3851–3857.

Black MW and Boothroyd JC (2000) Lytic cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews: MMBR* **64**, 607–623.

Blader IJ, Coleman BI, Chen C and Gubbels M (2015) Lytic cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*: 15 years later. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **69**, 463–485.

Cortes H, Leitao A, Gottstein B and Hemphill A (2014) A review on bovine besnoitiosis: a disease with economic impact in herd health management, caused by *Besnoitia besnoiti* (Franco and Borges, 1916). *Parasitology* **141**, 1406–1417.

Dubey JP, Hattel AL, Lindsay DS and Topper MJ (1988) Neonatal *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs: isolation of the causative agent and experimental transmission. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* **193**, 1259–1263.

Dubey JP, Shkap V, Pipano E, Fish L and Fritz DL (2003) Ultrastructure of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tissue cysts and bradyzoites. *The Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* **50**, 240–244.

Dubey JP, Sreekumar C, Rosenthal BM, Vianna MC, Nylund M, Nikander S and Oksanen A (2004) Redescription of *Besnoitia tarandi* (Protozoa: Apicomplexa) from the reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*). *International Journal for Parasitology* **34**, 1273–1287.

Dunn JD, Ravindran S, Kim SK and Boothroyd JC (2008) The *Toxoplasma gondii* dense granule protein GRA7 is phosphorylated upon invasion and forms an unexpected association with the rhoptry proteins ROP2 and ROP4. *Infection and Immunity* **76**, 5853–5861.

Eiras C, Arnaiz I, Álvarez-García G, Ortega-Mora LM, Sanjuanl ML, Yus E and Dieguez FJ (2011) *Neospora caninum* seroprevalence in dairy and beef cattle from the northwest region of Spain, Galicia. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **98**, 128–132.

Fernández-García A, Risco-Castillo V, Pedraza-Díaz S, Aguado-Martínez A, Álvarez-García G, Gómez-Bautista M, Collantes-Fernández E and Ortega-Mora LM (2009a) First isolation of *Besnoitia besnoiti* from a chronically infected cow in Spain. *The Journal of Parasitology* **95**, 474–476.

Fernández-García A, Álvarez-García G, Risco-Castillo V, Aguado-Martínez A, Marugán-Hernández V and Ortega-Mora LM (2009b) Pattern of recognition of *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoite and bradyzoite antigens by naturally infected cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology* **164**, 104–110.

Fernández-García A, Álvarez-García G, Marugán-Hernández V, García-Lunar P, Aguado-Martínez A, Risco-Castillo V and Ortega-Mora LM (2013) Identification of *Besnoitia besnoiti* proteins that showed differences in abundance between tachyzoite and bradyzoite stages by difference gel electrophoresis. *Parasitology* **140**, 999–1008.

Frey CF, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Marreros N, García-Lunar P, Gutiérrez-Expósito D, Schares G, Dubey JP, Gentile A, Jacquiet P and Shkap V (2016) *Besnoitia besnoiti* lytic cycle *in vitro* and differences in invasion and intracellular proliferation among isolates. *Parasites & Vectors* **9**, 1.

García-Lunar P, Ortega-Mora LM, Schares G, Gollnick NS, Jacquiet P, Grisez C, Prevot F, Frey CF, Gottstein B and Álvarez-García G (2013a). An inter-laboratory comparative study of serological tools employed in the diagnosis of *Besnoitia besnoiti* infection in Bovines. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* **60**, 59–68.

García-Lunar P, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Gutiérrez-Expósito D, Ortega-Mora L and Álvarez-García G (2013b) First 2-DE approach towards characterising the proteome and immunome of *Besnoitia besnoiti* in the tachyzoite stage. *Veterinary Parasitology* **195**, 24–34.

García-Lunar P, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Ortega-Mora LM, Gutiérrez-Expósito D and Álvarez-García G (2014) Proteomics reveals differences in protein abundance and highly similar antigenic profiles between *Besnoitia besnoiti* and *Besnoitia tarandi*. *Veterinary Parasitology* **205**, 434–443.

García-Lunar P, More G, Campero L, Ortega-Mora LM and Álvarez-García G (2015) Anti-*Neospora caninum* and anti-*Sarcocystis* spp. specific antibodies cross-react with *Besnoitia besnoiti* and influence the serological diagnosis of bovine besnoitiosis. *Veterinary Parasitology* **214**, 49–54.

Ghalmi F, China B, Kaidi R and Losson B (2009) First epidemiological study on exposure to *Neospora caninum* in different canine populations in the Algiers District (Algeria). *Parasitology International* **58**, 444–450.

Gross U, Bohne W, Soete M and Dubremetz J (1996) Developmental differentiation between tachyzoites and bradyzoites of *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Parasitology Today* **12**, 30–33.

Gutiérrez-Expósito D, Ortega-Mora LM, Gajadhar AA, García-Lunar P, Dubey JP and Álvarez-García G (2012) Serological evidence of *Besnoitia* spp. infection in Canadian wild ruminants and strong cross-reaction between *Besnoitia besnoiti* and *Besnoitia tarandi*. *Veterinary Parasitology* **190**, 19–28.

Gutiérrez-Expósito D, Ferre I, Ortega-Mora LM and Álvarez-García G (2017) Advances in the diagnosis of bovine besnoitiosis: current options and applications for control. *International Journal for Parasitology* **47**, 737–751.

Hemphill A, Vonlaufen N, Naguleswaran A, Keller N, Riesen M, Guetg N, Srinivasan S and Alaeddine F (2004) Tissue culture and explant approaches to studying and visualizing *Neospora caninum* and its interactions with the host cell. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* **10**, 602–620.

Jiménez-Meléndez A, Ojo KK, Wallace AM, Smith TR, Hemphill A, Balmer V, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Ortega-Mora LM, Hehl AB, Fan E, Maly DJ, Van Voorhis WC and Álvarez-García G (2017) *In vitro* efficacy of bumped kinase inhibitors against *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites. *International Journal for Parasitology* **47**, 811–821.

Langenmayer M, Gollnick N, Scharr J, Schares G, Herrmann D, Majzoub-Altweck M and Hermanns W (2015) *Besnoitia besnoiti* infection in cattle and mice: ultrastructural pathology in acute and chronic besnoitiosis. *Parasitology Research* **114**, 955–963.

Leineweber M, Spekker-Bosker K, Ince V, Schares G, Hemphill A, Eller SK and Däubener W (2017) First characterization of the *Neospora caninum* dense granule protein GRA9. *BioMed Research International* **2017**, PMID:29259983. doi: 10.1155/2017/6746437.

Li W, Liu J, Wang J, Fu Y, Nan H and Liu Q (2015) Identification and characterization of a microneme protein (NcMIC6) in *Neospora caninum*. *Parasitology Research* **114**, 2893–2902.

Lodoen MB, Gerke C and Boothroyd JC (2010) A highly sensitive FRET-based approach reveals secretion of the actin-binding protein toxofilin during *Toxoplasma gondii* infection. *Cellular Microbiology* **12**, 55–66.

Lunde MN and Jacobs L (1963) Toxoplasma hemagglutination and dye test antibodies in experimentally infected rats. *The Journal of Parasitology* **49**, 932–936.

Marcelino E, Martins TM, Morais JB, Nolasco S, Cortes H, Hemphill A, Leitao A and Novo C (2011) *Besnoitia besnoiti* protein disulfide isomerase (BbPDI): molecular characterization, expression and *in silico* modelling. *Experimental Parasitology* **129**, 164–174.

Marotel M (1912) Discussion of paper by Besnoit e Robin. *Bull.et Mem.de la Soc.des Sciences Vet.de Lyon et de la Soc.de Med.Vet.de Lyon e du Sud-Est* **15**, 196–217.

More G, Basso W, Bacigalupe D, Venturini MC and Venturini L (2008) Diagnosis of *Sarcocystis cruzi*, *Neospora caninum*, and *Toxoplasma gondii* infections in cattle. *Parasitology Research* **102**, 671–675.

Naguleswaran A, Cannas A, Keller N, Vonlaufen N, Schares G, Conraths FJ, Bjorkman C and Hemphill A (2001) *Neospora caninum* microneme protein NcMIC3: secretion, subcellular localization, and functional involvement in host cell interaction. *Infection and Immunity* **69**, 6483–6494.

Naguleswaran A, Alaeddine F, Guionaud C, Vonlaufen N, Sonda S, Jenoe P, Mevissen M and Hemphill A (2005) *Neospora caninum* protein disulfide isomerase is involved in tachyzoite-host cell interaction. *International Journal for Parasitology* **35**, 1459–1472.

Njagi ON, Entzeroth R, Nyaga PN and Musoke AJ (2004) Monoclonal antibodies identify two neutralization-sensitive epitopes in *Besnoitia besnoiti* endocytes. *Parasitology Research* **94**, 247–253.

Pastor-Fernández I, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Álvarez-García G, Marugán-Hernández V, García-Lunar P, Hemphill A and Ortega-Mora LM (2016a) The tandemly repeated NTPase (NTPDase) from *Neospora caninum* is a canonical dense granule protein whose RNA expression, protein secretion and phosphorylation coincides with the tachyzoite egress. *Parasites & Vectors* **9**, 352.

Pastor-Fernández I, Regidor-Cerrillo J, Jiménez-Ruiz E, Álvarez-García G, Marugán-Hernández V, Hemphill A and Ortega-Mora LM (2016b) Characterization of the *Neospora caninum* NcROP40 and NcROP2Fam-1 rhoptry proteins during the tachyzoite lytic cycle. *Parasitology* **143**, 97–113.

Risco-Castillo V, Fernández-García A and Ortega-Mora LM (2004) Comparative analysis of stress agents in a simplified *in vitro* system of *Neospora caninum* bradyzoite production. *Journal of Parasitology* **90**, 466–470.

Risco-Castillo V, Fernández-García A, Zaballos A, Aguado-Martínez A, Hemphill A, Rodríguez-Bertos A, Álvarez-García G and Ortega-Mora LM (2007) Molecular characterisation of BSR4, a novel bradyzoite-specific gene from *Neospora caninum*. *International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 887–896.

Schares G, Conraths FJ and Reichel MP (1999a). Bovine neosporosis: comparison of serological methods using outbreak sera from a dairy herd in New Zealand. *International Journal for Parasitology* **29**, 1659–1667.

Schares G, Dubremetz JF, Dubey JP, Barwald A, Loyens A and Conraths FJ (1999b) *Neospora caninum*: identification of 19-, 38-, and 40-kDa surface antigens and a 33-kDa dense granule antigen using monoclonal antibodies. *Experimental Parasitology* **92**, 109–119.

Schares G, Rauser M, Söndgen P, Rehberg P, Bärwald A, Dubey J, Edelhofer R and Conraths F (2000) Use of purified tachyzoite surface antigen p38 in an ELISA to diagnose bovine neosporosis. *International Journal for Parasitology* **30**, 1123–1130.

Schares G, Basso W, Majzoub M, Rostaher A, Scharr JC, Langenmayer MC, Selmair J, Dubey JP, Cortes HC, Conraths FJ and Gollnick NS (2010) Comparative evaluation of immunofluorescent antibody and new immunoblot tests for the specific detection of antibodies against *Besnoitia besnoiti* tachyzoites and bradyzoites in bovine sera. *Veterinary Parasitology* **171**, 32–40.

Schares G, Langenmayer MC, Scharr JC, Minke L, Maksimov P, Maksimov A, Schares S, Barwald A, Basso W, Dubey JP, Conraths FJ and Gollnick NS (2013) Novel tools for the diagnosis and differentiation of acute and chronic bovine besnoitiosis. *International Journal for Parasitology* **43**, 143–154.

Schares G, Venepally P and Lorenzi HA (2017) Draft genome sequence and annotation of the apicomplexan parasite *Besnoitia besnoiti*. *Genome Announcements* **5**, e01200–17.

Shkap V, Pipano E and Zwernemann B (1995) Activity of a monoclonal antibody against *Besnoitia besnoiti* endozoites. *Veterinary Research* **26**, 328–334.

Sohn CS, Cheng TT, Drummond ML, Peng ED, Vermont SJ, Xia D, Cheng SJ, Wastling JM and Bradley PJ (2011) Identification of novel proteins in *Neospora caninum* using an organelle purification and monoclonal antibody approach. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e18383.

Soldati D, Dubremetz JF and Lebrun M (2001) Microneme proteins: structural and functional requirements to promote adhesion and invasion by the apicomplexan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*. *International Journal for Parasitology* **31**, 1293–1302.

Tenter AM, Barta JR, Beveridge I, Duszynski DW, Mehlhorn H, Morrison DA, Thompson RC and Conrad PA (2002) The conceptual basis for a new classification of the coccidia. *International Journal for Parasitology* **32**, 595–616.

Uzeda R, Schares G, Ortega-Mora L, Madruga C, Aguado-Martinez A, Corbellini L, Driemeier D and Gondim LFP (2013) Combination of monoclonal antibodies improves immunohistochemical diagnosis of *Neospora caninum*. *Veterinary Parasitology* **197**, 477–486.

Vonlaufen N, Muller N, Keller N, Naguleswaran A, Bohne W, McAllister MM, Bjorkman C, Muller E, Caldelari R and Hemphill A (2002) Exogenous nitric oxide triggers *Neospora caninum* tachyzoite-to-bradyzoite stage conversion in murine epidermal keratinocyte cell cultures. *International Journal for Parasitology* **32**, 1253–1265.

Weiss LM, Ma YF, Halonen S, McAllister MM and Zhang YW (1999) The *in vitro* development of *Neospora caninum* bradyzoites. *International Journal for Parasitology* **29**, 1713–1723.

Wouda W, Brinkhof J, van Maanen C, de Gee AL and Moen AR (1998) Serodiagnosis of neosporosis in individual cows and dairy herds: a comparative study of three enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology* **5**, 711–716.