

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Running Head: Progesterone buffers feeling of being excluded

Increased sensitivity to social exclusion during the luteal phase: Progesterone as
resilience factor buffering against ostracism?

Janek S. Lobmaier¹, Fabian Probst¹, Vanda Lory¹, Andrea H. Meyer², Gunther
Meinlschmidt^{2,3,4}

¹ Institute of Psychology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

² Division of Clinical Psychology and Epidemiology, Department of Psychology,
University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

³ International Psychoanalytic University, Division of Clinical Psychology and
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Berlin, Germany

⁴ Department of Psychosomatic Medicine, University Hospital Basel and University of
Basel, Switzerland

Correspondence:

Janek S. Lobmaier, University of Bern, Institute of Psychology, Fabrikstrasse 8, 3012
Bern, Switzerland. janek.lobmaier@psy.unibe.ch, Telephone: +41 31 631 40 24

26 Abstract

27 A woman's social behaviour reportedly varies across the menstrual cycle. In this study,
28 we estimated changes in sensitivity to social exclusion across the menstrual cycle and
29 scrutinized the related role of progesterone. Forty-nine naturally cycling women played
30 a virtual ball-tossing game (Cyberball) to manipulate social inclusion. All participants
31 underwent inclusion and exclusion conditions during the late follicular and the luteal
32 phase. We assessed salivary progesterone concentrations at each cycle phase. After
33 each Cyberball session we measured positive/negative mood using the
34 Multidimensional Mood State Questionnaire (MDMQ). Multilevel analyses indicated
35 that women showed worse mood following exclusion as compared to inclusion
36 conditions ($p=0.014$). Notably, this exclusion effect was more pronounced during the
37 luteal phase than the late follicular phase ($p=0.029$). As expected, progesterone
38 concentrations were higher during the luteal phase as compared to the late follicular
39 phase, but interestingly, progesterone concentrations were negatively associated with
40 exclusion effects. When accounting for mediation via progesterone, direct cycle-phase
41 related differences in social exclusion effects even increased as compared to the
42 model without mediator. These findings suggest that progesterone may function as
43 buffer against negative feelings that result from being socially excluded. The relevance
44 of these findings for Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD) are discussed, and we
45 conclude that social exclusion may represent an important research domain criterion
46 (RDoC) of relevance for PMDD, with progesterone pointing to new potential
47 pharmacological targets.

48

49 **KEYWORDS:** Cyberball; late follicular phase; luteal phase; menstrual cycle; social
50 exclusion; reproductive hormones

51 **1 Introduction**

52 The need to belong is a fundamental human motive and an essential
53 requirement for security, reproductive success, and mental health (Baumeister and
54 Leary, 1995; Smith et al., 1999). Yet, we all occasionally experience a brief episode
55 of being ignored or excluded and even slight ostracism can be sufficient to cause
56 pain and distress (e.g., Williams, 2007). Using an adaptationist framework, we
57 estimated changes in sensitivity to social exclusion in naturally cycling women once
58 during the late follicular and once during the luteal phase. We then scrutinized the
59 related role of fluctuating estradiol, progesterone, and testosterone concentrations in
60 order to explore physiological resilience factors underlying ostracism.

61 There is increasing evidence that naturally cycling women undergo a variety of
62 psychological and behavioural changes throughout their menstrual cycle (Derntl et
63 al., 2013; Goldstein et al., 2010; Wolohan et al., 2013). Evolutionary informed
64 scholars have related these changes as serving to increase reproductive fitness: in
65 the high-fertile late follicular phase preceding ovulation, psychological and
66 behavioural changes should support the selection of genetically fit mates (Gangestad
67 et al., 2007; Little et al., 2007) and should increase the chance for reproduction
68 (Davis and Tran 2001; Krug et al., 2000). Conversely, in the postovulatory (luteal)
69 phase, a woman's body is preparing for potential pregnancy (Müller and Hassel,
70 2012). During the luteal phase women should hence aim to reduce the risk of harm or
71 disease (Fessler and Navarrete, 2003; Fleischman and Fessler, 2011). At the same
72 time women should show increased affiliation motivation (Jones et al., 2008).

73 Behavioural changes during the luteal phase have often been linked to
74 progesterone (e.g., Jones et al., 2008; Maner and Miller, 2014). Progesterone helps
75 to secure pregnancy and its concentration increases substantially after ovulation.

76 Increased progesterone levels have been shown to be correlated with higher implicit
77 affiliation motivation in men and women (Schultheiss et al., 2003; Wirth and
78 Schultheiss, 2006). Moreover, high progesterone levels during the luteal phase have
79 been associated with increased sensitivity for social information (Maner and Miller,
80 2014). Another study found that women showed increased progesterone levels after
81 they experienced social exclusion (Seidel et al., 2013; but see Gaffey and Wirth,
82 2014; Radke et al., 2018). During the luteal phase, women often experience a
83 recurrence of negative behavioral (e.g. fatigue), psychological (e.g. irritability) and
84 physical symptoms (e.g. headaches) (Dickerson et al., 2003). Again, these negative
85 symptoms have been associated with elevated progesterone levels (Smith et al.,
86 2006).

87 The present study aims to investigate whether women are more sensitive to
88 social exclusion during the luteal phase and if so, whether sensitivity to social
89 exclusion can be explained by increased progesterone levels. One way to create
90 situations of social exclusion in a laboratory setting is by using the so-called
91 “Cyberball” game (Williams and Jarvis, 2006). Cyberball is a virtual ball-tossing game
92 in which the participant is excluded from playing at one point. Being excluded during
93 Cyberball results in lower levels of perceived belongingness, control, meaningful
94 existence and self-esteem (Zadro et al., 2004). Furthermore, social exclusion leads to
95 emotional responses such as jealousy (Harmon-Jones et al., 2009) and aggression
96 (Chen et al., 2012).

97 The present study investigated the reactions to social exclusion across the
98 menstrual cycle and in relation to the cyclic shifts in progesterone, estradiol and
99 testosterone concentrations. While previous studies have reported hormonal
100 reactions after being socially excluded (e.g., Radke et al., 2018; Seidel et al., 2013),
101 the present study examined how levels of progesterone, estradiol and testosterone

102 as measured *before* social exclusion relate to mood changes experienced after being
103 socially excluded. Specifically, we measured women's progesterone, estradiol and
104 testosterone levels before playing the Cyberball game and assessed mood changes
105 after experiencing social exclusion. Each woman was confronted with social
106 exclusion (Cyberball) twice, once during the late follicular phase and once during the
107 luteal phase. For menstrual cycle studies it is essential to accurately monitor the
108 menstrual cycle, since cycle length can vary substantially between and within women
109 (Jasienska, 2013; Lobmaier and Bachofner, 2018; Munster et al., 1992). We used
110 multiple methods to maximize cycle monitoring accuracy. As a physiologically based
111 fertility predictor we used OvaCUE© to estimate the peri-ovulatory phase. Peak
112 fertility was then determined with urine tests measuring the luteinizing hormone and
113 confirmed by the analysis of salivary estradiol, progesterone and testosterone
114 concentrations.

115 We tested whether the experience of inclusion and exclusion while playing
116 Cyberball varies across the menstrual cycle. We hypothesized that women show a
117 stronger reduction in mood ratings following social exclusion during the luteal phase
118 compared to the late follicular phase. To scrutinize the potential role of sex hormones
119 on negative mood after social exclusion, we assessed the influence of progesterone,
120 estradiol and testosterone concentrations on mood ratings after experiencing social
121 inclusion and exclusion during the two menstrual cycle phases. Because affiliation
122 motivation has been associated with progesterone, we expect progesterone levels to
123 predict mood ratings after social exclusion.

124 **2 Materials and methods**

125 **2.1 Participants**

126 Of 86 women who initially showed interest in taking part in this study, datasets
127 of 49 women were eventually included in the analyses (see flow chart Figure S1 in SI
128 for an overview of the participants who dropped out and the reasons for non-
129 participation at each stage). The included participants ranged in age between 18 and
130 33 years ($M = 24.30$ years; $SD = 3.91$ years). Twenty-nine were recruited from the
131 general public via advertisements posted in public amenities and twenty were
132 recruited from a pool of first-year psychology students. They received either course
133 credits (psychology students) or 50 CHF (approximately 50 USD; participants from
134 the general public) for their participation. All participants provided written informed
135 consent to take part in this study and were treated in accordance with the ethical
136 protocol approved by the Faculty of Human Sciences of the University of Bern and
137 with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). All
138 women were selected on the basis of the following inclusion criteria: (a) between 18
139 and 35 years of age, (b) medication-free (including hormonal contraception for at
140 least 3 previous months), (c) regular menstrual cycle (average length of between 25
141 and 35 days), (d) not pregnant or breastfeeding, and (e) no abortion in the previous
142 six months. Participants indicated neither current nor previous history of psychiatric
143 disorders or alcohol and drug abuse. Using the self-report PMS questionnaire (Ditzen
144 et al., 2011) indicated that 14 (29.2%) participants reported premenstrual symptoms
145 that have an impact on daily life. The presence of PMS symptoms was no exclusion
146 criterion, as PMS symptoms are very common in the general population (between
147 50% and 80% of naturally cycling women; Dickerson et al., 2003; Ditzen et al., 2011;
148 Halbreich et al., 2003).

149

150 2.2 Testing order

151 Participants were randomly assigned to two groups differing only in the order in
152 which they were tested. Group 1 ($n = 25$) was first tested during the late follicular
153 phase and then during the luteal phase, Group 2 ($n = 24$) was tested first during the
154 luteal phase and then during the late follicular phase. This was done to control for
155 potential effects of testing order. The groups did not significantly differ with respect to
156 age ($t(47) = 0.059$; $p = 0.953$), PMS-ratings ($t(47) = 0.637$; $p = 0.527$), or trait mood
157 ratings (PANAS positive: $t(47) = -0.854$; $p = 0.397$; PANAS negative: $t(47) = -0.766$; p
158 $= 0.448$, as measured during the screening questionnaire, see Section 2.4, below).

159

160 2.3 Menstrual cycle monitoring

161 The menstrual cycle was monitored using various methods to ensure that
162 women were tested at the right time. After agreeing to take part in this study, women
163 were first interviewed via telephone in which we assessed the dates of the onsets of
164 their last three menses. In cases where these data were not available, we assessed
165 the self-reported cycle length and the approximate date of the onset of the actual
166 menstrual cycle. To avoid unnecessary dropouts due to vacation, stressful life-events
167 or a lack of time, we also asked participants to specify months in which study
168 participation would work best for them. Participants were asked to report the onset of
169 menstruation in the cycle in which they were planning to take part in the study.

170 Four days after menstruation onset participants started using an OvaCUE©
171 fertility monitor (Fairhavenhealth, Bellingham, WA). The OvaCUE© is a hand-held
172 electronic monitor with oral sensors detecting the electrical resistance of salivary
173 secretion (<http://www.ovacue.com>). The electrical resistance of saliva changes with
174 cyclical variations in oestrogen concentration (Fehring, 1996) and reaches a peak
175 value five to seven days before ovulation; OvaCUE© can therefore be used as an

176 early ovulation predictor (Fehring, 1996). Participants conducted the OvaCUE©
177 measurement daily and immediately after awakening. The assessment takes about
178 three seconds and needs to be carried out for approximately 5 consecutive days,
179 until the device can predict the date of peak fertility.

180 Two days before the date of predicted peak fertility women started to use urine
181 tests measuring the luteinizing hormone (LH). We used one-step urine LH tests with
182 a reported sensitivity of 10mIU/ml (David One Step Ovulation Tests, Runbio Biotech,
183 China). Women were instructed to perform urine tests twice a day (morning and
184 evening). After a positive test result participants continued the tests until the results
185 became negative for two subsequent days. After positive testing, the women
186 immediately reported to the laboratory and were then either tested within 48 hours of
187 LH surge and then again 7 days later (late follicular-luteal group) or they were
188 scheduled 7 days after the measured peak of the LH surge (luteal-late follicular
189 group). Participants assigned to the luteal-late follicular group again assessed the LH
190 surge in the following cycle and were then tested within 48 hours of the next LH
191 peak.

192 On the days of testing, women additionally provided saliva samples from which
193 we assessed levels of estradiol, testosterone and progesterone. Fifteen minutes after
194 their arrival in the laboratory, participants were asked to collect approximately 7.5 ml
195 of saliva in plastic tubes (Salicaps, IBL International GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). To
196 control for potential factors that are known to influence hormone assessments from
197 saliva, we asked the participants to avoid excessive physical activity and drinking
198 alcohol and to refrain from using drugs on the days of LH testing and 12 hours prior
199 to the scheduled session. Participants were further instructed to refrain from eating
200 and to abstain from caffeine and smoking for at least 1h prior each experimental
201 session. Participants were asked to rinse their mouth with fresh water and to wait

202 approximately 5 min before providing saliva. The plastic tubes were closed and
203 stored at -20 °C until the salivary samples were analysed for concentrations of
204 estrogen, progesterone and testosterone by an independent laboratory (Dresden Lab
205 Service GmbH, Dresden, Germany) using commercially available radioimmunoassay
206 kits adopted for the analysis of salivary samples (IBL International, Hamburg,
207 Germany). Inter-assay coefficients were below 12% and intra-assay coefficients were
208 below 10%.

209

210 2.4 Task and procedure

211 As soon as participants reported the onset of menstruation, we asked them to
212 complete an online survey (EFS Survey, Questback, Berlin, Germany) with which we
213 collected demographic data such as age, sexual orientation, whether they have any
214 children, whether they currently are in a romantic relationship, and if yes, how long
215 they have been in this relationship. We further assessed subjective ratings of
216 premenstrual symptoms (PMS) using the German PMS questionnaire (Ditzen et al.,
217 2011) and the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS; Watson et al., 1988). In
218 the PANAS, women were asked to rate how their mood was during the last year
219 (trait).

220 All test session took place in a laboratory at the University of Bern. To control
221 for circadian hormone variability, all participants were tested between 11am and 6pm,
222 and both test sessions were scheduled to take place at the same time of day. This
223 time window was chosen because hormone levels show less variation in the
224 afternoon than in the early morning (cf., Caufriez et al., 2009)

225 The lab-testings lasted approximately 30 minutes each. Upon arrival at the
226 laboratory, participants gave their written informed consent and provided the salivary

227 sample. We applied a modified version of the Cyberball task developed by (Williams
228 and Jarvis, 2006). Participants were informed that they would play a virtual ball
229 tossing game via Internet with two other players. Participants were informed that
230 these players were real players seated in separate rooms. In reality, these players
231 were computer generated. Participants were told that the study examines the effects
232 of mental visualization and that their task was to create a vivid mental image of the
233 game scenery (Williams and Jarvis, 2006; see Figure S2 for a screenshot of the
234 Cyberball scenario). Participants viewed pictures of the players presented on the
235 right (Player 1) and the left side of the screen (Player 3). The picture of Player 1
236 always showed a man, the picture of Player 3 always was a woman. Different
237 pictures were used in the first and second testing, and all pictures were “medium”
238 attractive as rated in a pre-test. The Cyberball paradigm was presented on a tablet
239 computer (Samsung Galaxy Note, 2.0) and was played online using Google Chrome
240 Explorer (Chrome 35). In each session, participant played three rounds. Each round
241 consisted of thirty ball tosses and took about two minutes to complete. When
242 participants received the ball, they had to choose to whom they wanted to throw the
243 ball by clicking on the respective player’s picture using a standard computer mouse.
244 An algorithm controlled the behaviour of the computer-generated players. In the first
245 round, the inclusion round, the participant received 10 out of 30 balls played. In the
246 following exclusion round, the participant received only 4 out of 30 balls played. The
247 rest of the balls were played between the computer-generated players. The last
248 round was an inclusion round and was carried out for ethical reasons. After the first
249 inclusion and the subsequent exclusion round participants completed a
250 questionnaire. The first part of this questionnaire was used to support the cover story
251 and consisted of few questions about the participants’ mental images during the
252 game. The second part assessed the experience of the Cyberball manipulation. First

253 participants had to estimate the percentage of balls they received during the round.
254 Then participants answered an abbreviated version of the Need-Threat
255 Questionnaire (NTQ, Williams, 2009) to check whether the exclusion manipulation
256 worked. We included only one question per dimension to ensure that any exclusion
257 induced mood change would still be observable in the mood assessment.
258 Specifically, we included the items “I had the feeling that I belonged to the group
259 during the game” (Belongingness), “I had the feeling that I could influence the
260 direction of the game” (Control), “I was concerned about what the other players
261 thought about me during the game” (Self-Esteem), “I had the feeling that my
262 presence during the game was important” (Meaningful Existence). Mood was
263 assessed using the short form of the German multidimensional-mood-state
264 questionnaire (Mehrdimensionaler Befindlichkeitsfragebogen “MDBF”; Steyer et al.,
265 1994). The MDBF assesses mood state on three dimensions (*good-bad*, *awake-tired*,
266 *calm-nervous*) and has been shown to be a time-efficient and reliable instrument for
267 assessing mood in clinical and experimental settings (Heinrichs and Nater, 2002).
268 Questionnaire items were presented on a 15.4-inch laptop monitor (HP Pavilion dv6,
269 Windows 7, 64-bit) using internet explorer (V.11) and unipark software (Questback,
270 Berlin, Germany). Both test sessions followed the exact same procedure except that
271 after the second session participants were fully debriefed.

272 Before debriefing the participants, we checked whether they knew the paradigm
273 or guessed the aim of the study. One participant knew the paradigm and was hence
274 excluded from the analyses (see Flowchart in supplemental online material). The rest
275 were naïve to the purpose of the study and did not know the paradigm. Participants
276 were told not to talk about this study to their friends and colleagues, so that other
277 participants remained naïve.

278

279 **3 Statistical analyses**

280 We checked the data for distribution properties and verified normality by
281 inspecting histograms and qq-plots. We log-transformed hormone concentration
282 values to approximate normal distribution. For descriptive analyses, we calculated
283 means and standard deviations for continuous normally distributed variables and
284 absolute and relative frequencies for the categorical variable with categories outlined
285 in Table S1.

286 To confirm that the two Cyberball conditions 'inclusion' vs. 'exclusion' induced
287 the expected contrast, we conducted a manipulation check. To do so, we entered
288 each scale of the Need Threat Questionnaire (NTQ) as outcome in separate linear
289 mixed-effects models (Singer and Willett, 2003), to estimate changes in 'belonging',
290 'self-esteem', 'meaningful existence', and 'control' between the inclusion and
291 exclusion condition by adding 'ostracism' as predictor. We added the factors 'cycle
292 phase' (luteal phase vs. late follicular phase) and 'assessment sequence' (luteal
293 phase assessed first vs. late follicular phase assessed first) as covariates.

294 Next, to test our main hypotheses that ostracism effects (inclusion vs.
295 exclusion) on mood are more pronounced during the luteal phase as compared to the
296 late follicular phase, we entered each scale of the MDMQ as outcome variable in
297 separate linear mixed-effects models (Singer and Willett, 2003). We first estimated
298 the effects of the factors 'ostracism' (inclusion vs. exclusion) and 'cycle phase' (luteal
299 phase vs. late follicular phase) by only including these two categorical predictors. We
300 then repeated the analyses after adding the interaction effect of 'ostracism' and 'cycle
301 phase' as additional predictor. In all these analyses, we added the factor 'assessment

302 sequence' (luteal phase assessed first vs. late follicular phase assessed first) as
303 covariate.

304 To scrutinize changes in salivary concentrations of the hormones progesterone,
305 estradiol, and testosterone between cycle phases we entered each hormone
306 concentration as outcome in separate linear mixed-effects models (Singer and
307 Willett, 2003), and estimated changes in the hormone concentrations between the
308 luteal phase and the late follicular phase by including 'cycle phase' as predictor. We
309 added the factor 'assessment sequence' (luteal phase assessed first vs. late follicular
310 phase assessed first) as covariate.

311 For all linear mixed-effects models we used an unstructured covariance matrix
312 to account for the time dependence among repeated measures of the within-subjects
313 factors 'ostracism' and 'cycle phase'.

314 Finally, we estimated whether the associations between cycle phase and
315 ostracism related differences in mood were mediated by progesterone concentrations
316 (see Figure 1). Therefore, for each scale of the MDMQ, we conducted separate
317 multilevel structural equation models (Preacher et al., 2010), with the predictor 'cycle
318 phase', the outcome 'ostracism-related mood differences' (i.e. difference in mood
319 between the inclusion and the exclusion condition), and the mediator 'progesterone
320 concentration', assuming random intercepts and fixed slopes.

321 Linear mixed-effects models and multilevel structural equation models
322 accommodated missing data. All tests were two-tailed, we set the significance level
323 at 0.05 and calculated 95% confidence intervals (CI) where appropriate. We used the
324 statistical software package Mplus for Mac (version 6.12) for the multilevel structural

325 equation models, and IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac (Version 21) for all other data
326 analyses.

327 ----Figure 1 about here----

328

329 **4 Results**

330 Analyses are based on data of 49 women (see Table S1 in SI for characteristics
331 of the study sample).

332 **4.1 Manipulation check**

333 We employed the Need Threat Questionnaire (NTQ, Williams, 2009) to check
334 whether our inclusion/exclusion manipulation worked. In the inclusion condition of the
335 Cyberball paradigm, women reported higher levels of 'belonging', 'meaningful
336 existence', and 'control' than in the exclusion condition, confirming successful
337 induction of subjective ostracism by the Cyberball paradigm. There was no
338 statistically significant difference in 'self-esteem'. Respective results are depicted in
339 Table 1.

340 ----Table 1 about here----

341

342 **4.2 Differences in mood related to ostracism and cycle phase**

343 Linear mixed-effects models revealed an ostracism effect for MDMQ mood
344 dimensions 'good-bad' and 'awake-tired', but not 'calm-nervous', with women
345 reporting worse mood (*Estimate*=0.224, *standard error (SE)*=0.088, 95%CI [0.047,
346 0.401], *t*=2.544, *df*=49, *p*=0.014) and being more tired (*Estimate*=0.276, *SE*= 0.071,

347 95%CI [0.133, 0.418], $t=3.908$, $df=49$, $p<0.001$) in the exclusion as compared to the
348 inclusion condition of the Cyberball paradigm. There was a significant interaction
349 effect between ostracism and cycle phase for good-bad mood ($Estimate=0.332$, $SE=$
350 0.147 , 95%CI [0.036, 0.628], $t=2.255$, $df=49$, $p=0.029$), indicating a stronger
351 ostracism effect in the luteal phase as compared to the late follicular phase (see
352 Figure 2, Table 2).

353 -----Figure 2 about here-----

354 -----Table 2 about here-----

355

356 **4.3 Differences in hormone concentrations between cycle phases and** 357 **mediation of the association between cycle phase and mood via progesterone** 358 **concentrations**

359 Linear mixed-effect models revealed that salivary progesterone and estradiol
360 concentrations, but not testosterone concentrations were higher during the luteal
361 phase as compared to the late follicular phase (see Table 3).

362 -----Table 3 about here-----

363 Results from multilevel structural equation models related to the MDMQ scale
364 'good-bad mood' are depicted in Table 4, and results related to the MDMQ scales
365 'awake-tired' and 'calm-nervous' are depicted in supplemental material Table S2,
366 Table S3, Figure S3 and Figure S4, respectively. Please refer to Figure 1 for the
367 outline of the mediation analyses. As expected and in line with the result from the
368 linear mixed-effect model outlined above (see Table 3), progesterone concentrations
369 were higher during the luteal phase than during the follicular phase (mediation path

370 a). Notably, higher progesterone levels were associated with smaller ostracism
371 effects (mediation path b) with regard to the mood dimensions 'good-bad', 'awake-
372 tired', and 'calm-nervous', when adjusting for cycle phase. This led to 'inconsistent
373 mediation' effects (MacKinnon et al., 2007), in the way that in all three models, the
374 indirect/mediated effects and the direct effects were of opposite sign (negative vs.
375 positive).

376 ----Table 4 about here----

377 Hence, with regard to 'good-bad mood' there was a statistically significant
378 mediation effect of progesterone concentration ($a*b$; $Estimate=-0.418$, $SE=0.145$,
379 $95\%CI [-0.656, -0.180]$, $p=0.004$), resulting in an even stronger direct effect (c' ;
380 $Estimate=0.772$, $SE= 0.225$, $95\%CI [0.401, 1.143]$, $p=0.001$) than the total effect (c ;
381 $Estimate=0.354$, $SE=0.148$, $95\%CI [0.110,0.598]$, $p=0.017$).

382 With regard to ostracism-related differences in mood dimensions 'awake-tired'
383 and 'calm-nervous' there were no statistically significant total effects (c) of cycle
384 phase. This is in line with the results from the above-reported linear mixed-effect
385 models (Table 2) indicating no statistically significant interaction between ostracism
386 and cycle phase for the outcomes 'awake-tired' and 'calm-nervous'. However, due to
387 the statistically significant and inconsistent mediation effects of progesterone ($a*b$),
388 mediation analyses revealed statistically significant direct effects (c') of cycle phase
389 on ostracism-related differences in the dimensions 'awake-tired' ($Estimate=0.340$,
390 $SE= 0.170$, $95\%CI [0.060, 0.619]$, $p=0.046$) and 'calm-nervous' ($Estimate=0.388$,
391 $SE= 0.187$, $95\%CI [0.081, 0.695]$, $p=0.037$) (see Table S2 and Table S3,
392 respectively).

393

394 **5 Discussion**

395 The main goals of this study were to estimate the changes in sensitivity to social
396 exclusion (ostracism) in different cycle phases and to scrutinize the related role of
397 fluctuating progesterone concentrations across the menstrual cycle in naturally
398 cycling women. We found that during the more vulnerable luteal phase women were
399 more sensitive to rejection than during the late follicular phase. At the same time
400 sensitivity to rejection was associated with lower progesterone levels. This finding
401 suggests that higher progesterone concentrations buffer against feelings of rejection.

402 Women in the luteal phase are potentially pregnant. Because pregnancy calls
403 for increased need for social support, an evolutionary informed interpretation of these
404 findings is that social exclusion may represent a higher threat during the luteal phase
405 and may therefore result in more negative mood than during the follicular phase. In
406 this adaptationist framework, progesterone, which coincidentally is raised during the
407 luteal phase, may function as a resilience factor buffering against the negative
408 feelings experienced after being ostracized.

409 During the luteal phase, naturally cycling women often experience a drop in
410 mood (Dickerson et al., 2003). While most premenopausal women experience some
411 level of negative premenstrual symptoms (Dickerson et al., 2003), up to 8% suffer to
412 such a degree that it interferes with normal functioning (Premenstrual Dysphoric
413 Disorder, PMDD; Bhatia and Bhatia, 2002; Wittchen et al., 2002). Negative mood is
414 one of the most prominent symptoms of PMDD and such dips in mood have been
415 related to progesterone levels which increase during the luteal phase and decrease
416 rapidly at the onset of menses (e.g., Smith et al., 2006). It is striking that the social
417 components of PMDD have received much less attention, despite the fact that the
418 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) explicitly

419 mentions marked affective lability such as increased sensitivity to rejection as well as
420 increased interpersonal conflicts as symptoms of PMDD. From a clinical and
421 research domain criteria (RDoC) perspective, our findings point towards reduced
422 progesterone levels and/or related neurotransmission as a potential target to buffer
423 against suffering related to the symptom dimension ‘sensitivity to social rejection’ that
424 is of relevance for PMDD and beyond. This converges with recent data suggesting
425 that neurotransmission related to allopregnanolone, a metabolite from progesterone,
426 is a promising target to treat PMDD (Bixo et al., 2017; Martinez et al., 2016).
427 Unfortunately, as our sample includes only 14 women with impairing premenstrual
428 symptoms, it is too small to conduct meaningful statistical analyses in this subgroup.
429 Hence, future studies with a clinical sample should scrutinize, whether our main
430 findings can also be detected in women with PMDD.

431 The present results substantiate findings of previous work in which social
432 exclusion in Cyberball resulted in more negative mood (e.g., Seidel et al. 2013;
433 Williams and Jarvis, 2006). Furthermore, the results are in line with previous findings
434 suggesting an increased sensitivity for social information during the luteal phase
435 (Maner and Miller, 2014). But while existing literature often argues that this increased
436 sensitivity results from the heightened progesterone concentrations during the luteal
437 phase, we found that progesterone was related to reduced negative mood after
438 experiencing social exclusion. Specifically, in the luteal phase, high progesterone
439 values were associated with less negative mood ratings after experiencing social
440 exclusion. In the late follicular phase we found no relation between progesterone
441 concentrations and mood ratings. Even though we found that during the luteal phase,
442 which is characterized by increased progesterone levels, women reacted more
443 sensitively to social exclusion, our data do not support the view that elevated

444 progesterone levels are responsible for this increased sensitivity to ostracism.
445 Rather, the present findings support the biphasic action model of progesterone
446 metabolites on mood (Andreen et al., 2009). According to this model low
447 concentrations of allopregnanolone increase negative mood changes via GABA_A
448 systems, while high concentrations have calming effects. Further studies are needed
449 to verify the role of luteal progesterone in social behaviour.

450 In the present study, the order of inclusion/exclusion blocks in the Cyberball
451 game was held constant, that is, participants always experienced inclusion before
452 being excluded (see also Masten et al., 2011; Radke et al., 2018). We hence cannot
453 fully rule out that the worse mood and increased tiredness after exclusion vs.
454 inclusion might be confounded with the temporal course of the experiment. We note
455 however, that our most important finding was that worse mood after exclusion was
456 modulated by cycle phase. It is hence unlikely that using this commonly adopted
457 sequence of inclusion followed by exclusion in a constant order detracts from our
458 main finding, namely that worse mood related to the exclusion condition was more
459 pronounced during the luteal phase, compared to the follicular phase. We note that
460 we did not assess mood after the last round (inclusion condition), since our main
461 outcome variable was mood changes after exclusion. The last round (inclusion
462 condition) was merely included for ethical reasons: Because we could not debrief the
463 participants after the first session, we needed to let them finish the session with the
464 feeling of being included.

465 The need to belong is a fundamental human motive and feeling left out causes
466 distress. Here we provide evidence that naturally cycling women are more sensitive
467 to social exclusion during the luteal phase as compared to the late follicular phase.
468 Further, high progesterone levels – which characterize the luteal phase – were

469 negatively related to feelings of being left out. During the luteal phase women often
470 experience a dip in mood, which in some cases can lead to Premenstrual Dysphoric
471 Disorder (PMDD). Our findings provide evidence that progesterone acts as resilience
472 factor, buffering against negative feelings that result from being socially excluded,
473 thereby pointing to new potential pharmacological targets to treat PMDD and other
474 premenstrual symptoms.

475

476 **Author contribution:** JSL, FP, VL and GM designed the study, VL, FP and JSL
477 collected the data, AHM and GM analysed the data, JSL, VL, AHM and GM wrote the
478 manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

479

480 **Funding:** This work was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science
481 Foundation awarded to JSL (grant number PP00P1_163758/1). GM has received
482 funding from the Korea Research Foundation within the Global Research Network
483 Program under project no. 2013S1A2A2035364, and from the Swiss National
484 Science Foundation under project no. 100014_135328, and receives funding from
485 the Stanley Thomas Johnson Stiftung & Gottfried und Julia Bangerter-Rhyner-
486 Stiftung under project no. PC_28/17. The funding sources had no involvement in
487 study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; in the writing
488 of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

489 **Declaration of interest:** GM has been acting as consultant for Janssen Research &
490 Development, LLC. JSL, FP, VL and AHM declare that they have no potential
491 conflicts of interest.

492

493 **References**

- 494 Andreen, L., Nyberg, S., Turkmen, S., van Wingen, G., Fernandez, G., Backstrom, T., 2009. Sex steroid
 495 induced negative mood may be explained by the paradoxical effect mediated by GABAA modulators.
 496 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 34, 1121-1132.
- 497 Baumeister, R.F., Leary, M.R., 1995. The need to belong: desire for interpersonal attachments as a
 498 fundamental human motivation. *Psychol Bull* 117, 497-529.
- 499 Bhatia, S.C., Bhatia, S.K., 2002. Diagnosis and treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Am Fam*
 500 *Physician* 66, 1239-1248.
- 501 Bixo, M., Ekberg, K., Poromaa, I.S., Hirschberg, A.L., Jonasson, A.F., Andreen, L., Timby, E., Wulff, M.,
 502 Ehrenborg, A., Backstrom, T., 2017. Treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder with the GABAA
 503 receptor modulating steroid antagonist Sepranolone (UC1010)-A randomized controlled trial.
 504 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 80, 46-55.
- 505 Caufriez, A., Leproult, R., L'Hermite-Baleriaux, M., Moreno-Reyes, R., Copinschi, G., 2009. A potential
 506 role of endogenous progesterone in modulation of GH, prolactin and thyrotrophin secretion during
 507 normal menstrual cycle. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 71, 535-542.
- 508 Chen, Z.S., DeWall, C.N., Poon, K.T., Chen, E.W., 2012. When destiny hurts: Implicit theories of
 509 relationships moderate aggressive responses to ostracism. *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 48, 1029-1036.
- 510 Davis, S.R., Tran, J., 2001. Testosterone influences libido and well being in women. *Trends Endocrinol*
 511 *Metab* 12, 33-37.
- 512 Derntl, B., Hack, R.L., Kryspin-Exner, I., Habel, U., 2013. Association of menstrual cycle phase with the
 513 core components of empathy. *Horm Behav* 63, 97-104.
- 514 Dickerson, L.M., Mazyck, P.J., Hunter, M.H., 2003. Premenstrual syndrome. *Am Fam Physician* 67,
 515 1743-1752.
- 516 Ditzen, B., Nussbeck, F., Drobnjak, S., Spörri, C., Wüest, D., Ehlert, U., 2011. Validierung eines
 517 deutschsprachigen DSM-IV-TR basierten Fragebogens zum prämenstruellen Syndrom. *Zeitschrift für*
 518 *klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie* 40, 149–159.
- 519 Fehring, R.J., 1996. A comparison of the ovulation method with the CUE ovulation predictor in
 520 determining the fertile period. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract* 8, 461-466.
- 521 Fessler, D.M., Navarrete, C.D., 2003. Domain-specific variation in disgust sensitivity across the
 522 menstrual cycle. *Evol Hum Behav* 24, 406-417.
- 523 Fleischman, D.S., Fessler, D.M., 2011. Progesterone's effects on the psychology of disease avoidance:
 524 support for the compensatory behavioral prophylaxis hypothesis. *Horm Behav* 59, 271-275.
- 525 Gaffey, A.E., Wirth, M.M., 2014. Stress, rejection, and hormones: Cortisol and progesterone reactivity
 526 to laboratory speech and rejection tasks in women and men. *F1000Res* 3, 208.
- 527 Gangestad, S.W., Garver-Apgar, C.E., Simpson, J.A., Cousins, A.J., 2007. Changes in women's mate
 528 preferences across the ovulatory cycle. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 92, 151-163.
- 529 Goldstein, J.M., Jerram, M., Abbs, B., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Makris, N., 2010. Sex differences in stress
 530 response circuitry activation dependent on female hormonal cycle. *J Neurosci* 30, 431-438.
- 531 Halbreich, U., Borenstein, J., Pearlstein, T., Kahn, L.S., 2003. The prevalence, impairment, impact, and
 532 burden of premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMS/PMDD). *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 28 Suppl 3, 1-
 533 23.
- 534 Harmon-Jones, E., Peterson, C.K., Harris, C.R., 2009. Jealousy: novel methods and neural correlates.
 535 *Emotion* 9, 113-117.
- 536 Heinrichs, M., Nater, U., 2002. The Mehrdimensionale Befindlichkeitsfragebogen. *Zeitschrift Fur*
 537 *Klinische Psychologie Und Psychotherapie* 31, 66-67.
- 538 Jasienska, G., 2013. *The fragile wisdom: an evolutionary view on women's biology and health.*
 539 Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.
- 540 Jones, B.C., DeBruine, L.M., Perrett, D.I., Little, A.C., Feinberg, D.R., Law Smith, M.J., 2008. Effects of
 541 menstrual cycle phase on face preferences. *Arch Sex Behav* 37, 78-84.

- 542 Krug, R., Plihal, W., Fehm, H.L., Born, J., 2000. Selective influence of the menstrual cycle on
 543 perception of stimuli with reproductive significance: an event-related potential study.
 544 *Psychophysiology* 37, 111-122.
- 545 Little, A.C., Jones, B.C., Burriss, R.P., 2007. Preferences for masculinity in male bodies change across
 546 the menstrual cycle. *Horm Behav* 51, 633-639.
- 547 Lobmaier, J.S., Bachofner, L.M., 2018. Timing is crucial: Some critical thoughts on using LH tests to
 548 determine women's current fertility. *Horm Behav*.
- 549 MacKinnon, D.P., Fairchild, A.J., Fritz, M.S., 2007. Mediation analysis. *Annu Rev Psychol* 58, 593-614.
- 550 Maner, J.K., Miller, S.L., 2014. Hormones and social monitoring: Menstrual cycle shifts in
 551 progesterone underlie women's attention to signs of social support. *Evol Hum Behav* 35, 9-16.
- 552 Martinez, P.E., Rubinow, D.R., Nieman, L.K., Koziol, D.E., Morrow, A.L., Schiller, C.E., Cintron, D.,
 553 Thompson, K.D., Khine, K.K., Schmidt, P.J., 2016. 5alpha-Reductase Inhibition Prevents the Luteal
 554 Phase Increase in Plasma Allopregnanolone Levels and Mitigates Symptoms in Women with
 555 Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 1093-1102.
- 556 Masten, C.L., Colich, N.L., Rudie, J.D., Bookheimer, S.Y., Eisenberger, N.I., Dapretto, M., 2011. An fMRI
 557 investigation of responses to peer rejection in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Dev Cogn*
 558 *Neurosci* 1, 260-270.
- 559 Müller, W., Hassel, M., 2012. *Entwicklungsbiologie und Reproduktionsbiologie des Menschen und*
 560 *bedeutender Modellorganismen*, 5th ed. ed. Springer, Berlin.
- 561 Munster, K., Schmidt, L., Helm, P., 1992. Length and variation in the menstrual cycle--a cross-
 562 sectional study from a Danish county. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 99, 422-429.
- 563 Preacher, K.J., Zyphur, M.J., Zhang, Z., 2010. A general multilevel SEM framework for assessing
 564 multilevel mediation. *Psychol Methods* 15, 209-233.
- 565 Radke, S., Seidel, E.M., Boubela, R.N., Thaler, H., Metzler, H., Kryspin-Exner, I., Moser, E., Habel, U.,
 566 Derntl, B., 2018. Immediate and delayed neuroendocrine responses to social exclusion in males and
 567 females. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 93, 56-64.
- 568 Schultheiss, O.C., Dargel, A., Rohde, W., 2003. Implicit motives and gonadal steroid hormones: effects
 569 of menstrual cycle phase, oral contraceptive use, and relationship status. *Horm Behav* 43, 293-301.
- 570 Seidel, E.M., Silani, G., Metzler, H., Thaler, H., Lamm, C., Gur, R.C., Kryspin-Exner, I., Habel, U., Derntl,
 571 B., 2013. The impact of social exclusion vs. inclusion on subjective and hormonal reactions in females
 572 and males. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 38, 2925-2932.
- 573 Singer, J.D., Willett, J.B., 2003. *Applied Longitudinal Data Analysis*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 574 Smith, E.R., Murphy, J., Coats, S., 1999. Attachment to groups: theory and measurement. *J Pers Soc*
 575 *Psychol* 77, 94-110.
- 576 Smith, S.S., Ruderman, Y., Frye, C., Homanics, G., Yuan, M., 2006. Steroid withdrawal in the mouse
 577 results in anxiogenic effects of 3alpha,5beta-THP: a possible model of premenstrual dysphoric
 578 disorder. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 186, 323-333.
- 579 Steyer, R., Schwenkmezger, P., Notz, P., Eid, M., 1994. Testtheoretische Analysen des
 580 mehrdimensionalen Befindlichkeitsfragebogen (MDBF). *Diagnostica* 40, 320-328.
- 581 Watson, D., Clark, L.A., Tellegen, A., 1988. DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF BRIEF MEASURES OF
 582 POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE AFFECT - THE PANAS SCALES. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*
 583 54, 1063-1070.
- 584 Williams, A.M., 2007. Ostracism: The kiss of social death. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*
 585 1, 236-247.
- 586 Williams, K.D., 2009. Ostracism: A Temporal Need-Threat Model. *Adv Exp Soc Psychol* 41, 275-314.
- 587 Williams, K.D., Jarvis, B., 2006. Cyberball: a program for use in research on interpersonal ostracism
 588 and acceptance. *Behav Res Methods* 38, 174-180.
- 589 Wirth, M.M., Schultheiss, O.C., 2006. Effects of affiliation arousal (hope of closeness) and affiliation
 590 stress (fear of rejection) on progesterone and cortisol. *Horm Behav* 50, 786-795.
- 591 Wittchen, H.U., Becker, E., Lieb, R., Krause, P., 2002. Prevalence, incidence and stability of
 592 premenstrual dysphoric disorder in the community. *Psychol Med* 32, 119-132.

- 593 Wolohan, F.D., Bennett, S.J., Crawford, T.J., 2013. Females and attention to eye gaze: effects of the
594 menstrual cycle. *Exp Brain Res* 227, 379-386.
595 Zadro, L., Williams, K.D., Richardson, R., 2004. How low can you go? Ostracism by a computer is
596 sufficient to lower self-reported levels of belonging, control, self-esteem, and meaningful existence.
597 *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 40, 560-567.

598

599

600

601 **Figure Legends**

602 *Figure 1.* (A) Illustration of a total effect c of cycle phase on ostracism-related mood
603 differences. (B) Illustration of a mediation design, in which cycle phase is supposed
604 to exert an indirect effect ($a*b$) on ostracism-related mood differences through
605 progesterone concentrations (a = effect of cycle phase on progesterone
606 concentrations; b = effect of progesterone concentrations on ostracism-related mood
607 differences) and the direct effect of cycle phase on ostracism-related mood
608 differences c' ($c' = c - a*b$).

609

610 *Figure 2.* Good-bad mood assessed using the Multidimensional Mood Questionnaire
611 (MDMQ); SEM, standard error of mean.

612

613

614