

Effect of C1-inhibitor in adults with mild asthma: A randomized controlled trial

To the Editor,

Several newly approved monoclonal antibodies targeting type 2 inflammation have shown remarkable beneficial effects in patients with severe asthma. Biologics directed against IL-5 (mepolizumab, reslizumab), IL-5 receptor (benralizumab), or IL-4 receptor α (dupilumab) have shown to reduce asthma exacerbation rate by about 50%.^{1,2} Though promising, these drugs are unable to completely alleviate inflammation-induced asthma symptoms. Moreover, a substantial subset of patients without a pronounced type 2 airway inflammation does not benefit from the currently available biologics. Therefore, novel anti-inflammatory treatments targeting other relevant asthma-associated pathways are still warranted. In recent years, the complement system has been implicated in the pathogenesis of type 2 asthma.³ Elevated levels of anaphylatoxins, activation products of the complement system, have been found in the airways of asthma patients following local allergen provocation.⁴ Functional roles for the anaphylatoxins in asthma have been established in experimental studies in mice, showing that these proinflammatory mediators act synergistically and drive allergic inflammation.³ C1-inhibitor (C1-INH) is an endogenous protein with a pivotal regulatory function in the complement system by inhibiting both the classical and lectin pathways. We hypothesized that C1-INH administration inhibits complement activation and attenuates allergen-induced airway eosinophilia in patients with mild asthma.

In this randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel study, 24 adults with asthma and house dust mite (HDM) allergy received a continuous intravenous infusion with human plasma-derived C1-INH 100 U kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ or placebo followed after 2 hours by segmental challenge with HDM and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in one lung and saline in the contralateral lung as control. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid was obtained seven hours after HDM/LPS or saline challenge. The primary outcome was influx of eosinophils and neutrophils, defined as number of cells/mL, into the bronchoalveolar space. Further details of the study design, subject selection criteria, bronchoalveolar lavage handling, assays, and statistical analysis are described in the supplemental section. Baseline patient characteristics were similar across treatment groups (Table S1).

Two hours after the initiation of C1-INH infusion, median plasma C1-INH antigen concentrations were four times higher in C1-INHinfused patients compared to vehicle-infused controls (Figure S1A). Segmental HDM/LPS challenge resulted in increased C1-INH antigen levels in BALF compared to saline instillation in both treatment groups (Figure S1C). C1-INH concentrations were higher in BALF from C1-INH-infused patients compared to the placebo group. C1-INH activity levels in plasma and BALF were similar to C1-INH antigen concentrations (Figure S1B,D), indicating that C1-INH was biologically active.

HDM/LPS challenge induced elevated C4a concentrations compared to saline challenge in the placebo group (Figure S2A). In the C1-INH group, BALF C4a levels were similar between the saline and HDM/LPS-challenged sites. Consistently, using an assay that detects the C4 activation products C4b, C4bi, and C4c (collectively referred to as C4bc), C4 activation in the lung subsegment exposed to HDM/LPS was increased in patients infused with placebo but not in those infused with C1-INH (Figure S2B). We next measured the anaphylatoxin C3a, which is released following C3 cleaved activation.⁵ Similar to C4a, HDM/LPS challenge increased BALF C3a in the placebo group, but not in the C1-INH treatment group (Figure S2C). In agreement, C3 activation products were elevated in the HDM/ LPS-challenged lung in patients infused with placebo but not in those administered with C1-INH (Figure S2D). These data indicate that C1-INH infusion prevents C4a and C3a generation in the airways upon a bronchial challenge with HDM/LPS.

HDM/LPS instillation augmented total cell counts in BALF compared to saline, partly as consequence of eosinophil and neutrophil influx (Figure 1A-C). Likewise, HDM/LPS challenge elevated CD4 T cells, but did not alter the number of alveolar macrophages in BALF (Figure S3A,B). C1-INH did not modify this allergen-induced response. HDM/LPS also induced degranulation of eosinophils and neutrophils in the bronchoalveolar space (Figure S4A-D). These responses were not affected by C1-INH with the exception of lactoferrin release, which was inhibited by C1-INH (Figure S4B).

To obtain further insight into the inflammatory response upon HDM/LPS challenge and the effect of C1-INH hereon, we measured a broad spectrum of cytokines and chemokines relevant for allergic inflammation. Of the 35 cytokines and chemokines measured, 15 were detectable in BALF (Table S2, Table S3). HDM/LPS induced increases in neutrophil chemoattractants such as interleukin (IL)-8, IL-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α , and macrophage inflammatory proteins 1 α and 1 β (Table S2). Likewise, eosinophil

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2019 The Authors. *Allergy* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.



FIGURE 1 Intravenous C1-inhibitor infusion does not modify leukocyte influx after HDM/LPS challenge in the airways of asthma patients. A, Total cell number in BALF, B, eosinophils in BALF, C, neutrophils in BALF. Data represent the median with interquartile range, the smallest and largest observation. **: *P* < .001, ***: *P* < .001, BALF: bronchoalveolar lavage



FIGURE 2 Intravenous C1-inhibitor infusion reduces vascular leak following HDM/LPS challenge in the airways of asthma patients. A, Qalbumin: BALF albumin/plasma albumin, B, Q α 2macroglobulin: BALF α 2-macroglobulin/ plasma α 2-macroglobulin, C, Relative coefficient of excretion (RCE): Q α 2M/ Qalbumin, (D) BALF IgM levels. Data represent the median with interquartile range, the smallest and largest observation. **: P < .01, ***: P < .001, ns: not significant, BALF: bronchoalveolar lavage

attractants eotaxin-1 and RANTES were increased upon HDM/ LPS challenge. These responses were not influenced by C1-INH. HDM/LPS also induced the release of growth-related oncogene- α , stromal cell-derived factor-1 α , and IL-18 in the placebo group. Although statistically insignificant, these rises were also detected in the C1-INH group.

Beside the complement system, C1-INH is an important regulator of the kallikrein-kinin system due to its inhibitory effect on FXIIa and kallikrein activity.⁶ Elevated levels of kallikrein-kinin system components have been documented in the airways of asthma patients.⁷ We determined C1-INH/FXII and C1-INH/kallikrein complexes as measure for kallikrein-kinin system activation. In BALF, however, these complexes were below detection limit. Hence, the current challenge model is not suitable to study the contribution of the kallikrein-kinin system in allergen-induced inflammation and the effect of C1-INH hereon.

Vascular leak often occurs as consequence of allergen-induced inflammation in the airway of asthma patients⁸ and has been associated with the loss of asthma control.⁹ We determined the quotients of albumin and α 2-macroglobulin levels in BALF and plasma (QAlb and QA2M, respectively) and the relative coefficient of excretion (QA2M/QAlb) as measures of the permeability of the blood-airway

barrier.⁴ Intrabronchial HDM/LPS challenge was associated with significant increase in QAlb (Figure 2A), QA2M (Figure 2B), and relative coefficient of excretion (Figure 2C) in the placebo group. These effects were abrogated in the C1-INH group (Figure 2A-C). Likewise, HDM/LPS induced elevated BALF IgM concentrations in placebo-infused patients but not in C1-INH-treated subjects (Figure 2D).

In conclusion, we show that intravenous C1-INH administration prior to intrabronchial HDM/LPS challenge prevents complement activation and vascular leak without attenuating allergic lung inflammation in patients with HDM allergy and asthma. Suppressing vascular leakage could help improve symptoms in patients who do not respond adequately to currently available drugs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Ivan Ramirez Moral and Janine Ursinus for their efforts in the logistics needed to keep the investigators blinded for treatment or placebo throughout the study and Hessel Peter-Sengers for statistical advice and assistance. This work was supported by ZonMw and the Netherlands Lung Foundation (project: 95104011).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest in relation to this work.

Jack Yang¹ D Tjitske S. R. van Engelen¹ Bastiaan W. Haak¹ Peter I. Bonta² Christof J. Majoor² Cornelis van 't Veer¹ Alex F. de Vos¹ E. Marleen Kemper³ René Lutter⁴ Gerard van Mierlo⁵ Sacha S. Zeerleder^{6,7} Elisabeth H. Bel² Tom van der Poll^{1,8}

¹Center of Experimental and Molecular Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
³Department of Pharmacy, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
⁴Department of Experimental Immunology, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
⁵Sanquin Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
⁶Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
⁷Department of Hematology and Central Hematology Laboratory, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

> ⁸Division of Infectious disease, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence

Jack Yang, Amsterdam UMC, Meibergdreef 9, Room G2-130, 1105AZ

Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

 \mathcal{N} ILEY

Email: j.yang@amsterdamumc.nl

ORCID

Jack Yang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5548-7771

REFERENCES

- Israel E, Reddel HK. Severe and difficult-to-treat asthma in adults. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(10):965-976.
- 2. Eger KA, Bel EH. The emergence of new biologics for severe asthma. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2019;46:108-115.
- 3. Zhang X, Kohl J. A complex role for complement in allergic asthma. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2010;6(2):269-277.
- de Boer JD, Berger M, Majoor CJ, et al. Activated protein C inhibits neutrophil migration in allergic asthma: a randomised trial. *Eur Respir* J. 2015;46(6):1636-1644.
- 5. Sarma JV, Ward PA. The complement system. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2011;343(1):227-235.
- 6. Zeerleder S. C1-inhibitor: more than a serine protease inhibitor. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 2011;37(4):362-374.
- Christiansen SC, Proud D, Sarnoff RB, Juergens U, Cochrane CG, Zuraw BL. Elevation of tissue kallikrein and kinin in the airways of asthmatic subjects after endobronchial allergen challenge. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1992;145(4 Pt 1):900-905.
- 8. Fick Jr RB, Metzger WJ, Richerson HB, et al. Increased bronchovascular permeability after allergen exposure in sensitive asthmatics. J Appl Physiol (1985). 1987;63(3):1147-1155.
- 9. Li X, Wilson JW. Increased vascularity of the bronchial mucosa in mild asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1997;156(1):229-233.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

3