



Article Transcriptome Analysis Did Not Show Endogenous Stem Cell Characteristics in Murine Lgr5⁺ Retinal Cells

Carolyn Trepp ^{1,2}, Ana Maria Quintela Pousa ^{2,3} and Volker Enzmann ^{2,3,*}

- ¹ F. Hoffmann-La Roche, 4070 Basel, Switzerland
- ² Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital of Bern, University of Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland
- ³ Department for BioMedical Research, University of Bern, 3008 Bern, Switzerland
- * Correspondence: volker.enzmann@insel.ch

Received: 23 May 2019; Accepted: 15 July 2019; Published: 19 July 2019



Abstract: Lgr5, an intestinal adult stem cell marker, was recently also found in neuronal tissues. We investigated whether retinal Lgr5⁺ cells express properties of neural stem cells (NSC) and/or of differentiated interneurons during retinal development. RNA was isolated from Lgr5⁺ and Lgr5⁻ populations from postnatal day 5 (PN5) and adult retinas of Lgr5^{EGFP-Ires-CreERT2} knock-in mice sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Transcriptome analyses were performed on two RNA samples of each developmental stage (PN5 and adult). The online platform PANTHER (Protein ANalysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships) was used to determine overrepresented gene ontology (GO) terms of biological processes within the set of differentially expressed genes. The detailed evaluation included gene expression in regard to stem cell maintenance/proliferation, cell cycle, and Wnt signaling but also markers of differentiated retinal neurons. None of the enriched GO terms of upregulated genes of Lgr5⁺ cells showed a positive association to NSC. On the contrary, NSC maintenance and proliferation rather prevail in the Lgr5⁻ cell population. Furthermore, results suggesting that Wnt signaling is not active in the Lgr5⁺ population. Therefore, our transcriptome analysis of Lgr5⁺ retinal cells suggest that these cells are differentiated neurons, specifically glycinergic amacrine cells.

Keywords: Lgr5⁺ cells; stem cells; amacrine cells; interneurons; retinal development; transcriptomics

1. Introduction

Lgr5 (leucine-rich repeat containing G-protein receptor 5) belongs to a family of G-protein-coupled receptors [1] and is a Wnt target gene, which specifically acts as a receptor for Wnt agonists called R-spondins. Wnt signaling is critical during development, controlling cell fate decisions and tissue patterning [2]. In the adult organism, Wnt signals regulate homeostatic regeneration in numerous tissues, including the retina [3]. Furthermore, aberrant Wnt signaling is implicated in several diseases, especially cancer. It is classified into two pathways, GSK-3 β dependent (canonical) and GSK-3 β independent (non-canonical) [4]. In both cases, Wnt binds to the membrane receptor Frizzled (Fz). In the canonical pathway, Wnt binds to Fz and its co-receptor low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6 (LRP5/6). Disheveled (Dvl) is recruited to the membrane resulting in the endocytosis of the complex to form a signalosome. The β -catenin destruction complex consisting of Axin2, adenomatous polyposis coli (APC), casein kinase-1 α (CK-1 α), and glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3 β) is sequestered and disassembled. The inactivation of the destruction complex hinders GSK-3 β in phosphorylating β -catenin. Thus, β -catenin evades proteasomal degradation and accumulates in the cytosol. Subsequently, β -catenin translocates to the nucleus, displaces the transcriptional repressor Groucho and bind to the T-cell factor/lymphoid-enhancing factor (TCF/LEF) promoter/enhancer

complex. Thereby, the transcription of Wnt target genes, such as Lgr5, cyclinD1, c-Myc and Axin2, is activated. Lgr5 binding to the receptor for R-spondin enhances LRP6 phosphorylation through the canonical Wnt pathway [5].

Lgr5 was first identified as an adult stem cell marker in the intestine [6]. Lineage-tracing experiments demonstrated that Lgr5⁺ cells give rise to all types of intestinal cells. Subsequently, Lgr5 was found to be expressed in several other adult stem cell populations such as the stomach, the hair follicle, and taste buds [7]. Furthermore, alternative splicing is common in the Lgr-family with three splice variants known for Lgr5: Lgr5 Δ 5 lacking exon 5, Lgr5 Δ 8 lacking exon 8, and Lgr5 Δ 5–8 lacking exons 5–8 [8]. All Lgr5 transcript variants might be involved in the EMT process through activating the Wnt-signaling pathway [9]. It has been shown that Lgr5⁺ cells can be expanded in vitro and can differentiate into different cell types of the tissue of origin [10,11]. In the murine retina, Lgr5 is expressed in glycinergic amacrine interneurons [12]. Even though Lgr5⁺ cells demonstrate properties of differentiated interneurons, they have been shown to contribute to the generation of new retinal cells in adult animals [1]. In this study, we investigated whether Lgr5⁺ cells harbor stem cell potential through the transcriptome analysis of postnatal day 5 (PN5) and adult retinas of Lgr5^{EGFP-Ires-CreERT2} knock-in mice.

2. Results

2.1. Lgr5⁺ Transcriptomics

RNA Quantity and Quality

Retinae of at least three GFP⁺ animals were pooled for FACS. GFP⁺ cells and 1×10^{6} cells of the negative fraction were collected for subsequent RNA isolation. Number of sorted cells, concentration of isolated RNA, total RNA, and the measured RNA quality index (RQI) of each sample is listed in Supplementary Table S1. Higher numbers of Lgr5⁺ cells were isolated from adult retinae compared to PN5. RNA yield varied markedly between isolations. Sample 5 showed the lowest yield of 4.27 ng RNA. The RNA concentration of this sample was too low to determine the RQI. However, electropherogram and virtual gel show two distinct peaks/bands of ribosomal RNA (18S and 28S) indicating good RNA quality (Supplementary Figure S1). All other samples revealed high RQI of above 9.

2.2. Quality Control of Microarray Processing

Transcriptome expression profiling was performed using Affymetrix Clariom S Mouse array chips. All samples demonstrated increasing signal values of hybridization controls (bioB < bioC < bioD < cre; Supplementary Figure S2A). In addition, consistent area under the ROC curve (AUC) values of between 0.8 and 0.85 were detected across all samples (Supplementary Figure S2B). Hence, all samples passed quality assessment. Moreover, signal box plots showed similar distributions of log2-transformed signal values (Supplementary Figure S2C).

Principle component analysis (PCA) is used to reduce the complexity of high-dimensional data while retaining information that is responsible for the variance between datasets. Samples with similar transcriptional profiles will cluster whereas samples with the highest variance will show the highest distance between each other.

PCA of the eight analyzed samples showed that biological replicates clustered according to their developmental stage and Lgr5-expression (Supplementary Figure S3). Furthermore, the highest variance in transcriptional profiles was found between Lgr5⁺ and Lgr5⁻ cells of different developmental stages (adult Lgr5⁺ and PN5 Lgr5⁻; adult Lgr5⁻ and PN5 Lgr5⁺).

2.3. Differential Gene Expression (DGE) and PANTHER Analysis

DGE of Lgr5⁺ cells of the two developmental stages was investigated. For both adult and PN5, gene expression values of Lgr5⁺ cells were compared to Lgr5⁻ cells. Furthermore, DGE of PN5

compared to adult Lgr5⁺ cells were analyzed. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.05, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05. The online tool PANTHER was used to determine overrepresented gene ontology (GO) terms of biological processes within the set of differentially expressed genes. Thereby, the input list was compared to the list of all genes analyzed by the microarray and the fold enrichment was calculated.

The Clariom S Mouse array analyzes a total of 22,206 genes. Of these, 2242 genes were differentially expressed in adult Lgr5⁺ compared to adult Lgr5⁻, 1335 being upregulated and 907 being downregulated (Figure 1A). At PN5, Lgr5⁺ presented 1821 differentially-expressed genes compared to Lgr5⁻. Thereof, 972 genes showed significantly higher whereas 849 showed significantly lower expression compared to the negative populations (Figure 1B). When comparing the positive populations of both developmental stages, significant differences in gene expression was found in 1884 genes. Thereof, 814 genes were upregulated and 1070 downregulated (Figure 1C).



Figure 1. Volcano plots of the up- and downregulated DEG in (**A**) adult Lgr5⁺ compared to adult Lgr5⁻, (**B**) PN5 Lgr5⁺ compared to PN5 Lgr5⁻ and (**C**) PN5 Lgr5⁺ compared to adult Lgr5⁺. The horizontal axis is fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , and the vertical axis represents the *p*-value after the negative logarithm conversion. The red dots indicate the upregulated genes, whereas the green dots represent the downregulated genes. The corrected *p* < 0.05 was an absolute threshold used to select DEGs. DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

The lists of up- or downregulated genes from DGE were used as input lists for the statistical enrichment analysis by PANTHER. Due to the high number of overrepresented GO terms, only the top ten overrepresented terms with the highest fold enrichments (FE) of upregulated (+) and downregulated (-) genes are shown (Tables 1–3). Complete lists of all analyses can be found in the supplementary materials section (Supplementary Tables S2–S7).

Table 1. Top 10 enriched GO terms of up- and downregulated genes in adult Lgr5⁺ compared to Lgr5⁻. DGE: Input from up (+) or downregulated (-) genes from differential gene expression; #GO: number of genes in the GO term; #Input: number of genes in the input list; Exp.: expected number of genes in the input list; FE: fold enrichment.

GO Term	DGE	#GO	#Input	Exp.	FE	p Value
Synaptic vesicle clustering	+	4	4	0.25	16.00	6.89×10^{-4}
Postsynaptic density protein 95 clustering	+	6	5	0.38	13.33	$2.40 imes 10^{-4}$
Positive regulation of protein localization to synapse	+	5	4	0.31	12.80	1.18×10^{-3}
Synaptic transmission, glycinergic	+	7	5	0.44	11.43	$3.91 imes 10^{-4}$
Neurotransmitter receptor transport, postsynaptic endosome to lysosome	+	7	5	0.44	11.43	3.91×10^{-4}
Synaptic membrane adhesion	+	10	7	0.63	11.20	2.77×10^{-5}
Neuron cell-cell adhesion	+	9	6	0.56	10.66	$1.29 imes 10^{-4}$
Postsynaptic neurotransmitter receptor diffusion trapping	+	9	6	0.56	10.66	$1.29 imes 10^{-4}$
Anterograde axonal protein transport	+	8	5	0.50	10.00	6.05×10^{-4}
Negative regulation of protein kinase activity by regulation of protein phosphorylation	+	8	5	0.50	10.00	6.05×10^{-4}
Phototransduction, visible light		9	5	0.40	12.52	$1.99 imes 10^{-4}$
Photoreceptor cell maintenance		40	20	1.77	11.27	2.48×10^{-13}
Detection of light stimulus involved in sensory perception	_	20	10	0.89	11.27	2.52×10^{-7}
Protein localization to non-motile cilium	-	12	6	0.53	11.27	6.91×10^{-5}
Eye photoreceptor cell development		34	13	1.51	8.62	$4.94 imes 10^{-8}$
Camera-type eye photoreceptor cell differentiation	_	20	7	0.89	7.89	$1.03 imes 10^{-4}$
Non-motile cilium assembly		50	16	2.22	7.21	1.14×10^{-8}
Phospholipid transport		60	12	2.66	4.51	$4.69 imes 10^{-5}$
Axoneme assembly		60	11	2.66	4.13	$1.90 imes 10^{-4}$
Smoothened signaling pathway	-	74	12	3.28	3.66	2.71×10^{-4}

Table 2. Top 10 enriched GO terms of up- and downregulated genes in PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells compared to Lgr5⁻. DGE: Input from up (+) or downregulated (–) genes from differential gene expression; #GO: number of genes in the GO term; #Input: number of genes in the input list; Exp.: expected number of genes in the input list; FE: fold enrichment.

GO Term	DGE	#GO	#Input	Exp.	FE	p Value
Negative regulation of dopamine secretion	+	5	5	0.21	23.51	$2.37 imes 10^{-5}$
Spontaneous synaptic transmission	+	5	4	0.21	18.81	2.94×10^{-4}
Postsynaptic neurotransmitter receptor diffusion trapping	+	9	6	0.38	15.67	1.65×10^{-5}
Positive regulation of inhibitory postsynaptic potential	+	8	5	0.34	14.69	1.09×10^{-4}
Postsynaptic density assembly	+	8	5	0.34	14.69	1.09×10^{-4}
Trans-synaptic signaling, modulating synaptic transmission	+	7	4	0.30	13.44	$7.21 imes 10^{-4}$
Neurotransmitter receptor transport, postsynaptic endosome to lysosome	+	7	4	0.30	13.44	7.21×10^{-4}
Positive regulation of AMPA receptor activity	+	9	5	0.38	13.06	1.64×10^{-4}
Regulation of short-term neuronal synaptic plasticity		18	10	0.77	13.06	$8.15 imes 10^{-8}$
Regulation of synaptic vesicle priming		9	5	0.38	13.06	1.64×10^{-4}
Retinal rod cell differentiation		4	4	0.17	24.18	$1.52 imes 10^{-4}$
Compartment pattern specification		4	3	0.17	18.14	$1.94 imes 10^{-3}$
Mitotic spindle midzone assembly	-	7	5	0.29	17.27	6.12×10^{-5}
Negative regulation of photoreceptor cell differentiation	-	6	4	0.25	16.12	$4.28 imes 10^{-4}$
Regulation of attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochore	-	12	7	0.50	14.11	5.03×10^{-6}
Notch signaling involved in heart development	-	7	4	0.29	13.82	6.51×10^{-4}
DNA unwinding involved in DNA replication	_	8	4	0.33	12.09	$9.46 imes 10^{-4}$
Blood vessel endothelial cell differentiation	-	8	4	0.33	12.09	$9.46 imes 10^{-4}$
Protein localization to kinetochore	-	13	6	0.54	11.16	$6.70 imes 10^{-5}$
Positive regulation of mammary gland epithelial cell proliferation	-	9	4	0.37	10.75	1.32×10^{-3}

Table 3. Top 10 enriched GO terms of up- and downregulated genes in PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells compared to adult Lgr5⁺. DGE: Input from up (+) or downregulated (–) genes from differential gene expression; #GO: number of genes in the GO term; #Input: number of genes in the input list; Exp.: expected number of genes in the input list; FE: fold enrichment.

GO Term	DGE	#GO	#Input	Exp.	FE	p Value
Negative regulation of ubiquitin protein ligase activity	+	10	5	0.38	13.32	1.36×10^{-4}
DNA damage response, signal transduction by p53 class mediator resulting in transcription of p21 class mediator	+	11	5	0.41	12.11	1.92×10^{-4}
Ribosomal small subunit assembly	+	16	6	0.60	9.99	1.00×10^{-4}
Regulation of development, heterochronic	+	15	5	0.56	8.88	$6.04 imes 10^{-4}$
Cytoplasmic translation	+	50	16	1.88	8.52	1.17×10^{-9}
Ribosomal large subunit assembly	+	34	9	1.28	7.05	1.90×10^{-5}
Sympathetic nervous system development	+	23	6	0.86	6.95	$5.13 imes10^{-4}$
ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling	+	36	8	1.35	5.92	1.58×10^{-4}
Positive regulation of Notch signaling pathway	+	40	8	1.50	5.33	$2.97 imes 10^{-4}$
Ventricular septum morphogenesis	+	44	8	1.65	4.84	$5.21 imes 10^{-4}$
Calcium ion export	-	10	5	0.52	9.61	5.82×10^{-4}
Membrane repolarization	-	15	7	0.78	8.96	$6.30 imes 10^{-5}$
Cerebellar Purkinje cell differentiation	-	13	6	0.68	8.87	$2.25 imes 10^{-4}$
Sodium ion export across plasma membrane	-	11	5	0.57	8.73	8.12×10^{-4}
Relaxation of muscle	-	18	7	0.94	7.47	$1.56 imes 10^{-4}$
G-protein coupled glutamate receptor signaling pathway	-	16	6	0.83	7.20	5.45×10^{-4}
CGMP metabolic process	-	17	6	0.88	6.78	$7.06 imes 10^{-4}$
Long term synaptic depression	-	18	6	0.94	6.40	$9.03 imes10^{-4}$
Neuron cellular homeostasis	-	18	6	0.94	6.40	$9.03 imes 10^{-4}$
Phototransduction	—	28	9	1.46	6.17	$6.09 imes 10^{-5}$

From upregulated genes in adult Lgr5⁺, GO terms related to synaptic function were the most prevalent. Around a third of all enriched GO terms including seven of the top ten were associated to either pre- or postsynaptic organization, neurotransmitter signaling, action potential or synaptic plasticity. The GO term "Synaptic vesicle clustering" showed the highest FE (16.00; $p = 6.89 \times 10^{-4}$; Table 1). Glycinergic, GABAergic, glutamatergic, as well as dopaminergic signaling showed significant overrepresentation. Yet, glycinergic signaling showed the highest FE of all neurotransmitters ("Synaptic transmission, glycinergic"; FE = 11.43; $p = 3.91 \times 10^{-4}$). Furthermore, genes related to neuronal morphology, like dendrite and axon morphogenesis, were enriched. Downregulated genes in adult Lgr5⁺ showed an enrichment for GO terms associated to phototransduction, photoreceptor cell differentiation, and maintenance.

Approximately half of the overrepresented GO terms of upregulated genes in PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells were associated to synaptic signaling. Negative regulation of dopamine secretion showed the highest FE (Table 2; FE = 23.51, $p = 2.37 \times 10^{-5}$). The highest FE of a positive reference to neurotransmitter signaling was the GO term "Positive regulation of AMPA receptor activity" (FE = 13.06; $p = 1.64 \times 10^{-4}$) indicating glutamatergic inputs to Lgr5⁺ cells. Yet, the GO term "Glycine transport" (FE = 11.76, $p = 1.2 \times 10^{-2}$) showed the highest FE for a presynaptic neurotransmitter. In addition, genes for GABAergic and cholinergic synaptic transmission showed significant enrichment. Like in adult Lgr5⁺, genes related to neuronal morphology were also enriched. Of the 158 overrepresented GO terms of downregulated genes, "Retinal rod cell differentiation" showed the highest FE (Supplementary Table S5; FE = 24.18; $p = 1.52 \times 10^{-4}$). Several terms associated to cell proliferation, such as cell cycle progression, DNA replication, chromatin segregation and cytokinesis, were found (Supplementary Table S5). Additionally, genes associated to the terms "neuronal stem cell maintenance" and "positive regulation of neural precursor cell proliferation" were significantly enriched with FE of 6.31 ($p = 8.35 \times 10^{-4}$) and 3.45 ($p = 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$), respectively (Supplementary Table S5). Furthermore, genes related to angiogenesis and the regulation of apoptosis were enriched.

Comparing Lgr5⁺ cells from PN5 to adult animals, three major groups of overrepresented terms of upregulated genes were found. One consisting of terms related to cell cycle processes, such as the term with the second highest FE "DNA damage response, signal transduction by p53 class

mediator resulting in transcription of p21 class mediator" (Table 3; FE = 12.11; $p = 1.92 \times 10^{-4}$) and different cell cycle phase transitions. The second group encompasses terms associated to development and neuronal differentiation. For example, "Regulation of development, heterochronic" (FE = 8.88; $p = 6.04 \times 10^{-4}$). The last group includes terms connected to transcriptional and translational processes, with "ribosomal small subunit assembly", "cytoplasmic translation", and "ribosomal large subunit assembly" all in the top ten of overrepresented terms. Furthermore, genes associated to the term "positive regulation of Notch signaling pathway" showed significant enrichment (FE = 5.33; $p = 2.97 \times 10^{-4}$). The 77 overrepresented GO terms of downregulated genes in Lgr5⁺ cells at PN5 were mostly related to synaptic signaling and transmission of nerve impulse. Synaptic signaling includes GO terms of synapse assembly, plasticity, as well as synaptic transmission. Whereas transmission of nerve impulse encompasses processes of action potential generation, hence the transport of different ions across the plasma membrane, regulation of intracellular ion concentrations and membrane repolarization.

2.4. Gene List Analysis

For better understanding of the PANTHER overrepresentation analysis, specific gene lists were analyzed for expression values and fold changes of Lgr5⁺ cells. The list of up- or downregulated genes of DGE is the input for the PANTHER tool. It does not take signal values into account. Therefore, genes that display low signal values of below 100 but show significant differential gene expression compared to the control population will still be analyzed by PANTHER.

Lgr5 marks stem cell populations in several tissues. Furthermore, it has been proposed that retinal Lgr5⁺ cells harbor regenerative potential. Regarding genes involved in NSC maintenance, in the PANTHER analysis only Prox1 was highly expressed in both PN5 and adult, showing mean values of 1138 and 889, respectively (Supplementary Figure S4).

On the other hand, our analysis revealed that most of the analyzed cell cycle genes were downregulated in PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells (Figure 2). However, signal values of these genes were relatively low, mostly < 100. Still, confirming the PANTHER analysis, some of these genes showed significantly higher values than expression in adult Lgr5⁺ cells. For example, Cdk1 showed the highest fold change in signal values between PN5 Lgr5⁺ and PN5 Lgr5⁻ (FC: -16.09; $p = 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$) with a mean signal intensity of 14 in positive cells. Furthermore, this was detected to be significantly higher than the signal value of Cdk1 in adult Lgr5⁺ cells (FC: 3.59; $p = 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$) with mean signal of 4. Of the analyzed cell cycle genes, the highest expressed gene was Ccnd1 in PN5 Lgr5⁻ cells.

Lgr5 is part of the Wnt signaling cascade. Yet, the only overrepresented GO term related to Wnt signaling in the PANTHER analysis was "negative regulation of canonical Wnt signaling pathway" (FE: 3.51, $p = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$; Supplementary Table S5). The gene expression levels of members of the signaling cascade, target genes and Wnt antagonists were also investigated. At PN5 differentially expressed genes of the signaling cascade showed low signal intensities with mean values of below 40 (Figure 3). The Wnt target gene Ccnd1 is a marker of cell cycle progression. As mentioned above Ccnd1 showed significantly lower expression in Lgr5⁺ compared to Lgr5⁻ cells at PN5 (FC: -6.16, $p = 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$). Yet, Lgr5⁺ cells showed lower expression of Wnt signaling antagonists, for example Dkk3 (FC: -3.79, $p = 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$). In contrast, these genes were significantly higher expressed in adult Lgr5⁺ compared to Lgr5⁻ cells (Dkk1; FC: 3.42; $p = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$).

Adult Lgr5⁺ cells have been characterized as glycinergic amacrine cells. By morphological analysis they have been categorized as narrow-field amacrine cells, in particular as AII amacrine cells [10]. Yet, molecular profiling of Lgr5⁺ is lacking. Therefore, gene expression levels of different known amacrine markers were analyzed. With more than 40 different subtypes, amacrine cells represent one of the most diverse neuronal classes. Still several markers have been identified to be expressed across amacrine subtypes. Lgr5⁺ cells showed significantly higher levels of all analyzed pan-amacrine markers compared to the negative population (Figure 4A). The difference in expression levels varied

greatly, the least expressed being Nrxn2 (mean: 88.3) and the highest Pax6 (mean: 1909). In addition, Slc32a1 showed low signal values (mean: 117).



Figure 2. Gene expression levels and fold changes of cell cycle markers. Fold change describes downregulated cell cycle genes at PN5 in Lgr5⁺ cells compared to Lgr5⁻ cells. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.05, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.



Figure 3. Gene expression levels and fold changes of Wnt-pathway members. Fold change shows lower expression of Wnt signaling genes at PN5 in Lgr5⁺ cells compared to Lgr5⁻ cells. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.05, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

Furthermore, molecular markers of the more widely studied amacrine cells have emerged. Lgr5⁺ cells showed signal values of <100 for all markers of GABAergic amacrine cells (Figure 4B; Chat, Gad1, and Gad2). Likewise, Lgr5⁺ did not express the marker of excitatory amacrine cells Slc17a (mean: 4). Except for Gjd2, genes of glycinergic subtypes (Slc6a9, Dab1, Prox1) were highly expressed. Moreover, Ebf3 showed high expression values in Lgr5⁺ cells. Ebf3 marks non-GABAergic non-glycinergic (nGnG) amacrine cells as well as Satb2-Ebf3-Glyt1-postive (SEG) amacrine cells. All markers of glycinergic amacrine cells were significantly higher expressed in Lgr5⁺ compared to Lgr⁵⁻ (Figure 4C). However, Myf6, Plekhf1 and 4930444P10Rik showed signal values < 100.

Lgr5+

В

С

Pan-amacrine	markers	Lgr5+ adult 1	Lgr5+ adult 2	Lgr5- adult 1	Lgr5- adult 2	Fold Change	P-val	FDR P-val
	Nrxn2					2.57	3.2x 10-3	3.1x 10-2
	Atp1b1	838	1079			5.64	7.0x 10-4	9.9x 10-3
	Pax6	1895	1923		472	5.65	6.5x 10-6	4.0x 10-4
	Slc32a1					4.68	5.4x 10-5	1.5x 10-3
	Elavl3	634	784	52	116	9.11	3.9x 10-6	3.0x 10-4
known markers	s of amacrine subtypes	Lgr5+ adult 1	Lgr5+ adult 2	Lgr5- adult 1	Lgr5- adult 2	Fold Change	P-val	FDR P-val
Starbust	Chat					1.09	5.9x 10-1	8.3x 10-1
GABA	Gad1					-2.57	4.4x 10-2	2.0x 10-1
GABA	Gad2					1.34	3.1x 10-1	6.3x 10-1
Excitatory	Slc17a8					-2.04	6.1x 10-3	5.0x 10-2
Glycinergic	SIc6a9	4397	4836			9.26	4.1x 10-6	3.0x 10-4
All	Gjd2					-1.52	5.1x 10-2	2.2x 10-1
All	Dab1	1165	1451			13.95	6.6x 10-7	9.5x 10-5
All	Prox1		949		474	3.85	3.6x 10-3	3.4x 10-2
nGnG/SEG	Ebf3	1588	1767	71		66.65	5.6x 10-6	3.0x 10-4
		1 5	1 5	1 5	1 5	5.14		500
Glycinergic ma	arkers	Lgr5+ adult 1	Lgr5+ adult 2	Lgr5- adult 1	Lgr5- adult 2	Fold Change	P-val	FDR P-val
	Myf6					8.75	4.9x 10-7	8.8x 10-5
	Necab3					2.86	1.0x 10-4	3.0x 10-3
	Plekhf1					2.25	5.1x 10-3	4.4x 10-2
	Fgfr4	480	553			25.78	1.5x 10-8	2.6x 10-5
	SIc6a9	4397	4836			9.26	4.1x 10-6	3.0x 10-4
	Cabp1	1064	1130			8.31	3.1x 10-6	2.0x 10-4
	Htra1					3.51	3.0x 10-4	5.0x 10-3
	Ncald	314				8.64	1.2x 10-6	1.0x 10-4
	Traip					7.57	3.3x 10-6	2.0x 10-4
	4930444P10Rik		47			5.91	2.2x 10-5	8.0x 10-4

Figure 4. Gene expression levels and fold changes of amacrine cell markers in adult tissue. (A) Fold change shows higher expression of all pan-amacrine markers in adult Lgr5⁺ compared to Lgr5⁻ cells, (B) Slc6a9 marking glycinergic cells is the highest expressed of all known makers of amacrine subtypes, and (C) besides Slc6a9, other markers of glycinergic amacrine cells are also expressed in Lgr5⁺ cells. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.0, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

Since adult Lgr5⁺ cells highly expressed the nGnG and SEG amacrine cell marker Ebf3, other markers of nGnG cells were analyzed. The expression values of these markers were investigated in PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells since the transcriptional profile of nGnG cells has so far only been studied at PN6 [13].

In addition, at PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells highly expressed Ebf3 (Figure 5; mean: 2213). Neurod6 showed a significant fold change of 9.08 in Lgr5⁺ compared to Lgr5⁻ ($p = 2.9 \times 10^{-6}$). Yet, the signal values are low compared to the expression of Ebf3 (mean: 118). Similar expression values were seen for Satb2 (mean: 138), however, these were not significantly upregulated compared to Lgr5⁻. In addition to Ebf3, Frem1 showed the highest signal values (mean: 280) and a significant fold change of 6.73 $(p = 5.3 \times 10^{-7}).$

nCnC markara	Lgr5+	Lgr5+	Lgr5-	Lgr5-	Fold	Divol	FDR
	PN5 1	PN5 2	PN5 1	PN5 2	Change	r-vai	P-val
Ebf3	2317	2109	81		28.30	3.9x 10-9	4.4x 10-5
Neurod6	156				9.08	2.9x 10-6	3.0x 10-4
Satb2	150				1.16	3.7x 10-1	6.6x 10-1
Pde5a	91				1.11	5.9x 10-1	8.1x 10-1
Galr2	51				2.54	8.0x 10-4	1.4x 10-2
Frem1	289	272			6.73	5.3x 10-7	1.0x 10-4
Pkdcc					1.15	4.2x 10-1	7.0x 10-1
Trmt9b					1.70	8.4x 10-2	3.2x 10-1

Figure 5. Gene expression levels and fold changes of nGnG cell markers in PN5 tissue. Fold change shows high expression values of Ebf3 in PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.05, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

By single cell RNA-sequencing Macosko et al. discovered candidate markers of amacrine subpopulations [14]. Therefore, expression of these markers in Lgr5⁺ cells was analyzed. The highest expression and significant fold change was seen for the marker Igf1, with a mean signal value of 701 and fold change of 7.34 (Figure 6; $p = 9.9 \times 10^{-7}$). Two other markers showed signal values of above 100 and significant upregulation, namely Ptgds (mean: 201, FC: 6.73, $p = 5.3 \times 10^{-7}$) and Igfbp5 (mean: 106; FC: 6.01, $p = 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$).

Candidate markers of	Lgr5+	Lgr5+	Lgr5-	Lgr5-	Fold	P-val	FDR
AC subpopulations	Adult 1	Adult 2	Adult 1	Adult 2	Change	F-vai	P-val
Gng7					2.46	2.0x 10-4	3.6x 10-3
Gbx2					1.19	2.8x 10-1	6.0x 10-1
Tpbg					-3.28	7.2x 10-2	2.8x 10-1
Slitrk6	461	286		354	2.38	7.3x 10-2	2.8x 10-1
Maf					-1.59	5.3x 10-2	2.3x 10-1
Tac2					-1.08	6.8x 10-1	8.8x 10-1
Loxl2					-1.47	1.5x 10-1	4.4x 10-1
Vip					-1.34	1.2x 10-1	3.8x 10-1
Glra1					-1.17	7.5x 10-1	9.1x 10-1
lgfbp5					6.01	1.3x 10-5	6.0x 10-4
Pdgfra					-1.09	6.3x 10-1	8.5x 10-1
Slc35d3					-1.53	8.1x 10-2	3.0x 10-1
Car3					-1.07	7.7x 10-1	9.2x 10-1
Fgf1					1.29	1.8x 10-1	4.8x 10-1
lgf1	596	807	75		7.34	9.9x 10-7	1.0x 10-4
Col12a1					-1.34	2.6x 10-1	5.8x 10-1
Ptgds	194	208			3.98	3.4x 10-5	1.1x 10-3
Ppp1r17					4.60	1.6x 10-5	6.0x 10-4
Cck					1.05	7.9x 10-1	9.3x 10-1
Shisa9					-1.03	8.8x 10-1	9.6x 10-1
Pou3f3					1.34	1.1x 10-1	3.5x 10-1

Figure 6. Gene expression levels and fold changes of candidate markers of amacrine cell subpopulations. Fold change shows expression of Slitrk6, Igf1 and Ptgds above the threshold of 100. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.05, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

Amacrine cells are classified by the neurotransmitter they secret. Yet to integrate and modulate the visual signal they receive signals from different bipolar cells and other amacrine cells. For instance, the AII amacrine cell has been shown to receive at least nine different inputs arising from various cells and using diverse neurotransmitters [15]. Therefore, Lgr5⁺ cells were further characterized by their expression pattern of different neurotransmitter receptors.

Glycine receptors in the adult murine retina are composed of two α and three β subunits [16]. Four different genes encode for different α subunits (α 1–4) [17]. Lgr5⁺ cells expressed low levels of the β subunits gene Glrb (Figure 7; mean: 125). Furthermore, they expressed Glra2 (mean: 308) and Glra4 (mean: 382), which encode the α 2 and α 4 subunits, respectively.

In the retina, GABA is widely expressed by subpopulations of amacrine cells, bipolar cells, horizontal cells as well as retinal ganglion cells. Lgr5⁺ cells express a variety of GABA-receptors. The highest expressed is the GABA type A receptor subunit β 3 (Gabrb3; mean: 1009). Moreover, both type B receptors are expressed (Gabbr1 and Gabbr2), as well as one type C receptor (Gabrr1).

Glutamate is the major excitatory neurotransmitter of the retina, expressed by photoreceptor cells, bipolar cells and retinal ganglion cells. Several glutamatergic receptors are expressed in Lgr5⁺ cells. Grik2 which encodes the ionotropic kainate receptor type subunit 2 (mean: 868) showed the highest signal values. Furthermore, Lgr5⁺ cells express receptors for acetylcholine (Chrnb2; mean: 338), dopamine (Drd1; mean: 803; Drd2; mean: 214), as well as serotonin (Htr1d; mean: 164).





Figure 7. Gene expression levels and fold changes of neurotransmitter receptors. Fold change shows receptor expression of all neurotransmitter types in adult Lgr5⁺ cells. Differentially expressed genes were defined by a fold change ≥ 2 or ≤ -2 , *p*-value < 0.05, and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

3. Discussion

The statistical overrepresentation tests of differentially expressed genes of both developmental stages indicate that neither adult nor PN5 Lgr5⁺ cells harbor stem cell potential. None of the enriched GO terms of upregulated genes shows a positive association to NSC. On the contrary, downregulated

genes in neonatal Lgr5⁺ cells compared to the negative population are enriched for genes associated to NSC maintenance and proliferation, indicating these processes prevail in the Lgr5⁻ cell population. Furthermore, several genes of GO terms related to the cell cycle are enriched in the neonatal Lgr5⁻ cell population. The heat maps depicting the expression values and fold changes of genes associated to NSC and cell cycle affirm these findings. Of the NSC markers analyzed only the NSC maintenance marker Prox1 is expressed. During embryonic retinogenesis Prox1-expression regulates cell cycle exit of progenitor cells [18]. However, it is also expressed in a subtype of horizontal cells and in AII amacrine cells. In both of these cell types Prox1-expression is upregulated during maturation indicating different functions of Prox1 during embryonic development and in adulthood. In addition, the expression values and fold changes of cell cycle genes clearly show that in neonates the expression of these genes is significantly upregulated in the Lgr5⁻ cell population compared to Lgr5⁺ cells. While Müller cells, bipolar cells, and rods are still being generated from proliferating retinal progenitors at PN5, glycinergic amacrine cells exit the cell cycle around postnatal day 4 [19,20]. This coincides with the appearance of Lgr5-expression suggesting that Lgr5 is expressed after glycinergic amacrine cell-fate specification.

Since Lgr5 is a Wnt target gene and acts as a stabilizer of canonical Wnt signaling different genes of this pathway were analyzed. The PANTHER analysis identified one GO term associated with Wnt signaling: "negative regulation of canonical Wnt signaling pathway". These genes are enriched in the Lgr5⁻ cell population at PN5. However, from this result one cannot conclude that there is positive regulation of Wnt signaling in the Lgr5⁺ cell population. Indeed, the gene list analysis shows that Lgr5⁺ cells at PN5 express lower levels of Wnt antagonists/inhibitors. However, the genes show, overall, a low expression profile.

Regarding other members of the Wnt signaling cascade, none is significantly higher expressed in Lgr5⁺ cells compared to Lgr5⁻ cells at both developmental stages. Furthermore, Axin2 expression does not meet the signal threshold of 100. Axin2 is a transcriptional target of Wnt signaling and is generally regarded as an indicator of Wnt pathway activity [21]. These results suggest that even though Lgr5 is expressed, Wnt signaling is not active.

In this study, Lgr5⁺ cells of undamaged retinae were analyzed. Potentially injury to the retina might be necessary to induce stem cell properties of retinal Lgr5⁺ cells. In the liver a population of progenitors reside which only show regenerative capacity after acute injury, but do not contribute to homeostatic liver regeneration [22]. However, these cells only express Lgr5 after injury induction. That Lgr5 is expressed during homeostasis in retinal amacrine cells may suggest an alternative function in neurons than in stem cell populations.

In the murine retina, Lgr5 is expressed in glycinergic amacrine cells. However, this is not a homogenous cell population. Amacrine cells are the most diverse cell type of the retina and to date more than 40 different types have been described [23]. They are classified by their dendritic field (stratification and pattern), appearance of dendrites as well as neurotransmitter used. GABA and glycine are the two major neurotransmitters produced by amacrine cells [24]. Yet a small subpopulation remains for which the neurotransmitter is still unknown [13]. In addition to neurotransmitters, only a few molecular markers are known for the characterization of amacrine subpopulations. Recent advances in genetic profiling are changing this. For example, the efforts of Siegert et al. who generated a genetic address book of different retinal cell types [25]. By demonstrating that Lgr5⁺ cells display morphologies of different amacrine subpopulations, such as Flag A/B and AII cells, Sukhdeo et al. already suggested that the Lgr5⁺ amacrine cell population is heterogeneous [12].

Several receptors of the Lgr-family are alternatively spliced resulting in diverse transcript variants [26,27]. So far, three different splice variants of Lgr5 have been identified [8]. PCR was performed on adult mouse tissue to investigate whether Lgr5 is alternatively spliced in the retina (see Supplementary Data S1). Thereby, full-length transcript variants of variable sizes were found. However, these did not correspond to known variants Lgr5 Δ 5 or Lgr5 Δ 8 [28]. Osawa et al. demonstrated that Lgr5 Δ 5 expressing cells show increased proliferation [8]. As no proliferation is seen in adult retinal tissue, it is not surprising that this variant is not expressed in the retina. Additionally, heterogeneity

in the expression of Lgr5 splice variants, e.g., fetal tissue-specific variants or injury/disease-related modifications in adult tissue could possibly diminish the ability to detect progenitor capability. Follow up studies would be necessary to investigate alternative variants in the retina. Since Lgr5 is composed of 18 exons, several different splice variants are possible.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Animals

Adult (6–8 week old) C57BL/6 mice of both sexes were obtained from the Central Animal Facility of the Department for BioMedical Research of the University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. Lgr^{5EGFP-Ires-CreERT2} breeding male was a kind gift from Dr. Marta Roccio, Inner Ear Research Laboratory, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. These transgenic mice express enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the Lgr5 promoter and are bred on a C57BL/6 background. Animals were housed under standard temperature ($22 \pm 2 \circ C$) and light/dark cycle (12/12 h) with food and water ad libitum. The animal experiments were approved by the Cantonal Veterinary Office in Bern, Switzerland (BE51/16, 21.08.2018).

4.2. Lgr5 Transcriptomics

For RNA isolation of FACS sorted cells, GFP⁺ retinae of PN5 and adult animals of Lgr5^{EGFP-Ires-CreERT2} knock-in mice were dissociated using papain (Worthington Biochemical, Freehold, NJ, USA). For that, 40 μ L papain (10 mg/mL, 30 U/mg) was activated with 40 μ L L-cysteine/EDTA mix (25 mM L-cysteine; 5 mM EDTA, both Sigma Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) in 320 μ L HEPES buffer (100 mM) at 37 °C for 15 min. Extracted retinae were incubated in the activated papain solution at 37 °C for 7 min.

Tissue was washed by centrifugation with Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) + 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and gently triturated using a fire-polished Pasteur pipette. The filtered single cell solution was sorted according to their GFP fluorescence on a FACS Aria (Becton Dickinson, Allschwil, Switzerland) at the cytometry core facility of the Department for BioMedical Research (DBMR) of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Bern. Both Lgr5⁺ and Lgr5⁻ cell fractions were collected in HBSS + 2% FBS.

Total RNA of FACS sorted cells was extracted using the PicoPure RNA isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration, as well as quality was assessed by an Experion RNA HighSens Chip (Bio-Rad, Cressier, Switzerland).

Microarray processing was performed by ATLAS Biolabs in Berlin, Germany. RNA samples were analyzed by Affymetrix Clariom S Mouse Array. Raw intensity values were normalized by Robust Multiarray Averaging (RMA) and analyzed with Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) software (Version 4; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

4.3. Analyses of DGE

The online platform PANTHER (http://www.pantherdb.org) was used to analyze the results of DGE [29]. Statistical overrepresentation test of GO biological processes was performed on differentially expressed genes. Thereby, enriched GO terms were identified within a target list of genes compared to a background list. As target list either up- or downregulated genes from DGE analysis were used. The background list consisted of all analyzed genes of the transcriptome array. Enrichment was calculated by the following formula:

Enrichment =
$$\frac{b/n}{B/N}$$

N = total number of genes

B = total number of genes associated with a specific GO term

n = the number of genes in the target set

b = the number of genes in the intersection

The *p*-values for enriched GO terms were calculated using Fisher's exact test. Heat maps of signal values were generated in Excel.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of our transcriptome analysis suggest that Lgr5⁺ cells do not harbor stem cell properties. However, Lgr5⁺ cells are a heterogeneous population of amacrine subtypes. Possibly a small subtype of Lgr5⁺ cells do possess stem cell properties. Yet the employed techniques were not suitable in detecting them. Especially in the transcriptome analysis, the expression of stem cell markers in a small population may be masked. Therefore, single cell analysis to investigate such populations would have to be performed to further characterize properties of Lgr5⁺ cells in the retina.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/20/14/3547/s1.

Author Contributions: V.E. and C.T. conceived and designed the experiments; C.T. performed the experiments and analyzed the data; and C.T. and A.M.Q.P. wrote the paper in consultation with V.E.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Anelia Schweri-Olac and Stephanie Lötscher for their technical assistance.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

DGE	Differential gene expression
GO	Gene ontology
NSC	Neural stem cells
PCA	Principle component analysis
PANTHER	Protein ANalysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships

References

- 1. Chen, M.; Tian, S.; Glasgow, N.G.; Gibson, G.; Yang, X.; Shiber, C.E.; Liu, H. Lgr5(+) amacrine cells possess regenerative potential in the retina of adult mice. *Aging Cell* **2015**, *14*, 635–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Clevers, H.; Nusse, R. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and disease. *Cell* **2012**, *149*, 1192–1205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yao, K.; Qiu, S.; Tian, L.; Snider, W.D.; Flannery, J.G.; Schaffer, D.V.; Chen, B. Wnt Regulates Proliferation and Neurogenic Potential of Müller Glial Cells via a Lin28/let-7 miRNA-Dependent Pathway in Adult Mammalian Retinas. *Cell Rep.* 2016, *17*, 165–178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Oliva, C.A.; Montecinos-Oliva, C.; Inestrosa, N.C. Wnt Signaling in the Central Nervous System: New Insights in Health and Disease. *Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci.* **2018**, *153*, 81–130. [PubMed]
- 5. De Lau, W.; Peng, W.C.; Gros, P.; Clevers, H. The R-spondin/Lgr5/Rnf43 module: Regulator of Wnt signal strength. *Genes Dev.* 2014, *28*, 305–316. [CrossRef]
- Barker, N.; Van Es, J.H.; Kuipers, J.; Kujala, P.; Van Den Born, M.; Cozijnsen, M.; Clevers, H. Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. *Nature* 2007, 449, 1003–1007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barker, N.; Huch, M.; Kujala, P.; van de Wetering, M.; Snippert, H.J.; van Es, J.H.; Danenberg, E. Lgr5(+ve) stem cells drive self-renewal in the stomach and build long-lived gastric units in vitro. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010, 6, 25–36. [CrossRef]
- 8. Osawa, H.; Takahashi, H.; Nishimura, J.; Ohta, K.; Haraguchi, N.; Hata, T.; Mori, M. Full-length LGR5-positive cells have chemoresistant characteristics in colorectal cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* **2016**, *114*, 1251–1260. [CrossRef]
- 9. Rot, S.; Kaune, T.; Taubert, H.; Greither, T.; Kotrba, J.; Güttler, A.; Bache, M. Prognostic impact of mRNA levels of LGR5 transcript variants in OSCC patients. *BMC Cancer* **2019**, *19*, 155. [CrossRef]

- Sato, T.; Vries, R.G.; Snippert, H.J.; Van De Wetering, M.; Barker, N.; Stange, D.E.; Clevers, H. Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. *Nature* 2009, 459, 262–265. [CrossRef]
- 11. Huch, M.; Bonfanti, P.; Boj, S.F.; Sato, T.; Loomans, C.J.; Van De Wetering, M.; Ringnalda, F. Unlimited in vitro expansion of adult bi-potent pancreas progenitors through the Lgr5/R-spondin axis. *EMBO J.* **2013**, 32, 2708–2721. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sukhdeo, K.; Koch, C.E.; Miller, T.E.; Zhou, H.; Rivera, M.; Yan, K.; Rich, J.N. The Lgr5 transgene is expressed specifically in glycinergic amacrine cells in the mouse retina. *Exp. Eye Res.* **2014**, *119*, 106–110. [CrossRef]
- 13. Kay, J.N.; Voinescu, P.E.; Chu, M.W.; Sanes, J.R. Neurod6 expression defines new retinal amacrine cell subtypes and regulates their fate. *Nat Neurosci.* **2011**, *14*, 965–972. [CrossRef]
- Macosko, E.Z.; Basu, A.; Satija, R.; Nemesh, J.; Shekhar, K.; Goldman, M.; Trombetta, J.J. Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* 2015, 161, 1202–1214. [CrossRef]
- 15. Anderson, J.R.; Jones, B.W.; Watt, C.B.; Shaw, M.V.; Yang, J.H.; DeMill, D.; Koshevoy, P. Exploring the retinal connectome. *Mol. Vis.* **2011**, *17*, 355–379.
- 16. Grudzinska, J.; Schemm, R.; Haeger, S.; Nicke, A.; Schmalzing, G.; Betz, H.; Laube, B. The beta subunit determines the ligand binding properties of synaptic glycine receptors. *Neuron* **2005**, *45*, 727–739. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lynch, J.W. Molecular structure and function of the glycine receptor chloride channel. *Physiol. Rev.* 2004, *84*, 1051–1095. [CrossRef]
- Livesey, F.J.; Cepko, C.L. Vertebrate neural cell-fate determination: Lessons from the retina. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2001, 2, 109–118. [CrossRef]
- Cepko, C. Intrinsically different retinal progenitor cells produce specific types of progeny. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2014, 15, 615–627. [CrossRef]
- 20. Bassett, E.A.; Wallace, V.A. Cell fate determination in the vertebrate retina. *Trends Neurosci.* **2012**, *35*, 565–573. [CrossRef]
- Lustig, B.; Jerchow, B.; Sachs, M.; Weiler, S.; Pietsch, T.; Karsten, U.; Behrens, J. Negative feedback loop of Wnt signaling through upregulation of conductin/axin2 in colorectal and liver tumors. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2002, 22, 1184–1193. [CrossRef]
- 22. Kopp, J.L.; Grompe, M.; Sander, M. Stem cells versus plasticity in liver and pancreas regeneration. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2016**, *18*, 238–245. [CrossRef]
- MacNeil, M.A.; Heussy, J.K.; Dacheux, R.F.; Raviola, E.; Masland, R.H. The shapes and numbers of amacrine cells: Matching of photofilled with Golgi-stained cells in the rabbit retina and comparison with other mammalian species. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 1999, 413, 305–326. [CrossRef]
- 24. Mo, Z.; Li, S.; Yang, X.; Xiang, M. Role of the Barhl2 homeobox gene in the specification of glycinergic amacrine cells. *Development* 2004, 131, 1607–1618. [CrossRef]
- 25. Siegert, S.; Scherf, B.G.; Del Punta, K.; Didkovsky, N.; Heintz, N.; Roska, B. Genetic address book for retinal cell types. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2009**, *12*, 1197–1204. [CrossRef]
- 26. Apaja, P.M.; Tuusa, J.T.; Pietilä, E.M.; Rajaniemi, H.J.; Petäjä-Repo, U.E. Luteinizing hormone receptor ectodomain splice variant misroutes the full-length receptor into a subcompartment of the endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **2006**, *17*, 2243–2255. [CrossRef]
- 27. Scott, D.J.; Layfield, S.; Yan, Y.; Sudo, S.; Hsueh, A.J.; Tregear, G.W.; Bathgate, R.A. Characterization of novel splice variants of LGR7 and LGR8 reveals that receptor signaling is mediated by their unique low density lipoprotein class A modules. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2006**, *281*, 34942–34954. [CrossRef]
- 28. Hufnagel, R.B.; Brown, N.L. Chapter 27—Specification of Retinal Cell Types. In *Patterning and Cell Type Specification in the Developing CNS and PNS*, 1st ed.; Rubenstein, J., Rakic, P., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2013; Volume 1, pp. 519–536.
- 29. Mi, H.; Huang, X.; Muruganujan, A.; Tang, H.; Mills, C.; Kang, D.; Thomas, P.D. PANTHER version 11: Expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2017**, *45*, D183–D189. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).