1	
2	
3	LRH: Norghauer
4	RRH: Vine Colonization of Seedlings in Gaps
5	
6	
7	Insects and light interact to mediate vine colonization of fast-growing Microberlinia
8	bisulcata tree seedlings in gaps of an African rainforest
9	
10	Julian M. Norghauer ^{1, 2}
11	
12	¹ Institute of Plant Science, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, Bern, Switzerland
13	² Present address: Statistical Scientific Editing, Montréal, Québec, Canada
14	
15	j.norghauer@videotron.ca
16	jmnorghauer@zoho.com
17	ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6484-6879
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Received: revision accepted
24	

25 ABSTRACT

26 Vines thrive in lowland tropical forests yet the biotic factors underlying their colonization of host 27 tree seedlings and saplings remain surprisingly understudied. Insect herbivores presumably could 28 influence this process, especially where disturbance has opened the canopy (i.e., gaps)-29 temporary areas of higher primary productivity favoring the recruitment of vines and trees and 30 invertebrates in forests—but their impact on vine colonization has never been experimentally 31 tested. Using data from an insect-herbivore exclusion (mesh-netting cages) experiment 32 conducted in an African rainforest (Korup, Cameroon), I logistically modeled the probability of 33 vines colonizing seedlings of three co-dominant species (Microberlinia bisulcata vs. 34 *Tetraberlinia bifoliolata* and *T. korupensis*) in paired shaded understory and sunny gap locations 35 (41 blocks across 80 ha, n = 664 seedlings) in a 1–2-yr period (2008–2009). Vine colonization 36 occurred almost exclusively in gaps, occurring on 16% of seedlings there. Excluding herbivores 37 in gaps doubled colonization of the light-demanding and faster-growing *M. bisulcata* but had 38 negligible effects on the two shade-tolerant, slower-growing and less palatable *Tetraberlinia* 39 species, which together were twice as susceptible to vines under natural forest gap conditions 40 (controls). When protected from herbivores in gaps, more light to individual seedlings strongly 41 increased vine colonization of *M. bisulcata* whereas its well-lit control individuals supported 42 significantly fewer vines. These results suggest vines preferably colonize taller seedlings, and 43 because light-demanding tree species grow faster in height with more light, they are more prone 44 to being colonized in gaps; however, insect herbivores can mediate this process by stunting fast-45 growing individuals so that colonization rates becomes more similar between co-occurring slow 46 and fast growing tree species. Further influencing this process might be associational resistance 47 or susceptibility to herbivores linked to host species' leaf traits conferring shade-tolerance ability

48 as seedlings or saplings. A richer understanding of how vines differentially influence forest 49 regeneration and species composition may come from investigating vine-tree-herbivore 50 interactions across light gradients, ideally via long-term studies and intercontinental 51 comparisons. 52 *Key words:* forest ecology; gap-phase regeneration; herbivory; light-demanding tree species; 53 plant-insect interactions; treefall gaps; tropical forest; vines 54 CLIMBING PLANTS (VINES) ATTAIN THEIR GREATEST BIOMASS, ABUNDANCE, AND DIVERSITY IN 55 lowland tropical forests, where they figure prominently in the structure, composition, and 56 dynamics of these species-rich communities (Gentry & Dodson 1987; Richards 1996; Schnitzer 57 & Bongers 2002). Nevertheless, biogeographically, vine abundance varied almost 10-fold among 58 30 pantropical forest sites in relation to climate, with the highest vine diversity (Fisher's alpha) 59 currently found in the central African rainforest of Korup National Park (DeWalt et al. 2015). In 60 using neighboring plants for structural support, vines hinder the growth of their host trees (Putz 61 1984; Schnitzer & Carson 2010; reviewed by Marshall et al. 2017), which, by altering 62 recruitment rates in to the canopy, could influence the composition of vegetation recovering from 63 disturbance (Barry et al. 2015) and host-population dynamics, especially of faster-growing, light-64 demanding tree species whose vine loads can greatly decrease their per capita survival rates 65 (Visser et al. 2018a). But despite early calls (Clark & Clark 1990 [p. 329]), we still know little of 66 the factors influencing young vines' attachment to very young trees (hereafter 'vine 67 colonization'). This interaction should be studied because not only are tree seedlings abundant, 68 they are also highly vulnerable to mortality yet strongly limited in growth by attenuated light 69 resources (Richards 1996). Both factors may be exacerbated by having to support vines whose 70 leaves would interfere with host plant capture of already scarce light near the forest floor; this

71 would not only reduce growth but also could push seedlings of some species below their light-72 compensation points (Perez-Salicrup 2001; Toledo-Aceves & Swaine 2008a,b). In short, vines 73 may function as a biotic stress factor in the key seedling-to-sapling life stage transition in forests. 74 For most vines, their abundance and diversity in tropical forests is enhanced by 75 disturbances that open the canopy (Richards 1996; Schnitzer & Bongers 2002), whether from 76 logging or natural tree deaths and large branch-falls (Putz 1984; Babweteera et al. 2000; 77 Schnitzer & Carson 2001; Marshal et al. 2017). It is in such treefall or canopy gaps—long 78 recognized as a prominent feature of tropical forests influencing their turnover and species 79 distributions (Denslow 1987)—that vines often aggregate and proliferate (Dalling et al. 2012; 80 Piiroinen et al. 2013), presumably benefiting from not only more light for germination and 81 growth (Richards 1996), but also more suitable growing support trees to climb onto than 82 available in surrounding shaded forest (Putz 1984). A long history of removal experiments show 83 that vines negatively affect multiple dimensions of tree regeneration, including hosts' access to 84 water and light, growth and reproduction, and survival (reviewed by Estrada-Villegas & 85 Schnitzer 2018), but this impact may depend on the local light environment as well as the shade-86 tolerance and species identity of hosts (Schnitzer & Carson 2010; Perez-Salicrup 2001; Toledo-87 Aceves & Swaine 2008a,b). From a young vine's perspective, locating a suitable support—one 88 that increases its probability of survival or its growth rate—is arguably critical for its recruitment 89 (Gianoli 2015), so colonizing taller, vigorously growing tree seedlings and saplings in gaps 90 should be favored, because these hosts would offer more stable support and access to more light 91 resources than slower-growing ones. Conspicuously missing, however, from this burgeoning 92 research on vine-tree interactions is the involvement of herbivores, especially invertebrates.

93 A hypothesized role for herbivores in the interaction between regenerating vines and 94 young trees in gaps is tenable for several reasons. Firstly, the greater light in gap habitats 95 stimulates plant growth (Denslow 1987), and this sustained production of vegetation can strongly 96 structure invertebrate communities (e.g., Perry et al. 2018), especially when serving as high-97 quality food (young stem and leaf tissues) that support higher insect herbivore densities and rates 98 of folivory-hereon, "herbivore pressure"-than in the understory (Richards & Coley 2007, 99 2008). Secondly, leaf herbivory can differentially suppress and limit the stature of potential host 100 tree species (in height or leaf area), especially if they lack sufficient resistance, or fail to 101 compensate for eaten tissues, or cannot escape discovery by density-dependent natural enemies 102 (Pearson et al. 2003; Marquis 2005; Massey et al. 2006; Norghauer et al. 2008; Norghauer & 103 Newbery 2014; Lemoine et al. 2017). If vines can distinguish among and grow towards dark, 104 shaded areas cast by very small stems (< 1-cm) of taller seedlings with more leaves, not unlike 105 the skototropism demonstrated for root-climbers of buttressed tropical trees (Strong & Ray 1975) 106 and other hosts (Gianoli 2015), then herbivory could reduce host susceptibility to vine 107 colonization in gaps. Thirdly, although vines can compete with their hosts for light and 108 belowground resources (Schnitzer et al. 2005; Toledo-Aceves & Swaine 2008a,b; Alvarez-109 Cansino et al. 2015), there is evidence from temperate systems of associational effects benefiting 110 the fitness of the vine (Gonzalez-Tueber & Gianoli 2008) or host plant (Sasal & Suarez 2011). 111 Fourthly, co-occurring vine and tree species likely share similar life-history tradeoffs soon after 112 establishment (Gilbert et al. 2006), and that plant species identity and associated functional traits 113 strongly influence susceptibility to herbivory is now well supported (Endara & Coley 2011). The 114 fact, moreover, that globally tropical vines generally have lower leaf mass per area (LMA), 115 lower foliar defenses (phenolics) and higher nitrogen and phosphorus per leaf mass than trees

116 (reviewed by Wyka et al. 2013) probably makes them particularly palatable to insects in gaps, 117 which also might influence their ability to find and colonize host trees. Lastly, the high quantity 118 of light (hereon, "light availability") reaching the forest floor in gaps is not uniform within and 119 among them (Denslow 1987). Even small spatial differences in light may introduce further 120 variation in plant growth rates and anti-herbivore defenses (Dudt & Shure 1994). This may affect 121 not only host stature and vine foraging behavior reliant on such associated cues (Strong & Ray 122 1975, Gianoli 2015) but vines' own exposure to herbivory as well (Aide & Zimmerman 1990). 123 Another reason to study current plant-animal species interactions is to better predict 124 implications of climate change. Dale et al. (2001) warned that the frequency, intensity, and 125 duration of forest disturbances would likely be altered by climatic changes, driving shifts in the 126 dynamics of forest ecosystems and their future composition. There is evidence that such 127 projected increases in drought events are already hastening tree mortality (Allen et al. 2010). 128 This, in combination with conditions favoring severe storms (hurricanes, windstorms; Dale et al. 129 2001), should generate more canopy-disturbed areas, including treefall gap formations; but since 130 they tolerate drought better than trees, both factors are predicted to augment the abundance of 131 vines (Schnitzer & Bongers 2011). Hence, interactions between vines, their hosts, and insects 132 that eat them may strengthen over time, becoming increasingly crucial during gap-phase tree regeneration for structuring the community composition of tropical forests. 133 134 After reviewing the literature, I could not find any field studies that have attempted to

experimentally quantify how herbivores influence the colonization of tree seedlings by vines.

136 Marquis (2005) also noted this absence, "No studies are available that test the impacts of

137 herbivores on vine colonization of their support hosts" (p. 336). Since then, a recent check did

138 not list any such experimental vine-tree-herbivore studies in the database of

139 http://www.lianaecologyproject.com. Given the ubiquity of vines, insects, and seedlings in 140 tropical forests, their possible three-way interaction deserves some investigation by ecologists 141 and foresters alike. Here, I used data available from a large field experiment that excluded 142 insects from seedlings of three canopy tree species in a central African rainforest, analyzed at the genus level (two shade tolerant congeners vs. a long-lived, light-demanding species), to test three 143 144 predictions: (1) Vine colonization increases with light availability to tree seedling hosts; (2) Tree 145 species with contrasting maximal growth rates (slow vs. fast) differ in their probability of being 146 colonized by vines in light-rich patches of forest (i.e., canopy gaps); (3) By equalizing host 147 species' stature (height, leaf area), insect herbivore pressure on tree seedlings interferes with the 148 vine colonization process in these gaps.

149 **METHODS**

150 FIELD HERBIVORE-EXCLUSION EXPERIMENT—The data came from primary lowland rainforest on 151 nutrient-poor soil, in Korup National Park, Cameroon, in the 82.5-h permanent "P-plot" 152 established in 1991 (Newbery et al. 1998, 2013). Briefly, the experiment had a fully crossed 153 factorial design—canopy cover × herbivory treatments—tested on three ectomycorrhizal, 154 masting tree species: one fast-growing (Microberlina bisulcata A. Chev) and two slow-growing 155 (Tetraberlinia bifoliolata Harms [Haumann], Tetraberlinia korupensis Weiringa) of contrasting 156 shade-tolerance in the Fabaceae subfamily Caesalpinioideae (Newbery et al. 2006). A total of n = 157 664 newly established seedlings (replicates, 13.5 to 32.3 cm tall) were physically protected from 158 insects (caged treatment) or accessible to them (control) in shaded understory and sunny gap 159 locations (= 41 blocks). Starting sample sizes and seedling heights are given in Table S1, with 160 more details found in Norghauer & Newbery (2013). A cage had sides of mesh netting with 1-161 mm \times 4-mm holes and its initial dimensions (W \times L \times H, all in cm) were c. 40 \times 40 \times 50, while

162	the control consisted of only a mesh rooftop (50×50) with open sides, likewise supported by
163	four bamboo posts. Leaf litter on the control and cage structures was removed regularly during
164	the experiment's duration (every 5-7 days, returned under rooftops of control and into the
165	cages). To accommodate the growing seedlings in gaps, cages there were enlarged 1–3 times, as
166	needed, to one or more dimensions: $50 \times 50 \times 75$ or $100, 75 \times 75 \times 100$ or 150 , or $100 \times 100 \times 100$
167	150 or 200; each time, its nearest control of the same species was similarly enlarged (i.e., given
168	the same roof-top area of mesh; Norghauer & Newbery 2014). The mesh worked well at
169	deterring herbivory from medium-sized insects: generally, throughout the experiment the caged
170	seedlings had median values of 0–5% for leaf area eaten (refer to Table 3, Fig. 3,4 in Norghauer
171	& Newbery 2013). Still, the experiment had several unavoidable limitations: namely, mammals
172	were also excluded from cages; apart from the vines that germinated in cages, the entry or exit of
173	other vines was likely impeded by the walled mesh netting-tendrils would have pass through
174	the 4-mm ² holes—whereas they could do so more easily under the control rooftop; and lastly, the
175	bamboo frame of controls and cages may have drawn vines towards them.
176	LIGHT MEASUREMENTS—The amount of light reaching each seedling was directly quantified
177	halfway through the experiment, in mid November 2008, under overcast conditions (Norghauer
178	& Newbery 2013). To do this, at 1 m above each seedling (or higher for some larger individuals)
179	a quantum photon sensor (model SKP215, Skye Instruments, Powys, UK) was placed and
180	leveled to record the incident photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD); at the same time,
181	PPFD was recorded by a second sensor (same model type) positioned above the forest canopy (at
182	ca. 0.5 km from the P-plot). Both sets of instantaneous measurements were made over a 1-week
183	period (15–21 November, 2008). This rapid approach to determine light availability, developed
184	by Messier & Puttonen (1995), was used because in other forests such diffuse light readings,

185	when expressed as percentage of above-canopy PPFD, are strongly correlated with mean daily
186	percent PPFD values in the understory (Comeau et al. 1998; Machado & Reich 1999).
187	VINE DATA RECORDED—The herbivore exclusion experiment had been installed over a 1-month
188	period (mid December 2007 to mid January 2008), which represented the first census when
189	starting plant sizes were measured; after ca. 22 months the mesh cages and rooftops were
190	removed from all tree seedlings (refer to Fig. 1 in Norghauer & Newbery 2013). Vine data for
191	experimental seedlings in gaps was obtained from the third and fourth censuses only, as detailed
192	below. At the start of the experiment, all seedlings (Table S1) were free of vines.
193	In the second census (mid November 2008), this initial sample of 664 experimental
194	seedlings was increased to 706 by adding 'replacements', primarily to offset sample size
195	reductions to rodents' lethally felled seedlings (Norghauer et al. 2016): for <i>M. bisulcata</i> : 32
196	controls and 3 caged in gaps, and 2 controls in the understory; for <i>T. bifoliolata</i> : 3 controls in
197	gaps; and for <i>T. korupensis</i> , 2 controls (gap and understory each).
198	In the third census (mid March 2009), each surviving seedling was checked and scored
199	for a vine climbing it (twined on the main stem or attached via tendrils = a vine colonization
200	event); if present, the vine(s) was clipped back—this was also done in the prior census—to
201	maintain the same growing conditions of control and caged seedlings (apart from their light and
202	herbivore exposure). This vine colonization of a seedling was observed just once in the
203	understory but 33 times in the gaps during this census. So, in the next (fourth) census (October
204	2009), vine occurrence was recorded on surviving seedlings in canopy gaps only.
205	To prevent temporal pseudo-replication, as well as possible cases of re-sprouting vines,
206	seedling responses were pooled over the latter two censuses (i.e., third + fourth). Thus, a given
207	seedling received an overall 'vine colonization event' score of "1" based on whether it had

208 hosted a vine at either time while still alive in 2009. Otherwise, a seedling was scored as "0". 209 Occasionally, two vines (three cases in March 2009, all *M. bisulcata*), or even three vines (one 210 case in March 2009, with T. korupensis) were found on the same seedling. Similarly, in October 211 2009, 5 of the 31vine-colonized seedlings at this time had two vines on them (three cases with 212 *M. bisulcata*; plus one each for *T. bifoliolata* and *T. korupensis*). To simplify the analyses, all 213 these cases were scored as a single vine colonization event. Vines were not taxonomically 214 identified, hence they possibly included herbaceous in addition to any woody vine species. 215 From the March 2009 census, data were missing for one *M. bisulcata* seedling, a control. 216 From the October 2009 census, 10 other seedlings (3 M. bisulcata, 2 T. bifoliolata, and 5 T. 217 *korupensis*) also lacked data. These 11 seedlings were removed from the pooled data set before it 218 was analyzed. Because insect herbivores substantially reduced the height and leaf numbers of 219 faster-growing, less resistant *M. bisulcata* seedlings in gaps, whereas the corresponding growth 220 rates of the more shade-tolerant Tetraberlinia species were negligibly affected after almost 2 yr 221 (refer to Fig. 2d, h in Norghauer & Newbery 2013), the latter two species were grouped for the 222 current analysis. Hereon I shall simply refer to *M. bisulcata* as '*Mb*', and the grouped *T*. 223 bifoliolata and T. korupensis as 'Tbk'. 224 EVALUATING VINE COLONIZATION IN GAPS, WITH AND WITHOUT HERBIVORES-Individual 225 probability of vine colonization of the monitored seedlings, *in gaps* only, was modeled by

226 logistic regression in a GLMM (generalized linear mixed model). This used the logit link

227 function, an estimated dispersion parameter, and the Schall fitting method, with the fixed effects

and variance components estimated by REML (restricted maximum likelihood), which

sequentially reduces the weighted [or generalized] sums of squares (akin to a Type I SS

strategy). The gap location of seedlings (= 'block') was an important random term, as vine

abundance varies strongly in space (Putz 1984; Dalling et al. 2012). The first fixed term was light availability, expressed as a continuous explanatory variable: the percent transmittance of PPFD (%PPFD) through the canopy incident above each seedling. This variable was transformed and entered as log_{10} (%PPFD × 100), which normalized its distribution (Fig. S3), and also centered (i.e., zero-weighted mean). The herbivory treatment (caged vs. control) was the next fixed term, followed by its interaction with light availability.

237 Because of too-small sample sizes for robust logistic regression, the GLMM had to be 238 fitted separately for *Mb* and *Tbk* using their ungrouped binary data (Agresti 2007)—each 239 seedling had a single binary outcome for vine colonization over the observation period (Fig. 240 S3)—in GenStat v16.2 (VSN International Ltd. 2013). Importantly, for all fixed terms, Wald-241 type F statistics were obtained for inference whose denominator (residual) degrees of freedom 242 (d.d.f.) were calculated using the method of Kenward & Roger (1997). This default correction in 243 Genstat (Payne 2015) helped to better control the Type 1 error rate in the GLMM; it is the same 244 Kenward-Roger approximation algorithm used for linear mixed models (LMMs) but applied to 245 the LMM on the transformed (link) scale at the last step of the underlying iterative algorithm. Goodness-of-fit tests based on Pearson (χ^2) and deviance (G^2) statistics are not applicable 246 247 to ungrouped binary data (p. 147 in Agresti 2007). Instead, the average estimated probability of 248 vine colonization was obtained for 10 equal intervals of light availability, by summing the fitted 249 individual probabilities and dividing by the number of seedlings in a given interval (pp. 103-4 in 250 Agresti 2007). These were then visually compared to the observed sample proportions of vine colonization. Additionally, conditional R^2 values are provided for the GLMMs (and for the 251

LMMs described below), as described in Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2013).

253 SEEDLINGS UNDER HERBIVORE PRESSURE IN GAPS—To explain the GLMM results, an attempt was 254 made to the link susceptibility to follow and vine colonization. To directly gauge the activity of 255 insect herbivores, only unprotected seedlings in gaps (i.e., control group) were investigated 256 further (since the caged treatment prevented insect attacks). Specifically, the proportion of extant 257 leaves on a seedling with signs of insect chewing was examined, which I had recorded on all live 258 experimental tree seedlings in October 2009 (full details on this measurement is described in 259 Norghauer & Newbery 2013). This included all but one control seedling with a vine colonization 260 event. To determine how this incidence of leaf herbivory differed between a seedling's identity 261 (*Mb* vs. *Tbk*), and whether or not it experienced vine colonization (1 vs. 0 score = yes vs. no), a 262 linear mixed model (LMM) was used: light availability (\log_{10} [%PPFD × 100]) was entered first, 263 with gap as the blocking (random) term.

264 CORROBORATING HOST TREE STATURE IMPORTANCE FOR VINE COLONIZATION IN GAPS-In an ad-265 hoc explanatory analysis, a three-way crossed factorial LMM tested whether vine-colonized 266 seedlings that were taller—that is, seedling height was the response variable—than those lacking 267 a vine (yes vs. no) depended on host species identity (Mb vs. Tbk) as well as exposure to insects (caged vs. control; i.e., a significant 2nd order interaction). Inclusion of host tree height as a 268 269 covariate in the earlier GLMM was not justified because it is confounded with light availability 270 and the herbivory treatment (it was known *a priori* that insects suppressed *Mb*'s growth in height 271 and leaf numbers in gaps; see Norghauer & Newbery 2013). Using height as a proxy for plant 272 stature is justified given the strong correlations between final heights, leaf numbers, and basal 273 stem diameters of the three tree species (nine Pearson r-values = 0.73-0.92, all P-values < 274 0.001). Both this LMM and the one described before, for leaf herbivory, were fitted well (had 275 normal residuals and homogeneity of variance).

276 **RESULTS**

VINES IN THE UNDERSTORY VERSUS GAPS—In the gap habitat 33 and 31 tree seedlings had at least
one vine on them in March and October 2009, respectively. By contrast, in the forest understory
just one case was recorded out of 257 live seedlings surveyed in March 2009. The following
results thus apply to the gap sample only.

281 OVERALL VINE COLONIZATION FREQUENCIES IN GAPS—When the data from gaps in both censuses

were tallied and combined, a total of 51 out 315 scored seedlings were vine-colonized (as 13

seedlings hosted vines in both censuses). Remarkably, the overall proportion of seedlings—i.e.,

284 irrespective of the herbivory treatment—hosting a vine was identical between the two tree

species groups, at 0.16 (30/185 for *Mb* and 21/130 for *Tbk*; Fig. 1A). Ignoring continuous light

availability, an association between herbivory and vine colonization of Mb was plausible (2×2

287 contingency table test, $\chi^2 = 3.25$, P = 0.072) but clearly not for *Tbk* ($\chi^2 = 0.51$, P = 0.475; Fig.

1A). However, relatively more of the latter species (22.6%) had vines than did the former

289 (12.7%) under normal gap conditions (i.e., when exposed to insects), while this pattern was

290 reversed, albeit slightly less pronounced, when seedlings were protected from herbivore pressure

291 (*Mb*: 26.3% and *Tbk*: 16.1%; Fig. 1A).

292 VINE COLONIZATION WITH HIGHER LIGHT IN GAPS—For *Mb* seedlings, light availability influenced

293 vine colonization differently whether they were accessible to insects or not (GLMM, PPFD \times

treatment interaction, Wald-type *F* statistic_{1, 167.3} = 11.22, P = 0.001; Table S4). When herbivores

had access to seedlings, as they would naturally, vine colonization was generally low across light

levels, with some bimodality indicated (at log %PPFD = \sim 2.4 and \geq 3.1; Fig. 2A); however,

when protected from herbivores the better-illuminated seedlings increasingly became more

susceptible to vines (Fig. 2B). Vine colonization of control seedlings apparently peaked at two

levels of light availability, whose fit was improved by adding a quadratic light term to the
GLMM (AIC reduced by 9.35; Table S4) as initially suggested by their binary data distributions
(Fig. S3). Some gap locations had greater vine colonization of *Mb* seedlings than did others (the
block term's variance component was 18% larger than its standard error), but this spatial effect
was negligible for *Tbk*.

304 For the *Tbk* seedlings, the light environment only had a slightly positive effect on their 305 colonization by vines (PPFD term, Wald-type $F_{1, 126.0} = 3.40$, P = 0.064; Table S4), while 306 exposure to insect herbivores clearly did not change their susceptibility to it (P = 0.683 and P =307 0.283 for treatment and interaction terms, respectively). Unlike for Mb, the apparent peak in 308 colonization at higher light availability (log %PPFD = \sim 2.8–3.0; Fig. 2C) in the *Tbk* control 309 seedlings could not be accommodated by a quadratic term (AIC increased from 404.62 to 310 437.56, model not shown). Evidently, the ability of the tested ecological factors (light 311 availability, insect herbivores) to jointly predict vine colonization events was more reliable for 312 Mb (its GLMM's goodness-of-fit was more acceptable than *Tbk*'s). While good predictive power 313 was obtained for the caged *Mb* seedlings (Fig. 2B), in the other cases (Fig. 2A, C, D) the fit was 314 poor at several light intervals. Importantly, in the absence of insect herbivores, of those seedlings 315 receiving the most light in gaps 60–80% of *Mb* were found colonized by a vine (Fig. 2B), 316 slightly more than twice that of *Tbk* (Fig. 2D). 317 LINKING FOLIVORY TO VINE COLONIZATION IN GAPS—The unprotected (control) seedlings 318 colonized by a vine had a lower proportion of their leaves damaged by insects (adjusted mean = 319 0.60) than counterparts free of vines (= 0.48; LMM, vine colonization main term, Wald-type F_{1} , $_{109,2} = 8.51$, P = 0.004). This difference did not depend on their species identity (vine \times species 320

interaction term, P = 0.211; Fig. 3), after first accounting for effects of light availability (light

322 term, Wald-type $F_{1,114,0} = 1.85$, P = 0.177) and the tree species (*a priori* known) differences in 323 susceptibility to herbivory (species term, Wald-type $F_{1,104,4} = 4.68 P = 0.033$). In this LMM, 324 when a plant's height was substituted for the light it received in a gap—including both predictors 325 in a single model violated its assumptions, since light had a strong positive effect on height 326 (Norghauer & Newbery 2013, 2014)—the taller control seedlings generally had experienced a lower incidence of insect herbivory (LMM, seedling height covariate, Wald-type $F_{1,114,0} = 7.39$, 327 P = 0.008), as did the vine-colonized seedlings (Wald-type $F_{1,108,8} = 6.20, P = 0.014$), 328 329 irrespective of species identity (the interaction remained insignificant, P = 0.320). 330 HOST STATURE WHEN VINE COLONIZED IN GAPS-As Fig. 1B shows, the herbivore-exposed 331 seedlings of Mb were similar in height whether vine-colonized or not, but when released from 332 herbivore pressure in gaps the caged seedlings of this fast-growing species that hosted a vine 333 were almost twice as tall as those not colonized. Notably, this pattern was reversed for *Tbk*, in 334 that its control seedlings colonized by a vine were significantly greater in height than those found 335 vine free, whereas when caged this size-difference effect weakened (LMM, three-way interaction 336 term shown in Fig. 1B; Table S5).

337 **DISCUSSION**

We need more field studies that manipulate plant exposure to insects to determine their influence upon vine colonization of seedlings and saplings in forests. The experimental results here suggest insects could differentially alter vine colonization of dominant trees species with contrasting life histories. This mediating effect, presumably from herbivory to seedlings or vines, or both, further depended on microsite light availability for the dominant, long-lived grove-forming tree *M. bisulcata*, a large fast-growing and light-demanding species that has been studied at Korup National Park since 1991 (Newbery et al. 1998, 2013).

345 The identical overall colonization between host species groups in gaps (16.1%) across 346 \sim 80 ha of Korup forest would suggest these vines, as a group, behaved as generalist structural 347 parasites (Putz 1984; Babweteera et al. 2000; recently Visser et al. 2018a). Nevertheless, rates of 348 vine colonization likely change with tree ontogeny; for example, trees of ≥ 20 cm stem diameter 349 in Panama had woody vine infestations that varied strongly among species and with their shade-350 tolerance (Visser et al. 2018b). By contrast, in the understory at Korup, the experiment's newly 351 established *M. bisulcata* and *Tetraberlinia* seedlings barely grew in height (Norghauer & 352 Newbery 2013), thus limiting their availability as suitable support hosts (Putz 1984). However, 353 owing to their shade-tolerance, over a longer time frame the better survival of *T. bifoliolata* and 354 T. korupensis seedlings (Newbery et al. 2006) creates a combined sapling bank that greatly 355 exceeds that of *M. bisulcata* (Newbery et al. 1998). This represents a stable supply of potential 356 hosts for vine species able to tolerate shaded conditions once the gaps closed up. 357 Insects suppressed vine colonization of *M. bisulcata*, especially of its well-illuminated 358 hosts (Fig. 2A, B), but not so for *Tbk*, whose seedlings were nonetheless more prone to vines 359 when exposed to these herbivores (Fig. 1A). Two explanatory mechanisms related to species 360 differences in host size and leaf traits are plausible. First, by keeping *M. bisulcata* seedlings 361 small in height but not Tetraberlinia spp. (Fig. 1B), insect herbivores reduced the likelihood of 362 vines encountering hosts in gaps by chance alone, given their strong co-occurrence there (e.g., 363 Blick & Burns 2011). But it is not at all inconceivable that vines may have searched for a larger-364 sized host plant near them, by growing away from the light, and towards the tallest seedlings 365 casting the most shade through a form of skototropism (Strong & Ray 1977), given the very 366 contrasting light-dependent patterns of Fig. 2A, B, and the fact that, overall, relatively more 367 Tetraberlinia controls were colonized than smaller-sized M. bisulcata counterparts (Fig. 1A). As

368 argued recently by Gianoli (2015), a preference for taller hosts may confer a greater fitness 369 currency to vines, in the parlance of optimal foraging theory, especially if they are capable of 370 cue-oriented growth (e.g., skototropism) among neighboring plants to find favorable supports. 371 Second, it may be that vines failed to colonize well-lit *M. bisulcata* (in Fig. 2A) because 372 they too were heavily eaten by insects in gaps—as predicted by the plant vigor hypothesis (Price 373 1991; e.g., Hough-Goldtsein & LaCoss 2012)-or due to associational susceptibility (Gianoli 374 2015) with this host tree species and its thin, palatable leaves (Norghauer & Newbery 2014). 375 Conversely, in addition to host size, vines might also have benefited from associational 376 resistance with the more herbivore-resistant (less palatable) Tetraberlinia bifoliolata and T. 377 korupensis leaves (Norghauer et al. 2014). For example, in a temperate South American forest, 378 the proportion of leaf area damaged in Vicia nigricans on one shrub species was double that on 379 its other host (Sasal & Suarez 2011). It is less clear whether or not vines may confer 380 associational resistance to juvenile host trees, as suggested by Piiroinen et al. (2013), who 381 reported the leaf area eaten (%) of the pioneer *Neoboutonia macrocalyx* was lower on its 382 seedlings with fewer vines on them in gaps of post-logged conifer plantations in Kibale National 383 Park (Uganda). No such evidence was found at Korup, where tree seedlings generally incurred 384 more frequent bouts of herbivory when hosting a vine in canopy gaps (Fig. 3), pointing instead to 385 associational susceptibility. Such associational effects for herbivory between co-occurring plant 386 species in patchy resource-rich habitats deserve more field study (Hambäck et al. 2014) and may 387 prove crucial for predicting vine-tree interactions in tropical forest communities. 388 A third factor possibly relevant to vine colonization is leaf trait morphology of host

A third factor possibly relevant to vine colonization is leaf trait morphology of host plants. In re-analyzing the two *Tetraberlinia* species in separate GLMMs (Table S6), light availability strongly promoted vine colonization of *T. bifoliolata* (light term, P = 0.004) which

391 has a leaf consisting of two large, lobed leaflets (bifoliate). However, for T. korupensis, whose 392 leaves are morphologically very similar to *M. bisulcata* (simply pinnate, with many opposite 393 leaflets) but chemically better defended, exposure to insects only interacted to some extent with 394 host's seedling light environment (PPFD \times treatment interaction, P = 0.160) Although this post-395 hoc investigation had low statistical power (n < 100 per GLMM, further justifying the *Tbk* 396 grouping before), it points to leaf morphological differences among species perhaps being 397 important for influencing vine-insect-tree interactions in canopy-disturbed areas. Plants with 398 pinnate compound leaves, whose leaflets are easily shed (from biotic or abiotic damage), may 399 have a lower leaf area index (LAI) than those with thicker, non-pinnate leaves, making the latter 400 species more liable to be colonized if vines gravitated towards larger-sized host seedlings in gaps 401 using LAI as a primary search cue.

402 This study has several caveats, whose consideration illustrates the logistical difficulty 403 involved in conducting a "clean experiment" in a tropical rainforest. First, hosts in the control 404 treatment, with its mesh rooftop and open sides, could have been accessible to more vines if 405 these foraged more than ~ 0.5 m across the ground and came from dispersed seeds >1 mm $\times 4$ 406 mm in size (= mesh opening) that landed nearby. Yet, by the same token, a vine established near 407 a control seedling could move further away from it and colonize a different host, whereas in a 408 caged treatment its mesh sides limited both aspects of vine behavior. Second, by enlarging a 409 cage, relatively more germinating or established vines could have been inadvertently "trapped" 410 inside it with the host seedling. Third, both herbivory treatments were supported by bamboo 411 posts, which being bare for the control seedlings may have lured vines away from them, while 412 those affixed with mesh side walls could have provided scaffolding for vines to climb inside the 413 cages. The net effects of these experimental artifacts on the results are unknown. Vegetation

414 cover around the seedlings was systematically assessed in November 2009 and found to be 415 similar at two strata between cages and controls in gaps (Norghauer & Newbery 2013). Another 416 caveat is that the mesh-netting also excluded potential mammalian herbivores; at Korup they 417 apparently neither grazed nor browsed the studied tree seedlings, but rodents can lethally sever 418 their stems near the base, especially those of *M. bisulcata* (Norghauer et al. 2016). Hopefully, 419 highlighting these caveats may better prepare ecologists intending to experimentally investigate 420 vine colonization of young trees. Alternatively, one could try to chemically exclude insects from 421 host seedlings, but the efficacy of this is questionable in gaps open directly to rain, especially in 422 very wet lowland forests like Korup, and it may have other unintended consequences too. 423 Compared with *M. bisulcata*, relatively higher vine colonization on the *Tetraberlinia* spp. 424 under normal forest conditions (i.e., with exposure to insects = control) may lead to recurring

425 higher liana loads on these shade-tolerant, slower-growing trees as they ascend to the canopy and 426 mature. Hence, these findings appear consistent with the reportedly stronger direct impacts of 427 vines on shade-tolerant tree species (e.g., Schnitzer & Carson 2010). Nonetheless, M. bisulcata 428 seedlings were at risk of colonization even at low light in gaps; over time, a survivorship bias 429 towards those being vine-free may occur if vine loading reduces survival rates of faster-growing 430 species (Visser et al. 2018a), especially following canopy gap closure. But whether or not this 431 vine interference can also reduce *M. bisulcata*'s population-wide sapling and adult recruitment 432 rates is unknown, depending on the proportion of stems colonized and host tolerance to vine 433 infestations (Visser et al. 2018b). If it does, this may contribute cryptically to the currently poor 434 regeneration of *M. bisulcata* groves at Korup (Newbery et al. 1998, 2006). However, should the 435 better-illuminated *M. bisulcata* juveniles be able to tolerate interference from vines, or soon shed 436 them through ontogeny—particularly via its remarkably fast growth in 10–50-cm stem diameter

437 size classes (Newbery et al. 2013)—then it is plausible this species recruitment may benefit from 438 conditions that also favor vine recruitment. Conversely, vine colonization likely has little 439 immediate impact on the persistence of *Tetraberlinia* seedlings and saplings, since shade-tolerant 440 species can also better tolerate hosting vines (Visser et al. 2018b) after gap closure. Yet, 441 ontogenic shifts in host tolerance to vine infestation should not be discounted either: for 442 example, among bole- and adult-sized trees (>20 cm stem diameter), more of T. bifoliolata, and 443 T. korupensis to a lesser extent, are found vine-laden than M. bisulcata (Norghauer, pers. 444 observations) and this biotic stress may contribute to the higher *Tetraberlinia* spp. mortality rates 445 at Korup (Newbery et al. 2013). Woody wines are thought to compete directly with juvenile trees 446 for belowground resources (Schnitzer et al. 2005; Toledo-Aceves & Swaine 2008b), especially 447 for water during dry periods in seasonal forests (Schnitzer & Bongers 2011; Alvarez-Cansino et 448 al. 2015). It is tempting to speculate that vine colonization and interference with shade-tolerant 449 competitors of *M. bisulcata*, such as the two *Tetraberlinia* species (and perhaps others), would 450 strengthen during large-scale regional droughts. These climatic events, as argued by Newbery et 451 al. (2013), are necessary for *M. bisulcata* grove maintenance at Korup, and they might also 452 reduce forest-wide insect herbivore abundance and pressure, further favoring *M. bisulcata*'s 453 regeneration.

Almost all the vines observed on seedlings/saplings had wound themselves on main stem (twining) or latched laterally using tendrils. No attempt was made to quantify either climbing habit, nor were the vines taxonomically identified, so it is unknown if any were perhaps herbaceous. Currently, it is also unknown which insect taxa feed on vines in gaps at Korup. Future studies that manipulate exposure to herbivores, whether of invertebrates or vertebrates, should consider recording the habits of vines on young trees using very large samples (n > 200)

of multiple host species along the fast-slow growth spectrum. To my knowledge, a systematic *in situ* community-level study of herbivory of both vines and their host trees has yet to be done.

462 To conclude, the results demonstrated how herbivores could interact with canopy 463 disturbances to differentially shape vine colonization events on tree hosts across space, which 464 could broaden our understanding of forest regeneration dynamics. Vines prefer to colonize taller 465 hosts, to more quickly climb or be carried upward, but insects interact with light to mediate this 466 process in gaps, by stunting the vertical growth of faster-growing individuals of more palatable 467 light-demanding species. This causes vine colonization rates at higher light levels to become 468 more similar between slow and fast-growing tree species. Since both vines and gaps are 469 fundamental features of tropical forests, these findings from Korup may apply to other co-470 existing tree species on the fast-slow growth rate spectrum associated with shade-tolerance as 471 juveniles. In particular, it would be pertinent to know how changes in soil fertility and 472 seasonality influence vine colonization and to conduct long-term studies of its impact on tree 473 population dynamics, while the relative importance of mycorrhizal associations of co-occurring 474 vine and tree species seems ripe for study. Investigations of vine-tree-herbivore interactions may 475 also be timely for understanding vines' behavior (Gianoli 2015) and their ongoing abundance 476 and biomass increases in tropical America but not Africa (Schnitzer & Bongers 2011). For these 477 reasons, well-replicated inter-continental experiments may prove particularly insightful.

478 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank my assistants Sylvanus Njibli, Charles Ohka, and Reymond Kometa for help with the
fieldwork funded by the University of Bern, and George Chuyong (University of Buea) and
Albert Kembou (Conservator for Korup) for their support in 2008/09. I thank David Newbery for
his long-time support and our many discussions on forest ecology, and for his useful comments

- 483 on an earlier version of this manuscript. Research permission was kindly granted from the
- 484 MINFOF and MINRESI government ministries of Cameroon.

485 DATA AVAILABLITY

486 The data used in this study are archived at the Dryad Digital Repository:

487 LITERATURE CITED

- 488 AGRESTI, A. (Ed.) 2007. An introduction to categorical data analysis. John Wiley & Sons.
- 489 Allen, C. D., A. K. Macalady, H. Chenchouni, D. Bachelet, N. McDowell, M. Vennetier, T. Kitzberger, A. Rigling, D. D. 490 BRESHEARS, E. H. HOGG, P. GONZALEZ, R. FENSHAM, Z. ZHANG, J. CASTRO, N. DEMIDOVA, J.-H. LIM, G. ALLARD, S. W. RUNNING, A. 491 SEMERCI, and N. COBB. 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change 492 risks for forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 259: 660-684.
- 493 AIDE, T. M., and J. K. ZIMMERMAN. 1990. Patterns of insect herbivory, growth, and survivorship in juveniles of a neotropical liana. Ecology 494 71: 1412-1421.
- 495 ALVAREZ-CANSINO, L., S. A. SCHNITZER, J. P. REID, and J. S. POWERS. 2015. Liana competition with tropical trees varies seasonally but not 496 with tree species identity. Ecology 96: 39-45.
- 497 BABWETEERA, F., A. PLUMPTRE, and J. OBUA. 2000. Effect of gap size and age on climber abundance and diversity in Budongo Forest Reserve, 498 Uganda. Afr. J. Ecol. 38: 230-237.
- 499 BARRY, K. E., S. A. SCHNITZER, M. VAN BREUGEL, and J. S. HALL. 2015. Rapid liana colonization along a secondary forest chronosequence. 500 Biotropica 47: 672-680.
- 501 BLICK, R. A. J., and K. C. BURNS. 2011. Liana co-occurrence patterns in a temperate rainforest. Journal of Vegetation Science 22: 868-877.

502 CLARK, D. B., and D. A. CLARK. 1990. Distribution and effects on tree growth of lianas and woody hemi-epiphytes in a Costa Rican tropical 503 wet forest. J. Trop. Ecol. 6: 321-331.

- 504 COMEAU, P. G., F. GENDRON, and T. LETCHFORD. 1998. A comparison of several methods for estimating light under a paper birch 505 506 mixedwood stand. Can. J. For. Res. 28: 1843-1850.
- DALE, D. B., L. A. JOYCE, S. McNULTY, et al. 2001. Climate change and forest disturbances. Bioscience 51: 723-734. 507
- DALLING, J. W., S. A. SCHNITZER, C. BALDECK, K. E. HARMS, R. JOHN, S. A. MANGAN, E. LOBO, J. B. YAVITT, and S. P. HUBBELL. 2012. 508 Resource-based habitat associations in a neotropical liana community. J. Ecol. 100: 1174-1182.
 - DENSLOW, J. S. 1987. Tropical rain-forest gaps and tree species-diversity. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 18: 431-451.
- $509 \\ 510 \\ 511 \\ 512 \\ 513 \\ 515 \\ 515 \\ 515 \\ 515 \\ 516 \\ 517 \\ 520 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ 531 \\ 533 \\ 535 \\ 535 \\ 535 \\ 535 \\ 535 \\ 535 \\ 536$ DEWALT, S. J., S. SCHNITZER, L. F. ALVES, F. BONGERS, R. J. BURNHAM, Z. Q. CAI, W. P. CARSON, J. CHAVE, G. CHUYONG, F. R. C. COSTA, C. N. EWANGO, R. V. GALLAGHER, J. J. GERWING, E. G. AMEZCUA, T. HART, G. IBARRA-MANRIOUEZ, K. ICKES, D. KENFACK, S. G. LETCHER, M. J. MACIA, J. R. MAKANA, A. MALIZIA, M. MARTINEZ-RAMOS, J. MASCARO, C. MUTHUMPERUMAL, S. MUTHURAMKUMAR, A. NOGUEIRA, M. P. E. PARREN, N. PARTHASARATHY, D. R. PEREZ-SALICRUP, M. S. REDDY, M. N. SAINGE, D. THOMAS, and J. VAN MELIS. 2015. Biogeographical patterns of liana abundance and diversity. In S. Schnitzer, F. Bongers, R. J. Burnham and F. E. Putz (Eds.). Ecology of Lianas, pp. 131-145. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
 - DUDT, J. F., and D. J. SHURE. 1994. The influence of light and nutrients on foliar phenolics and insect herbivory. Ecology 75: 86-98.

ENDARA, M.-J., and P. D. COLEY. 2011. The resource availability hypothesis revisited: a meta-analysis. Funct. Ecol. 25: 389-398.

- ESTRADA-VILLEGAS, S., and S. A. SCHNITZER. 2018. A comprehensive synthesis of liana removal experiments in tropical forests. Biotropica 50: 729-739.
- GENTRY, A. H., and C. DODSON, 1987. Contribution of nontrees to species richness of a tropical rain forest. Biotropica 19: 149-156.
- GIANOLI, E. 2015. The behavioural ecology of climbing plants. AoB PLANTS 7: plv013.
- GONZÁLEZ-TEUBER, M., and E. GIANOLI. 2008. Damage and shade enhance climbing and promote associational resistance in a climbing plant. J. Ecol. 96: 122-126.
- HAMBÄCK, P. A., B. D. INOUYE, P. ANDERSSON, and N. UNDERWOOD. 2014. Effects of plant neighborhoods on plant-herbivore interactions: resource dilution and associational effects. Ecology 95: 1370-1383.
- HOUGH-GOLDSTEIN, J., and S. J. LACoss. 2012. Interactive effects of light environment and herbivory on growth and productivity of an invasive annual vine, *Persicaria perfoliata*. Arthropod-Plant Interactions 6: 103-112.
- KENWARD, M. G., and J. H. ROGER. 1997. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. Biometrics 53: 983-997.
- MACHADO, J.-L., and P. B. REICH. 1999. Evaluation of several measures of canopy openness as predictors of photosynthetic photon flux density in deeply shaded conifer-dominated forest understory. Can. J. For. Res. 29: 1438-1444.

MARQUIS, R. J. 2005. Impacts of herbivores on tropical plant diversity. In D. F. R. P. Burslem, M. A. Pinard and S. E. Hartley (Eds.). Biotic interactions in the tropics: their role in the maintenance of species diversity, pp. 328-365. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- MARSHALL, A. R., M. A. COATES, J. ARCHER, E. KIVAMBE, H. MNENDENDO, S. MTOKA, R. MWAKISOMA, R. J. R. L. DE FIGUEIREDO, and F. M. NJILIMA, 2017. Liana cutting for restoring tropical forests; a rare palaeotropical trial. Afr. J. Ecol. 55: 282-297.
- MESSIER, C., and P. PUTTONEN. 1995. Spatial and temporal variation in the light environment of developing Scots pine stands the

- 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 5884 5885 586 587 588
- basis for a quick and efficient method of characterizing light. Can. J. For. Res. 25: 343-354.
- NAKAGAWA, S., and H. SCHIELZETH. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R^2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4: 133-142.
- NEWBERY, D. M., G. B. CHUYONG, L. ZIMMERMANN, and C. PRAZ. 2006. Seedling survival and growth of three ectomycorrhizal caesalpiniaceous tree species in a Central African rain forest. J. Trop. Ecol. 22: 499-511.
- NEWBERY, D. M., N. C. SONGWE, and G. B. CHUYONG. 1998. Phenology and dynamics of an African rainforest at Korup, Cameroon. *In* D. M. Newbery, H. H. T. Prins and N. D. Brown (Eds.). Dynamics of tropical communities, pp. 267-308. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
- NEWBERY, D. M., X. M. VAN DER BURGT, M. WORBES, and G. B. CHUYONG. 2013. Transient dominance in a central African rain forest. Ecol. Monogr. 83: 339-382.
- NORGHAUER, J. M., G. GLAUSER, and D. M. NEWBERY. 2014. Seedling resistance, tolerance and escape from herbivores: insights from codominant canopy tree species in a resource-poor African rain forest Funct. Ecol. 28: 1426-1439.
- Norghauer, J. M., J. R. Malcolm, and B. L. ZIMMERMAN. 2008. Canopy cover mediates interactions between a specialist caterpillar and seedlings of a neotropical tree. J. Ecol. 96: 103-113.
- Norghauer, J. M., and D. M. Newbery. 2013. Herbivores equalize the seedling height growth of three dominant tree species in an African tropical rain forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 310: 555-566.
- Norghauer, J. M., and D. M. Newbery. 2014. Herbivores differentially limit the seedling growth and sapling recruitment of two dominant rain forest trees. Oecologia 174: 459-469.
- Norghauer, J. M., G. Röder, and G. Glauser. 2016. Canopy gaps promote selective stem-cutting by small mammals of two dominant tree species in an African lowland forest: the importance of seedling chemistry. J. Trop. Ecol. 32: 1-21.
 - PAYNE, R. W. 2015. The design and analysis of long-term rotation experiments. Agron. J. 107: 772-785.
- PEARSON, T. R. H., D. BURSLEM, R. E. GOERIZ, and J. W. DALLING. 2003. Interactions of gap size and herbivory on establishment, growth and survival of three species of neotropical pioneer trees. J. Ecol. 91: 785-796.
- PERRY, K. I., K. F. WALLIN, J. W. WENZEL, and D. A. HERMS. 2018. Forest disturbance and arthropods: Small-scale canopy gaps drive invertebrate community structure and composition. Ecosphere 9: e02463.
- PEREZ-SALICRUP, D. R. 2001. Effect of liana cutting on tree regeneration in a liana forest in Amazonian Bolivia. Ecology 82: 389-396.
- PIIROINEN, T., P. NYEKO, and H. ROININEN. 2013. Canopy openness in gaps determines the influence of herbaceous climbers and insect folivory on the survival of a tropical tree, *Neoboutonia macrocalyx* Pax. Afr. J. Ecol. 52: 41-49.
- PRICE, P. W. 1991. The plant vigor hypothesis and herbivore attack. Oikos 62: 244-251.
- RICHARDS, L. A., and P. D. COLEY. 2007. Seasonal and habitat differences affect the impact of food and predation on herbivores: a comparison between gaps and understory of a tropical forest. Oikos 116: 31-40.
- RICHARDS, L. A., and P. D. COLEY. 2008. Combined effects of host plant quality and predation on a tropical lepidopteran: A comparison between treefall gaps and the understory in Panama. Biotropica 40: 736-741.
- RICHARDS, P. W. 1996. The tropical rain forest: an ecological study. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- SASAL, Y., and M. L. SUAREZ. 2011. Insect herbivory in climber-host shrubs associations: Benefit or detriment? Austral Ecol. 36: 814-820.
- SCHNITZER, S. A., and F. BONGERS. 2002. The ecology of lianas and their role in forests. Trends Ecol. Evol. 17: 223-230.
- SCHNITZER, S. A., and F. BONGERS. 2011. Increasing liana abundance and biomass in tropical forests: emerging patterns and putative mechanisms. Ecol. Lett. 14: 397-406.
- SCHNITZER, S. A., and W. P. CARSON. 2001. Treefall gaps and the maintenance of species diversity in a tropical forest. Ecology 82: 913-919.
- 5 SCHNITZER, S. A., and W. P. CARSON. 2010. Lianas suppress tree regeneration and diversity in treefall gaps. Ecol. Lett. 13: 849-857.
- STRONG, D. R., and T. S. RAY. 1975. Host tree location behavior of a tropical vine (*Monstera gigantea*) by skototropism. Science 190: 804-806.
 - TOLEDO-ACEVES, T., and M. D. SWAINE. 2008a. Effect of lianas on tree regeneration in gaps and forest understorey in a tropical forest in Ghana. Journal of Vegetation Science 19: 717-U770.
 - TOLEDO-ACEVES, T., and M. D. SWAINE. 2008b. Above- and below-ground competition between the liana *Acacia kamerunensis* and tree seedlings in contrasting light environments. Plant Ecol. 196: 233-244.
 - VISSER, M. D., S. A. SCHNITZER, H. C. MULLER-LANDAU, E. JONGEJANS, H. DE KROON, L. S. COMITA, S. P. HUBBELL, and S. J. WRIGHT. 2018a. Tree species vary widely in their tolerance for liana infestation: A case study of differential host response to generalist parasites. J. Ecol. 106: 781-794.
- VISSER, M. D., H. C. MULLER-LANDAU, S. A. SCHNITZER, H. DE KROON, E. JONGEJANS, and S. J. WRIGHT. 2018b. A host-parasite model explains variation in liana infestation among co-occurring tree species. J. Ecol. 106: 2435-2445.
- WYKA, T. P., J. OLEKSYN, P. KAROLEWSKI, and S. A. SCHNITZER. 2013. Phenotypic correlates of the lianescent growth form: a review. Ann.
 Bot. 112: 1667-1681.
- 589

590 FIGURE LEGENDS

591 **FIGURE 1. (A)** Tallies of overall vine colonization events of tree seedlings protected from

592 (caged) and exposed to insect herbivores (control) in rainforest gaps at Korup, Cameroon, and

- 593 **(B)** their corresponding mean (\pm SE) heights of surviving seedlings in November 2009. **Mb**:
- 594 Microberlinia bisulcata, Tbk: Tetraberlinia bifoliolata and T. korupensis. Seedling height was
- ⁵⁹⁵ log₁₀-transformed in a linear mixed model (LMM, Table S5): the 2nd-order interaction term of
- host species group × herbivory treatment × vine colonization was significant ($F_{1,238.4} = 7.79, P =$
- 597 0.006). Different letters indicate statistically different means, based on planned LSD tests (5%
- alpha level). The mean %PPFD (\pm SE) of the four groups of seedlings, from left to right, was

599 5.39 ± 0.40 , 5.59 ± 0.45 , 5.59 ± 0.35 , and 4.91 ± 0.35 . (%PPFD is the percentage of

600 photosynthetic photon flux density transmitted through the forest canopy reaching a seedling.) In

- (B), the samples size per bar, going from left to right: 9, 50, 12, 48, 20, 73, 9, and 56 seedlings.
- 602 The goodness-of-fit for this LMM, following Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2013), had a conditional 603 $R^2 = 0.566$.

604 FIGURE 2. Observed and fitted proportions of tree seedlings colonized by a vine in rainforest 605 gaps at Korup (Cameroon) as a function of light resource availability (x-axis), when exposed to 606 insect herbivores (A, C) or protected from them by mesh-netting cages (B, D) for the two species 607 identity groups (Mb: Microberlinia bisulcata, Tbk: Tetraberlinia bifoliolata and T. korupensis 608 grouped). Observed proportions (y-axis) for each light interval are shown. Curvature in the data 609 of (A) was accommodated by a quadratic term for light availability in the GLMM (Table S4); 610 however, in (C), adding a quadratic term did not significantly improve the fitted model. 611 "%PPFD": percentage of photosynthetic photon flux density transmitted through the forest 612 canopy reaching a seedling. Sample sizes (total n = 315) per light interval (left to right): (A) 5,

613	10, 12, 6, 19, 14, 11, 3, 3, and 6; (B) 7, 5, 12, 9, 17, 18, 11, 6, 7 and 4; (C) 1, 8, 10, 7, 6, 14, 7, 5,
614	2, and 5; (D) 3, 4, 11, 13, 11, 10, 6, 3, 1, and 3. The goodness-of-fit of each GLMM, following
615	Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2013), had conditional R^2 values of 0.335 and 0.256 for <i>Mb</i> and <i>Tbk</i> ,
616	respectively.
617	FIGURE 3. Boxplots showing the incidence of insect herbivory on leaves of unprotected (i.e.,
618	control group) tree seedlings with and without vines, in rainforest gaps at Korup (Cameroon).
619	Data are shown for two species identity groups (Mb: Microberlinia bisulcata, Tbk:
620	Tetraberlinia bifoliolata and T. korupensis grouped). Group sample sizes, from left to right, were
621	n = 50, 9, 48, and 12 individual seedlings, for which corresponding raw (unadjusted) means (±
622	SE) were 0.55 ± 0.033 , 0.48 ± 0.031 , 0.65 ± 0.031 , and 0.43 ± 0.073 . These were analyzed in a
623	linear mixed model (LMM) able to accommodate the unbalanced sample sizes (in which the
624	means were first adjusted for light availability [as a centered covariate] to individual seedlings).
625	The goodness-of-fit for that LMM, following Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2013), had a conditional
626	$R^2 = 0.304.$
627	
628	
629	
630	
631	
632	
633	
634	
635	



Trees species x herbivory treatment



Figure 3



656 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

- 657 **TABLE S1.** Initial sample sizes and heights of the tree seedlings used the field experiment.
- 658 **FIGURE S2.** Distributions of PPFD values before and after log transformation.
- 659 **FIGURE S3.** Scatterplots of binary data used in the GLMM of vine colonization.
- 660 **TABLE S4.** GLMM results for vine colonization of tree seedlings in canopy gaps.
- 661 **TABLE S5.** LMM results for tree seedling heights.
- 662 **TABLE S6.** Separate GLMMs for vine colonization of the two *Tetraberlinia* species.
- 663
- 664 Table S1
- 665 Starting samples sizes of newly established seedlings (n = 664 in total) used in the insect
- 666 herbivore-exclusion experiment carried out at Korup National Park, Cameroon. Canopy gap and
- 667 understory locations were paired, to form a spatial block. Number of locations (gap or
- understory) were 34, 18, and 10 for *Microberlinia bisulcata*, *Tetraberlinia bifoliolata*, and *T*.
- 669 korupensis, respectively. But since one, two, or all three species co-occurred at a given location,
- 670 the total block number summed to 41. In parentheses are the ranges in initial seedling heights.
- 671 Mean heights were not significantly different among the 12 groups (Norghauer & Newbery
- 672 2013).

	M. bisulcata	T. bifoliolata	T. korupensis
Gap locations			
control	97	43	26
	(13.5–30.3 cm)	(13.7–25.6 cm)	(19.4–29.0 cm)
caged	97	43	26
	(13.5–32.2 cm)	(14.3–24.8 cm)	(13.5–32.2 cm)
Understory locations			
control	97	43	26
	(16.0–26.5 cm)	(17.1–27.3 cm)	(14.7–25.5 cm)
caged	97	43	26
	(14.5–24.5 cm)	(16.3–25.1 cm)	(17.5–26.0 cm)
Totals	388	172	104

Figure S2



- 0))





- 714 **Table S4**
- The GLMMs testing the fixed effects of light availability ($\log [\% PPFD \times 100] = `PPFD'$), and

716 insect herbivory treatment (control vs. caged) on the probability of vine colonization of rainforest

seedlings in canopy gaps at Korup, Cameroon. In the GLMM for *Microberlinia bisulcata (Mb)*

as hosts, including a quadratic term ('PPFD²') improved the model fit (i.e., reduced its AIC value

- from 600.55 to 591.20; both models shown), whereas it did not for the GLMM (not shown) using
- the pooled sample of *Tetraberlinia bifoliolata* and *T. korupensis (Tbk)*. Noteworthy effects of
- 721 ecological interest are in bold.

	Coefficient	SE*	n.d.f.	Wald (<i>F</i> -	d.d.f.	<i>P</i> -value
Mb [†]	(5)			statistic)		
Base CLMM:						
Base OLIVIIVI.	2 2 2 0	0.265				
constant	-2.230	0.365	-	- 00	4 = 0 =	0.006
PPFD	-1.055	1.253	1	7.80	159.7	0.006
treatment	0.6948	0.440	1	2.01	160.7	0.158
PPFD × treatment	6.362	1.8145	1	12.29	177.9	< 0.001
GLMM with a quadratic term						
constant	-2.243	0.361				
PPFD	-7.727	13.318	1	7.22	177.0	0.008
$PPFD^2$	1.251	2.494	1	1.86	176.4	0.174
treatment	0.7100	0.4355	1	2.89	159.7	0.091
PPFD × treatment	6.075	1.814	1	11.22	167.3	0.001
Tbk^{\ddagger}						
constant	-1.450	0.316				
PPFD	1.064	1.233	1	3.40	126.0	0.064
treatment	-0.259	0.484	1	0.26	126.0	0.613
PPFD × treatment	2.240	2.077	1	1.16	126.0	0.283

722

* The standard error (SE) of differences is given for the categorical and interaction terms

[†] Base model, dispersion estimate = 0.804, SE = 0.0908, with a conditional R^2 = 0.381 (following

724 Nakagawa & Schielzeth 2013); Quadratic model (Fig. 2AB), dispersion = 0.782, SE = 0.0886

725 ^{\ddagger} Dispersion estimate = 0.964, SE = 0.1214

Table S5

- 727 The LMM used to examine how the final height of surviving tree seedlings was related to their
- 728 species identity group (Microberlinia bisulcata vs. Tetraberlinia bifoliolata/korupensis), insect
- herbivory treatment (control vs. caged), and experience of vine colonization (yes vs. no;
- 730 "vinecol") at Korup, Cameroon. 'block' = the canopy gap in which the individual seedlings
- 731 grew. Noteworthy effects of ecological interest are in bold. The seedling heights were log-
- transformed to normalize the residuals.

Fixed terms	n.d.f.	Wald (F-	d.d.f.	P value
		statistic)		
species	1	23.24	260.2	< 0.001
treatment	1	54.08	236.1	< 0.001
vinecol	1	31.04	244.8	< 0.001
species × treatment	1	9.24	235.5	0.003
species × vinecol	1	0.00	244.2	0.960
treatment × vinecol	1	2.26	239.7	0.134
treatment × species ×	1	8.24	237.8	0.004
vinecol				
Random term	Estimate	SE		
block	0.0156	0.00146		

743 **Table S6**

- Two ad-hoc GLMMs testing the fixed effects of light availability (log [%PPFD × 100] =
- ⁷⁴⁵ 'PPFD'), and insect herbivory treatment (control vs. caged) on the probability of vine
- colonization of the two *Tetraberlinia* species' seedlings in canopy gaps at Korup, Cameroon.
- 747 Noteworthy effects of ecological interest are in bold.

	Coefficient	SE^*	n.d.f.	Wald (F-	d.d.f.	<i>P</i> -value
	<i>(β)</i>			statistic)		
T. bifoliolata [†]						
constant	-1.508	0.532				
PPFD	4.805	1.951	1	8.94	54.1	0.004
treatment	-0.5294	0.523	1	1.01	62.2	0.319
PPFD × treatment	1.176	2.478	1	0.23	62.7	0.637
T. korupensis [‡]						
constant	-1.377	0.494				
PPFD	0.582	1.782	1	1.30	46.0	0.326
treatment	-0.0255	0.770	1	0.02	46.0	0.991
PPFD × treatment	6.263	4.383	1	2.84	46.0	0.160

748

* The standard error (SE) of differences is given for the categorical and interaction terms

[†] Dispersion estimate = 0.565, SE = 0.1032; conditional R^2 = 0.439, following Nakagawa &

- 751 Schielzeth (2013)
- [‡] Dispersion estimate = 0.974, SE = 0.2030; conditional R^2 = 0.183, following Nakagawa &
- 753 Schielzeth (2013)