

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Witassek F, Springer A, Adam L, Aeschbacher S, Beer JH, Blum S, et al. (2019) Health-related quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: The role of symptoms, comorbidities, and the type of atrial fibrillation. PLoS ONE 14(12): e0226730. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0226730

Editor: Luigi Sciarra, Policlinico Casilino, ITALY

Received: August 7, 2019

Accepted: December 4, 2019

Published: December 23, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Witassek et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The patient consent forms, as approved by the responsible ethics committee (Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz), do not allow the data to be made publicly available. The participants signed a consent form, which states that their data, containing personal and medical information, are exclusively available for research institutions in an anonymized form. Researchers interested in obtaining the data for research purposes can contact the Swiss-AF lead referring to the 'EQ-5D baseline minimal dataset'. Contact information is RESEARCH ARTICLE

Health-related quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: The role of symptoms, comorbidities, and the type of atrial fibrillation

Fabienne Witassek¹, Anne Springer^{2,3}, Luise Adam⁴, Stefanie Aeschbacher^{2,3}, Jürg H. Beer⁵, Steffen Blum^{2,3}, Leo H. Bonati⁶, David Conen^{2,3,7}, Richard Kobza⁸, Michael Kühne^{2,3}, Giorgio Moschovitis⁹, Stefan Osswald^{2,3}, Nicolas Rodondi^{4,10}, Christian Sticherling^{2,3}, Thomas Szucs¹¹, Matthias Schwenkglenks^{1,11}*, for the Swiss-AF study investigators¹¹

 Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland,
Cardiovascular Research Institute Basel, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 3 Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 4 Department of General Internal Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, 5 Department of Medicine, Cantonal Hospital of Baden, Baden, and Molecular Cardiology, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, 6 Neurology Division and Stroke Centre, Department of Clinical Research, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 7 Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada, 8 Department of Cardiology, Luzerner Kantonsspital, Lucerne, Switzerland, 9 Division of Cardiology, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Ospedale Regionale di Lugano, Ticino, Lugano, Switzerland, 10 Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Berne, Switzerland, 11 Institute of Pharmaceutical Medicine (ECPM), University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

¶ A list of all Swiss-AF investigators is provided in <u>S1 File</u> * matthias.schwenkglenks@uzh.ch

Abstract

Aims

This study aimed to analyse health related quality of life (HRQoL) for patients with different atrial fibrillation (AF) types and to identify patient characteristics, symptoms and comorbidities that influence HRQoL.

Methods

We used baseline data from the Swiss Atrial Fibrillation (Swiss-AF) study, a prospective multicentre observational cohort study conducted in 13 clinical centres in Switzerland. Between April 2014 and August 2017, 2415 AF patients were recruited. Patients were included in this analysis if they had baseline HRQoL data as assessed with EQ-5D-based utilities and visual analogue scale (VAS) scores. Patient characteristics and HRQoL were described stratified by AF type. The impact of symptoms, comorbidities and socio-economic factors on HRQoL was analysed using multivariable regression analysis.

Results

Based on 2412 patients with available baseline HRQoL data, the lowest unadjusted mean HRQoL was found in patients with permanent AF regardless of whether measured with utilities (paroxysmal: 0.83, persistent: 0.84, permanent: 0.80, p<0.001) or VAS score (paroxysmal: 73.6, persistent: 72.8, permanent: 69.2, p<0.001). In multivariable analysis of utilities

provided on the Swiss-AF website (http://www. swissaf.ch/contact.htm). Authorization of the responsible ethics committee is mandatory before the requested data can be transferred to external research institutions.

Funding: The Swiss-AF cohort study is supported by grants of the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant numbers 33CS30_148474 (SO, LB, JB, NR, MK, CS, TS) and 33CS30_177520 (SO, MS, LB, JB, NR, CS, MK, RK)). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: JHB has received research grant support from the Swiss National Science Foundation and from the Swiss Heart Foundation, grant support, lecture and conference fees from Böhringer, Pfizer, Bayer and Daiichi Sankyo. LHB has received grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation, the University of Basel, and the Swiss Heart Foundation, Switzerland; a grant from The Stroke Association, UK; an unrestricted research grant from AstraZeneca; and consultancy and advisory board fees from Amgen, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Claret Medical. The Department of Radiology, University Hospital Basel, holds a general research agreement with Siemens and receives support from Guerbet, Bracco and Bayer, all unrelated to this work. DC has received consulting fees from Servier, Canada. RK holds grants from Biotronik, Biosense Webster, Boston, Medtronic, Abbott. MK has received consultant fees from Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer-BMS, Daiichi-Sankyo, Medtronic, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Biosense Webster, Astra Zeneca, Novartis. NR has received a grant from the Swiss Heart Foundation. CS has received speaker honoraria from Biosense Webster and Medtronic and research grants from Biosense Webster, Daiichi-Sankyo, and Medtronic. MS has received grants unrelated to the submitted work from Amgen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, The Medicines Company; received fees unrelated to the submitted work, from Amgen; and received a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

and VAS scores, higher European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) score, recurrent falls and several comorbidities showed a strong negative impact on HRQoL while AF type was no longer associated with HRQoL.

Conclusions

Multiple factors turned out to influence HRQoL in AF patients. After controlling for several comorbidities, the EHRA score was one of the strongest predictors independent of AF type. The results may be valuable for better patient assessment and provide a reference point for further QoL and health economic analyses in AF populations.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common cardiac arrhythmia with an estimated prevalence of 2% in the general adult population of Europe [1], is associated with a broad range of symptoms such as palpitations, dyspnoea, chest tightness, lethargy, sleeping difficulties, and psychosocial distress [2]. In addition to the burden of the disease itself, patients with AF face an increased risk for major complications such as heart failure, cognitive impairment, and stroke [3]. All these factors may, depending on their grade of manifestation, impact the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of AF patients.

Previous studies investigating the impact of AF on HRQoL found poorer HRQoL in AF patients compared to the general population [4–6]. However, other studies demonstrated that comorbid conditions were more strongly related with HRQoL than the clinical manifestations of AF itself [7, 8], and that HRQoL was mainly impaired in newly diagnosed patients and rose to a normal level with standard treatment [7]. A further study showed that HRQoL was significantly impacted by AF type and symptoms in addition to comorbidities, regardless of disease duration [9].

AF is classified as paroxysmal (i.e., self-terminating AF lasting <7 days that does not require cardioversion), persistent (i.e., AF sustained \geq 7 days and/or requiring cardioversion) or permanent (i.e., cardioversion has failed or not been attempted) [10]. Patient characteristics usually differ by AF type, with permanent AF patients being older and showing more comorbidities [11]. AF symptom burden, on the other hand, is often higher in paroxysmal or persistent AF according to previous studies [2, 12]. HRQoL may thus differ depending on the impact and strength of symptoms and comorbidities. Whether AF type itself plays an independent role in HRQoL is not clear. The few available studies of differences in HRQoL across AF types found either no independent difference [13] or lower HRQoL for paroxysmal and permanent AF [9].

Given these inconsistent findings, we aimed to investigate whether and how HRQoL varies between AF types, to examine which patient characteristics, symptoms and comorbidities are mainly influencing HRQoL, and to investigate whether the influence of certain symptoms or comorbidities on HRQoL is more pronounced within specific AF types.

Materials and methods

Data source

Swiss-AF is a prospective multicentre observational cohort study conducted in 13 clinical centres in Switzerland with the aim to provide new insights on structural and functional brain damage in patients with AF and to investigate other AF-related complications and burden, collecting a large variety of clinical, genetic, phenotypic and health economic data [14, 15]. Recruitment started in April 2014 and was completed in August 2017. Patients were enrolled if they were at least 65 years old. An additional subgroup of 200 patients aged between 45–65 years was enrolled as an additional aim of the cohort was to assess socio-economic aspects of AF in the working population. Participants had to have documented paroxysmal AF (at least twice within the last 60 months), persistent AF (documented within the last 60 months by ECG or rhythm monitoring devices) or permanent AF. The detailed study set-up has previously been described [14]. The study protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committees of Northwest and Central Switzerland (EKNZ), and an informed written consent was obtained from each participant.

Patients were included in this analysis if they had baseline data on HRQoL assessed with the three-level version of the EQ-5D (EQ-5D-3L). The EQ-5D-3L is a standardized instrument to assess generic HRQoL and contains questions on five dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. For each of the five dimension, respondents are offered three response categories (no problems, some problems, extreme problems), leading to 243 possible health states [16, 17]. These health states are then converted into index based values (utilities) ranging from 0 to 1 by applying a country-specific valuation algorithm. As no Swiss value set is available, we used the European Value set (VAS validated) to calculate utilities [18].

Additionally, the instrument includes a visual analogue scale (VAS), on which the patient is asked to score his/her current health state between 0 (worst imaginable health state) and 100 (best imaginable health state).

In addition to single symptoms, such as palpitations, fatigue, or dizziness, we integrated the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) classification score into the analysis. The EHRA score describes the severity of AF-related symptoms, specifically during the time when the patient feels to be in the arrhythmia, and distinguishes four classes: "No symptoms" (I), "mild symptoms" (II), "severe symptoms" (III), and "disabling symptoms" (IV) [19].

EHRA scores, symptoms, comorbidities and the questionnaire part of the EQ-5D-3L instrument were assessed by the study personnel during patient interviews. If relevant, medical records were additionally consulted. The VAS part of the EQ-5D-3L instrument was completed directly by the patients if possible.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics, symptoms, EHRA score and HRQoL results (i.e., EQ-5D utilities and VAS scores) are presented stratified by AF type. Discrete variables are reported as frequencies and percentages and continuous variables as means and standard deviations. To gain an initial understanding of differences between AF types standard univariable tests were used (Pearson chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)). To visualize the relative importance of comorbidities according to AF type, a grouped bar chart of proportional occurrence is shown. Additionally, we analysed how the observed utilities or VAS scores are associated with the EHRA score. Boxplots were used to visually describe the association between the EHRA score and HRQoL measurements. The Kruskal-Wallis test and Spearman's correlation coefficients were used to test for HRQoL differences between EHRA classes.

Covariate influences on HRQoL were subsequently assessed using linear mixed-effects models with random intercepts for centre to take into account possible effects related to the different study centres. Possible covariates were selected based on literature review and clinical experience. To pre-assess candidate covariates representing symptoms, comorbidities, AF type, ECG at study visit and treatments as antiarrhythmic drugs, devices and previous pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) procedures, standard univariable analyses of associations with utilities or VAS scores were performed. Covariates were considered for a multivariable model if they showed a p-value < 0.2 in the univariable analysis. In the multivariable analysis, covariates with a p-value higher than 0.2 were excluded from the model. Collinearity was not observed during analysis. Clinical observations by the study team led to a notion that the impact of comorbidities on HRQoL might be more pronounced in permanent AF patients than in paroxysmal or persistent AF patients while symptoms might be stronger predictors in patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF. In order to assess this assumption, multiplicative interactions between AF type and symptoms or comorbidities were tested and included in the final model if they were statistically significant and lowered the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). The BIC is a criterion for model selection and implies a penalisation for over-complexity. A decrease in BIC indicates an improvement in model fit and better predictive ability [20]. To account for an expected ceiling effect at the value 1 for the utility values, we complemented the linear regression with a Tobit regression, often used to modelling censored variables in econometrics research [21].

All analyses were performed using STATA 13.1 and a p-value <0.05 was considered as threshold for statistical significance.

Results

Patients and symptom burden

Of the 2415 patients enrolled in the study, 2412 (99.9%) completed the baseline EQ-5D and were included in this analysis. The mean age was 73.2 years (IQR 68; 79) and 72.6% of the patients were male. AF type at baseline was paroxysmal for 1079 (44.7%) patients, persistent for 709 (29.4%) and permanent for 624 (25.9%). Patients with permanent AF were older and had higher rates of comorbidities as compared to patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF (Table 1 and S1 Table). For all three AF types, the most frequent comorbidities were hypertension and heart failure (Fig 1). The patients with persistent AF showed the highest use of antiarrhythmic drugs at baseline. The prevalence of previous PVI was similar in paroxysmal and persistent AF and significantly lower in permanent AF.

AF-related symptoms were more frequent in paroxysmal and persistent AF than in permanent AF. Consistent with this observation, symptom severity, as measured by the EHRA score, was higher for paroxysmal and persistent AF. Across all three AF types, more than 50% of the patients were in EHRA class I and hence free of AF-related symptoms (Table 2).

Quality of life by AF type

The lowest unadjusted average HRQoL was found in the permanent AF group, regardless of whether measured with EQ-5D questionnaire results converted to utilities (paroxysmal: 0.83, persistent: 0.84, permanent: 0.80, p<0.001) or the VAS score (paroxysmal: 73.60, persistent: 72.78, permanent: 69.17, p<0.001). Women had lower utilities and VAS scores than men, across all three AF types (Table 3).

After correcting for age, gender, comorbidities, EHRA score, and education, AF type was no longer associated with utilities (joint p-value = 0.054) (Table 4) or VAS scores (joint p-value = 0.634) (Table 5). However, based on the VAS scores, the presence of AF or atrial flutter at the baseline visit showed an independent, significant impact on HRQoL (-1.776, p = 0.045).

The EHRA score as a marker of HRQoL

Fig 2 shows unadjusted utilities and VAS scores by EHRA score across all patients. Betweengroup differences according to the Kruskal-Wallis test were significant for both (p<0.001). Spearman's correlation coefficients also indicated decreased HRQoL with increasing EHRA

		Paroxysmal	Persistent	Permanent	р
N (%)		1079 (44.7)	709 (29.4)	624 (25.9)	
Age	mean (SD)	72.5 (8.6)	71.8 (8.5)	76.3 (7.4)	<0.001
Age groups					<0.001
<65	n/N (%)	143/1079 (13.3)	109/709 (15.4)	34/624 (5.4)	
65-<75	n/N (%)	480/1079 (44.5)	329/709 (46.4)	216/624 (34.6)	
75-<85	n/N (%)	389/1079 (36.1)	235/709 (33.1)	291/624 (46.6)	
> = 85	n/N (%)	67/1079 (6.2)	36/709 (5.1)	83/624 (13.3)	
Male	n/N (%)	737/1079 (68.3)	531/709 (74.9)	484/624 (77.6)	<0.001
BMI	mean (SD)	27.3 (4.9)	27.9 (4.7)	28.1 (4.6)	0.001
Time since first diagnosis (years)	mean (SD) range	4.9 (5.9) 0-52.8	5.1 (7.3) 0-55.7	9.6 (9.5) 0-63.8	<0.001
Antiarrhythmics at baseline	n/N (%)	272/1079 (25.2)	228/708 (32.2)	164/623 (26.3)	0.004
Oral Anticoagulation at baseline					<0.001
NOACs	n/N (%)	614/1078 (57.0)	416/709 (58.7)	199/624 (31.9)	
Vitamin K antagonists	n/N (%)	318/1078 (29.5)	245/709 (34.6)	388/624 (62.2)	
none	n/N (%)	146/1078 (13.5)	48/709 (6.8)	37/624 (5.9)	
History of PVI	n/N (%)	270/1079 (25.0)	177/709 (25.0)	41/624 (6.6)	<0.001
Device (PM, CRT, CRT-ICD, ICD, loop recorder)	n/N (%)	209/1079 (19.4)	118/709 (16.6)	153/624 (24.5)	0.001
MoCA Score	mean (SD) range	25.1 (3.2) 10-30	25.1 (3.2) 9-30	24.2 (3.4) 7-30	<0.001
AF or Flutter at study visit (ECG)	n/N (%)	180/1071 (16.8)	292/705 (41.4)	588/624 (94.2)	<0.001

Table 1. Baseline characteristics according to AF type. BMI, body mass index; NOACs, new oral anticoagulants; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.t001

score (utilities: r = -0.13, p < 0.001; VAS score: r = -0.12, p < 0.001). However, differences between medians were more pronounced in the VAS scores. There was no difference between EHRA classes 3 and 4, with EHRA class 4 represented only by 45 patients.

The impact of symptoms and comorbidities on HRQoL

Multivariable analysis additionally indicated that several symptoms and comorbidities were independently associated with HRQoL. The strongest independent predictors of lower utility



Fig 1. Frequencies of comorbidities according to AF type. TIA, transient ischemic attack; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; OSAS, obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome; PAD, peripheral artery disease.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.g001

		Paroxysmal	Persistent	Permanent	р
Symptoms related to AF					
Palpation	n/N (%)	511/1076 (47.5)	224/709 (31.6)	134/624 (21.5)	<0.001
Dizziness	n/N (%)	194/1076 (18.0)	94/709 (13.3)	53/624 (8.5)	<0.001
Chest pain	n/N (%)	134/1076 (12.5)	50/709 (7.1)	52/624 (8.3)	<0.001
Exercise intolerance	n/N (%)	245/1076 (22.8)	206/709 (29.1)	87/624 (13.9)	<0.001
Dyspnea	n/N (%)	239/1076 (22.2)	202/709 (28.5)	148/624 (23.7)	0.009
Fatigue	n/N (%)	183/1076(17.0)	133/709 (18.8)	70/624 (11.2)	<0.001
Syncopes	n/N (%)	47/1076 (4.4)	16/709 (2.3)	15/624 (2.4)	0.019
None	n/N (%)	312/1076(29.0)	270/709 (38.1)	336/624 (53.8)	<0.001
EHRA Score					0.001
I	n/N (%)	606/1078 (56.2)	390/709 (55.0)	410/624 (65.7)	
II	n/N (%)	365/1078 (33.8)	237/709 (33.4)	170/624 (27.2)	
III	n/N (%)	83/1078 (7.7)	68/709 (9.6)	37/624 (5.9)	
IV	n/N (%)	24/1078 (2.2)	14/709 (2.0)	7/624 (1.1)	
>II	n/N (%)	107/1078 (9.9)	82/709 (11.6)	44/624 (7.1)	0.019

Table 2. Symptoms and EHRA Score according to AF type. EHRA, European Heart Rhythm Association.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.t002

were higher EHRA class (-0.042 for class 3; -0.069 for EHRA class 4, joint p-value = 0.001), recurrent falls (-0.065, p<0.001) and history of pulmonary embolism/deep vein thrombosis (DVT) (-0.052, p<0.001). Further significant negative predictors were presence of malignant disease, sleep apnoea, peripheral artery disease (PAD), hypertension, diabetes and renal insufficiency. Lower education and symptoms of dizziness were also associated with lower utility. Effects of chest pain and fatigue trended towards lower utility (Table 4). If the EHRA score was tentatively excluded from the model these effects became significant. The ECG during the baseline visit and treatment variables representing the use of antiarrhythmic drugs, history of PVI and implanted device showed no impact and were excluded from the final model.

		All	Paroxysmal	Persistent	Permanent	р
All:						
Health Utility EU	Mean (SD)	0.82 (0.17)	0.83 (0.17)	0.84 (0.17)	0.80 (0.18)	<0.001
	Range		0.12-1.00	0.04-1.00	0.00-1.00	
VAS Score	Mean (SD)	72.20 (17.48)	73.60 (17.24)	72.78 (17.74)	69.17 (17.27)	<0.001
	Range		3.00-100.00	0.00-100.00	5.00-100.00	
Male:						
Health Utility EU	Mean (SD)		0.85 (0.17)**	0.85 (0.16)*	0.82 (0.17)**	<0.001
	Range		0.14-1.00	0.24-1.00	0.00-1.00	
VAS Score	Mean (SD)		74.86 (17.12)**	73.36 (17.70)	69.99 (17.02)*	<0.001
	Range		3.00-100.00	0.00-100.00	25.00-100.00	
Female:						
Health Utility EU	Mean (SD)		$0.78 \ (0.18)^{**}$	0.82 (0.19)*	0.76 (0.19)**	0.016
	Range		0.12-1.00	0.04-1.00	0.08-1.00	
VAS Score	Mean (SD)		70.81 (17.19)**	71.03 (17.77)	66.32 (17.88)*	0.024
	Range		8.00-100.00	0.00-100.00	5.00-100.00	

Table 3. EQ-5D-EU-Utilities and VAS Scores according to AF type.

**p<0.001 and

 $^{\ast}p{<}0.05$ between male and female.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.t003

Table 4. Multivariable regression analysis: Predictors of utility in AF patients. Joint p values: AF type p = 0.054, age p < 0.001, EHRA Score p = 0.001, Education level p = 0.004. Study centre was included as a random effect variable in the model. OSAS, obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome; PAD, peripheral artery disease; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; EHRA, European Heart Rhythm Association.

	EQ-5D utility				
	Coef.	Coef. p-value		95% CI	
AF type (paroxysmal as reference)					
persistent	0.008	0.340	-0.008	0.023	
permanent	-0.014	0.092	-0.031	0.002	
Age groups (<65 as reference)					
65-<75	0.012	0.284	-0.009	0.034	
75-<85	-0.012	0.288	-0.035	0.010	
> = 85	-0.049	0.002	-0.082	-0.018	
Female	-0.039	<0.001	-0.054	-0.023	
Dizziness	-0.022	0.027	-0.041	-0.002	
Chest pain	-0.022	0.057	-0.044	0.001	
Fatigue	-0.016	0.086	-0.035	0.002	
Recurrent falls	-0.065	<0.001	-0.089	-0.041	
Malignant disease	-0.021	0.019	-0.039	-0.003	
OSAS	-0.028	0.003	-0.046	-0.009	
PAD	-0.034	0.006	-0.058	-0.009	
Hypertension	-0.024	0.001	-0.039	-0.009	
Diabetes	-0.031	0.001	-0.050	-0.013	
Heart failure	-0.012	0.137	-0.028	-0.004	
Renal insufficiency	-0.026	0.002	-0.044	-0.009	
History of pulmonary embolism/DVT	-0.052	<0.001	-0.075	-0.030	
History of stroke	-0.017	0.082	-0.036	0.002	
EHRA Score (1 as reference)					
EHRA Score 2	-0.014	0.071	-0.029	0.001	
EHRA Score 3	-0.042	0.002	-0.068	-0.016	
EHRA Score 4	-0.069	0.006	-0.118	-0.019	
Educational level (basic as reference)					
middle	0.016	0.151	-0.006	0.037	
advanced	0.034	0.003	0.011	0.056	
Constant	0.930	<0.001	0.892	0.970	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.t004

The strongest independent predictor of lower VAS scores was again higher EHRA class (-2.594 for class 2; -6.557 for class 3; joint p-value<0.001). Other than in the model of utility, history of pulmonary embolism/DVT played only a minor role but history of malignant disease (-5.243, p<0.001) was one of the strongest predictors for HRQoL. Further significant predictors of lower VAS scores were chest pain, recurrent falls, sleep apnoea, PAD, hypertension, diabetes, heart failure, renal insufficiency, myocardial infarction, stroke and lower education (Table 5). In this model also, use of antiarrhythmic drugs and implanted device showed no impact on HRQoL and were thus excluded. AF or atrial flutter during study visit showed a significant negative impact on HRQoL while previous PVI did not yield any effect in the final model.

Testing of interaction effects between AF type and symptoms and comorbidities, respectively, indicated that sleep apnoea had a negative effect on utilities in paroxysmal and permanent AF but not in persistent AF (p for interaction = 0.049). The negative effect of chest pain seemed to be more pronounced in persistent AF as compared to the other two AF types when Table 5. Multivariable regression analysis: Predictors of the VAS score in AF patients. Joint p values: AF type p = 0.634, age p < 0.001, EHRA Score p < 0.001, Educationlevel p = 0.010. Study centre was included as random effect in the model. OSAS, obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome; PAD, peripheral artery disease; DVT, deep veinthrombosis; TIA, transient ischemic attack; EHRA, European Heart Rhythm Association; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation.

	VAS Score				
	Coef. p-value		95%	95% CI	
AF type (paroxysmal as reference)					
persistent	-0.601	0.464	-2.208	1.006	
permanent	-0.933	0.380	-3.016	1.151	
Age groups (<65 as reference)					
65-<75	1.922	0.084	-0.261	4.106	
75-<85	-0.428	0.719	-2.763	1.907	
> = 85	-2.555	0.121	-5.787	0.676	
Female	-2.527	0.002	-4.118	-0.936	
Chest pain	-4.009	<0.001	-6.220	-1.799	
Fatigue	-1.664	0.078	-3.518	0.189	
Recurrent falls	-3.467	0.005	-5.865	-1.068	
Malignant disease	-5.243	<0.001	-7.012	-3.474	
OSAS	-2.848	0.003	-4.710	-0.986	
PAD	-3.104	0.013	-5.554	-0.655	
Hypertension	-1.999	0.007	-3.463	-0.536	
Diabetes	-3.039	0.001	-4.850	-1.227	
Heart failure	-3.297	<0.001	-4.899	-1.694	
Renal insufficiency	-3.528	<0.001	-5.231	-1.825	
History of pulmonary embolism/DVT	-1.942	0.092	-4.202	0.319	
History of myocardial infarction	-3.510	<0.001	-5.335	-1.686	
History of stroke	-3.865	<0.001	-5.789	-1.940	
AF/Flutter at study visit	-1.776	0.045	-3.515	-0.037	
Previous PVI	1.770	0.052	-0.012	3.552	
EHRA Score (1 as reference)					
EHRA Score 2	-2.594	0.001	-4.081	-1.107	
EHRA Score 3	-6.557	<0.001	-9.122	-3.993	
EHRA Score 4	-4.872	0.050	-9.737	-0.006	
Educational level (basic as reference)					
middle	3.146	0.004	1.012	5.280	
advanced	3.304	0.004	1.056	5.552	
Constant	82.099	<0.001	78.177	86.019	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.t005

measuring HRQoL with the VAS Score (p for interaction = 0.015). Also, PAD showed a negative effect on VAS scores in paroxysmal and persistent AF but a positive effect in permanent AF (p for interaction = 0.012). According to the BIC criterion, the interaction terms did not improve model fit and predictive ability. Hence, they were not included in the main models represented in Tables 4 and 5 but shown in [Online Appendix].

The results of the Tobit regressions were fully consistent with those of the linear regression (details not shown).

Discussion

This cross-sectional analysis of 2412 AF patients indicated that HRQoL was mainly influenced by symptom severity and comorbidities, but not independently by the type of AF. We had expected that type of AF might impact QoL not only due to immediate symptom burden but

PLOS ONE



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.g002

also due to factors such as the frequency of symptoms, related fear of symptoms occurring, or habituation in case of permanent AF. However, or results did not show this. VAS scores were negatively influenced if the patient had AF or atrial flutter in the baseline ECG during the study visit.

We had expected that the impact of comorbidities on HRQoL might be more pronounced in permanent AF patients than in paroxysmal or persistent AF patients and that on the other hand, symptom severity might be a stronger predictor in patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF. However, after testing for interactions we found only interactions with no obvious clinical interpretation and could therefore not confirm such a relationship.

In general, when analysing HRQoL data, it is important to not only consider the statistical significance of effects but also their clinical relevance. This is usually achieved using the concept of minimal clinically important difference, which describes whether or not observed changes are meaningful to patients [22]. Although we found several significant predictors of HRQoL, the effects of all single predictors were below the minimal clinically important difference, if defined as a half standard deviation [23]. Applied to the present study, this would be equivalent to changes of 0.09 for the utilities and of 8.74 for the VAS scores, respectively.

However, many patients in our sample were affected by several predictors of reduced HRQoL. Such combinations may have led to clinically important reductions of HRQoL in some patients, as reflected by distribution of values in the histograms of utilities and VAS scores shown in Fig 3.

Previous studies also reported different patient and disease characteristics to be associated with HRQoL in AF patients [24]. Concerning comorbidities, previous studies mentioned sleep apnoea [24, 25], PAD [25], coronary artery disease [24], diabetes [9], and previous stroke [9] as important predictors, which is in line with our results. Heart failure, mentioned also by previous studies [24, 25], was only significant in our VAS score model but not in the utility model. Interestingly, also hypertension remained significantly associated with HRQoL in both models, although it is often not directly felt by the patients. One additional, interesting observation in our study was the strong impact of recurrent falls. This underlines the importance of risk/history of falls assessment in the decision making on medical therapies for AF patients [26]. This notion is consistent with previous observations. For example, in a survey where 41 member centres of the European Heart Rhythm Association EP Research Network completed a web-based questionnaire on frailty, recurrent falls were mentioned as one of the comorbidities most frequently associated with the frailty syndrome and as one important consideration that influences the choice of anticoagulation drug therapy [27]. To our knowledge, no previous study showed the association of falls and HRQoL in AF patients. Interestingly, in our study, the type of anticoagulation at baseline had no impact on HRQoL even if previous studies indicated lower HRQoL in patients treated with vitamin K antagonists for stroke prevention [28] and for venous thromboembolism [29]. This finding may be explained by the fact that we corrected for several factors which may influence the medical decision on the type of the anticoagulation given.

Immediate symptoms of AF such as palpations, fatigue, and syncope played only a minor role in our multivariable models of HRQoL. However, if we excluded the EHRA score, these symptoms became more important. Nevertheless, we decided to include the EHRA score in the reported models, as it was a relatively strong independent predictor of HRQoL and to efficiently consider the absence of symptoms in a substantial proportion of patients.

When looking at the crude association between EHRA scores and HRQoL measurements, both VAS scores and utilities showed a negative association with EHRA class. Differences between the HRQoL medians for each EHRA class were more pronounced in the VAS score. This consistency between patient-reported HRQoL and the physician-assessed EHRA score supports that the EHRA score provides relevant information on the patient's condition in the daily clinical praxis. Other studies which evaluated the association between EHRA score and patient-reported HRQoL also found a good agreement between the two measures [25, 30].

Some non-modifiable, demographic factors were also associated with reduced HRQoL. Additionally to higher age, we found that women had significantly lower HRQoL than men, also after multivariable adjustment. The effect size of gender was comparable to that of certain comorbidities in the utility (Table 4) and VAS score (Table 5) models. Lower HRQoL in women was already described in previous studies investigating HRQoL in AF patients [7, 9, 24, 31]. The reasons why women frequently report lower HRQoL are not fully understood. Higher rates of depression in women were discussed previously, as well as a different subjective perception of HRQoL [7]. A recent study by Blum et al. showed lower health perception and a higher symptom burden in women than men suffering from AF [2].

Strengths and limitations

The strength of the present study is the large number of patients included, and that we could consider a wide variety of possible determinant factors including socioeconomic factors such





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226730.g003

as education. Given only 0.1% missing baseline EQ-5D questionnaires, the results reflect the study population very well. However, the majority of patients enrolled in this cohort is over 65 years old and the study population may therefore not be representative of the full population of AF patients in Switzerland. This could affect mean HRQoL values. Additionally, the study includes mainly Caucasian patients and the results may not be generalizable to other populations. Although the differentiation between AF types is well defined theoretically, allocation in clinical practice is sometimes difficult and misclassifications may occur. Furthermore, to estimate EQ-5D utilities, we had to use the European value set as no value set for Switzerland is available. The observation of higher average values and more patients reporting perfect HRQoL in the questionnaire-based utility part compared to the VAS part of the EQ-5D is expected. Given the design of the instrument, EQ-5D utilities are only responsive to relatively severe impairments of HRQoL [32]. We did not use a disease-specific questionnaire to measure HRQoL, due to two major advantages of the EQ-5D instrument, namely inter-disease comparability and usability for health economic analysis.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results showed that the EHRA score is a good marker of HRQoL in AF patients, and that comorbidities have a greater impact on HRQoL than the type of AF. The study provides some relevant details on the multifactorial character of HRQoL in AF patients. This is important not only for better patient assessment in clinical practice, but also for further studies addressing HRQoL in AF patients, including health economic evaluations. Specifically, our findings provide a starting point for further, longitudinal studies of the development of HRQoL of the Swiss-AF patient cohort, where follow-up data continue to be collected. From a health-economic perspective, improving HRQoL may stimulate a decrease in the need for active health care, which may in turn decrease the financial burden of public healthcare, thus contributing to improve and keep up high-quality treatment of AF.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Comorbidities according to AF type. TIA, transient ischemic attack; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; OSAS, obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; PAD, peripheral artery disease. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Multivariable regression analysis including interaction terms: Predictors of utility in AF patients. Joint p values: age p < 0.001, EHRA Score p = 0.001, Education level p = 0.004, Interaction AF type x sleep apnoea p = 0.049. Study centre was included as a random effect variable in the model. PAD, peripheral artery disease; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; EHRA, European Heart Rhythm Association. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Multivariable regression analysis including interaction terms: Predictors of the VAS score in AF patients. Joint p values: age p < 0.001, EHRA Score p < 0.001, Education level p = 0.010, Interaction AF type x chest pain p = 0.015, Interaction AF type x PAD p = 0.013. Study centre was included as random effect in the model. PAD, peripheral artery disease; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; EHRA, European Heart Rhythm Association; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation.

(DOCX)

S1 File. Swiss-AF investigators. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Fabienne Witassek, Matthias Schwenkglenks.

Data curation: Fabienne Witassek.

Formal analysis: Fabienne Witassek, Matthias Schwenkglenks.

- **Funding acquisition:** Jürg H. Beer, Leo H. Bonati, David Conen, Richard Kobza, Michael Kühne, Stefan Osswald, Nicolas Rodondi, Christian Sticherling, Thomas Szucs, Matthias Schwenkglenks.
- **Investigation:** Jürg H. Beer, Leo H. Bonati, David Conen, Richard Kobza, Michael Kühne, Giorgio Moschovitis, Stefan Osswald, Nicolas Rodondi, Christian Sticherling.

Methodology: Fabienne Witassek, Matthias Schwenkglenks.

Visualization: Fabienne Witassek, Matthias Schwenkglenks.

Writing - original draft: Fabienne Witassek, Matthias Schwenkglenks.

Writing – review & editing: Fabienne Witassek, Anne Springer, Luise Adam, Stefanie Aeschbacher, Jürg H. Beer, Steffen Blum, Leo H. Bonati, David Conen, Richard Kobza, Michael Kühne, Giorgio Moschovitis, Stefan Osswald, Nicolas Rodondi, Christian Sticherling, Thomas Szucs, Matthias Schwenkglenks.

References

- Zoni-Berisso M, Lercari F, Carazza T, Domenicucci S. Epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: European perspective. Clin Epidemiol. 2014; 6:213–20. https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S47385 PMID: 24966695; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4064952.
- Blum S, Muff C, Aeschbacher S, Ammann P, Erne P, Moschovitis G, et al. Prospective Assessment of Sex-Related Differences in Symptom Status and Health Perception Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017; 6(7). https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.005401 PMID: 28666988; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5586278.
- Conen D, Chae CU, Glynn RJ, Tedrow UB, Everett BM, Buring JE, et al. Risk of death and cardiovascular events in initially healthy women with new-onset atrial fibrillation. JAMA. 2011; 305(20):2080–7. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.659 PMID: 21610240; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3105776.
- 4. Thrall G, Lane D, Carroll D, Lip GY. Quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. Am J Med. 2006; 119(5):448 e1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2005.10.057 PMID: 16651058.
- Lane DA, Lip GY. Quality of life in older people with atrial fibrillation. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. 2009; 25(1):37–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-008-9318-y PMID: 19034639.
- Dorian P, Jung W, Newman D, Paquette M, Wood K, Ayers GM, et al. The impairment of health-related quality of life in patients with intermittent atrial fibrillation: implications for the assessment of investigational therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000; 36(4):1303–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(00)00886-x PMID: 11028487.
- Reynolds MR, Lavelle T, Essebag V, Cohen DJ, Zimetbaum P. Influence of age, sex, and atrial fibrillation recurrence on quality of life outcomes in a population of patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation: the Fibrillation Registry Assessing Costs, Therapies, Adverse events and Lifestyle (FRACTAL) study. Am Heart J. 2006; 152(6):1097–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2006.08.011</u> PMID: <u>17161061</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1820843.
- Roalfe AK, Bryant TL, Davies MH, Hackett TG, Saba S, Fletcher K, et al. A cross-sectional study of quality of life in an elderly population (75 years and over) with atrial fibrillation: secondary analysis of data from the Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Treatment of the Aged study. Europace. 2012; 14 (10):1420–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eus102 PMID: 22581625.
- Berg J, Lindgren P, Nieuwlaat R, Bouin O, Crijns H. Factors determining utility measured with the EQ-5D in patients with atrial fibrillation. Qual Life Res. 2010; 19(3):381–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-010-9591-y PMID: 20108048.
- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J. 2016; 37 (38):2893–962. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw210 PMID: 27567408.
- Chiang CE, Naditch-Brule L, Murin J, Goethals M, Inoue H, O'Neill J, et al. Distribution and risk profile of paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent atrial fibrillation in routine clinical practice: insight from the reallife global survey evaluating patients with atrial fibrillation international registry. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2012; 5(4):632–9. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCEP.112.970749 PMID: 22787011.
- 12. Nieuwlaat R, Capucci A, Camm AJ, Olsson SB, Andresen D, Davies DW, et al. Atrial fibrillation management: a prospective survey in ESC member countries: the Euro Heart Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2005; 26(22):2422–34. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehi505 PMID: 16204266.
- Peinado R, Arribas F, Ormaetxe JM, Badia X. Variation in quality of life with type of atrial fibrillation. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2010; 63(12):1402–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1885-5857(10)70274-7 PMID: 21144400.
- Conen D, Rodondi N, Mueller A, Beer J, Auricchio A, Ammann P, et al. Design of the Swiss Atrial Fibrillation Cohort Study (Swiss-AF): structural brain damage and cognitive decline among patients with atrial fibrillation. Swiss Med Wkly. 2017; 147:w14467. <u>https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2017.14467</u> PMID: 28695548.

- Conen D, Rodondi N, Muller A, Beer JH, Ammann P, Moschovitis G, et al. Relationships of Overt and Silent Brain Lesions With Cognitive Function in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019; 73(9):989–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.12.039 PMID: 30846109.
- Brooks R. EuroQol: the current state of play. Health Policy. 1996; 37(1):53–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0168-8510(96)00822-6 PMID: 10158943.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med. 2001; 33 (5):337–43. https://doi.org/10.3109/07853890109002087 PMID: 11491192.
- Matter-Walstra K, Klingbiel D, Szucs T, Pestalozzi BC, Schwenkglenks M. Using the EuroQol EQ-5D in Swiss cancer patients, which value set should be applied? Pharmacoeconomics. 2014; 32(6):591–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-014-0151-0 PMID: 24671924.
- Kirchhof P, Auricchio A, Bax J, Crijns H, Camm J, Diener HC, et al. Outcome parameters for trials in atrial fibrillation: executive summary. Eur Heart J. 2007; 28(22):2803–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurheartj/ehm358 PMID: 17897924.
- Sen S, Bradshaw L. Comparison of Relative Fit Indices for Diagnostic Model Selection. Appl Psychol Meas. 2017; 41(6):422–38. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621617695521 PMID: 29881100; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5978522.
- 21. Austin PC, Escobar M, Kopec JA. The use of the Tobit model for analyzing measures of health status. Qual Life Res. 2000; 9(8):901–10. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1008938326604 PMID: 11284209.
- McGlothlin AE, Lewis RJ. Minimal clinically important difference: defining what really matters to patients. JAMA. 2014; 312(13):1342–3. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.13128 PMID: 25268441.
- Norman GR, Sloan JA, Wyrwich KW. Interpretation of changes in health-related quality of life: the remarkable universality of half a standard deviation. Med Care. 2003; 41(5):582–92. https://doi.org/10. 1097/01.MLR.0000062554.74615.4C PMID: 12719681.
- Randolph TC, Simon DN, Thomas L, Allen LA, Fonarow GC, Gersh BJ, et al. Patient factors associated with quality of life in atrial fibrillation. Am Heart J. 2016; 182:135–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2016. 08.003 PMID: 27914493; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5584577.
- Freeman JV, Simon DN, Go AS, Spertus J, Fonarow GC, Gersh BJ, et al. Association Between Atrial Fibrillation Symptoms, Quality of Life, and Patient Outcomes: Results From the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (ORBIT-AF). Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2015; 8 (4):393–402. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.114.001303 PMID: 26058720.
- Rao MP, Vinereanu D, Wojdyla DM, Alexander JH, Atar D, Hylek EM, et al. Clinical Outcomes and History of Fall in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Treated with Oral Anticoagulation: Insights From the ARIS-TOTLE Trial. Am J Med. 2018; 131(3):269–75 e2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2017.10.036</u> PMID: 29122636.
- Fumagalli S, Potpara TS, Bjerregaard Larsen T, Haugaa KH, Dobreanu D, Proclemer A, et al. Frailty syndrome: an emerging clinical problem in the everyday management of clinical arrhythmias. The results of the European Heart Rhythm Association survey. Europace. 2017; 19(11):1896–902. https:// doi.org/10.1093/europace/eux288 PMID: 29040554.
- Alegret JM, Vinolas X, Arias MA, Martinez-Rubio A, Rebollo P, Rafols C, et al. New oral anticoagulants vs vitamin K antagonists: benefits for health-related quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation. Int J Med Sci. 2014; 11(7):680–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.8916</u> PMID: <u>24843316</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4025166.
- 29. Keita I, Aubin-Auger I, Lalanne C, Aubert JP, Chassany O, Duracinsky M, et al. Assessment of quality of life, satisfaction with anticoagulation therapy, and adherence to treatment in patients receiving long-course vitamin K antagonists or direct oral anticoagulants for venous thromboembolism. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2017; 11:1625–34. https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S131157 PMID: 29026288; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5626412.
- Wynn GJ, Todd DM, Webber M, Bonnett L, McShane J, Kirchhof P, et al. The European Heart Rhythm Association symptom classification for atrial fibrillation: validation and improvement through a simple modification. Europace. 2014; 16(7):965–72. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eut395 PMID: 24534264; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4070972.
- **31.** Andrade JG, Deyell MW, Lee AYK, Macle L. Sex Differences in Atrial Fibrillation. Can J Cardiol. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2017.11.022 PMID: 29455950.
- Payakachat N, Ali MM, Tilford JM. Can The EQ-5D Detect Meaningful Change? A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics. 2015; 33(11):1137–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-015-0295-6 PMID: 26040242; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4609224.