

Correspondence

Evidence informing the UK's COVID-19 public health response must be transparent

Nisreen A Alwan^a Raj Bhopal^b Rochelle A Burgess^{cd} Tim Colburn^d Luis E Cuevas^f George Davey Smith^g Matthias Egger^g Sandra Eldridge^h Valentina Gallo^k Mark S Gilthorpe^l Trish Greenhalgh^m Christopher Griffiths^h Paul R Hunterⁿ Shabbar Jaffar^{fi} Ruth Jepson^o NicolaLow^p Adrian Martineau^h David McCoyⁱ Miriam Orcutt^d Bharat Pankhania^q Hynek Pikhart^e Allyson Pollock^f Gabriel Scally^g James Smith^k Devi Sridhar^o Stephanie Taylorⁱ Peter W G Tennant^s Yrene Themistocleous^m AnneWilson^f on behalf of 36 signatories

The UK Government asserts that its response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is based on evidence and expert modelling. However, different scientists can reach different conclusions based on the same evidence, and small differences in assumptions can lead to large differences in model predictions.

Our country's response to COVID-19 is demonstrably different from how most other countries are responding globally, including elsewhere in Europe. As the government has stressed, it is imperative to delay and flatten the epidemic curve to ensure the National Health Service can cope.¹ This is particularly essential for the UK, which only has 2·5 hospital beds per 1000 population, fewer than in Italy (3·2 per 1000), France (6·0), and Germany (8·0). Initial data from Italy have shown that 9–11% of actively infected patients with COVID-19 required intensive care during the first 10 days of March, 2020.²

It is not clear how the UK's unique response is informed by the experiences of other countries, particularly those that have achieved relative control over the virus as a result of widespread testing, contact tracing, and state-imposed social distancing measures, such as Singapore, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and South Korea.³ The WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease⁴ shows very clearly that only immediate and decisive public health responses worked to prevent or delay hundreds of thousands of cases in China, and WHO has advised that it is vital to tackle the virus at the early stages with social distancing.⁵

We welcome the UK Government's announcement that the modelling and data considered by its Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies will be published in the future.¹ However, we request that the government urgently and openly shares the scientific evidence, data, and models it is using to inform current decision making related to COVID-19 public health interventions within the next 72 h and then at regular intervals thereafter. Time is a luxury we simply do not have as we face this critical public health crisis. As we have already seen in other countries, a matter of a few days can prove critical in terms of saving lives and avoiding health system collapse.

As the UK was not the first country to face a COVID-19 outbreak, knowledge of the disease and evidence pertaining to effective public health interventions is increasingly available. However, this is only advantageous if we incorporate the best available evidence from observations elsewhere and use the time this affords us to refine a comprehensive response based on input and scrutiny from a broad base of scientific experts.

With the UK increasingly becoming an outlier globally in terms of its minimal social distancing population-level interventions, transparency is key to retaining the understanding, cooperation and trust of the scientific and healthcare communities as well as the general public, ultimately leading to a reduction of morbidity and mortality.

We declare no competing interests.

References

- 1) UK Government **Press release - update from SAGE: delay phase modelling**
<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/update-from-sage-delay-phase-modelling> (March 14, 2020), Accessed 15th Mar 2020
- 2) A Remuzzi, G Remuzzi **COVID-19 and Italy: what next?**
Lancet (2020)
published online March 13.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30627-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30627-9)
- 3) RM Anderson, H Heesterbeek, D Klinkenberg, TD Hollingsworth **How will country-based mitigation efforts influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic?**
Lancet (2020)
published online March 9.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30567-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30567-5)
- 4) WHO **Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)**
<https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf> (Feb 16, 2020), Accessed 16th Mar 2020

- a
University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
- b
Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- c
UCL Centre for Global Non-Communicable Diseases, London, UK
- d
UCL Institute for Global Health, London, UK
- e
University College London, London, UK
- f
Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK
- g
University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- h
Institute of Population Health Sciences, London, UK
- i
Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- j
Department of International Public Health, London, UK
- k
London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK
- l
University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- m
University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- n
University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- o
University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- p
University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- q
University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
- r
Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK
- s
University of Leeds, Leeds, UK