Time-resolved porosity changes at cement-clay interfaces derived from neutron imaging

Shafizadeh A.^a, Gimmi T.^{a,c,*}, Van Loon L.R.^a, Kaestner A.P.^b, Mäder U.K.^c,
Churakov S.V.^{a,c}

^aLaboratory for Waste Management, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

^bLaboratory for Neutron Scattering and Imaging, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI,
Switzerland

^cInstitute of Geology, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

Interfaces between cement and clay are common in engineered barrier systems for the underground disposal of radioactive waste. The geochemical contrast between clay and cement leads to alterations of both materials following dissolution and precipitation reactions. Porosity is a key parameter for, and a key outcome of, such reactive transport. Here we report on the evolution of porosity within small samples made from ordinary portland cement and Na montmorillonite. Water contents, a proxy for porosity in saturated samples, were derived from neutron imaging. Specific calibration procedures were developed to account for multiple scattering effects. An increase in cement porosity was observed propagating ~ 2 mm away from the interface within 600 days, and a considerable decrease in clay porosity within ~ 2 mm. Our experiments provide unique information on the dynamics of the processes up to nearly two years. The data set provides valuable input to better constrain reactive transport models.

Keywords: neutron imaging, cement-clay interaction, radioactive waste (E), porosity, water content, reactive transport, image analysis (B), interfacial transition zone (B), transport properties (C), cement paste (D), portland cement (D), waste management (E)

*Corresponding author

Email address: thomas.gimmi@geo.unibe.ch, thomas.gimmi@psi.ch (Gimmi T.)

1. Introduction

Cement and clay are two key components of the barrier systems of radioactive waste repositories. In the multi-barrier design of such repositories, intended to mechanically reinforce open galleries and to enclose waste canisters, large amounts of cementitious material will be used in direct contact with the clay formations or with clays of the engineered barrier systems (EBS). One of the factors affecting the EBS performance is the long-term development of the contact zone between cement and clay. Differences in chemical compositions between these two materials cause diffusive mass flux across their interface, possibly followed by mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions which will alter the composition and structure of both materials.

Several laboratory and field experiments [e.g., 1–11] as well as evidences from natural analogues [e.g., 12] provide information on possible alterations at the material interfaces. For instance, the cement-clay interaction (CI) field experiment in the Mont Terri rock laboratory was initialized to investigate interactions between Opalinus Clay and various types of concretes and cements [2–4]. Alterations within clay or cement matrix were investigated with various techniques. The presence of aggregate grains within the concrete made the application of these techniques difficult, but it was possible to delineate various reaction zones based on SEM/EDX element mapping and XRD measurements. Porosity values derived from impregnation with a traced resin followed by autoradiography were reported by Jenni et al. [2]. They reported a reduction in porosity in the first ~ 1 mm of cement compared to the cement porosity further away, which they related to carbonation. Porosities in both cement regions were, however, larger than expected based on the used water to cement ratio.

Autoradiography and petrophysical measurements of Gaboreau et al. [7] on cement-clay interface samples from the Tournemire underground research laboratory (France) after 15 years of interaction showed a clogging of the porosity in the claystone, likely due to precipitation of secondary phases (e.g., C-S-H), and a porosity increase in the cement. They observed heterogeneous zones of

31 the porosity evolution on both sides of the interface, with extents on the order
32 of centimeters (3.5 cm in the cement and 1.5-2 cm in the claystone). In another
33 study at the same location, Techer et al. [8] confirmed a limited spatial extent
34 of the interactions in the clay-rich rock, with the alteration extending over a few
35 centimeters. In an experiment, also at the Tournemire site, Lalan et al. [10, 11]
36 contacted ordinary portlandite heated to 70°C for one year with the argillite.
37 They found a decrease of porosity on both sides of the interface.

38 Cruz Alonso et al. [5] and Fernández et al. [6] reported on the interaction
39 of concrete with bentonite in the FEBEX experiment at the Grimsel Test Site.
40 After 13 years of interaction, pronounced changes were observed within the
41 first centimeter of a concrete plug in contact with bentonite. The complete
42 disappearance of portlandite in this first centimeter was noted along with a
43 massive ettringite formation, with the sulfate very likely originating from the
44 bentonite. A limited impact on the bulk mineralogy was found on the clay
45 side, with only small changes (some dissolution of primary minerals and some
46 precipitation of secondary minerals) in the first few millimeters, but a somewhat
47 more extended redistribution of exchangeable cations.

48 Various numerical studies were performed to predict mineral alteration path-
49 ways when cementitious material interacts with clay. In a study on the inter-
50 action of cement with different clay host rocks, Kosakowski and Berner [13]
51 estimated for instance the extents of alteration zones for different transport
52 scenarios in a set of long-term predictive calculations. After ~2000 years, the
53 alterations were limited to a comparably narrow zone around the interface (< 5
54 cm), with the porosity tending to zero in the clay, which stopped a further
55 development in the diffusive transport scenario. In another numerical study,
56 Traber and Mäder [14] obtained an altered zone at an Opalinus Clay-concrete
57 interface with an extent of less than 20 cm. The uncertainties of numerical
58 studies are rather large, among other things because kinetic reaction rates and
59 the feedback of mineral alterations on the further diffusive transport are largely
60 unknown [15, 16].

61 Even though clogging might eventually be favorable to delay the migration

62 of radionuclides to the surrounding clay formation, it may have a negative effect
 63 on the re-saturation of the clay formation after closure of a repository, reducing
 64 its chemical and mechanical buffer capacity as well as the overall functionality
 65 of the repository. It could also impact the release of gases at a later stage. De-
 66 veloping methodologies to provide experimental data on these alterations and
 67 their coupled feedback on the repository's transport processes at different time
 68 scales is an essential task in order to refine the current assumptions used in pre-
 69 dictive transport simulations and to increase the reliability of these predictions.
 70 Porosity is a central input parameter in reactive transport models, but also a
 71 central outcome. Accordingly, knowing its evolution with time is particularly
 72 important.

73 Within the scope of the present study, a laboratory experiment has been
 74 designed and performed to investigate the above mentioned alterations under
 75 well controlled conditions, in a non-destructive way, and over a time period
 76 of months to several years. The experimental cell design makes it possible
 77 to benefit from a broad range of non-destructive analyses. Here we present
 78 the results from the first three years of monitoring of water contents at an
 79 ordinary portland cement (OPC) - Na-montmorillonite interface as obtained
 80 from neutron imaging.

81 **2. Materials and methods**

82 *2.1. Sample preparation*

83 The samples of hardened OPC paste, with 5 mm diameter and about 5
 84 mm length, were drilled out of a 14 years old CEM I 52.5 N HTS plug, which
 85 was prepared in 2001 [17–20], then stored in a sealed container and, before
 86 sample preparation, brought into contact with the artificial cement pore wa-
 87 ter, as described by Tits et al. [19]. For the clay samples, a conditioned Na-
 88 montmorillonite powder [21] was used. The powder was pre-saturated within a
 89 desiccator that contained humid air, then compacted to the final 5 mm diameter

90 and 5 mm length in a compaction cell. Details on the origin of the material are
91 given in Shafizadeh et al. [22], including the chemical compositions.

92 The cement and clay plugs were then brought into direct contact in the
93 diffusion cell designed to host the samples and at the same time to allow in-situ
94 experimental techniques to be applied (Fig. 1). In detail, the samples were first
95 introduced into the inert PTFE holders which was then inserted in the aluminum
96 cell. The PEEK porous filters were added to both sides. The two PEEK end
97 caps (screwed to the aluminum body) kept the samples, the PTFE and the
98 filters in place while providing a connection to an external fluid reservoir. Cell
99 type II was designed after the first measurement campaign with cell type I. The
100 improved cell design led to a better signal to noise ratio and caused less artifacts
101 in neutron radiographs, owing to the thinner aluminum part and the elimination
102 of interfering joints. The cell geometry was also changed to a cylindrical shape
103 for future tomography measurements. Also, the new cell has a smaller outer
104 dimension compared to type I and thus allows for having an open beam section
105 within the image, which lowers the uncertainty in data evaluation.

106 Table 1 summarizes the cement-clay interface samples prepared for this study
107 as well as the properties of both cement and clay materials, prior to their contact.
108 Samples were kept in the diffusion cell while the left and right ends were in
109 contact with the corresponding pore water solutions. A peristaltic pump was
110 used to continuously circulate the solution between sample and reservoir. The
111 samples were kept in a glove box environment to avoid CO₂ contaminations and
112 carbonation of the cement. The corresponding pore water compositions for the
113 OPC and the Na-montmorillonite are reported in Table 2.

114 For the neutron radiography measurements, the cells were disconnected from
115 the pump and the solution reservoir, and openings were closed with dead end
116 screws to ensure the cell tightness.

117 *2.2. Neutron imaging measurements*

118 The high interaction probability of neutrons with hydrogenous compounds
119 makes neutron imaging a powerful non-destructive technique to study water dis-

Figure 1: The two designs of the reaction cells used in this study. The components of both reaction cells are (1) Cement sample, (2) clay sample, (3) O-rings, (4) PTFE sample holder, (5) PEEK frits, (6) solution reservoirs within PEEK caps, (7) PEEK caps, (8) aluminum holder and (9) screws. The black square shows the field of view (FOV, 27.5 mm x 27.5 mm) for the neutron images. Outer cell dimensions (without screws): 83 mm x 40 mm x 25 mm (type I), 53 mm x 22 mm $\varnothing$ (type II)

120 tribution inside porous materials [24]. At present, it allows measurements with
 121 a spatial resolution down to $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ and a temporal resolution of minutes to
 122 hours [24–32]. Neutron radiography measurements of the cement-clay interface
 123 samples were performed at the ICON beamline (Imaging with Cold Neutrons)
 124 at the Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI) [33]. Technical specifications of the measure-
 125 ments have been given earlier in Shafizadeh et al. [22]. The prepared interface
 126 samples were radiographed at different times in order to obtain the evolution of
 127 water content profiles across the interface.

128 The neutron beam is collimated from the Swiss spallation neutron source
 129 (SINQ, PSI) through vacuum flight tubes towards the sample (collimation ratio
 130 343 m/m). Once it reaches the sample, it can be transmitted through the sample
 131 or interact with it, which results in attenuation. This attenuation happens when
 132 neutrons are absorbed or scattered by the nuclei of the atoms. The transmitted
 133 neutrons hit a Gd-based scintillator (with 20 μm thickness) with a total field of

Table 1: Overview on the properties of the interface samples used in this study.

	Clay			Cement			Interface
	Dry	Porosity ^a	Length	Dry	Porosity	Length	
No.	bulk			bulk			contact
	density			density			date
(-)	(g cm ⁻³)	(m ³ m ⁻³)	(mm)	(g cm ⁻³)	(m ³ m ⁻³)	(mm)	
C1	1.67	0.39	5.5	0.77	0.63	4.5	14.03.2013
C5	1.44	0.49	5.1	0.77	0.63	4.9	25.09.2013

^a From dry bulk density with grain density of 2.76 g cm⁻³ (C1) and 2.8 g cm⁻³ (C5)

Common parameters:

Sample diameter is 5 mm

Cement initial pore water pH is 13.3 [19] and clay initial pore water pH is 8.7

view of 27×27 mm². The scintillator converts the neutron signals into visible light photons. The photons are then guided via a mirror and a lens system to the cooled CCD camera (with 2048×2048 pixels), where they are digitally recorded and displayed as a 16-bit gray value image. The exposure time of 90 s was needed to obtain a sufficiently high signal to noise ratio to allow a quantitative analysis of the image data. It is also important in order to reach the highest possible resolution which is reported to be $27 \mu\text{m} \times 27 \mu\text{m}$ [33]. In own independent test measurements with the Siemens star periods around $50 \mu\text{m}$ could be resolved. The obtained gray value images were corrected (flat field, dark noise, dose, geometry, [22] and Appendix C) and then processed to obtain water content profiles across the interface sample.

The physical relation between the incident neutron beam I_0 and transmitted neutrons I_T is described by the Beer-Lambert law,

$$\frac{I_T}{I_0} = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i d_i} \quad (1)$$

where μ_i is the attenuation coefficient of each individual material present in the

Table 2: Aqueous total concentrations, OH^- concentrations, pH, and ionic strength (IS) of pore waters in OPC and montmorillonite, calculated by GEMS [23].

	OPC (mol L ⁻¹)	Initial gradient	Montmorillonite (mol L ⁻¹)
Na	0.11	$\longleftrightarrow$	0.30
K	0.21	$\longrightarrow$	—
Ca	0.023	$\longrightarrow$	1.0×10^{-6}
Mg	1.0×10^{-6}	$\longleftarrow$	—
C ^{IV}	1.1×10^{-4}	$\longrightarrow$	—
Cl	1.7×10^{-5}	$\longleftarrow$	0.30
S ^{VI}	—	$\longleftarrow$	0.019
OH^-	0.306	$\longrightarrow$	5.1×10^{-6}
pH	13.3	$\longrightarrow$	8.7
IS	0.3		0.3

sample and d_i the distance that a beam travels through that material. The attenuation coefficient μ of a material is a measure of how strong neutrons interact with the matter. The high attenuation coefficient of hydrogen atoms (present mainly in water), relative to other elements in our samples, makes neutron attenuation most sensitive to the amount of pore water in the cement-clay interface samples.

Ideally, the thickness of an individual material (e.g., d_w of water) in a sample composed of several different materials can be calculated from Eq. 1 with known μ_i and thicknesses $d_{i \neq w}$ of all other materials. The contribution of all other materials can be obtained from the image $I_{T,dry}/I_{0,dry}$ of the dry sample, leading to:

$$d_w = -\frac{1}{\mu_w} \left(\ln \left[\frac{I_T}{I_0} / \frac{I_{T,dry}}{I_{0,dry}} \right] \right). \quad (2)$$

The water content θ is then given as $\theta = d_w/d_T$, where d_T is the total thickness of the sample. In reality, however, obtaining an image of the dry sample may not be feasible, and the attenuation of neutrons through water is not fully linear, making calibration not so simple. The details of our calibration procedure are given in the next subsection.

2.3. Calibration procedure

As our samples had to remain saturated throughout the whole experiment, the reference image of the dry sample was synthesized from separate image parts with a dried cement and a dried clay [22]. This introduces additional uncertainty especially with respect to single pixel values, but the following calibration can compensate for a possible average bias. To derive the 2D water content field in a radiograph, first the cylindrical geometry (variable d_T) had to be taken into account according to $d_T(y) = 2\sqrt{2yr - y^2}$, where r is the radius of the sample and y the lateral position in the radiograph with the sample extending from $y = 0$ to $y = 2r$ (Fig. 2). Then, the water attenuation coefficient (μ_w) is needed. This 2D matrix can be obtained in principle from the theoretical values for hydrogen and oxygen at the beam energy peak of the ICON instrument;

169 or, by performing a calibration measurement with pure water. Both of these
 170 methods provide a single value for μ_w but result in water contents that are not
 171 matching with true water contents of our samples (measured for the cement and
 172 calculated from the bulk density for the clay). Therefore, the contribution of
 173 multiple scattering on the recorded radiographs as well as other image artefacts
 174 need to be corrected for in a more detailed manner.

From calibration measurements with pure water (Fig. A.1a,b in the appendix), we observed that the effective attenuation coefficient μ_w decreased with increasing sample thickness, which coincides here with increasing distance from the lateral boundaries of the sample (Fig. A.1c) due to multiple scattering [34]. Whereas using a single value for μ_w will cause both under- and over-estimation of water contents (Fig. A.1d, the green and red lines) in some regions of the sample, a linear relation

$$\mu_{w,\text{eff}} = \mu_0 + \beta d_w \quad (3)$$

(Fig. A.1c, the blue line) will provide correct water contents in the sample (Fig. A.1d, the dashed line). Using such a linear relation in Eq. 2 allows then estimating the water content from μ_0 and β according to

$$\theta = \frac{d_w}{d_T} = -\frac{1}{d_T} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_0}{2\beta} \right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu_0}{2\beta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[\frac{T_{dry}}{T} \right]} \right] \quad (4)$$

175 It is clear that the empirical linear relation for μ_w will be only approximately
 176 correct for cylindrical samples, even if they have homogeneous water contents
 177 within their circular cross section, because effects of multiple scattering depend
 178 not only on the local amount (thickness) of water but also on the distance
 179 between sample and detector [34], which is a function of y for cylindrical samples.
 180 Nevertheless, this approximate correction works well for samples of our size
 181 having radially homogeneous water content, as was demonstrated for the pure
 182 water sample. Accordingly, we expect it to lead to good results also for our
 183 clay-cement samples, where no (or no large) radial gradients in water content
 184 are expected.

In Shafizadeh et al. [22], it was suggested to use two internal parts of the

sample, denoted as 1 and 2, with known water contents θ_1 and θ_2 (e.g., one in clay and one in cement) to derive the two required calibration parameters μ_0 and β in Eq. 4. By substituting known θ_1 and θ_2 , d_{T_1} and d_{T_2} from sample geometry, and A_1 and A_2 from the neutron radiographs where $A_i = \ln \left[\frac{T_{dry}}{T_i} \right]$, Eq. 4 can be solved for μ_0 and β ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0 &= \frac{A_2 \cdot \theta_1^2 \cdot d_{T_1}^2 - A_1 \cdot \theta_2^2 \cdot d_{T_2}^2}{d_{T_1} \cdot d_{T_2} \cdot \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2 (d_{T_1} \cdot \theta_1 - d_{T_2} \cdot \theta_2)} \\ \beta &= \frac{-A_2 \cdot \theta_1 d_{T_1} + A_1 \cdot \theta_2 d_{T_2}}{d_{T_1} \cdot d_{T_2} \cdot \theta_1 \cdot \theta_1 (d_{T_1} \cdot \theta_1 - d_{T_2} \cdot \theta_2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

185 It was expected that these parameters, obtained from internal standards, can
186 then be used with Eq. 4 to obtain the water content (θ) values across the whole
187 sample domain.

188 This approach worked for one given sample, regardless of the detailed posi-
189 tioning (extent, lateral location) of the calibration regions within the unaltered
190 clay or cement. However, this was not the case for most of the other samples.
191 In these cases Eq. 5 could not be solved for μ_0 and β , which shows that a single
192 calibration valid for both cement and clay did not exist. Therefore, μ_0 and β
193 parameters were separately calibrated for cement and clay.

For this purpose, a line in a region of the sample domain far from the in-
terface and with the least alterations was chosen for each material. To improve
the statistics, instead of just two-points [22], multiple points were selected (e.g.,
averaged over 10×10 pixels) along the calibration line. The transmissivities
 $A = \ln \left[\frac{T_{dry}}{T} \right]$ in these calibration regions represent projections of the circu-
lar cross sections, obtained for different water thicknesses d_w . Effective water
attenuation coefficients $\mu_{w,\text{eff}}(d_w)$ were then calculated using:

$$\mu_{w,\text{eff}}(d_w) = \frac{1}{\theta d_T} \ln \left[\frac{T_{dry}}{T} \right]\tag{6}$$

194 for each calibration region. In this way, one obtains $\mu_{w,\text{eff}}(d_w)$, i.e., the effective
195 scattering coefficients as a function of the total water thickness in each calibra-
196 tion region (Fig. 2). Finally, the μ_0 and β valid for the clay or cement sample
197 domain were estimated by fitting the scattered data linearly. The upper and

198 lower parts of the sample domain with the smallest sample thickness were ex-
199 cluded from the calibration fit, as they showed generally much larger scatter.
200 That is, only the inner part of the sample, shown by the red rectangle in Fig. 2,
201 was used for the fit.

202 As a further problem, it turned out that even though the images were cor-
203 rected for the variable average neutron intensity (dose), a calibration was only
204 valid for measurements taken around the calibration time, but not for images
205 taken at another time. This is probably related to variations in the beam in-
206 tensity histograms for images taken at different times or days. Such variations,
207 which were documented (Appendix B), may lead to differences in multiple scat-
208 tering effects, which then preclude the application of a unique calibration ac-
209 cording to our procedure. As a consequence, calibration had to be done for
210 each image. The obtained μ_0 and β served then as the calibration paramet-
211 ers in Eq. 4 for each measurement time. These parameters account for all the
212 variables affecting the gray values of the image.

213 The calibration procedure allowed finally deriving the 2D water content field
214 from the water transmission image. The 2D water content field was then aver-
215 aged in y direction, perpendicular to the cylinder axis, in order to present the
216 results as 1D profiles along the x direction across the material interface. Our
217 samples were always connected to fluid reservoirs on each side, except during
218 the neutron imaging experiments, where the samples were disconnected from
219 the reservoirs but sealed. We thus expect them to be saturated, such that the
220 volumetric water contents represent porosity.

221 3. Results and Discussion

222 Fig. 3 shows the time series of the obtained volumetric water content profiles
223 across the interfaces of two samples (Table 1). As it can be seen from the
224 general trend of the time-series profiles, the porosity (equal to volumetric water
225 content) on the cement side of the interface tended to increase with increasing
226 reaction time, accompanied by a porosity reduction on the clay side of the

Figure 2: Internal calibration procedure: $\mu_{w,\text{eff}}$ values were derived from transmission values A according to Eq. 6 using the known water content (cement: measured; clay: from bulk dry density) and the total sample thickness along the parallel lines shown in the bottom left figure. A linear fit provided μ_0 (intercept) and β (slope) for Equation 4, which was used to derive the water content image.

227 interface. Fig. 4 shows a closer view of the interface for the same samples
 228 at select reaction times. Cement and clay zones near the interface changed
 229 differently over time, very likely due to dissolution and precipitation reactions.
 230 The results are discussed more in detail in the following.

231 3.1. Amount and extent of porosity changes

232 The porosity in the cement near the interface increased by $\sim 0.05 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ at
 233 most, whereas in the clay near the interface it was reduced by up to $\sim 0.12 \text{ m}^3$
 234 m^{-3} (sample C1) and $\sim 0.14 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ (sample C5). Although there is a trend
 235 in reduction of clay porosity, a residual porosity clearly larger than zero was
 236 preserved at the given spatial resolution of about $30\text{--}50 \mu\text{m}$. The extent of the
 237 zone where porosity was altered is on the order of 2 mm on each side of the
 238 interface in sample C1 within 20 months (599 d) of interaction time, and $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$

Figure 3: Evolution of profiles of water content with reaction time (in days) across two interface samples (top: sample C1, bottom: sample C5). The regions blanked out for sample C1 in the top figure are artefacts originating from the joints of the aluminum holder of cell type I; no such artefacts were present anymore with cell type II used for sample C5 in the bottom figure.

239 in sample C5 within 10 months (296 d) of interaction time.

Figure 4: Details of the evolution of the water content profiles across sample C1 (top row) and sample C5 (bottom row) for the cement parts next to the interface (left plots), and for the clay parts next to the interface (right plots).

240 The two examples represent the typical behavior as observed in most sam-
 241 ples, but in one sample no clear porosity changes were detected, and in another
 242 sample porosity changes occurred mostly on one side only. It may be that dif-
 243 ferent reactions did not occur at different locations in these samples, but in
 244 a mixing zone where both precipitation and dissolution happened. Reactive
 245 transport simulations have shown that the extent and location of mineral trans-
 246 formations are very sensitive to various parameters, which may vary locally
 247 (microstructural heterogeneities) or from sample to sample in our case. Also,
 248 the different patterns could be related to technical limitations of radiographs
 249 which flatten and average a possibly heterogeneous 3D structure into a 2D one.
 250 This becomes especially crucial when the contact surface of the cement plug is

not perfectly flat, e.g., not at an angle of 90° with respect to the cylinder axis. Depending on the rotational position of the sample during the radiograph, a non-perpendicular interface may not be detected and lead to a mixing of clay and cement properties in the image. A tomography would be required to reveal the 3D interior of such a sample, but tomography measurements could not be obtained within the limited amount of beam time.

3.2. Propagation of porosity front in cement and clay

The water content profiles not only reveal information about the amount and the extent of porosity changes, but also about their temporal evolution. Fig. 5 presents the propagation of the porosity fronts in cement and clay. The location of the front is defined as midpoint of the steep increase or decrease in porosity, and the error bars illustrate the approximate width of this front. The front position on the cement side increased steadily with time up to the last observation date after about 600 d. The relation between the position of the porosity front and the reaction time can be approximately described by:

$$x \approx \sqrt{D_a \cdot t} \quad (7)$$

where x is the distance between the front and the interface, D_a is an apparent diffusion coefficient, and t is the reaction time since interface contact. The apparent diffusion coefficient D_a characterizes the approximate transient behavior of the porosity front, but it cannot be related to a retardation coefficient, as in the case of transport of a linearly sorbing tracer. Cement-clay interactions involve strongly non-linear, time-dependent reactions, so using a constant D_a for the porosity front evolution on the cement side during the ~ 600 d (solid blue line in Fig. 5a) is certainly a strong oversimplification. Detailed reactive transport simulations could give more comprehensive insight into the transient behavior. In any case, if transport parameters (ε , D_p) on both sides of the interface had varied strongly with time, a change in D_a with time should have been observed. Looking at the front propagation within the cement more in detail, it appears that there are two major steps during the 600 d of this experiment

271 (Fig. 5a - dashed blue line). The porosity front advanced during the first 200 d,
 272 then remained at about 1.5 mm for the next 200 d, before it advanced to 2 mm
 273 and slowed down again. Verifying the validity of this type of behavior needs for
 274 instance reactive transport modeling.

Figure 5: Advancement of the porosity front away from the interface as a function of time in samples C1 and C5. (a) Front position in cement, (b) front position in clay, both estimated as distance of midpoint of steep porosity change to the interface. The model curves in (a) are a strong oversimplification (see text for discussion).

275 For the clay side (Fig. 5b), the situation is different. The front of reduced
 276 porosity in the clay did not advance with the same rate as the front of increased
 277 porosity in the cement. The position of the front in the clay appears to be more
 278 or less stagnant during the observations. Already at the first measurement after
 279 two months (64 d), the front was located about 2 mm from the interface. It
 280 seems a zone with perturbed porosity had been early created as a result of the
 281 interface contact, but this zone did then not further expand significantly with
 282 increasing reaction time up to about 600 d, even though reactions within this
 283 zone (e.g., porosity reduction) further proceeded.

284 The porosity front on the cement side moved somewhat slower in sample C5
 285 as compared to sample C1, and the distance of the porosity front on the clay
 286 side is also somewhat smaller in sample C5 compared to sample C1. Sample

287 C5 had a lower initial montmorillonite density (and corresponding higher initial
 288 montmorillonite porosity), but it is unclear how this could be related to this
 289 lower propagation rate. As stated, small differences in local or sample-specific
 290 parameters may be responsible for observed quantitative differences between
 291 different samples. Reactive transport simulations can help to investigate such
 292 subtle sensitivities. In turn, the present data can be very helpful in discriminat-
 293 ing between different parameterizations of reactive transport models, especially
 294 with respect to the implementation of feedback between porosity and diffusion.

295 *3.3. Porosity changes as a function of reaction time*

296 The temporal evolution of porosity values at three different locations within
 297 the cement and the clay, respectively, was also derived from the neutron imaging
 298 data (Fig. 6). The porosity tended to alter more in areas close to the interface
 299 compared to the areas far away from it for both cement and clay. The amount
 300 of net porosity change is higher for the clay side compared to the cement side.

301 The porosity trends in sample C1 seem to be more or less monotonical in
 302 both, cement (increasing) and clay (decreasing), up to about day 450. Then, the
 303 trend appears to be inverted on both sides, with a porosity decrease in cement
 304 and a porosity increase in clay, up to about day 512. It remains unclear whether
 305 these temporary inversions of the trends are real or represent a measurement
 306 artefact (e.g., calibration problem). However, the inversions are strongest on the
 307 cement side close to the interface, and weaker further away from the interface
 308 and on the clay side, which may indicate that they are indeed related to local
 309 transport and reaction processes. If real, such changes of trends suggest that
 310 different reactions start to dominate at different times. While dissolution and
 311 precipitation reactions may occur in parallel, our observation in terms of the
 312 porosity, i.e., the sum parameter, just indicate that on the cement side, disso-
 313 lution mostly — with the exception around day 500 — outweighs precipitation
 314 during the experiment, whereas on the clay side, precipitation mostly outweighs
 315 dissolution.

Figure 6: Porosity changes as a function of reaction time at different positions in cement (left plots) and clay (right plots) for samples C1 (top row) and C5 (bottom row).

3.4. Significance of derived porosity data

As mentioned above in subsection 3.1, not all our experimental results are identical and there is some variability in the observations between different samples, with some samples exhibiting less reactions. The reasons are unknown, but the differences may be related to small parameter variations between the different samples. Anyway, the data shown here represent typical observations.

The materials chosen, especially in the case of the clay, are simplified analogs compared to those foreseen in natural or engineered barriers or used in field experiments, as for instance in the CI experiment in the Mont Terri rock laboratory

[2]. This allowed us to focus on the most important interaction processes at the interface and also to provide simpler, better constrained initial conditions for numerical codes. The duration of the reaction between the selected cement and clay materials and the size of the samples (comparably small) were also different from other works on this topic. Although based on small samples, our method presented here has the advantage that it can provide the time and space dependence of porosity changes, in contrast to all methods that require a destructive sampling. Furthermore, it is possible to create and investigate many different samples with reasonable effort.

We are not aware of other studies that provide the porosity evolution at cement-clay interfaces as a function of time and space. In any case, the trends observed during the present study are qualitatively in agreement with observations from other in situ and laboratory experiments. For example, there is a similarity between the findings from this study and the works of Read et al. [1], Gaboreau et al. [7], and Bartier et al. [9]. Fig. 7 shows how the porosity determined from petrophysical measurements varied as a function of distance from a cement-argillite contact in the Tournemire URL after 15 years of interaction [7]. From their measurements, these authors reported “a clogging of the porosity in the clay-rock while the porosity increases in the cement”. The porosity evolution was shown to extend to about a centimeter on both sides of the interface, but “heterogeneously distributed in space as a function of the fissure network and interface geometries”.

The observed changes in porosity are most likely related to mineral alterations. The porosity increase on the cement side is probably linked to the dissolution of portlandite [5], whereas the nature of the precipitating phase on the clay side is yet unclear at present. Besides precipitation and dissolution reactions, the interaction with cement also triggers modifications of the exchanger population of a clay [e.g., 35, 36], with Na being replaced by K and Ca. Such modifications, which typically propagate more quickly as compared to the front(s) of dissolution or precipitation reactions, can affect the interlayer distances and thus the local distribution of water between different pore en-

Figure 7: Total connected porosity at a cement-clay interface after 15 months of interaction (modified from [7]).

356 vironments (e.g., interlayer, interparticle). But for our confined samples, this
 357 redistribution of the pore water is expected to have no or only a weak effect on
 358 the total porosity.

359 4. Conclusions and outlook

360 In this paper we presented time-series results of water content profiles across
 361 several evolving cement-clay interfaces. The water contents, which served as
 362 proxy for porosity, were evaluated from neutron radiographs with a new method-
 363 ology. The present work showed the need to obtain a separate calibration mea-
 364 surement for the neutron images for each material at every measurement time,
 365 especially for investigations spanning long periods of time. Such a need for inter-
 366 nal standard imposes some limits to the applicability of the method to evolving
 367 samples. First, a suited internal calibration region has to be available for each
 368 material. Second, mineral changes within each material should remain compa-
 369 rably small; otherwise, the calibration may no longer be adequate. We used the
 370 unaltered cement or clay material, far away from the interface, as internal cali-

371 bration region, and furthermore applied an approximate correction for multiple
372 scattering effects.

373 The finally observed porosity trends appear reasonable both qualitatively
374 and quantitatively. To cross check the validity of our observations, a D₂O dif-
375 fusion experiment was subsequently planned and performed on several interface
376 samples. This is the topic of a forthcoming publication, but, without going
377 into details, we expect that the derived porosity changes can be confirmed by
378 the D₂O exchange experiment. Also, a new scattering correction method was
379 developed very recently, which will be applied in future experiments to improve
380 the estimation accuracy [37, 38]. Neutron imaging, which can be combined with
381 complimentary X-ray tomography in the future, offers thus unique possibilities
382 to non-destructively determine porosity changes as a function of time in reacting
383 cement or rock samples.

384 The time-series results revealed a clear evolution of water content or porosity
385 close to the interface. An increase in the porosity on the cement side of the
386 interface accompanied by a reduction of porosity on the clay side was observed.
387 These trends are broadly in agreement with observations from in-situ studies.
388 The porosity increase on the cement side is very likely related to portlandite
389 dissolution [5]. The nature of the precipitating phase(s) on the clay side has
390 still to be studied. The likely modification in the exchanger population of the
391 clay (from Na to K, Ca, [e.g., 35, 36]) is expected to have only a minor effect
392 on the total porosity in our confined samples.

393 The ultimate goal of this work was to provide quantitative porosity data
394 at reacting cement-clay interfaces as a function of time, in order to serve the
395 further development of reactive transport models, especially with respect to
396 the feedback between porosity and diffusion. Porosity is at the same time a
397 key parameter for, and a key outcome of, reactive transport. The obtained
398 porosity data set, together with forthcoming chemical analyses of the reacted
399 zones, can be examined using reactive transport codes. The comparably simple
400 initial mineralogical composition of our system certainly facilitates the further
401 interpretation and modeling of the observations. Based on the comparison of

experimental data and modeling results, one may have to adapt existing or introduce new model parameters and feedback mechanisms, all with the aim to eventually better simulate the evolution of cement-clay interfaces in waste repositories. The small-scale experimental observations obtained in this study may thus serve as benchmark data that assist in increasing the confidence in predictions of the long-term stability of cement and clay interfaces.

Acknowledgements

Technical support by the ICON beamline facility technicians is kindly acknowledged. We thank Erich Wieland and Dominik Kunz (PSI) for providing the hardened cement paste. Partial financial support provided by the Swiss national cooperative for the disposal of radioactive waste (Nagra) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] D. Read, F. P. Glasser, C. Ayora, M. T. Guardiola, A. Sneyers, Mineralogical and microstructural changes accompanying the interaction of Boom Clay with ordinary Portland cement, *Advances in Cement Research* 13 (2001) 175–183. doi:10.1680/adcr.2001.13.4.175.
- [2] A. Jenni, U. Mäder, C. Lerouge, S. Gaboreau, B. Schwyn, In situ interaction between different concretes and Opalinus Clay, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 70-71 (2014) 71–83. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2013.11.004.
- [3] A. Dauzères, G. Achiedo, D. Nied, E. Bernard, S. Alahrache, B. Lothenbach, Magnesium perturbation in low-ph concretes placed in clayey environment – solid characterizations and modeling, *Cement and Concrete Research* 79 (2016) 137–150.
- [4] U. Mäder, A. Jenni, C. Lerouge, S. Gaboreau, S. Miyoshi, Y. Kimura, V. Cloet, M. Fukaya, F. Claret, T. Otake, M. Shibata, B. Lothenbach, 5-year chemico-physical evolution of concrete-claystone interfaces, *Mont Terri*

- 429 rock laboratory (Switzerland), *Swiss Journal of Geosciences* 110 (2017)
430 307–327. doi:10.1007/s00015-016-0240-5.
- 431 [5] M. Cruz Alonso, J. L. G. Calvo, J. Cuevas, M. J. Turrero, R. Fernández,
432 E. Torres, A. I. Ruiz, Interaction processes at the concrete-bentonite in-
433 terface after 13 years of febex-plug operation. part i: Concrete alteration,
434 *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 99 (2017) 38–48. doi:10.1016/j.pce.
435 2017.03.008.
- 436 [6] R. Fernández, E. Torres, A. I. Ruiz, J. Cuevas, M. C. Alonso, J. L. G.
437 Calvo, E. Rodríguez, M. J. Turrero, Interaction processes at the concrete-
438 bentonite interface after 13 years of febex-plug operation. part ii: Bentonite
439 contact, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 99 (2017) 49–63. doi:10.1016/
440 j.pce.2017.01.009.
- 441 [7] S. Gaboreau, D. Prêt, E. Tinseau, F. Claret, D. Pellegrini, D. Stammose, 15
442 years of in situ cement-argillite interaction from Tournemire URL: Charac-
443 terisation of the multi-scale spatial heterogeneities of pore space evolution,
444 *Applied Geochemistry* 26 (2011) 2159–2171. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.
445 2011.07.013.
- 446 [8] I. Techer, D. Bartier, P. Boulvais, E. Tinseau, K. Suchorski, J. Cabrera,
447 A. Dauzères, Tracing interactions between natural argillites and hyper-
448 alkaline fluids from engineered cement paste and concrete: Chemical and
449 isotopic monitoring of a 15-years old deep-disposal analogue, *Applied Geo-*
450 *chemistry* 27 (2012) 1384–1402. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.08.013.
- 451 [9] D. Bartier, I. Techer, A. Dauzères, P. Boulvais, M.-M. M. Blanc-Valleron,
452 J. Cabrera, In situ investigations and reactive transport modelling of
453 cement paste/argillite interactions in a saturated context and outside
454 an excavated disturbed zone, *Applied Geochemistry* 31 (2013) 94–108.
455 doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.12.009.
- 456 [10] P. Lalan, A. Dauzères, L. De Windt, D. Bartier, J. Sammaljarvi, J. D.

- 457 Barnichon, I. Techer, V. Detilleux, Impact of a 70 degrees c temper-
 458 ature on an ordinary portland cement paste/claystone interface: An in
 459 situ experiment, Cement and Concrete Research 83 (2016) 164–178.
 460 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.02.001.
- 461 [11] P. Lalan, A. Dauzeres, L. De Windt, J. Sammaljarvi, D. Bartier, I. Techer,
 462 V. Detilleux, M. Siitari-Kauppi, Mineralogical and microstructural evolu-
 463 tion of portland cement paste/argillite interfaces at 70 degrees c - consider-
 464 ations for diffusion and porosity properties, Cement and Concrete Research
 465 115 (2019) 414–425. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.09.018.
- 466 [12] L. H. J. Martin, A. Leemann, A. E. Milodowski, U. K. Mäder, B. Münch,
 467 N. Giroud, A natural cement analogue study to understand the long-
 468 term behaviour of cements in nuclear waste repositories: Maqarin (Jordan),
 469 Applied Geochemistry 71 (2016) 20–34. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2016.
 470 05.009.
- 471 [13] G. Kosakowski, U. Berner, The evolution of clay rock/cement interfaces in
 472 a cementitious repository for low- and intermediate level radioactive waste,
 473 Physics and Chemistry of the Earth 64 (2013) 65–86. doi:10.1016/j.pce.
 474 2013.01.003.
- 475 [14] D. Traber, U. K. Mäder, Reactive Transport Modelling of the Diffusive
 476 Interaction Between Opalinus Clay and Concrete, Nagra Unpublished Re-
 477 port, 2012.
- 478 [15] N. C. M. Marty, C. Tournassat, A. Burnol, E. Giffaut, E. C. Gaucher,
 479 Influence of reaction kinetics and mesh refinement on the numerical mod-
 480 elling of concrete/clay interactions, Journal of Hydrology 364 (2009) 58–72.
 481 doi:10.1016/j.jhydro1.2008.10.013.
- 482 [16] N. C. M. Marty, I. Munier, E. C. Gaucher, C. Tournassat, S. Ga-
 483 boreau, C. Q. Vong, E. Giffaut, B. Cochevin, F. Claret, Simulation
 484 of Cement/Clay Interactions: Feedback on the Increasing Complexity of

485 Modelling Strategies, *Transport in Porous Media* 104 (2014) 385–405.
 486 doi:10.1007/s11242-014-0340-5.

487 [17] L. Döhring, S. Görlich, W., Rüttener, R. Schwerzmann, Herstellung von
 488 homogenen Zementsteinen mit hoher hydraulischer Permeabilität, NAGRA
 489 unpublished report NIB 94-29 (1994).

490 [18] E. Wieland, J. Tits, P. Spieler, J. Dobler, Interaction of Eu(III) and Th(IV)
 491 with sulfate-resisting portland cement, *MRS Proceedings* 506 (1998) 573.
 492 doi:10.1557/PROC-506-573.

493 [19] J. Tits, a. Jakob, E. Wieland, P. Spieler, Diffusion of tritiated water and
 494 $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ through non-degraded hardened cement pastes., *Journal of contam-*
 495 *inant hydrology* 61 (2003) 45–62. doi:10.1016/S0169-7722(02)00112-2.

496 [20] B. Lothenbach, E. Wieland, A thermodynamic approach to the hydration
 497 of sulphate-resisting Portland cement, *Waste Management* 26 (2006) 706–
 498 719. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2006.01.023.

499 [21] M. A. Glaus, S. Frick, R. Rossé, L. R. V. Loon, Comparative study of
 500 tracer diffusion of HTO, $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ and $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$ in compacted kaolinite, illite
 501 and montmorillonite, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 74 (2010) 1999–
 502 2010. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.01.010.

503 [22] A. Shafizadeh, T. Gimmi, L. Van Loon, A. Kaestner, E. Lehmann,
 504 U. Maeder, S. Churakov, Quantification of water content across a cement-
 505 clay interface using high resolution neutron radiography, *Physics Procedia*
 506 69 (2015) 516–523. doi:10.1016/j.phpro.2015.07.073.

507 [23] D. A. Kulik, T. Wagner, S. V. Dmytrieva, G. Kosakowski, F. F. Hingerl,
 508 K. V. Chudnenko, U. R. Berner, GEM-Selektor geochemical modeling
 509 package: Revised algorithm and GEMS3K numerical kernel for coupled
 510 simulation codes, *Computational Geosciences* 17 (2013) 1–24. doi:10.1007/
 511 s10596-012-9310-6.

- [24] R. Hassanein, H. O. Meyer, A. Carminati, M. Estermann, E. Lehmann, P. Vontobel, Investigation of water imbibition in porous stone by thermal neutron radiography, *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* 39 (2006) 4284–4291. doi:10.1088/0022-3727/39/19/023.
- [25] M. Kang, H. Z. Bilheux, S. Voisin, C. L. Cheng, E. Perfect, J. Horita, J. M. Warren, Water calibration measurements for neutron radiography: Application to water content quantification in porous media, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 708 (2013) 24–31. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2012.12.112.
- [26] A. Carminati, A. Kaestner, R. Hassanein, O. Ippisch, P. Vontobel, H. Flühler, Infiltration through series of soil aggregates: Neutron radiography and modeling, *Advances in Water Resources* 30 (2007) 1168–1178. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2006.10.006.
- [27] S. Lal, L. D. Poulikakos, M. Sedighi Gilani, I. Jerjen, P. Vontobel, M. N. Partl, J. C. Carmeliet, D. Derome, Investigation of Water Uptake in Porous Asphalt Concrete Using Neutron Radiography, *Transport in Porous Media* 105 (2014) 431–450. doi:10.1007/s11242-014-0376-6.
- [28] A. Lagorce-Tachon, T. Karbowiak, C. Loupiac, A. Gaudry, F. Ott, C. Alba-Simionesco, R. D. Gougeon, V. Alcantara, D. Mannes, A. Kaestner, E. Lehmann, J.-P. Bellat, The cork viewed from the inside, *Journal of Food Engineering* 149 (2015) 214–221. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.10.023.
- [29] B. Weber, M. Wyrzykowski, M. Griffa, S. Carl, E. Lehmann, P. Lura, Neutron radiography of heated high-performance mortar, *MATEC Web of Conferences* 6 (2013) 03004. doi:10.1051/mateconf/20130603004.
- [30] E. Perfect, C. L. Cheng, M. Kang, H. Z. Bilheux, J. M. Lamanna, M. J. Gragg, D. M. Wright, Neutron imaging of hydrogen-rich fluids in geomaterials and engineered porous media: A review, *Earth-Science Reviews* 129 (2014) 120–135. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.11.012.

- [31] P. Trtik, B. Münch, W. Weiss, A. Kaestner, I. Jerjen, L. Josic, E. Lehmann, P. Lura, Release of internal curing water from lightweight aggregates in cement paste investigated by neutron and X-ray tomography, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 651 (2011) 244–249. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2011.02.012.
- [32] P. Lehmann, D. Or, Evaporation and capillary coupling across vertical textural contrasts in porous media, Physical Review E - Statistical, Non-linear, and Soft Matter Physics 80 (2009) 1–13. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.80.046318.
- [33] A. Kaestner, S. Hartmann, G. Kühne, G. Frei, C. Grünzweig, L. Josic, F. Schmid, E. Lehmann, The ICON beamline – A facility for cold neutron imaging at SINQ, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 659 (2011) 387–393. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2011.08.022.
- [34] R. K. Hassanein, Correction methods for the quantitative evaluation of thermal neutron tomography, Ph.D. thesis, ETH No. 16809, 2006. URL: <http://e-collection.library.ethz.ch/view/eth:29045>. doi:10.3929/ethz-a-005273682.
- [35] C. Watson, K. Hane, D. Savage, S. Benbow, J. Cuevas, R. Fernandez, Reaction and diffusion of cementitious water in bentonite: Results of 'blind' modelling, Applied Clay Science 45 (2009) 54–69. doi:10.1016/j.clay.2009.03.007.
- [36] R. Fernández, U. K. Mader, M. Rodríguez, R. V. de la Villa, J. Cuevas, Alteration of compacted bentonite by diffusion of highly alkaline solutions, European Journal of Mineralogy 21 (2009) 725–735. doi:10.1127/0935-1221/2009/0021-1947.
- [37] P. Boillat, C. Carminati, F. Schmid, C. Grünzweig, J. Hovind, A. Kaestner, D. Mannes, M. Morgano, M. Siegwart, P. Trtik, P. Vontobel, E. Lehmann,

- 570 Chasing quantitative biases in neutron imaging with scintillator-camera
571 detectors: a practical method with black body grids, *Optics Express* 26
572 (2018) 15769. doi:10.1364/oe.26.015769.
- 573 [38] C. Carminati, P. Boillat, F. Schmid, P. Vontobel, J. Hovind, M. Morgano,
574 M. Raventos, M. Siegwart, D. Mannes, C. Gruenzweig, P. Trtik,
575 E. Lehmann, M. Strobl, A. Kaestner, Implementation and assessment of
576 the black body bias correction in quantitative neutron imaging, *PLOS*
577 *ONE* 14 (2019) e0210300. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0210300.

578 **Appendix A**

579 The transmission values of a cylindrical cell fully filled with water are de-
580 creasing with increasing water thickness (Fig. A.1a,b). Scattering effects lead
581 to decrease of the effective attenuation coefficient μ_w with increasing sample
582 thickness (Fig. A.1c,d). This suggests using a linear calibration function for the
583 attenuation coefficient of water in order to derive correct water contents. Such
584 a calibration procedure was used in the present work.

585

Figure A.1: Transmission values and attenuation coefficients obtained for the cylindrical sample cell (cell type II) filled with water. (a) Transmission image, with the yellow line indicating the evaluated cross section of the radial cell. (b) Transmission values along the yellow line. (c) Water attenuation coefficients as a function of true water thicknesses along the yellow line. (d) Comparison of true water thickness (dashed line) along the yellow line, and water thickness calculated by using constant attenuation coefficients μ_w of 3.5 and 3.1 cm^{-1} , or the $\mu_{w,\text{eff}}$ that varies linearly with water thickness according to the calibration fit in (c).

586 Appendix B

587 Fig. B.1 shows histograms of several open beam intensities recorded over
588 a period of 3 years. The values of 16-bit images range between 0 and 65,535
589 ($2^{16} - 1$), but our open beam images had a smaller range with values between 0
590 and 10,500. The intensities were binned in intervals of 100. The histogram plots
591 show clearly that not only the recorded mean intensity of the beam varies with
592 time, but also the intensity distribution. It is likely that several parameters of
593 the beamline setup, including the incident neutron beam intensity, varied over
594 the 3 year observation time. The variations can affect the amount of neutrons
595 scattered from the sample. The lowest gray values in the histograms indicate a
596 probability of the presence of clearly lower energy neutrons in the poly-energetic
597 beam. Low energy neutrons have a higher scattering probability when passing
598 through the sample. Accordingly, any shifts or distortions in the energy spec-
599 trum will affect the mean attenuation coefficient of the water in the sample, as
600 well as the probability of multiple scattering. The partly variable shape of the
601 histograms thus demonstrates that a simple dose window correction procedure is
602 not able to fully account for intensity variations. The most important influence
603 of such variations is their fingerprint on the energy dependent values of the water
604 attenuation coefficient. This highlights the necessity of an experiment-specific
605 time-dependent calibration procedure.

Figure B.1: Histograms of the open beam intensities over a period of 3 years as an indication of setup imposed deviations (beam energy, detector efficiency and camera system variations). The histograms present the frequencies of binned gray values. For our setup intensities of the gray values were between 0 and 10,500 (generally between 0 and 65,535 for a 16-bit image). The intensities were binned in intervals of 100, an arbitrary bin-width. The figure shows the counts in each interval for each open beam image.

Figure C.1: Image processing sequence applied on the raw data to obtain the water content in the interface samples.