

Subperiosteal versus Subdural Drain after Burr-hole Drainage under blood thinners: a Subanalysis of the cSDH-Drain RCT

Maria Kamenova, M.D., Katharina Lutz, M.D., Sabine Schaedelin, MSc, Javier Fandino, M.D., Luigi Mariani, M.D., Jehuda Soleman, M.D.

PII: S1878-8750(20)30605-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.03.134

Reference: WNEU 14593

To appear in: World Neurosurgery

Received Date: 8 February 2020

Revised Date: 20 March 2020

Accepted Date: 22 March 2020

Please cite this article as: Kamenova M, Lutz K, Schaedelin S, Fandino J, Mariani L, Soleman J, Subperiosteal versus Subdural Drain after Burr-hole Drainage under blood thinners: a Subanalysis of the cSDH-Drain RCT, *World Neurosurgery* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.03.134.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Subperiosteal versus Subdural Drain after Burr-hole
 Drainage under blood thinners: a Subanalysis of the cSDH Drain RCT

- Maria Kamenova, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Katharina Lutz, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Sabine Schaedelin, MSc<sup>4</sup>,
  Javier Fandino, M.D.<sup>3</sup>, Luigi Mariani, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Jehuda Soleman, M.D.<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- 7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Inselspital Bern, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, Switzerland

- 9 <sup>4</sup> Clinical Trial Unit, University of Basel, Switzerland
- 10
- 11

12 Disclosure of Funding: This study was funded by the Research Foundation 13 Kantonsspital Aarau. The funder of the study had no role in study design, data 14 collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The 15 corresponding author had full access to all data in the study and had final 16 responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Ċ

17 Conflicts of interest: none

- 18 **Corresponding author:**
- 19 Maria Kamenova M.D.
- 20 Department of Neurosurgery
- 21 University Hospital of Basel
- 22 Spitalstrasse 21, 4031 Basel, Switzerland
- 23 Telephone: +41-76-2785208
- 24 Fax: +41-62-2654141
- 25 E-mail: <u>maria\_kamenova@web.de</u>
- 26

1	Subperiosteal versus Subdural Drain after Burr-hole
2	Drainage under blood thinners: a Subanalysis of the cSDH-
3	Drain RCT
4	Maria Kamenova, M.D. <sup>1</sup> , Katharina Lutz, M.D. <sup>2,3</sup> , Sabine Schaedelin, MSc <sup>5</sup> ,
5	Javier Fandino, M.D. <sup>4</sup> , Luigi Mariani, M.D. <sup>1,2</sup> , Jehuda Soleman, M.D. <sup>1,2</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
7	<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
8	<sup>3</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Inselspital Bern, Bern, Switzerland
9	<sup>4</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, Switzerland
10	<sup>5</sup> Clinical Trial Unit, University of Basel, Switzerland
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Corresponding author:
17	Jehuda Soleman M.D.
18 19	Department of Neurosurgery University Hospital of Basel
20	Spitalstrasse 21
21	4031 Basel, Switzerland
22	Telephone: +41-61- 328 7607
23	Fax: +41-61-265 71 38
24	Email: jehuda.soleman@gmail.com
25 26	
26 27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33 34	

1 Abstract

The chronic subdural hematoma (cSDH)-Drain trial compared recurrence rates and clinical outcome associated with the use of subperiosteal drain (SPD) and subdural drain (SDD) after burr-hole drainage for cSDH. This subgroup analysis aimed to determine, whether one drain type is preferable for patients treated with platelet inhibitors (PI) or anticoagulants (AC).

This subanalysis included 133 patients treated with PI/AC of the 220 patients from the
preceding cSDH-Drain trial. For these patients the association between the drain type
used and recurrence rates, mortality, as well as clinical outcome at 6 weeks and 12
months follow-up were analyzed using a logistic regression analysis model.
Additionally, recurrence rates, clinical outcome, and mortality were assessed for each
PI or AC type separately.

13 The insertion of SPD was associated with 7.35% recurrence rates compared to 13.85 14 % with SDD in patients treated with PI or AC (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.06 - 2.65, p=0.36). 15 Outcome measurements and mortality did not differ significantly between both 16 groups at 6 weeks and 12 months follow up. In addition, there was no statistically significant association between drain type and recurrence rate or mortality when 17 18 comparing data for each PI or AC type. At 24 hours after surgery, significantly more 19 patients under phenprocoumon and natrium-dalteparin had a GCS between 13 and 15 20 in the SDD group compared to the SPD group (p=0.006), while at 6 weeks follow up 21 significantly more patients in the SDD group treated with ASA had a good mRS 22 (p=0.01). At 12 months no significant difference in outcome measurements was seen 23 for all PI and AC types

In patients treated with PI or AC, the insertion of SPD after burr-hole drainage of cSDH showed comparable recurrence, mortality, and long term outcome rates when compared to SDD.

27

28

Key words: chronic subdural hematoma, subperiosteal drain, subdural drain, burr-holedrainage, platelet inhibitors, anticoagulants

2

## 1 Introduction

2 Chronic subdural hematoma (cSDH) represents, with an incidence of 1.7-13.1 per 100,000 inhabitants per year, one of the most common neurosurgical conditions 3 especially among the elderly population<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>. In patients with neurological 4 symptoms, burr-hole drainage and drain insertion is the most common treatment 5 modality<sup>6</sup>. The insertion of a drain was shown to be associated with lower recurrence 6 and mortality rates at 6 months<sup>2</sup>. We recently published the results of a randomized 7 8 controlled trial (cSDH-Drain Trial) comparing the use of subperiosteal drain (SPD) and subdural drain (SDD) after burr hole drainage of cSDH<sup>7</sup>. When compared to 9 SDD, SPD led to similar recurrence rates, while the rate of infections and iatrogenic 10 brain injuries was significantly reduced<sup>7</sup>. The ideal treatment modality for patients 11 with cSDH under platelet aggregation inhibitors (PI) or anticoagulants (AC) remains 12 unclear<sup>8,9</sup>. Since SPD is not positioned in direct contact to cortical structures, bridging 13 veins, or hematoma membranes, it might be favourable to SDD, especially in this 14 15 group of patients who seemingly suffer a higher risk for bleeding and recurrence. On 16 the other hand, SDD which is placed directly within the hematoma cavity, might lead 17 to lower recurrence rates in this group of patients, who are potentially prone to more recurrence rates. We therefore performed a post-hoc subanalysis of this sub-group of 18 19 patients, comparing recurrence rates and outcome depending on the type of drain 20 used.

21

#### 22 Methods

This is a subanalysis of the preceding cSDH-Drain trial<sup>7</sup>. The detailed study 23 design, methodology and results have been presented recently<sup>10,7</sup> In brief, the cSDH-24 Drain trial was a two-centre, prospective, randomized trial including 220 patients with 25 26 symptomatic cSDH requiring surgical evacuation. After burr-hole drainage, patients 27 were randomly assigned to receive either a subdural drain (SDD-group) or a 28 subperiosteal drain (SPD-group). The primary endpoint was symptomatic recurrence 29 requiring a reoperation within 12 months. Secondary outcomes included clinical and 30 radiological outcome, morbidity and mortality rates, and length of stay. Follow up 31 time for all patients was 12 months postoperatively. Of 262 screened patients, 220 32 were randomized to receive either SPD or SDD. All patients were included in the

final analysis (120 SPD and 100 SDD, for further details please refer to Soleman et al. Figure 2)<sup>7</sup>. Recurrence rate was lower in the SPD group (8.33%, 95% confidence interval [CI] 4.28-14.72) than in the SDD group (12.00%, 95% CI 6.66-19.73), with the treatment difference (3.67%, 95% CI -12.6-5.3) not meeting predefined noninferiority criteria<sup>7</sup>. The SPD group showed significantly lower rates of surgical infections (p = 0.04) and iatrogenic morbidity through drain placement (p = 0.02). Length of stay and mortality rates were comparable in both groups.

8 Similarly to the initial study, for this subanalysis recurrence was defined as 9 cSDH diagnosed on CT or MRI on the same side as the initial operation, with new or progressing clinical symptoms requiring surgical treatment. Indications for blood 10 thinners are described in Supplementary Table 1. As defined in the main study 11 protocol<sup>10</sup>, AC medication was reversed preoperatively using Vitamin-K substitution 12 13 (e.g. Konakion) and/or coagulant-factors (e.g. beriplex) aiming for an international 14 normalized ratio (INR) of <1.3. In case of DOACS and PI medication, the decision 15 whether reversal medication should be applied was left for the treating surgeon, since standard reversal treatment was not defined within the protocol of the main trial. Due 16 to the lack of supporting data, reversal treatment using tranexanic-acid (e.g. 17 cyclocaprone), minirin, platelet transfusion, and/or Vitamin-K substitution is rarely 18 19 used at our institutions. Resumption of AC/PI medication was defined within the main 20 study protocol. AC was resumed no earlier than six weeks postoperatively. PI 21 medication was resumed no earlier than two weeks postoperatively, while in cases of 22 PI treatment as a primary prophylaxis, postoperative discontinuation of up to six 23 weeks was tolerated.

24 Compliance with ethical standards

Informed consent: Written informed consent of the patient or the next-of-kin (in
comatose or incompetent patients) was obtained by a member of the neurosurgical
staff prior to randomization.

28 Conflict of Interest: None.

Disclosure of Funding: This study was funded by the Research Foundation
Kantonsspital Aarau. The funder of the study had no role in study design, data
collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The

4

corresponding author had full access to all data in the study and had final
 responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Ethical approval: The trial was done and analyzed according to the STROBE
guidelines. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committees
(Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz, Switzerland)

6

#### 7 Statistical analysis

The associations between recurrence rates, mortality (corrected for the patients 8 age), and the drain type inserted were analysed using a logistic regression model. 9 10 Clinical outcome, including Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), modified Rankin scale (mRS), Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS), and Markwalder Score (MWS) at 24 hours, 6 11 12 weeks and 12 months after surgery for the two drain types were compared and analysed using the chi square test. For analysis, the outcome scores were 13 14 dichotomized as follows: GCS 13-15 and <13, mRS  $\leq 3$  and >3, GOS >3 and  $\leq 3$ , and 15 MWS  $\geq 1$  and <1. The risk for recurrence or mortality in patient with a specific PI or AC (acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; Aspirn Cardio®, Bayer Schweiz AG), natrium-16 17 dalteparin (Fragmin®, Pfizer PFE Switzerland GmbH) and phenprocoumonum (Marcoumar®, MEDA Pharma GmbH), clopidogrel, different oral anticoagulants 18 19 (DOAC; including: acenocumarol (Sintrom®, Medius AG), rivaroxaban (Xarelto®, 20 Bayer Schweiz AG), fondaparinux (Arixtra®, Aspen Pharma Schweiz GmbH), 21 apixaban (Eliquis<sup>®</sup>, Bristol-Myers Squibb SA)) were compared to patients without PI 22 or AC using a multivariate logistic model. Finally, the interaction between the type of drain inserted and recurrence rate for each PI/AC type was analyzed using a 23 24 likelihood ratio test comparing the model with interaction and the model without interaction. Patients treated with two concurrent PI/ACs (e.g. ASA and 25 26 phenprocoumonum) were included for the analysis in the more "aggressive" PI or AC 27 group type. ASA was assessed as the least aggressive, since its effect on perioperative 28 bleeding and recurrence was estimated the lowest, followed by clopidogrel, prasugrel, 29 phenprocoumonum, and natrium-dalteparin. A p-value <0.05 was considered 30 significant. All statistical analyses were done using R (Comprehensive R Archive 31 Network (CRAN), R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, Version

1 3.2.2). The analyses were performed on the per protocol analysis set as defined for the2 main trial analysis.

#### 3 **Results**

Among the 220 study participants recruited between April 15, 2013, and December 9, 2015, 133 patients (60.5%) were treated with PI or AC. Of these, 65 (48.9%) patients received an SDD, while 68 (51.1%) patients received an SPD, respectively. Baseline subgroup characteristics are presented in Table 1, while distribution of drain type and PI/AC types are shown in Table 2.

9 *Recurrence rates and Mortality* 

10 The insertion of SPD was associated with 7.35% recurrence rates compared to 13.85 % with SDD in patients treated with PI or AC, however this difference was not 11 statistically significant (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.06 – 2.65, p=0.36) (Table 3). For patients 12 13 treated with PI or AC, mortality rate did not differ significantly between the SDD and 14 SPD group (9.2%, n=6 vs. 11.7%, n=8, OR 3.01, 95% CI 0.45 - 22.08, p=0.26). 15 Causes for death in the SDD group were one intracerebral bleeding under DOAC, one stroke under clopidogrel, one natural death under Vitamin K antagonists, one 16 17 empyema under clopidogrel, one cancer death under ASA. Causes for death in the SPD group were one multiple organ failure under DOAC, one leucemia death under 18 19 Vitamin K antagonists, one postoperative intracranial bleeding under ASA, one 20 multiple organ failure under ASA, one natural death under ASA, one cardiac failure 21 under Aspirin, one natural death under DOAC and one death of unknown cause under 22 ASA and Vitamin K antagonists. Older patients showed generally higher mortality 23 rates (p=0.01); nevertheless after correcting for age, the drain type did not influence 24 significantly mortality rates (Table 3). The logistic model showed similar recurrence rates (Table 4) and higher mortality rates in patients treated with DOAC (OR 4.21 CI 25 26 [0.98-16.48], p=0.04) compared to patients without PI or AC (Table 5). The 27 likelihood ratio test showed no interaction between the type of drain inserted and type 28 of PI/AC for recurrence of cSDH (p=0.20) and mortality at 12 months (p=0.81).

### 29 *Clinical outcome*

30 Generally, when patients were under PI or AC, at 24hours, 6 weeks and 12 31 months follow-up, GCS, mRS and GOS did not differ significantly between the two

groups (Table 6). After comparing outcome for each PI or AC type separately, at 24 hours after surgery, significantly more patients under phenprocoumonum and natrium-dalteparin had a GCS between 13 and 15 in the SDD group compared to the SPD group (p=0.006), while at 6 weeks follow up significantly more patients in the SDD group treated with ASA had a good mRS (p=0.01) (Table 6). At 12 months no significant difference in outcome measurements was seen for all PI and AC types.

#### 7 Discussion

8 To date, the cSDH-Drain trial is the largest randomized study comparing 9 recurrence rates of surgically drained cSDH after the insertion of SPD or SDD. In 10 daily neurosurgical practice, we are often confronted with cSDH patients treated with PI and/or AC; therefore, it is not surprising that 60.5% of our study participants 11 12 received PI or AC. With this subanalysis, we intended to evaluate an additional aspect 13 that might influence the treatment of cSDH in a subgroup of patients, where to date no guidelines exist and the literature is sparse. According to our results, in patients 14 15 treated with PI or AC undergoing burr hole drainage of cSDH, recurrence rates were 16 lower in the SPD group compared to the SDD group; however significance was not 17 seen. Similarly, at 12 months follow up, no statistically significant association 18 between mortality rates and the inserted drain type were seen. Patients treated with 19 DOAC showed a strong association with mortality, while the drain type in DOAC 20 patients did not influence mortality rates. For all PI or AC types no statistically 21 significant association between the drain type inserted and recurrence or mortality 22 rates was apparent. Patients from the SDD group who were under 23 phenprocoumonum/natrium-dalteparin or acetylsalicylic acid showed significantly 24 higher rates of good GCS at 24 hours, and good mRS at 6 weeks follow up. 25 Otherwise, outcome measurements did not differ significantly between both groups.

26

27 *Recurrence rates* 

Similar to the recurrence rates within the main study, in the subgroup of patients treated with PI or AC, SPD was associated with lower recurrence rates compared to SDD, although significance was not reached. This might be explained by the fact that the SPD insertion technique is associated with less subdural manipulation. Therefore, the risk of injuring bridging veins or cortical vessels, which

1 might predispose acute or chronic rebleeds, is smaller. A few studies compared recurrence rates in patients undergoing burr hole drainage for cSDH who received 2 PI<sup>8,9</sup> or oral anticoagulants<sup>11</sup>; however none of them investigated the association with 3 the inserted drain type<sup>12,13</sup>. According to the literature, when evaluating recurrence 4 5 rates of cSDH after SPD insertion compared to SDD insertion, most authors emphasize comparable recurrence rates with both drainage types<sup>5,14,15,16,17,18,19,20</sup> or in 6 some cases lower recurrence rates with  $SPD^{16}$ . Therefore, it is not surprising that 7 8 patients who might have a higher bleeding risk, due to PI or AC therapy, would also 9 benefit from a less invasive drain insertion technique.

#### 10 *Clinical outcome and mortality*

To our knowledge, there are no studies focusing on the outcome and mortality 11 12 in patients undergoing burr hole drainage, who are under PI or AC, depending on the 13 inserted drain type. At 24 hours, 6 weeks, and 12 months follow up, clinical outcome 14 was overall comparable in both groups. No difference was seen between the groups in 15 mortality rates at 12 months either. These findings are in accordance with the results 16 of our main trial, where clinical outcome and mortality did not differ between the SPD and SDD group. Interestingly, 24 hours after surgery significantly more patients 17 18 under phenprocoumonum/ natrium-dalteparin treatment achieved a GCS of 13-15 in 19 the SDD group compared to the SPD group, while at 6 weeks follow up significantly more patients in the SDD group treated with ASA showed higher mRS scores. 20 21 Comparing our results to external data and interpreting them is difficult, as the current 22 study is the first one to investigate specifically this question. Previous reports describe lower mortality, less complications, and significantly better mRS at 6 months after 23 insertion of SPD compared to SDD<sup>5,18</sup>. However, within these studies the intake of 24 25 blood thinners was not specifically assessed. Our results might have been skewed by the rather small sample size of the medication-subgroups. Therefore, trials with larger 26 27 cohorts are definitely needed to confirm our findings. Lastly, even though some differences between the two drain groups for the short term clinical outcome for some 28 29 PI/AC medications were found, the long-term clinical follow up could not detect these 30 differences in clinical outcome between the drain groups anymore.

31

### 32 Recurrence rates and mortality according to the type of blood thinner

1 No statistically significant association between drainage type and different 2 types of PI or AC for recurrence and mortality was found. However, we observed 3 generally a higher mortality in patients treated with DOAC compared to patients 4 without anticoagulation, irrespective of the drainage type. Since the patients were not 5 randomly assigned to their medical treatment, this comparison is most likely 6 confounded. Probably, patients treated with DOAC were in general sicker, and 7 therefore mortality rates were higher in this group of patients.

- 8
- 9

#### 10 Limitations

Although this subanalysis is based on a large, randomized controlled trial, 11 12 some limitations exist. First, the main study was not initially designed to test the associations between drain types and PI/IC, so that the conclusions of this post-hoc 13 14 subanalysis might not be statistically confirmatory. Exact data on the perioperative 15 discontinuation or postoperative resumption time of PI or AC was not available. In addition, reversal treatment for PI and DOACS (e.g. cyclocapron, minirin, platelet 16 17 transfusion etc.), was based on the decision of the treating surgeon and not collected or documented in a systematic manner. However, the protocol of the main study 18 19 defined discontinuation margins for both. Finally, the dose of the applied PI or AC 20 was not assessed, which might have skewed our results as well. Strengths of this 21 study are the highly relevant subset of data, presented from the largest RCT analysing 22 recurrence rate and outcome after surgical drainage of cSDH and insertion of SPD 23 compared to SDD. To date, this is the first study analysing which drain type seems to 24 be more suitable for patients undergoing burr hole drainage of cSDH treated with PI 25 or AC.

#### 26 Conclusion

In patients treated with PI and/or AC, the insertion of SPD after burr-hole drainage of cSDH showed comparable recurrence, mortality, and long term outcome rates when compared to SDD. These findings, in conjunction with the initial findings of the cSDH-Drain trial, might suggest that the insertion of SPD may be warranted also in patients treated with PI or AC.

		Journal Pre-proof
1	Co	onflict of interest:
2	Or	behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of
3	fin	ancial and non-financial interests.
4		
5	C	mean and ing outhor a mail address.
5	C	prresponding author e-mail address:
6	Jeł	nuda.soleman@gmail.com
7		
8		
9	Re	ferences
)		
10	1.	Markwalder, T. M., Steinsiepe, K. F., Rohner, M., Reichenbach, W. & Markwalder, H. The course of chronic subdural
11		hematomas after burr-hole craniostomy and closed-system drainage. J. Neurosurg. 55, 390–396 (1981).
12	2.	Santarius, T. et al. Use of drains versus no drains after burr-hole evacuation of chronic subdural haematoma: a randomised
13		controlled trial. Lancet Lond. Engl. 374, 1067-1073 (2009).
14	3.	Soleman, J., Taussky, P., Fandino, J. & Muroi, C. Evidence-Based Treatment of Chronic Subdural Hematoma. in
15		Traumatic Brain Injury (ed. Sadaka, F.) (InTech, 2014). doi:10.5772/57336.
16	4.	Baechli, H., Nordmann, A., Bucher, H. C. & Gratzl, O. Demographics and prevalent risk factors of chronic subdural
17		haematoma: results of a large single-center cohort study. Neurosurg. Rev. 27, 263-266 (2004).
18	5.	Bellut, D. et al. Subdural drainage versus subperiosteal drainage in burr-hole trepanation for symptomatic chronic subdural
19		hematomas. World Neurosurg. 77, 111–118 (2012).
20	6.	Weigel, R., Schmiedek, P. & Krauss, J. K. Outcome of contemporary surgery for chronic subdural haematoma: evidence
21		based review. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 74, 937–943 (2003).
22	7.	Soleman, J. et al. Subperiosteal vs Subdural Drain After Burr-Hole Drainage of Chronic Subdural Hematoma: A
23		Randomized Clinical Trial (cSDH-Drain-Trial). Neurosurgery (2019) doi:10.1093/neuros/nyz095.
24	8.	Kamenova, M. et al. Does Early Resumption of Low-Dose Aspirin After Evacuation of Chronic Subdural Hematoma With
25		Burr-Hole Drainage Lead to Higher Recurrence Rates? Neurosurgery 79, 715–721 (2016).

		Journal 1 10-proof
1	9.	Kamenova, M. et al. Burr-Hole Drainage for Chronic Subdural Hematoma Under Low-Dose Acetylsalicylic Acid: A
2		Comparative Risk Analysis Study. World Neurosurg. 100, 594–600 (2017).
3	10.	Soleman, J., Lutz, K., Schaedelin, S., Mariani, L. & Fandino, J. Use of Subperiosteal Drain Versus Subdural Drain in
4		Chronic Subdural Hematomas Treated With Burr-Hole Trepanation: Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial.
5		JMIR Res. Protoc. 5, e38 (2016).
6	11.	Ryu, S. M., Yeon, J. Y., Kong, DS. & Hong, SC. Risk of Recurrent Chronic Subdural Hematoma Associated with Early
7		Warfarin Resumption: A Matched Cohort Study. World Neurosurg. 120, e855–e862 (2018).
8	12.	Poon, M. T. C. & Al-Shahi Salman, R. Association between antithrombotic drug use before chronic subdural haematoma
9		and outcome after drainage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosurg. Rev. 41, 439-445 (2018).
10	13.	Nathan, S., Goodarzi, Z., Jette, N., Gallagher, C. & Holroyd-Leduc, J. Anticoagulant and antiplatelet use in seniors with
11		chronic subdural hematoma: Systematic review. Neurology 88, 1889–1893 (2017).
12	14.	Chih, A. N. W., Hieng, A. W. S., Rahman, N. A. A. & Abdullah, J. M. Subperiosteal Drainage versus Subdural Drainage in
13		the management of Chronic Subdural Hematoma (A Comparative Study). Malays. J. Med. Sci. MJMS 24, 21-30 (2017).
14	15.	Oral, S., Borklu, R. E., Kucuk, A., Ulutabanca, H. & Selcuklu, A. Comparison of subgaleal and subdural closed drainage
15		system in the surgical treatment of chronic subdural hematoma. North. Clin. Istanb. 2, 115-121 (2015).
16	16.	Sjåvik, K. et al. Assessment of drainage techniques for evacuation of chronic subdural hematoma: a consecutive
17		population-based comparative cohort study. J. Neurosurg. 1-7 (2017) doi:10.3171/2016.12.JNS161713.
18	17.	Ishfaq, A. Outcome in Chronic Subdural Hematoma After Subdural vs. Subgaleal Drain. J. Coll. Physicians SurgPak.
19		<i>JCPSP</i> <b>27</b> , 419–422 (2017).
20	18.	Kaliaperumal, C. et al. A prospective randomised study to compare the utility and outcomes of subdural and subperiosteal
21		drains for the treatment of chronic subdural haematoma. Acta Neurochir. (Wien) 154, 2083–2088; discussion 2088-2089
22		(2012).
23	19.	Gazzeri, R. et al. Continuous subgaleal suction drainage for the treatment of chronic subdural haematoma. Acta Neurochir.
24		(Wien) <b>149</b> , 487–493 (2007).

1	20. Zumofen, D., Regli, L., Levivier, M. & Krayenbühl, N. CHRONIC SUBDURAL HEMATOMAS TREATED BY BURR
2	HOLE TREPANATION AND A SUBPERIOSTAL DRAINAGE SYSTEM. Neurosurgery 64, 1116–1122 (2009).
3	
4	Author Contribution statement
5	MK contributed to design of the study, interpreted data, wrote the manuscript
6	KL contributed to data collection
7	SS performed statistical analyses
8	JF and LM provided critical feedback at various stages of the study, approved the
9	final version of the manuscript
10	JS contributed to design and conduct of the study, analyzed and interpreted data,
11	approved the final version of the manuscript.

Variable	SDD (n=64)	SPD (n=68)	P value
Age (mean ± SD)	80.1 (±7.3)	77.9 (±9.7)	0.02
Sex (male) n (%)	47 (73.4)	46 (67.6)	1
Comorbidities n (%)			
• COPD	0	4 (5.9)	0.38
• Dementia	8 (12.5)	6 (8.8)	0.26
• Liver cirrhosis	0	1 (1.5)	0.59
• Obesity	2 (3.1)	3 (4.4)	0.46
• AF	20 (31.2)	23 (33.8)	0.84
• Smoking	2 (3.1)	3 (4.4)	0.92
• Drug abuse	0	0	1
Alkohol abusus	5 (7.8)	0	0.14
• CAD	6 (9.4)	4 (5.9)	0.53
• Stroke	10 (15.6)	13 (19.1)	0.75
• PE	2 (3.1)	5 (7.4)	0.73
• DVT	2 (3.1)	6 (8.8)	0.30
Symptoms			
• Coma n (%)	3 (4.6)	2 (2.9)	0.52
• Incontinence n (%)	2 (3.1)	1 (1.5)	0.85
• Sensory deficit n (%)	3 (4.6)	5 (7.4)	0.63
• others n (%)	0	1 (1.5)	0.87
Outcome meassurments preop			
• GCS median (mean [IQR])	14 [14; 15]	15 [14; 15]	0.29
• mRS (1-3) n (%)	49 (76.6)	47 (69.1)	0.39
• GOS (4-5) n (%)	45 (70.3)	45 (66.2)	1
• Markwalder score (0-1) n (%)	19 (29.7)	22 (32.8)	0.77
Hematoma characteristics			
• Midline shift (mean ± SD)	8.3 (±5.3)	6.8 (±4.5)	0.10

	Journal P	re-proof		
•	Hemorrhage width mm (mean ± SD)	21.4 (±6.3)	18.3 (±5.9)	
	- right (mean ± SD)	19.8 (±5.6)	18.3 (±6.8)	0.44
	- left (mean ± SD)	21.7 (±7.2)	17.9 (±5.5)	0.01
•	Bilateral hemorrhage n (%)	14 (21.9)	15 (22.1)	1.0

#### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of each drain type

SDD: subdural drain; SPD: subperiosteal drain; n: number; AF: atrial fibrillation; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CAD: coronary artery disease; PE: pulmonary embolism; DVT: deep vein thrombosis; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; mRS: modified Rankin scale; GOS: Glasgow Outcome Score

<text>

Type of PI/AC	SDD (n=65)	SPD (n=68)
Acetylsalicylic acid	22 (33.8)	27 (40)
Natrium-dalteparin	1 (1.5)	2 (2.9)
Phenprocoumonum	21 (32.3)	20 (29.4)
Clopidogrel	4 (6.2)	4 (5.9)
DOAC	7 (10.8)	8 (11.8)
Acetylsalicylic acid and natrium-dalteparin	1 (1.5)	0
Acetylsalicylic acid and phenprocoumonum	2 (3.1)	3 (4.4)
Acetylsalicylic acid and clopidogrel	5 (7.7)	4 (4.9)
Acetylsalicylic acid and prasugrel	1 (1.5)	0

## Table 2. Distribution of drainage type and PI/AC

PI: platelet inhibitors; AC: anticoagulants; SDD: subdural drain; SPD: subperiosteal drain; n: number; DOAC: different anticoagulants"

All values: n (%)

Journal Pre-proof											
Term	Variables	OR [95% CI]	p-value								
Estimated association between recurrence rat and use of PI/AC	es, drain type SPD (vs. SDD)	0.41[0.06; 2.65]	0.36								
Estimated association between mortality, drai of PI/AC	n type and use SPD (vs. SDD)	3.01 [0.45; 22.08]	0.26								
Estimated association between mortality, drai of PI/AC corrected for age	n type and use PI or AC/age	1.19 [0.47;1.16]	0.73								

# Table 3. Associations between recurrence rates, mortality and PI/AC according to the drain type

PI: platelet inhibitors; AC: anticoagulants; SDD: subdural drain; SPD: subperiosteal drain CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

Journal Prers

Type of PI/AC	Logistic model (PI/AC type compared to no PI/AC)						
	OR (95% CI)	p-value					
Acetylsalicylic acid/ clopidogrel/prasugrel	1.36 (0.47-3.89)	0.56					
Phenprocoumonum/natrium- dalteparin	0.87 (0.22-2.92)	0.83					
DOAC	1.54 (0.22-7.03)	0.61					

# Table 4. Distribution of recurrence rates at 12 months according to PI or AC type and drain type (logistic model analysis)

PI: platelet inhibitor; AC: anticoagulants; DOAC: other anticoagulants; SPD: subperiosteal drain; SDD: subdural drain; n: number

\*patients with concurrent acetylsalicylic acid treatment included in these groups

(Phenprocoumonum/natrium-dalteparin group: 3 patients with SPD and 3 patients with SDD;

Clopidogrel/prasugrel group: 4 patients with SPD and 6 patients with SDD)

OUTRIC

bold: significant

Ì

PI/AC type	Logistic model (PI/AC type compared to no PI/AC)								
	OR (95% CI)	p-value							
Acetylsalicylic acid/ clopidogrel/prasugrel	1.57 (0.53-4.71)	0.41							
Phenprocoumonum/natrium- dalteparin	0.74 (0.15-2.80)	0.67							
DOAC	4.21 (1.06-16.73)	0.04							

# Table 5. Distribution of mortality rates at 12 months according to PI or AC type and drain type (logistic model analysis).

PI: platelet inhibitor; AC: anticoagulants; DOAC: other anticoagulants; SPD: subperiosteal drain; SDD: subdural drain; n: number;

\*patients with concurrent acetylsalicylic acid treatment included in these groups

(Phenprocoumonum/natrium-dalteparin group: 3 patients with SPD and 3 patients with SDD;

Clopidogrel/prasugrel group: 4 patients with SPD and 6 patients with SDD)

OUTRIC

bold: significant

	All PI/AC			Acetylsalicylic acid			Phenprocoumonum/natrium- dalteparin*			Clopidogrel/prasugrel*			DOAC		
F/U time	SPD	SDD	p-value	SPD	SDD	p-value	SPD	SDD	p-value	SPD	SDD	p-value	SPD	SDD	p-value
GCS (13-15)															
24h	56 (82.3)	59 (92.2)	0.12	20 (74.1)	20 (90.9)	0.16	21 (84.0)	25 (100)	0.006	8 (100)	10 (100)	1	7 (87.5)	4 (57.1)	0.28
6w	62 (96.9)	55 (94.8)	0.66	23 (95.6)	19 (100)	1	24 (100)	24 (96.0)		7 (87.5)	8 (100)	1	8 (100)	4 (66.7)	0.16
12m	54 (98.2)	51 (100)	1	21 (95.5)	18 (100)	1	21 (100)	20 (100)	<b>X</b> 1	7 (100)	8 (100)	1	5 (100)	5 (100)	1
mRS (≤3)															
24h	49 (72.6)	53 (82.8)	0.15	17 (63.0)	16 (72.7)	0.55	20 (80.0)	23 (92.0)	0.42	8 (100)	10 (100)	1	4 (50.0)	4 (57.1)	1
бw	53 (82.8)	55 (94.8)	0.05	17 (70.8)	19 (100)	0.01	22 (91.7)	24 (96.0)	0.61	8 (100)	8 (100)	1	6 (75.0)	4 (66.7)	1
12m	46 (83.4)	47 (92.2)	0.24	18 (81.8)	16 (88.9)	0.67	16 (76.2)	19 (95.0)	0.18	7 (100)	7 (87.5)	1	5 (100)	5 (100)	1
	•			•		9		GOS (>3)							
24h	54 (79.4)	55 (85.9)	0.37	24 (88.9)	17 (77.3)	0.44	19 (76.0)	24 (96.0)	0.09	7 (87.5)	10 (100)	0.44	4 (50.0)	4 (57.1)	1
бw	50 (78.1)	51 (87.9)	0.23	15 (62.5)	18 (94.7)	0.03	22 (91.7)	24 (96.0)	0.61	7 (87.5)	7 (87.5)	1	6 (75.0)	2 (33.3)	0.28
12m	46 (83.4)	45 (88.2)	0.58	16 (72.7)	15 (83.3)	0.48	20 (95.2)	19 (95.0)	1	6 (85.7)	7 (87.5)	1	5 (100)	4 (80.0)	1
							Mark	walder score	e (≥1)						

24h	43 (63.2)	45 (70.3)	0.46	12 (44.4)	13 (59.1)	0.4	19 (76.0)	21 (84.0)	0.73	8 (100)	7 (70.0)	0.22	4 (50.0)	4 (57.1)	1
бw	54 (84.4)	49 (84.5)	1	18 (75.0)	18 (94.7)	0.11	22 (91.7)	23 (92.0)	1	7 (87.5)	5 (62.5)	0.57	7 (87.5)	3 (50.0)	0.24
12m	48 (87.3)	45 (88.2)	1	18 (81.8)	15 (83.3)	1	20 (95.2)	19 (95.0)	1	6 (85.7)	7 (87.5)	1	4 (80.0)	4 (80.0)	1
Total (n)															
24h	68	64		27	22		25	25	<b>0</b>	8	10		8	7	
бw	64	58		24	19		24	25	$\mathbf{\hat{c}}$	8	8		8	6	
12m	55	51		22	18		21	20		7	8		5	5	

## Table 6. Distribution of outcome measurements for PI/AC type and type of drain inserted

F/U: follow up; PI: platelet inhibitor; AC: anticoagulants; DOAC: other anticoagulants; SPD: subperiosteal drain; SDD: subdural drain; n: number; h: hours; w: weeks; m: months; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; GOS: Glasgow Outcome Scale; mRS: modified Rankin Scale \*patients with concurrent acetylsalicylic acid treatment included in these groups (Phenprocoumonum/natrium-dalteparin group: 3 patients with SPD and 3 patients with SDD; Clopidogrel/prasugrel group: 4 patients with SPD and 6 patients with SDD) Bold: significant

Values: n (%)

Journal Pre-proof		
	SDD (n=64)	SPD (n=68)
Acetylsalicylic acid/	i	i
<ul><li>clopidogrel/prasugrel n (%)</li><li>Primary prophylaxis</li></ul>	9 (12.5)	10 (14.7)
• CAD	9 (14.1)	9 (13.2)
• CVI	4 (6.3)	5 (7.4)
Carotid stenosis	2 (3.1)	1 (1.5)
• unknown	1 (3.1)	2 (2.9)
• AF	1 (1.6)	
Polycythaemia vera		1 (1.5)
• Vascular dementia		1 (1.5)
• TIA		2 (2.9)
• PAOD	1 (3.1)	
Acetylsalicylic acid/ + clopidogrel/prasugrel n (%)		
• PAOD	1 (1.6)	
• CAD	2 (3.1)	2 (2.9)
• TEA	1 (1.6)	
• CVI	1 (1.6)	
• Coiling of an intracranial aneurysm		1 (1.5)
Acetylsalicylic acid/ + Phenprocoumonum n (%)		
CAD	1 (1.6)	1 (1.5)
• Jugular vein thrombosis	1 (1.6)	
• CVI		1 (1.5)
• AF		1 (1.5)
• unknown	1 (1.6)	
Phenprocoumonum/natrium-dalteparin n (%)		
• AF	13 (20.3)	15 (22)
• Faktor V Leiden mutation	1 (1.6)	
• PE	3 (4.7)	3 (4.4)
• Sinus vein thrombosis	1 (1.6)	

Journal	Pre-proof	
• unknown	1 (1.6)	
• CAD	3 (4.7)	
• Bone fracture		1 (1.5)
• DVT		3 (4.4)
• Pulmonary hypertension		1 (1.5)
DOAC n (%)		
• AF	5 (7.8)	5 (7.4)
• uknown	1 (1.6)	1 (1.5)
• DVT		1 (1.5)
• Bone fracture	1 (1.6)	1 (1.5)
		- -

## Supplementary Table 1 Indications for blood thinners

SDD: subdural drain; SPD: subperiosteal drain; n: number; AF: atrial fibrillation; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVI: cerebral vascular infarction; CAD: coronary artery disease; PE: pulmonary embolism; DVT: deep vein thrombosis; PAOD: peripheral arterial occlusive disease; TEA: carotid thromboendarterectomy

## **Abbreviations list**

AC	Anticoagulants
ASA	Acetylsalicylic Acid
CAD	Coronary Artery Disease
cSDH	Chronic subdural hematoma
CVI	Cerebrovascular Disease
d	days
DVT	Deep Vein Thrombosis
GCS	Glasgow Coma Scale
GOS	Glasgow Outcome Score
mRS	modified Rankin Scale
min	minutes
n	number
DOAC	Different Oral Anticoagulation
OR time	Operation time
РВС	Packed Blood Cells
PI	Platelet Inhibitors
SDD	Subdural Drain
SPD	Subperiosteal drain
STEMI	ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction
ΤΙΑ	Transient Ischemic Attack
у	years

## **Credit Author Statement**

Maria Kamenova, M.D. contributed to design of the study, interpreted data, wrote the manuscript nceptualization, Writing- Original Draft, Investigation

Katharina Lutz, M.D. contributed to data collection

Sabine Schaedelin, MSc performed statistical analyses

**Javier Fandino, M.D.** provided critical feedback at various stages of the study, approved the final version of the manuscript

**Luigi Mariani, M.D.** provided critical feedback at various stages of the study, approved the final version of the manuscript

Jehuda Soleman, M.D. contributed to design and conduct of the study, analyzed and interpreted data, approved the final version of the manuscript.

Johnaldrei