# **SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS**

# Low Reporting of Cointerventions in Recent Cardiovascular Clinical Trials: A Systematic Review

Elisavet Moutzouri , MD, PhD; Luise Adam, MD; Martin Feller, MD, MSc; Lamprini Syrogiannouli, MSc, PhD; Bruno R. Da Costa, PhD; Cinzia Del Giovane, PhD; Douglas C. Bauer, MD; Drahomir Aujesky, MD, MSc; Arnaud Chiolero, MD, PhD; Nicolas Rodondi, MD, MAS

**BACKGROUND:** A cointervention in a randomized clinical trial (RCT) is medical care given in addition to the tested intervention. If cointerventions are unbalanced between trial arms, the results may be biased. We hypothesized that cointerventions would be more adequately reported in RCTs without full blinding or at risk of bias.

**METHODS AND RESULTS:** To describe the reporting of cointerventions and to evaluate the factors associated with their reporting, we did a systematic search of all RCTs evaluating pharmacological interventions on cardiovascular outcomes published in 5 high-impact journals. The reporting of cointerventions, blinding, and risk of bias were extracted and evaluated independently by 2 reviewers (E.M., L.A.). Cointerventions were inadequately reported in 87 of 123 RCTs (70.7%), with 56 (45.5%) providing no information on cointerventions and 31 (25.2%) providing only partial information. Of the RCTs, 52 (42.3%) had inadequate blinding of participants and/or personnel and 63 (51.2%) of the RCTs were judged at risk of bias. In univariable analysis, the reporting of cointerventions was not associated with blinding of participants and/or personnel (odds ratio [OR], 1.04; 95% Cl, 0.47–2.27 for adequately versus inadequately blinded trials) or with risk of bias (OR, 1.47; 95% Cl, 0.67–3.21 for at low risk of bias versus trials at risk of bias). In multivariable analysis, only a follow-up of <1 month was associated with the adequate reporting of cointerventions (OR, 3.63; 95% Cl, 1.21–10.91).

**CONCLUSIONS:** More than two-thirds of recent major cardiovascular trials did not adequately report cointerventions. The quality of reporting was not better among trials that were not fully blinded or at risk for bias.

REGISTRATION: URL: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/. Unique identifier: CRD42018106771.

Key Words: blinding = cardiovascular trials = cointerventions = competing treatments = reporting = risk of bias

Because randomized clinical trial (RCT) outcomes shape clinical guidelines and daily practice,<sup>1,2</sup> we expect them to meet the highest standards of methodological quality and provide us with robust results.<sup>3,4</sup> RCTs have benefitted from continuous improvement in methodological quality,<sup>5</sup> especially in random sequence generation and allocation concealment, which have freed them from baseline confounding.<sup>5–7</sup> However, randomization does not eliminate differences that may arise between treatment groups

during follow-up. After randomization, bias can arise when participants receive medical care in addition to the intervention of interest (cointerventions)<sup>6,8</sup> if it is not provided equally to all treatment groups.<sup>8–11</sup>

When one group receives more cointerventions than another, the RCT results may be compromised by bias.<sup>6–</sup> <sup>8,11</sup> This unequal distribution of cointerventions might be caused by a failure to adequately blind participants and/ or personnel.<sup>12–14</sup> For example, if investigators know that a participant is receiving an active substance in a trial

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

Correspondence to: Elisavet Moutzouri, MD, PhD, Bern University Hospital, Freiburgstrasse 18, 3010 Bern, Switzerland. E-mail: elisavet.moutzouri@biham. unibe.ch

Supplementary Materials for this article are available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119.014890

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 6.

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

# **CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

### What Is New?

 In this systematic review of major cardiovascular trials in 5 highly influential medical journals, cointerventions were inadequately reported in more than two-thirds of the trials, whereas the quality of reporting was not better among trials that were not fully blinded or at risk for bias.

## What Are the Clinical Implications?

• Cointerventions should be systematically reported in cardiovascular trials to assess the validity of the findings, particularly when trials are not fully blinded.

## Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

OR	odds ratio
RCT	randomized clinical trial
RR	relative risk
CONSORT	Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials
INR	International normalized ratio
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
SPORTIF	Stroke Prevention Using the Oral Direct Thrombin Inhibitor Ximelagatran in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation

designed to prevent myocardial infarction (eg, new antidiabetic drugs), they might suggest that the participant take other medications that reduce cardiovascular risk (eg., statins). If a family doctor knows that a patient is not receiving the active substance, he or she might feel ethically bound to prescribe effective cointerventions.8 If cointerventions affect one group more than another, the results could be biased in either direction.<sup>6,8</sup> To reduce the risk of bias, cointerventions should be reported in both unblinded (ie, open label) and in double-blind trials because blinding can be compromised during the course of even a double-blind RCT by, for example, drugs that are not adequately matched, specific side effects, or laboratory investigations (such as lipid measurements).15-19 It is difficult to measure unblinding in a double-blind RCT, but we can and should quantify its possible consequences by reporting relevant cointerventions.<sup>13,16,17</sup>

Patients in cardiovascular trials often receive multiple treatments (eg, statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets)

beyond the studied medication, each of which could affect outcomes, so cointerventions and in particular these comedications may play an important role in cardiovascular RCTs, especially if unblinded.<sup>6,8,20,21</sup> After several years without new potent drugs for cardiovascular prevention, a number of large RCTs have demonstrated the benefit of recent drugs for cardiovascular prevention,<sup>22-27</sup> but in some there was risk that cointerventions were unbalanced between study groups. We designed this systematic review to evaluate the quality of cointervention reporting in recently published RCTs with cardiovascular outcomes and to evaluate potential explanatory factors for reporting. We hypothesized that cointerventions would be more adequately reported in RCTs that were not fully blinded or otherwise at risk of bias because unbalanced cointerventions between trial arms may be more likely in these studies and could compromise their findings.

# **METHODS**

## **Selection of Articles**

We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE for RCTs evaluating pharmacological interventions on binary cardiovascular outcomes (fatal and/or nonfatal myocardial infarction, fatal and/or nonfatal stroke. mortality as well as composite outcomes) published in the 5 general medical journals with the highest impact factors (New England Journal of Medicine, Lancet, Journal of the American Medical Association, British Medical Journal, and Annals of Internal Medicine) between 2011 and 2019 (see Table S1 for details of the search strategy). Our methods conform to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses.<sup>28</sup> The protocol is registered on PROSPERO (CRD42018106771). One reviewer (E.M.) screened all titles and abstracts, assessed the full text of eligible abstracts and articles, and identified relevant trials. Another investigator (L.A.) independently assessed the eligible abstracts. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

## Assessment of Included RCTs

The following information was extracted: study design (superiority versus noninferiority/equivalence trials), number of patients, type of intervention and comparator, follow-up duration, outcomes, information concerning methods of blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessors, information about cointerventions, implementation of study treatment, adherence to study treatment, cross-overs, statistical analysis conducted, and funding source (industry versus nonindustry). Available information on cointerventions, blinding of participants and/or personnel, adherence to study treatment, and statistical analysis was extracted independently by 2 reviewers (E.M., L.A.). All available information was extracted from the original trial reports, supplementary material, and protocols (if available).

### Definition of Cointerventions and Quality of Their Reporting

Two investigators (E.M., L.A.) independently assessed the cointervention reporting. Because we included RCTs with cardiovascular outcomes, we considered potential cointerventions whose modification has been shown to decrease cardiovascular risk (Box 1).8,29-34 We defined cointerventions as concomitant medications (statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets) over follow-up (Box 1). In addition, diuretics, antidiabetics, and anticoadulants were also included in the definition of "cointervention" if these patients were included in the trials (ie, patients with heart failure, diabetics, or atrial fibrillation). We also defined 2 special categories of cointerventions in (1) RCTs where there was an index procedure after randomization, in which case, in addition to concomitant medications (statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets) over follow-up, procedural characteristics and periprocedural medications between the groups would also be cointerventions<sup>29,30,33</sup> (Box S1), and (2) in RCTs with an index procedure after randomization but with a followup of <1 month in which case cointerventions would be procedural characteristics and periprocedural medications without considering concomitant medications (statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets; Box S1).<sup>29,30,33</sup> Although advice for smoking, diet, and physical activity are also effective cointerventions, they are difficult to

#### Box 1. Definition of Reporting

The reporting was adequate if all of the following elements were reported and inadequate if 1 or more elements were missing.\* Cointerventions are defined as the following:

- · Concomitant medications (statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets) over follow-up.31,32,34
- Special conditions:
  - · If randomization before an index procedure‡ and follow-up >1 month: concomitant medications (statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets<sup>+</sup>) over follow-up and procedural characteristics and periprocedural medications.29,30,338
  - If randomization before an index procedure<sup>‡</sup> and follow-up <1 month: procedural characteristics and periprocedural medications.29,30,33§

\*Information could be anywhere in main article or supplements. Cointerventions should be summarized by percentages or absolute number across groups or the authors should state explicitly in the main text that cointerventions did not differ across the groups.<sup>†</sup> Includes others depending on the condition under study, for example, antidiabetics in trials that included patients with diabetes mellitus or diuretics if heart failure or anticoagulants in trials that included patients with atrial fibrillation; see the detailed descriptions in Table S3.<sup>‡</sup> Index procedures included percutaneous coronaryangiography (n=18), cardiac surgery (n=5), surgery (n=2), and ablation (n=1); see the detailed description in Table S3.§ For more detailed descriptions of procedural characteristics/periprocedural medications, see Box S1.

To evaluate the reporting quality of cointerventions in each RCT, cointerventions were judged as adequately reported if the authors reported all cointerventions across trial arms (as described in Box 1) or if the authors explicitly stated that cointerventions did not differ between groups or gave indirect evidence that cointerventions did not differ between groups (eg, "there were no differences between groups in blood-pressure or cholesterol levels") or that there were no cointerventions. We judged cointerventions as inadequately reported if information in the article or supplement was incomplete (ie, partially reported) or missing (ie, not reported). Trials that did report cointerventions were classed as either "balanced" if there were similar levels of cointerventions between both groups or "unbalanced" and were judged by 2 reviewers (E.M., L.A.) independently. Disagreements were resolved by consensus in discussions that involved a third author (M.F.).

### Assessment of Blinding and the risk of bias

We independently assessed the blinding of participants and/or personnel. We based our judgments about blinding participants and/or personnel on the Cochrane Collaboration risk of bias tool 2011 (Risk of bias 1.0) and instructions from Unverzagt et al (Table S2).<sup>35</sup> We classified RCTs into having adequate blinding or inadequate blinding.

Two authors (E.M., L.A.) used the risk of bias 2.0 tool to independently assess risk of bias caused by deviations from the intended interventions (effect of adhering to treatment),<sup>13</sup> and classified RCTs as at high risk of bias, some concerns, or at low risk of bias. For our analysis, we grouped together RCTs judged as "some concerns" and RCTs judged as "at high risk of bias" and classed them all as "at risk of bias."

In general, there was good agreement regarding the previous classifications: Cohen's k score for interobserver variability was 0.84 for the reporting of cointerventions, 0.87 for blinding participants and/or personnel, and 0.76 for the RoB 2.0 assessment.

### **Statistical Analysis**

We used descriptive statistics. Comparisons between groups were conducted using a chi-square test. We used univariable and multivariable logistic regressions to evaluate the association of reporting of cointerventions with blinding (adequately versus inadequately), risk of bias (trials at low risk of bias versus trials at risk of bias), funding (nonindustry funded versus industry funded), design (superiority versus noninferiority/equivalence), and duration of follow-up  $(\leq 1 \text{ month versus } > 1 \text{ month})$ . Finally, in an analysis that was not prespecified in the protocol, we looked at RCTs that adequately reported cointerventions and explored the aforementioned factors for their association with balanced cointerventions between treatment arms using univariable logistic regression. P values were 2-sided and considered significant if P<0.05. For data management, analysis, and graphics, we used Stata version 15.0.

## RESULTS

### **General Characteristics of Included RCTs**

The literature search identified 1625 potentially eligible reports. After screening titles and abstracts, we evaluated 149 full articles, of which 123 met the inclusion criteria (Figure S1). A detailed description of the excluded trials is provided in Table S3. Table S4 describes the main characteristics of the 123 included RCTs: 83 (67.5%) were published in the New England Journal of Medicine; 27 (21.9%) had a noninferiority/equivalence design; 94 (76.4%) were industry funded; 45 (36.6%) examined antithrombotics or anticoagulants; 16 (13.0%) involved antidiabetics; 14 (11.4%) involved antihypertensives; and 17 (13.8%) were lipid-modifying agents (Table S4). The primary end points of all trials were composite end points (Table S5), and all of the trials had blinded adjudication committees.

### **Reporting of Cointerventions**

As seen in Table, cointerventions were inadequately reported in 87 of 123 RCTs (70.7%), with 56 (45.5%) providing no information on cointerventions and 31 (25.2%) providing only partial information (Table). Table S5 provides detailed descriptions of the potential cointerventions in the protocols, all cointerventions reported and not reported, and the time points of reporting in each RCT. As seen in Table S6, the results remained similar in a stratified analysis based on medication category. Assessing potential cointerventions at regular intervals, usually at each visit and the last visit, was

Table.	Reporting	of Cointerventions	(n=123)
			•

Variable*	Sample, n (%)
Adequately reported	36 (29.3)
Balanced	31/36 (86.1)
Unbalanced	5/36 (13.9)
Partially reported	31 (25.2)
Balanced	26/31 (83.9)
Unbalanced	5/31 (16.1)
Not reported	56 (45.5)

\*"Adequately reported" indicates if cointerventions of interest were reported across trial arms; "partially reported" indicates if only part of the information was provided; "not reported" indicates if there was no reporting on potential cointerventions in the published article or the supplements (see Box 1). often included in study protocols (Table S5). Protocols were not available in only 7 RCTs.

# The Reporting of Cointerventions in Relation to Quality of Blinding and Risk of Bias

A total of 71 (57.7%) RCTs adequately blinded participants and/or personnel, whereas 52 (42.3%) were inadequately blinded. Of the RCTs, 60 (48.8%) were at "low risk of bias"; 63 (51.2%) were "at risk of bias" (n=28, 22.8% as "some concerns"; n=35, 28.5% as "at high risk of bias") because they deviated from planned interventions. Among the 52 trials with inadequate blinding of participants and/or personnel, 15 (28.9%) adequately reported cointerventions versus 21 (29.6%) in those with adequate blinding (P=0.93; Figure A). Among the 63 trials "at risk of bias," 16 (25.4%) adequately reported cointerventions versus 20 (33.3%) in those "at low risk of bias" (P=0.33; FigureB).

# Factors Associated With Adequately Reporting Cointerventions

As seen in Table S7, the odds ratio (OR) in the univariable analysis for adequately reporting cointerventions was 1.04 (95% Cl, 0.47–2.27) comparing adequately versus inadequately blinded trials, 1.47 (95% Cl, 0.67–3.21) comparing trials "at low risk of bias" versus trials "at risk of bias," 2.06 (95% Cl, 0.86–4.92) comparing non-industry-funded trials versus industry-funded trials, 0.63 (95% Cl, 0.26–1.55) comparing superiority trials versus noninferiority/equivalence trials, and 4.33 (95% Cl, 1.63–11.52) comparing trials with a follow-up  $\leq$ 1 month versus >1 month (Table S7). In multivariable analysis, only a follow-up of <1 month was associated with the adequate reporting of cointerventions (OR, 3.63; 95% Cl, 1.21–10.91; Table S7).

# Factors Associated With Balanced Cointerventions

As seen in Table, among the 36 RCTs that adequately reported cointerventions, cointerventions were balanced in 31 and unbalanced in 5 trials. All trials with unbalanced cointerventions were judged as inadequately blinded trials and were industry funded. As seen in Table S8, no other factor was associated with unbalanced cointerventions, even though the confidence intervals were large.

## DISCUSSION

In this systematic review of recent RCTs on cardiovascular outcomes, more than two-thirds of RCTs did not adequately report cointerventions. Reporting was not better among trials that were not fully blinded





**A**, Proportion of trials reporting cointerventions according to blinding of participants and/or personnel (n=123). For the analysis, we grouped together the trials with no information on cointerventions and partial information and defined them as "not adequately reported"; P=0.93 for the comparison between groups. **B**, Proportion of trials reporting cointerventions according to risk of bias attributed to deviation of intended interventions (n=123). For the analysis, we grouped (1) trials with some concerns and at high risk of bias and defined them as "at risk of bias" attributed to the deviation of intended interventions and (2) trials with no information on cointerventions and partial information and defined them as "not adequately reported"; P=0.33 for the comparison between groups.

nor among RCTs at risk of bias in which the reporting of cointerventions would be particularly important to assess the validity of their results. Adequate reporting of cointerventions was more common in trials that followed patients for <1 month, perhaps because cointerventions are easier to assess over a short follow-up.

Lack of blinding could lead to biased results through many different ways. Indeed, an association between lack of blinding and positive results has been shown, especially when the outcomes were subject to ascertainment bias, that is, not "hard" outcomes.<sup>36</sup> RCTs with inadequate blinding seem particularly at risk for unbalanced cointerventions,<sup>14</sup> and reporting cointerventions is important because if they are unbalanced between treatment arms, they could introduce bias.<sup>6,8,11,13</sup> In an earlier systematic review of 12 complementary/alternative medicine RCTs, cointerventions (use of analgesics) were reported in 7 of these studies, and it was shown that not blinding participants was associated with an 1.55 increased risk (95% Cl, 0.99-2.43) of receiving cointerventions.<sup>12</sup> The lack of blinding and cointerventions could also explain the differences in the effect sizes between SPORTIF III (Stroke Prevention Using the Oral Direct Thrombin Inhibitor Ximelagatran in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation),<sup>21</sup> an open-label trial evaluating the effect of ximelagatran versus warfarin on strokes and systemic embolic events and SPORTIF V<sup>20</sup>, a trial with otherwise similar design and end points with SPORTIF III, but double-blinded. Although the potential risk factors were well balanced across the treatment arms within each trial, the effect sizes were

remarkably different between the 2 trials: SPORTIF III, primary event rate 1.6% per year with ximelagatran and 2.3% per year with warfarin (relative risk [RR], 0.71; 95% CI, 0.48-1.07) versus SPORTIF V, primary event rate 1.6% with ximelagatran per year and 1.2% with warfarin per year (RR, 1.38; 95% Cl, 0.91-2.10). Outcome assessments were blinded in both trials. Indeed, in a pooled analysis of the 2 trials,<sup>37</sup> it was shown that the differences between the trials could be attributed to differences in cointerventions such as statins and differences in other risk factors (eg, hypertension), in addition to less variability in international normalized ratio (INR) control in SPORTIF V,37,38 although ascertainment bias cannot be excluded. In our review, the reporting of cointerventions was scarce in both RCTs with adequate and inadequate blinding, and we found no association between blinding and the reporting of cointerventions. The reasons for this could be that the reporting of cointerventions in cardiovascular trials might have received less attention and/or be less standardized. Although the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement recognizes that a lack of blinding may influence the use of cointerventions, subsequent reporting of cointerventions across groups is currently not mandatory.<sup>14</sup> However, cointerventions are among the data required to be collected in a Cochrane systematic review.<sup>13,39</sup>

In cardiovascular medicine, cointerventions may be particularly important because participants usually receive many different treatments that could reduce cardiovascular risk and change cardiovascular outcomes.<sup>6,8</sup> In the Women's Health Initiative, which

examined the effect of hormone therapy on cardiovascular outcomes, the differential use of statins showed significantly different effects on coronary heart disease and stroke, confounding the results.<sup>6</sup> A recently published RCT on the effects of coronary computer tomography on cardiovascular outcomes, which did not blind participants or personnel, found that the participants assigned to the intervention group were more likely to receive additional preventive treatments for cardiovascular disease (statins, antihypertensives, antiplatelets).40 In a double-blind RCT designed to test the effects of fenofibrate versus placebo on hard cardiovascular end points, 17% of the participants on placebo were also treated with statins versus 8% in the fenofibrate group, which may have caused the results to be biased toward the null.<sup>10</sup> In many cardiovascular trials, depending on the type of intervention, the presence of cointerventions may reflect the effectiveness of the study treatment that occurs in a real world instead of a perfect hypothetical study scenario, and the blinding of participants and/or personnel may not always be possible. Nevertheless, as cointerventions may lead to an overestimation of treatment effect, this is of particular concern when the results of an RCT are used for the registration of a new drug. In addition, in this systematic review, we included RCTs with pharmacological interventions (and not surgery or with devices), so that in these cases blinding is usually feasible.

This study has limitations. First, the results were limited to cardiovascular trials published in major medical journals, which represent a minority of published clinical research. However, trials published in journals with high impact factors usually do better in terms of the quality of reporting,<sup>5</sup> and previous methodological reviews have used the same design.<sup>41</sup> Second, this study did not evaluate the reporting of cointerventions in medical fields other than cardiovascular. Third, the definition of which cointerventions should be reported is (to some extent) arbitrary. We proposed a definition (Box 1) that was easy to apply, reflected by a high interobserver agreement (Cohen's  $\kappa$ , 0.84).

## CONCLUSIONS

More than two-thirds of recent major cardiovascular trials did not adequately report cointerventions. The quality of reporting was not better among trials that were not fully blinded or at risk of bias. Our review highlights the need for more standardized, systematic reporting of cointerventions in cardiovascular trials.

**ARTICLE INFORMATION** 

Received October 8, 2019; accepted April 8, 2020.

#### Affiliations

From the Institute of Primary Health Care (E.M., M.F., L.S., B.R.D.C., C.D.G., A.C., N.R.) and Department of General Internal Medicine, Inselspital, University Hospital of Bern (E.M., L.A., M.F., D.A., N.R.), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, CA (D.C.B.); Applied Health Research Centre, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St. Michael's Hospital, Institute of Health Policy, Management, and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada (B.R.D.C.); Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montreal, Canada (A.C.).

#### Sources of Funding

The work of E. Moutzouri and M. Feller was partly supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation (320030\_172676 to N. Rodondi). This work was also supported by the Swiss Society of General Internal Medicine (grant to N. Rodondi).

#### Disclosures

None.

#### Supplementary Materials Tables S1-S8

Figure S1 References 29, 30, and 35

### REFERENCES

- Bothwell LE, Greene JA, Podolsky SH, Jones DS. Assessing the gold standard—lessons from the history of RCTs. N Engl J Med. 2016;374:2175-2181.
- Giannakakis IA, Haidich AB, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG, Papanikolaou GN, Baltogianni MS, Ioannidis JP. Citation of randomized evidence in support of guidelines of therapeutic and preventive interventions. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2002;55:545–555.
- Savovic J, Jones H, Altman D, Harris R, Juni P, Pildal J, Als-Nielsen B, Balk E, Gluud C, Gluud L, et al. Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined analysis of meta-epidemiological studies. *Health Technol Assess*. 2012;16:1–82.
- 4. Ioannidis JP. Why most clinical research is not useful. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13:e1002049.
- Dechartres A, Trinquart L, Atal I, Moher D, Dickersin K, Boutron I, Perrodeau E, Altman DG, Ravaud P. Evolution of poor reporting and inadequate methods over time in 20 920 randomised controlled trials included in Cochrane reviews: research on research study. *BMJ*. 2017;357:j2490.
- Manson JE, Shufelt CL, Robins JM. The potential for postrandomization confounding in randomized clinical trials. JAMA. 2016;315:2273–2274.
- Mansournia MA, Higgins JP, Sterne JA, Hernan MA. Biases in randomized trials: a conversation between trialists and epidemiologists. *Epidemiology*. 2017;28:54–59.
- Sackett DL. Clinician-trialist rounds: 5. Cointervention bias—how to diagnose it in their trial and prevent it in yours. *Clin Trials*. 2011;8: 440–442.
- Hazelbag CM, Peters SAE, Blankestijn PJ, Bots ML, Canaud B, Davenport A, Grooteman MPC, Kircelli F, Locatelli F, Maduell F, et al. The importance of considering competing treatment affecting prognosis in the evaluation of therapy in trials: the example of renal transplantation in hemodialysis trials. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2017;32:ii31–ii39.
- Keech A, Simes RJ, Barter P, Best J, Scott R, Taskinen MR, Forder P, Pillai A, Davis T, Glasziou P, et al. Effects of long-term fenofibrate therapy on cardiovascular events in 9795 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the FIELD study): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005;366:1849–1861.
- Hempel S, Suttorp MJ, Miles JNV, Wang Z, Maglione M, Morton S, Johnsen B, Valentine D, Shekelle PG. *Empirical Evidence of Associations Between Trial Quality and Effect Size*. Rockville, MD: AHRQ Methods for Effective Health Care; 2011.
- Hrobjartsson A, Emanuelsson F, Skou Thomsen AS, Hilden J, Brorson S. Bias due to lack of patient blinding in clinical trials. A systematic

review of trials randomizing patients to blind and nonblind sub-studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43:1272–1283.

- Sterne JAC, Savovic J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, Cates CJ, Cheng HY, Corbett MS, Eldridge SM, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2019;366:I4898.
- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2010;1:100–107.
- Haahr MT, Hrobjartsson A. Who is blinded in randomized clinical trials? A study of 200 trials and a survey of authors. *Clin Trials*. 2006;3:360–365.
- Bello S, Moustgaard H, Hrobjartsson A. The risk of unblinding was infrequently and incompletely reported in 300 randomized clinical trial publications. J Clin Epidemiol. 2014;67:1059–1069.
- Bello S, Moustgaard H, Hrobjartsson A. Unreported formal assessment of unblinding occurred in 4 of 10 randomized clinical trials, unreported loss of blinding in 1 of 10 trials. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2017;81:42–50.
- Bello S, Wei M, Hilden J, Hrobjartsson A. The matching quality of experimental and control interventions in blinded pharmacological randomised clinical trials: a methodological systematic review. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2016;16:18.
- Boutron I, Estellat C, Guittet L, Dechartres A, Sackett DL, Hrobjartsson A, Ravaud P. Methods of blinding in reports of randomized controlled trials assessing pharmacologic treatments: a systematic review. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3:e425.
- Albers GW, Diener HC, Frison L, Grind M, Nevinson M, Partridge S, Halperin JL, Horrow J, Olsson SB, Petersen P, et al. Ximelagatran vs warfarin for stroke prevention in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2005;293:690–698.
- Olsson SB, Executive Steering Committee of the SIIII. Stroke prevention with the oral direct thrombin inhibitor ximelagatran compared with warfarin in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation (SPORTIF III): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362:1691–1698.
- Kernan WN, Viscoli CM, Furie KL, Young LH, Inzucchi SE, Gorman M, Guarino PD, Lovejoy AM, Peduzzi PN, Conwit R, et al. Pioglitazone after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:1321–1331.
- Goette A, Merino JL, Ezekowitz MD, Zamoryakhin D, Melino M, Jin J, Mercuri MF, Grosso MA, Fernandez V, Al-Saady N, et al. Edoxaban versus enoxaparin-warfarin in patients undergoing cardioversion of atrial fibrillation (ENSURE-AF): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. *Lancet.* 2016;388:1995–2003.
- O'Connor CM, Starling RC, Hernandez AF, Armstrong PW, Dickstein K, Hasselblad V, Heizer GM, Komajda M, Massie BM, McMurray JJ, et al. Effect of nesiritide in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365:32–43.
- Cannon CP, Blazing MA, Giugliano RP, McCagg A, White JA, Theroux P, Darius H, Lewis BS, Ophuis TO, Jukema JW, et al. Ezetimibe added to statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372:2387–2397.
- Wright JT Jr, Whelton PK, Reboussin DM. A randomized trial of intensive versus standard blood-pressure control. N Engl J Med. 2016;374:2294.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, Eliaschewitz FG, Jodar E, Leiter LA, Lingvay I, Rosenstock J, Seufert J, Warren ML, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:1834–1844.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2009;62:e1–e34.

- Sousa-Uva M, Head SJ, Milojevic M, Collet JP, Landoni G, Castella M, Dunning J, Gudbjartsson T, Linker NJ, Sandoval E, et al. 2017 EACTS guidelines on perioperative medication in adult cardiac surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2018;53:5–33.
- Sousa-Uva M, Neumann FJ, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, Byrne RA, Collet JP, Falk V, Head SJ, et al. 2018 ESC/ EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2019;55:4–90.
- 31. Smith SC Jr, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, Braun LT, Creager MA, Franklin BA, Gibbons RJ, Grundy SM, Hiratzka LF, Jones DW, et al. AHA/ACCF secondary prevention and risk reduction therapy for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Circulation*. 2011;124:2458–2473.
- 32. Pagidipati NJ, Navar AM, Pieper KS, Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, Josse RG, McGuire DK, Lokhnygina Y, Cornel JH, et al. Secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: international insights from the TECOS Trial (Trial Evaluating Cardiovascular Outcomes With Sitagliptin). *Circulation*. 2017;136:1193–1203.
- 33. Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, Barnason SA, Beckman JA, Bozkurt B, Davila-Roman VG, Gerhard-Herman MD, Holly TA, Kane GC, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:e77–e137.
- Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, Himmelfarb CD, Khera A, Lloyd-Jones D, McEvoy JW, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019;140:e596–e646.
- Unverzagt S, Prondzinsky R, Peinemann F. Single-center trials tend to provide larger treatment effects than multicenter trials: a systematic review. J Clin Epidemiol. 2013;66:1271–1280.
- Wood L, Egger M, Gluud LL, Schulz KF, Juni P, Altman DG, Gluud C, Martin RM, Wood AJ, Sterne JA. Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ*. 2008;336:601–605.
- Diener HC, Executive Steering Committee of the SPORTIFF III and V Investigators. Stroke prevention using the oral direct thrombin inhibitor ximelagatran in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Pooled analysis from the SPORTIF III and V studies. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2006;21:279–293.
- Hylek EM, Frison L, Henault LE, Cupples A. Disparate stroke rates on warfarin among contemporaneous cohorts with atrial fibrillation: potential insights into risk from a comparative analysis of SPORTIF III versus SPORTIF V. Stroke. 2008;39:3009–3014.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- SCOT-HEART Investigators, Newby DE, Adamson PD, Berry C, Boon NA, Dweck MR, Flather M, Forbes J, Hunter A, Lewis S, et al. Coronary CT angiography and 5-year risk of myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:924–933.
- Pitrou I, Boutron I, Ahmad N, Ravaud P. Reporting of safety results in published reports of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:1756–1761.

# SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

#### Table S1. Literature search.

(((("Annals of internal medicine"[Journal]) OR ("BMJ (Clinical research ed.)"[Journal]) OR ("JAMA"[Journal]) OR ("Lancet (London, England)"[Journal]) OR ("The New England journal of medicine"[Journal])) AND (randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR randomized[tiab] OR placebo[tiab] OR drug therapy[sh] OR randomly[tiab] OR trial[tiab] OR groups[tiab] NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh]))) AND (("Cardiovascular Diseases/drug therapy"[Mesh] OR "Cardiovascular Diseases/mortality"[Mesh] OR "Cardiovascular Diseases/prevention and control"[Mesh]) OR ("Myocardial Ischemia/drug therapy"[Mesh] OR "Myocardial Ischemia/mortality"[Mesh] OR "Myocardial Ischemia/prevention and control"[Mesh]) OR ("Myocardial Infarction/drug therapy"[Mesh] OR "Myocardial Infarction/mortality"[Mesh] OR "Myocardial Infarction/prevention and control"[Mesh]) OR ("Cerebrovascular Disorders"[Mesh:noexp]) OR ("Ischemic Attack, Transient"[Mesh]) OR ("Intracranial Embolism and Thrombosis"[Mesh]) OR ("Intracranial Arteriosclerosis"[Mesh:noexp]))) NOT ((comment[Publication Type]) OR (letter[Publication Type])) Filters: Publication date from 2011/01/01 to 2019/04/11

The last update of the search was on 11.04.2019

### Table S2. Adequate and inadequate blinding of participants and/or personnel.

\*based on risk of bias due to lack of/insufficient blinding of participants and/or personnel of the Cochrane Collaboration risk of bias tool 2011 and on the basis of the instructions used from Unverzagt et al. (see ref. 35)

Inadequa	te	Adequate
High	Some concerns	Low
Open-label, Single-blind The method of masking was described and it was inappropriate (e.g. comparison of tablet versus injection with no double dummy)	No Information The authors stated that the study was double-blind but there was no adequate description in the text or in protocol (e.g. "matching placebo") Treatments administered from care-givers (i.v. i.m. injections): with no other description concerning the preparation (e.g. similar colour or matched, opaque syringes or bottles) Unblinding is possible (e.g. blood investigations, specific adverse effects) & no methods to avoid unblinding	Both patients and caregivers were blinded Detailed description about how the blinding status was established and maintained (either in published paper of in protocol): matching placebo or adequate description No specific adverse effects or methods to avoid unblinding included in the protocol

## Table S3. Description of 26 excluded studies.

Author, y	Reason for exclusion				
Anderson, 2016 (PMID:27161018)	Primary outcome: death or disability define through modified Rankin scale				
He, 2014 (PMID: 24240777)	Primary outcome: death and major disability through modified Rankin scale				
Kirchhof, 2012 (PMID: 22713626)	Primary outcome: persistent atrial fibrillation or death				
Sandercock, 2012 (PMID: 22632908)	Primary outcome: proportion of patients alive and independent, as defined by an Oxford Handicap Score				
Torres, 2014 (PMID: 25399731)	Primary outcome: death, end-stage renal disease, or a 50% reduction from the baseline estimated GFR				
Sabatine, 2015 (PMID: 25773607)	Other outcome;CV events assessed as prespecified exploratory analysis				
Robinson, 2015 (PMID: 25773378)	Other outcome;CV events assessed as post hoc analysis				
Beckett, 2011 (PMID: 22218098)	Extension of a randomised, clinical trial				
Bonow, 2011 (PMID: 21463153)	Substudy				
De Boer, 2011 (PMID: 22077236)	Extension of a randomised, clinical trial				
Gerstein, 2014 (PMID: 25088437)	Analysis of data from other randomised, clinical trial				
Leonardi, 2016 (PMID: 27677503)	Substudy				
Scirica, 2012 (PMID: 22932716)	Substudy				
Wang, 2016 (PMID: 27348249)	Substudy				
Williamson, 2016 (PMID: 27195814)	Substudy/already included				
Zannad, 2015 (PMID: 25765696)	Posthoc/already included				
Zoungas, 2014 (PMID: 25234206)	Extension of a randomised, clinical trial				
Macdougall, 2013 (PMID: 23343062)	Other outcome;CV events assessed only as safety				
Newby, 2014 (PMID: 24930728)	Other outcome;CV events assessed only as safety				

Cleland, 2011 (PMID: 21856481)	Other outcome;CV events assessed only as safety
Marchioli, 2013 (PMID: 23216616)	Combination of pharmaceutical and non pharmaceutical treatments
Ohman, 2017 (PMID: 28325638)	Other outcome; CV events as exploratory outcome
Anand, 2018 (PMID: 29132880)	Substudy/already included
Connolly, 2018 (PMID: 29132879)	Substudy/already included
Kudenchuch, 2016 (PMID: 27043165)	Other outcomes
Perkins, 2018 (PMID: 30021076)	Other outcomes

y: year, CV: cardiovascular

### Table S4. Trial characteristics (n=123).

Variables	Sample (n) (%)
Journal	
New England Journal of Medicine	83 (67.5)
Lancet	14 (11.4)
Journal of the American Medical Association	24 (19.5)
British Medical Journal	1 (0.8)
Annals of Internal Medicine	1 (0.8)
Type of comparator	
Placebo only	72 (58.5)
Active (with the use of placebo)	34 (27.6)
Active only	14 (11.4)
Standard of care (no treatment only)	3 (2.5)
Trial Design	
Superiority	96 (78.1)
Non-inferiority/equivalence	27 (21.9)
Type of funding source	
Industry-sponsored	94 (76.4)
Non-industry	29 (23.6)
Type of intervention*	
Antihypertensives/diuretics/heart failure treatments	14 (11.4)
Antithrombotics/anticoagulants	45 (36.6)
Lipid-modifying medications	17 (13.8)
Antidiabetics	16 (13.0)
Antiinflammatory, antirheumatic, antineoplastic	12 (9.8)
Cardiac therapy <sup>†</sup>	3 (2.4)
Various <sup>‡</sup>	16 (13.0)

\*Classified according to ATC Code; <sup>†</sup>includes antianginal treatment and antiarrhythmic medications ‡includes antiobesity preparations, medications for the treatment of bone disease, vitamins, and combination of different treatments (see Table S3)

	Intoniontia	Sotting	Outcome	Co	60	Timonoi	60	Е
the	n	Setting	Outcome	intervention	interventio	nt	interventio	г U
study				s in the	ns		ns not	
0.170000				protocol	reported	-	reported	<u> </u>
2173283 5	Nesiritide vs Placebo	Patients hospitaliz ed with acute HF	Composite end point of rehospitali sation for HF or death	"If concomitant medication is used for HF, the medical therapy should remain as stable as possible during the first 6 hours after study drug initiation to allow for the evaluation of any potential effects of study drug. Diuretics, morphine and other vasoactive drugs may be used during this period if clinically warranted"	Informatio n about the use of loop diuretics, inotropic agents, vasodilato rs in the first 24h in table	First 24h	No informatio n on other antihypert ensives, aldosteron e receptor blockers	1
2976675 0	Clopidogrel and Aspirin vs Aspirin	Patients with acute ischemic stroke or high risk TIA	Composite of major ischemic events (ischemic stroke, MI, or death from an ischemic vascular event)	"Any treatment which is ongoing before randomizati on and/or prescribed or changed during the study must be recorded"	NI	NI	No informatio n on antihypert ensives, statins in patients with acute stroke	2.9
2716089 2	Tigagrelor vs Aspirin	Patients with acute ischemic stroke or high risk TIA	Composite of stroke, MI, death	"Recording of concomitant medications will be made at each visit. Medications of special interest including study	NI	NI	No informatio n on antihypert ensives, statins in patients with acute stroke	3

# Table S5. Detailed characteristics of 123 included Randomized Clinical Trials and decriptions of reported and not reported co-interventions.

				medication, other antiplatelet medications , PPIs and statins will be captured in detail. There are no restrictions to other statin therapies (). Investigator s are advised to check lipid levels and adjust statin dosages per local practice and appropriate guidelines"				
2380313 6	Aspirin and Clopidogrel vs Aspirin	Patients with acute minor stroke or TIA	Stroke	"Any drugs other than those listed above are permitted (including anti- hypertensiv e medications ), if considered necessary for the patient, with a stable dose (when possible), at the discretion of the Investigator"	Antiplatele ts (aspirin, ticlopine, cilostazole , dipyridam ole, GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors), heparin, anticoagul ants, antihypert ensives, lipid- lowering, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	Through day 90 (end of follow- up)	-	3
2424761 6	Varespladi b vs Placebo	Patients with ACS	Composite of CV mortality, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or unstable angina with evidence of ischemia requiring	Not specified in the puplished study design (extended protocol not available)	Aspirin, clopidogre l, ticlopidine, prasugrel, b- blockers, ACEI/ARB s	During the treatme nt period	-	3. 1

			hospitalisa					
2208219 8	Dronedaro ne vs Placebo	Patients with high- risk atrial fibrillation	tion Composite of stroke, MI, systemic embolism, or CV death	"Patients included in the study should receive the usual standard therapy () according to guidelines. Patients who received concomitant medications during the study drug period () will be summarized using same classes as those already defined for baseline medications "	NI	NI	No informatio n on antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s or statins; No informatio n on anticoagul ation in patients with atrial fibrillation	3. 5
2140664 6	High vs standard dose of Clopidogrel	Patients undergoi ng PCI	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or stent thrombosi s	No extended protocol available; published study design: "Concomita nt medications : aspirin, periprocedu ral anticoagulat ion: left to the descrition of physician"	Antiplatele ts, b- blockers, ACE/ARB s, statin, calcium channel inhibitors	Periproc edural	-	6
2131675 2	Candesart an vs Placebo	Patients with acute stroke	Composite of CV death, MI, or stroke	No extended protocol available; published study design: "All patients are given standard treatment in stroke units. Therapeutic agents other	Informatio n about other antihypert ensives in text	During follow- up	No informatio n on antiplatelet s for patients with acute stroke. No informatio n on statins	6

				than ARBs can be administere d during the treatment period				
2178094 6	Apixaban vs Placebo	Patients with ACS	Composite of CV death, MI or ischemic stroke	"All subjects should receive evidence- based post- ACS care according to local standards of care and national practice guidelines (ACC/AHA, ESC, etc.). All subjects should receive single or dual antiplatelet therapy based on investigator discretion", "The use of clopidogrel and other approved antiplatelet agents will be left to investigator discretion and according to local guidelines"; Assess concomitant medications at each visit.	NI	NI	No informatio n on cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s or statins)	7. 9
2420645 9	Bardoxolon e vs Placebo	Patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease 4	Composite of end- stage renal disease or CV death	"Investigator s should not reduce or discontinue ACE inhibitors and/or ARBs unless indicated secondary to a medical contraindica tion (e.g. hyperkalemi			No informatio n on cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s or statins)	9

				a). Any concomitant medication with the exception of those listed below may be given at the discretion of the investigator" , "the prescribing information for all concomitant medications should be reviewed carefully"				
2830424 2	Bocozizum ab vs Placebo	Patients at high CV risk	Composite nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, hospitaliza tion for unstable angina requiring urgent revascular ization, or CV death	"All permitted concomitant medications should be recorded at each study visit: Lipid lowering: all patients will continue to take their prescribed lipid lowering treatment"; "Other concomitant treatment are permitted at the discretion of the physician according to local guidelines"	NI	NI	No informatio n on cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	10
2976677 2	Rivaroxaba n vs Aspirin	Patients with recent embolic stroke of underter mined source	Stroke or systemic embolism	Concomitan t medications assessment at visit 0, 12 and end of follow-up	NI	NI	No informatio n on cardiac preventive medication s (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	11

2347874	Aliskiren vs Placebo	Patients with acute HF	Composite of CV death of HF rehospitali sation	Not extended protocol, from published study design: "Standard therapy treatment will be left to the discretion of the treating physician but should include diuretics, ACE- Inhibitors or ARBs, beta- blockers, and aldosterone blocking agents, unless contraindica ted"; "	NI	NI	No informatio n on other antihypert ensives, diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors, antiplatelet s, statins	12
2795971 3	Low-dose Rivaroxaba n and P2Y12 Inhibitor vs very low- dose Rivaroxaba n	Patients with atrial fibrillation undergoi ng PCI	Composite of CV death, MI, Stroke	Concomitan t therapies must be recorded throughout the study"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	12
2255019 6	Fish oil capsules vs Placebo	Patients with arteriove nous hemodial ysis grafts	Composite of hemodialy sis graft patency thrombosi s and CV events	Not extended protocol, from published study design: medication review at visit 0, 6,12. Change in antihyperten sive medications : secondary outcome	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antiplatele ts, statins)	12
2130965 7	Apixaban vs Aspirin	Patients with atrial fibrillation	Composite of stroke or systemic embolism	Assessment of concomitant medications : 0, 12, end of FU	Informatio n for aspirin and clopidogre I in text	During follow- up	No informatio n on antihypert ensives, statins	13 .2

2840274 5	Ularitide vs Placebo	Patients with acute HF	CV death	"Required medication for the treatment of concomitant diseases is unrestricted" Concomitan t medications assessment at day 30.	NI	NI	No informatio n on other antihypert ensives, diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors, antiplatelet s, statins	15
2990087	Dabigatran vs Placebo	Patients with myocardi al injury after non- cardiac surgery	Composite of vascular mortality and non- fatal MI, non- hemorrha gic stroke, peripheral arterial thrombosi s, amputatio n, and symptoma tic venous thromboe mbolism	Not extended protocol, from published study design: "manageme nt was left to the discretion of the treating physician, including cardiovascul ar medications . We recommend ed that all patients with MINS take low-dose acetyIsalicyli c acid (ASA) and a statin". Concomitan t medications assessment every 6 months until end of FU.	Antiplatele ts, ACEI/ARB S, b- blockers, statins	During follow- up		16
2292093	Prasugrel vs Clopidogrel	Patients with NSTEMI, who do not undergo PCI	Composite of CV death, MI, or stroke	"Other cardiac and non-cardiac medications not specifically excluded may be administere d at the discretion of the treating physician"; The use of all concomitant	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	17

								,
				medications will be recorded in the CRF; "The effect of concomitant medications on the primary efficacy endpoint will be assessed by conducting subgroup analyses on certain medication classes"				
3027919 7	6 vs 12 months of of dual treatment (Clopidorg el and Aspirin)	Patients with STEMI treated PCI and second generatio n zotarolim us-eluting stent	Composite of all cause mortality, MI, revascular isation, stroke, and thromboly sis MI major bleeding	Not extended protocol, from published study design: NI	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	18
2399260	Alogliptin vs Placebo	Patients with recent ACS and type 2 diabetes	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	"At each study visit, subjects will be asked whether they have taken any medication other than the study medication. Investigator s will be encouraged to manage subjects according to regional guidelines for the Subjects will be instructed on proper nutrition and exercise"	Medicatio ns not provided. Informatio n about lipoprotein levels in table	End of follow- up	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	18
3029101 3	Albiglutide vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease	Composite of CV	Not extended protocol,	Informatio n on other hypoglyce	At different times of	No informatio n on other	19 .2

		and type 2 diabetes	death, MI, or stroke	from published study design: "Information on the use of concomitant medications is captured at each visit. Usual care providers are encouraged to follow most-up-to- date guidelines for diabetes and CV disease managemen t according to local guidelines"	mic medicatio ns	follow- up	cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	
2107336 3	Eplerenon e vs Placebo	Patients with systolic HF and mild symptom s	Composite of CV death or hospitalisa tion for HF	Concomitan t medications : assessed at each visit. "Permitted concomitant medications may include angiotensin ACE-Is, ARBs, b- blockers, and diuretics. Digoxin, vasodilators , and inotropes may be used, as clinically indicated"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other antihypert ensives, other diuretics, antiplatelet s, statins	21
3014693 5	Rivaroxaba n vs Placebo	Patients with HF and coronary disease	Composite of death from any cause, MI, or stroke	"For each subject, the drug identity and dose of all CV therapies and proton pump inhibitors taken during the index hospitalizati on through the end of	Diuretics, ACEI/ARB s, b- blockers, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors	Different time- points until the end of follow- up	-	21

		the study			
		will bo			
		will be			
		recorded on			
		the			
		appropriate			
		page of the			
		OCPE			
		Subjects			
		must be			
		made be			
		receiving at			
		a minimum			
		for their HE			
		a diuretic			
		and RAS			
		inhihitor/vas			
		odilator			
		therapy			
		(either an			
		ACEI, ARB,			
		or			
		hydralazina/			
				1	
		nitrate			
		combination			
		) and			
		<i>)</i> , and,			
		unless			
		contraindica			
		tod the			
		following:Be			
		ta blockers.			
		which			
		should be			
		titrated to			
		the			
		une .			
		maximum			
		dose			
		rocommond			
		recommend			
		ed by			
		current			
		quidelinee			
		guidelines.,			
		Aldosterone			
		antagonists			
		which		1	
		WHICH			
		should be			
		prescribed			
		nor			
		hei			
		guideline			
		recommend			
		ations			
		Additional		1	
		standard			
		care			
		trootroot		1	
		reaments			
		for HF and			
		CAD			
		(avcont			
		(evcehr			
		anticoagula			
		nts) as			
		prescribed			
		prescribed			
		by their			
		managing			
		nhysician			
		are allowed.		1	
		Subjects			

2647481 0	Ranolazine vs Placebo	Patients with incomplet e revascula risation	Composite of ischemia- driven revascular isation or ischemia- driven	should be receiving antiplatelet therapy as standard care for their CAD" Not extended protocol, from published study design: "After PCI	Antiplatele ts, ACEI/ARB s, statins, b- blockers, calcium channel	6 and 12 months	No informatio n on cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives	21 .2
2187097	Apixaban	Patients	hospitalisa tion without revascular isation	Anter PCI, participants will be treated with standard recommend ed medical therapies, including antianginal therapies (other than ranolazine) per the discretion of the investigator (eg, aspirin, any second antiplatelet agent, a lipid- lowering agent, b- blocker, calcium- channel blockers, nitrates, angiotensin- converting enzyme inhibitors, and/or angiotensin receptor blockers)" Concomitan t medications assessment every 3 months.	NI	NI	No	21
8	vs Warfarin	with atrial fibrillation at risk for stroke	of stroke (ischemic or hemorrha gic) or	frequency of subjects receiving concomitant medications			informatio n on antiplatelet s, antihypert	.6

			systemic embolism	after randomizati on will be summarized by treatment group, medication class (anti- platelet, anti- coagulant/V KA, anti- arrhythmic, diuretic, ace inhibitor, beta blocker, alpha blocker, calcium channel blocker, ARB, lipid lowering, CYP3A4 inhibitor, hypoglycemi c, anti- depressant, NSAID, other) and drug name"			ensives, statins	
2884419 2	Rivaroxaba n and Aspirin vs Aspirin Rivaroxaba n vs Aspirin	Patients with stable CV disease	Composite of CV death, stroke, or MI	"Subjects may receive all medications that their treating physicians believe are necessary" Concomitan t medications assessed at screening, 9 months and end of FU.	NI	NI	No Informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	23
2183095 7	Rivaroxaba n vs Warfarin	Patients with nonvalvul ar atrial fibrillation at risk of stroke	Composite of stroke or systemic embolism	"All medications not restricted or disallowed, as outlined below, are permitted" "Appropriate caution should be exercised with any changes in diet or for	Only informatio n about aspirin- use in text	At some point during the study	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	23 .2

				over-the- counter or prescription medications that might affect warfarin dosinginclu ding the performanc e of INR testing as necessary to adjust dosing" Concomitan t medications assessed at each visit.				
2736787 6	Escitalopra m vs Placebo	Patients with HF and depressio n	Composite of all cause death or hospitaliza tion	Not extended protocol, from published study design: NI	ACEI/ARB s, b- blockers	At 3 months	No informatio n on diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors, antiplatelet s, statins	24
2468206 9	Aleglitazar vs Placebo	Patients with recent ACS and type 2 diabetes	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke	Extended protocol not available, from published study design: "Although statins may be adjusted throughout the trial according to LDL-C levels, investigator s are encouraged to maintain other background lipid- modulating therapy (niacin, fish oil, bile acid sequestrant s) at stable doses during the trial. Patients are counseled on diet and	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	24

				exercise based on quidelines"				
2860560	Degludec vs Glargine	Patients with type 2 diabetes	Composite of major CV event (death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke)	"Relevant concomitant medications diabetes and cardiovascul ar related diseases, (for example antihyperten sives, lipid- lowering agents, aspirin and other antiplatelet agents) taken at trial entry and during the trial must be recorded"	Lipid lowering, antihypert ensives, anticoagul ants, antiplatele ts, diuretics, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	At the end of follow- up	-	24
2663014	Lixisenatid e vs Placebo	Patients with recent ACS and type 2 diabetes	Composite of CV death, MI, stroke, or hospitalisa tion for unstable angina	"Treatments in addition to the IP should be kept to a minimum during the study. However, if these are considered necessary for the patient's welfare and are unlikely to interfere with the IP, they may be given at the discretion of the Investigator, with a stable dose (when possible)" "Change in concomitant medications will be assessed at each visit. The prior, on-study, and post- study medications will be	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	25

				presented on the randomized population. Medications will be summarized by treatment group"				
2763318	Semaglutid e vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke	"A broad spectrum of concomitant glucose- lowering treatments, as well as other treatments for co- morbidities and cardiovascul ar risk factors can be introduced in subjects based on individual requirement s and at investigator' s discretion"	Lipid lowering, antihypert ensives, anticoagul ants, antiplatele ts, diuretics, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	At the end of follow- up	-	25 .2
2399260	Saxagliptin vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease or at high CV risk and type 2 diabetes	Composite of CV death, MI, or ischemic stroke	"All patients will be treated to regional standards of care for cardiovascul ar risk factors (eg, blood pressure, lipids) and HbA1c. Investigator s will be duly informed of this requirement via Recording of concomitant medication with a duration of ≥3 months in the appropriate sections of	Lipid lowering, antihypert ensives, antiplatele ts, diuretics, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	At 1- year, 2- year and at the end of follow- up		25 .2

				will be according to type of medication"				
2851462	Evacetrapi b vs Placebo	Patients at high CV risk	Composite of CV death, MI, stroke, coronary revascular ization, or hospitaliza tion for unstable angina	"Patients will be allowed to take any concomitant medications required except those listed in the These therapies may include, but are not limited to, aspirin, other antiplatelet agents, H2 receptor blockers, proton pump inhibitors, antihyperten sives, and appropriate diet and exercise and other nonpharmac ologic measures"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	26
2830422	Evolocuma b vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease	Composite of CV death, MI, stroke, hospitaliza tion for unstable angina, or coronary revascular ization	"Throughout the study, investigator s may prescribe any concomitant medications or treatments deemed necessary to provide adequate supportive care. Subjects must remain on the same dose of atorvastatin with or without ezetimibe as taken at	Only informatio n about statins and ezetimibe	During follow- up	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	26

				baseline from end of				
				until the end of the study"				
3041847 5	Linagliptin vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes and high CV and renal risk	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	Not extended protocol, from published study design: "Investigator s were also encouraged to treat all other CV risk factors (e.g. dyslipidemia	Lipid lowering, ACEI/ARB S, renin inhibitors, diuretics, b- blockers, calcium channel inhibitors, anticoagul ants, antidiabeti cs	Postbas eline	-	26 .4
				, hypertensio n, albuminuria, smoking) in accordance with optimal local or regional guidelines and standards of care. Ultimately, changes in medication were at the discretion of the investigator and/or treating clinician"				
2517601 5	Angiotensi n- neprilysin inhibition vs enalapril	Patients with class II, III, or IV HF and an ejection fraction of 40%	Composite of CV death or HF hospitaliza tion	"The patient should be on an optimal medical regimen of background HF medications . This must include an individually optimized dose of a b- blocker (i.e., maximally tolerated dose) at a stable dose for at least 4	NI	NI	No informatio n on diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors, antiplatelet s, statins	27

								,
				weeks prior				
				to study				
				entry,				
				unless				
				contraindica				
				ted or not				
				tolerated.				
				Everv effort				
				should be				
				made to				
				koon tho				
				keep liie daaa laval				
				ortnese				
				background,				
				life-saving				
				HF				
				medications				
				stable				
				throughout				
				the entire				
				study.				
				However. if				
				the natient's				
				condition				
				warrante a				
				warrants a				
				change in				
				any of these				
				medications				
				, it is				
				allowed at				
				the				
				discretion of				
				the study				
				investigator.				
				Diuretics				
				may he				
				used and				
				may be				
				adjusted				
				throughout				
				the length of				
				the study at				
				the				
				discretion of				
				the				
				investigator"				
3041561	Methotrexa	Patients	Composite	NI	NI	NI	No	27
0	te vs	with CV	of CV				informatio	.6
U	Placebo	disease	death MI				n on other	.0
	. 100000	and type	or etroke				cardiac	
			UI SUUKE				proventive	
		ے جارے ماند ایمان					preventive	
		diabetes					treatments	
		or					(antihypert	
		metabolic					ensives,	
		syndrom					antiplatelet	
		е					s, statins)	
2517613	Ivabradine	Patients	Composite	"Patients	NI	NI	No	27
6	vs Placebo	with	ofCV	selected for			informatio	.8
		stable	death or	the study			n on other	
		coronary	nonfatal	should			cardiac	
		artery	MI	receive the			preventive	
		disease	1711	treatmente			treatmente	
		UISEASE		appropriate			(antihypert	

				to their cardiovascul ar condition. The concomitant treatments received by patients (and their respective doses) should not be modified during the study, unless there is a clinical need"			ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	
2695440 8	Naltrexone -bupropion group vs Placebo	Overweig ht and obese patients with high CV risk	MACE, defined as CV death, nonfatal stroke, or nonfatal MI	"The incidence of the use of certain medications (e.g., statins, antihyperten sive agents, and antidiabetic agents) at screening, Visit 8 (Week 52) and at study medication discontinuati on as applicable) will be summarized for each treatment group.The incidence of subjects with a change in these medications may also be summarized "	Informatio n regarding CV risk factors and concomita nt medicatio ns	During follow- up	No informatio n on potential differences between groups in text	27 .8
2347333 8	Darbepoeti n alfa vs Placebo	Patients with systolic heart failure and anemia	Composite of death from any cause or hospitalisa tion for worsening HF	"Throughout the study, investigator s may prescribe any concomitant medications or	Other treatments presented in the text	During follow- up	No informatio n on other antihypert ensives, other diuretics, aldosteron	28

				treatments deemed necessary to provide adequate supportive care except as specified in Section 6.4. Information on concomitant therapy will be collected on the appropriate CRF. Iron will be administere d as tolerated according to  Administrati on of iron therapy will be recorded on the CRF"			e receptor inhibitors, antiplatelet s, statins	
2161652 7	Terutroban vs Aspirin	Patients with recent ischemic stroke or TIA	Composite of fatal or non-fatal ischemic stroke, fatal or non-fatal MI, or other vascular death	Not extended protocol, from published study design: "Clinical examination is performed, and concomitant treatments are recorded at every visit"	"Furtherm ore, we recorded no difference s between groups in mean blood pressure, heart rate, or laboratory parameter s throughou t the study (data not shown)"	Througo ut the study	-	28 .3
2425135 9	Edoxaban vs Warfarin	Patients with atrial fibrillation	Composite of stroke or systemic embolism	"There are no concomitant medications required as part of the study design"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	29 .8
2539965 8	12 or 30 months of dual	Patients who had undergon e PCI	Composite of stent thrombosi s and	"All anticoagula nt and antiplatelet	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac	30

	antiplatelet therapy	with drug- eluting stents	MACE and cerebrova scular events (composit e of death, MI, stroke)	concomitant medications must be recorded in the subject's medical record and on the eCRFs. In addition to APT, beta- blockers, statins, ACEIs, ARBs, NSAIDs, COX-2, PPIs and warfarin will be captured on the eCRF. The information related to the concomitant medications will be recorded through the 33 month follow up visit"			preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	
2244342 7	Vorapaxar vs Placebo	Patients with a history of CV disease	Composite of CV death, MI, or stroke	"The potential influence of baseline risk factors and concomitant therapies such as statins, thienopyridi nes, and aspirin dosing on the occurrences of the primary and key secondary efficacy endpoints will be explored using the Cox proportional -hazard model"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	30
6	vs Placebo	with	of	recommend	difference		informatio	30

		recent ACS	coronary heart disease death, MI, or urgent coronary revascular ization for MI	ed that subjects enrolled in the SOLID- TIMI 52 trial be treated according to the existing guidelines for patients after ACS. The background use of evidence- based medications including statins, antiplatelet drugs, and $\beta$ -blockers is closely monitored throughout the course of the trial"	between the groups in lipids or blood pressure in the text		n on antiplatelet s	
2207719	Rivaroxaba n vs Placebo	Patients with recent ACS	Composite of CV death, MI or stroke	"For each subject, all concomitant therapies will be recorded on the appropriate page of the CRF. The duration of dual antiplatelet treatment is at the discretion of the investigator and may vary depending on the subject's diagnosis or whether a bare metal stent or drug eluting stent is implanted. All other concomitant medication use is at the discretion of the	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives antiplatelet s, statins)	31

				managing clinician. It is advised that the appropriate guideline recommend ations be followed for all other concomitant medication"				
2312625	Dalcetrapib vs Placebo	Patients with recent ACS	Composite of death from coronary heart disease, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, unstable angina, or cardiac arrest with resuscitati on	"Patients should receive contempora ry evidence- based medical care for ACS, including anti- platelets, b- blockers, ACEIs, and statins, and medication for optimal control of hypertensio n, angina, and diabetes. Patients should also receive instructions on a heart healthy diet. Patients should also receive counseling on appropriate life style modification s such as weight control, physical activity, smoking cessation etc. The use of any concomitant medication will be recorded"	Antiplatele ts (aspirin, clopidogre l, ticlopidine, prasugrel) , statins, b- blockers, ACEI/ARB s, diuretics, calcium channel blockers	At 3 ,12, 24, 36 months	31	
2952797 4	Febuxostat vs Allopurinol	Patients with gout and CV disease	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or unstable angina with urgent revascular ization	"Concomita nt medications assessed at each visit"	Antiplatele ts (aspirin, clopidogre I), lipid- lowering, ACEI/ARB s	At 12, 24, 36 months	-	32
--------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------
2578144 0	Thienopyri dine vs Placebo	Patients following treatment with bare- meta stents or drug- eluting stents	Composite of death, MI, stroke	"Demograph ic, clinical, and procedural information at the time of enrollment are captured as well as subsequent clinical end points, serious adverse events, concomitant medications , and antiplatelet therapy compliance"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	32 .5
2312137 8	Aliskiren vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes and CV or renal disease	Composite of CV death or cardiac arrest with resuscitati on; nonfatal MI; nonfatal stroke; unplanned HF hospitalisa tion; renal hard endpoints	"Patients should be treated with the target dose of the medications as per the guidelines relevant to his/her medical history and concomitant conditions. Concomitant t treatment must include an ACEI or an ARB and treatment with statins is recommend ed"	ACEI/ARB S, b- blockers, diuretics, calcium channel blockers	At 12, 24, 36 months	No informatio n on antiplatelet s	32 .9

257	7326	Tigagrelor vs Placebo	Patients with prior MI	Composite of CV death, MI, or stroke	"Concomita nt therapy with simvastatin or lovastatin at doses higher than 40 mg daily is not permitted. There are no restrictions to other statin therapies (ie, doses of simvastatin or lovastatin ≤40 mg daily or any dose of any other statin is permitted)"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	33
304	0357	Alirocumab vs Placebo	Patients with prior ACS	Composite of death from coronary heart disease, nonfatal MI, fatal or nonfatal ischemic stroke, or unstable angina requiring hospitaliza tion	"All patients should receive contempora ry evidence- based treatment for ACS and chronic coronary heart disease as described in regional professional guidelines, including, but not limited to anti-platelet agents, b- blockers, ACEIs or ARBs, and treatments for diabetes, hypertensio n, and smoking"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	33 .6
279 6	5971	Celecoxib vs Naproxen Celecoxib vs Ibuprofen	Patients at increased CV risk	Composite outcome of CV death (including hemorrha gic death), nonfatal MI, or	"Concomita nt medications assessed at each visit"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives,	34 .1

			nonfatal stroke				antiplatelet s. statins)	
2208534 3	Niacin vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease and low HDL	Composite of death from coronary heart disease, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, hospitalisa tion for an acute coronary syndrome, or symptom- driven coronary or cerebral revascular ization	"Concomita nt drugs not allowed: Lipid- lowering drugs (other than the investigation al drugs), such as statins, bile- acid sequestrant s, fish oils, cholesterol absorption inhibitors (e.g., ezetimibe, except for its use as described above to achieve study protocol treatment goals for LDL-C), fibrates"	Adequate descriptio n of other preventive treatments in text	During follow- up	-	36
2605298	Sitagliptin vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes and CV disease	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalisa tion for unstable angina	"In accordance with standard guidelines for care in all countries participating in the study, it is anticipated that all subjects will receive counseling about appropriate diet and exercise intervention s as part ofusual care. Concomitan t medications will be used at the discretion of the usual	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	36

				care physician, who will be informed of the participant's enrollment in the study, the use of blinded study medication, and the classes of AHAs which are contraindica ted during the study period. Usual care physicians will be encouraged to follow guidelines for care based upon local and institutional practice patterns and any relevant published				
2704377	Aliskiren vs Enalapril Aliskiren/E nalapril vs Enalapril	Patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction	Composite of CV death or HF hospitalisa tion	practice guidelines" "Every effort should be made by the investigator to keep the dose level of each patient's background heart failure medications (such as ARB's, beta blocker) stable throughout the entire study duration. However, if the clinical condition of the patient warrants a change in any of these medications	NI	NI	No informatio n on diuretics, antiplatelet s, statins	36 .6

				, it is allowed at the discretion of the study investigator. Concomitan t use of aldosterone receptor antagonists and ARB is prohibited"				
2891023 7	Exenatide vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes	Composite outcome death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	"Concomita nt medications will be used at the discretion of the usual care physician (or investigator if also the usual care physician), Usual care physicians will be encouraged to follow guidelines for care based upon local and institutional practice patterns and any relevant published practice guidelines"	Lipid lowering, antihypert ensives, anticoagul ants, antiplatele ts, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	During follow- up	-	38 .4
2637897 8	Empagliflo zin vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes and high CV risk	Composite outcome of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	"Beginning at the Screening Visit and every visit thereafter (except follow-up visit), patients will receive diet and exercise counselling based on local diet recommend ations.	Lipid lowering, antihypert ensives, anticoagul ants, antiplatele ts, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	Postbas eline	-	38 .4

				Concomitan t medications will be documented at each visit"				
2655127	Intensive BP Lowering vs Control	Persons with a systolic blood pressure of 130 mm Hg or higher and an increased CV risk, but without diabetes	Composite of MI, other acute coronary syndrome s, stroke, HF, or CV death	"Information regarding the participants' concomitant non-study medication therapy is collected at annual followup visitsAlth ough data are collected on all current therapies, emphasis is placed on concurrent antihyperten sive, cardiovascul ar, chronic kidney disease and dementia medications as well as background risk reduction therapy such as aspirin and lipid- lowering drugs"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antiplatele ts, statins, which antihypert ensives per group)	39 .1
3014594 1	Lorcaserin vs Placebo	Overweig ht or obese patients with CV disease or multiple CV risk factors	Composite of CV death, MI, or stroke	"Medication s for the treatment of hypertensio n, dyslipidemia , or diabetes may be started, discontinue d, or adjusted during the study according to local standards of care if, in	Informatio n on CV risk factors	End of follow- up	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	39 .6

				the judgment of the investigator or the subject's physician, such a change is medically indicated"				
2471668 0	Spironolact one vs Placebo	Patients with heart failure and a preserve d left ventricula r ejection fraction	Composite of CV death, aborted cardiac arrest, or hospitalisa tion for the managem ent of HF	"Subjects will be treated with other medications at the discretion of their cardiologist and/or primary care provider. All medications will be recorded on the study forms. Concomitan t medications are assessed regularly"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors, antiplatelet s, statins)	39 .6
2293131 5	Aspirin and Clopidogrel vs Aspirin	Patients with recent lacunar stroke	Composite of recurrent stroke, (ischemic stroke and intracrania I hemorrha ge)	NI	Statins (antihypert ensives as part of 2x2 factorial)	At any time of follow- up	-	40 .8
2255110 5	Warfarin vs Aspirin	Patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction	Composite of ischemic stroke, intracerebr al hemorrha ge, death from any cause	"Unless contraindica ted, all patients should receive optimal doses of angiotensin- converting enzyme inhibitors or equivalent and betaadrener gic antagonists.	NI	NI	No informatio n on diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors, statins	42

				4.4.3 Managemen t of Vascular Risk Factors All patients will receive optimal treatment for hypertensio n, diabetes mellitus and hypercholes terolemia (See Procedure Manual)"				
2860560 8	Canaglifloz in vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	"All therapies different from the study drug must be recorded in the concomitant therapy section of the CRF. During the 2-week single-blind placebo run- in period, investigator s should adjust the subject's regimen as needed to optimize the subject's CV risk factors and thereby to reduce the need for adjustments of medications after randomizati on"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	43 .2
2372615 9	Intensive blood pressure lowering vs Control	Patients with recent lacunar stroke	Stroke (including ischemic strokes and intracrania I hemorrha ges)	NI	Mean number of antihypert ensives (ACEI/AR Bs, diuretics, calcium channel blockers, b-	At last visit	-	44 .4

					blockers),			
2884575	Canakinum ab 50 mg vs Placebo Canakinum ab 150 mg vs Placebo Canakinum ab 300 mg vs Placebo	Patients with previous MI and a high- sensitivity C- reactive protein level of 2 mg or more per liter	Composite of nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or CV death	"All medications and significant non-drug therapies (including physical therapy and blood transfusions ) taken within 30 days of screening and administere d after the patient has signed informed consent must be listed on the appropriate Concomitan t Medications and or Procedures and Significant Non-Drug Therapies eCRF Prior & Concomitan t Antidiabetic & CVD Medications : assessed at each visit"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	44 .4
5	vs Placebo	vitents with stable coronary heart disease	of CV death, MI, or stroke	All concomitant medications taken during the study will be recorded in the eCRF. The use of concomitant statin therapy will be"	n in the text "LDL levels and BP were balanced at the end of the study"	up	informatio n on antiplatelet s	.4
2729542 7	Liraglutide vs Placebo	Patients with type 2	Composite of CV death,	"Non- investigation al drugs that	Lipid lowering, antihypert	At the end of	-	45 .6

		diabetes and high CV risk	nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke	are required will be prescribed to trial subjects in the usual fashion according to local health plans. Concomitan t medication will be recorded at every visit, if any changesH owever, the final choice of concomitant therapy and glucose- lowering intensificatio n modalities will be at Investigator' s discretion"	ensives, anticoagul ants, antiplatele ts, diuretics, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	follow- up		
2501468 6	Niacin vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease	Composite of nonfatal MI, death from coronary causes, stroke or arterial revascular isation	Only information about statins	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	46 .8
3053521 7	Alfacalcidol vs control	Patients with chronic kidney disease	Composite of fatal and nonfatal CV events (MI, hospitaliza tions for congestive HF, stroke, aortic dissection/ rupture, amputatio n of lower limb due to ischemia, cardiac sudden death; coronary revascular	"Concomita nt drugs shall be recorded shall also be recorded: 1) Drugs for abnormal mineral metabolism and hyperparath yroidism 2) Antihyperte nsive drugs (calcium channel blocker, ACE inhibitor, Angiotensin receptor blocker, β- blocker, α-	Informatio n about other treatments in appendix	Until the end of follow- up	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	48

			ization and leg artery revascular ization)	blocker, loop diuretics, and others) 3) Other cardiovascul ar drugs () 4) Anti- platelet drugs () 5) Anti- coagulants () 6) Anti- diabetic drugs () 7) Lipid- lowering drugs (statin) 8) ESAs () 9) Iron preparations ()"				
2138831 0	Irbesartan vs Placebo	Patients with atrial fibrillation at risk for stroke	Composite of stroke, MI, or death from vascular causes	"Assessed at 3,6,12,18,2 4 months. The incidence of the use of selected concomitant medications will be summarized in each treatment group"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (statins) and anticoagul ation in patients with atrial fibrillation	49
2884720 6	Anacetrapi b vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease and low HDL	Composite of first major coronary event, a coronary death, MI, or coronary revascular ization	"Randomize d participants who are receiving study atorvastatin at the lower doses and who, in the opinion of their managing doctors, require more intensive LDL- lowering therapy may have the dose of atorvastatin increased (to a	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	49 .2

				maximum of 20 mg daily in Far East, 80 mg daily elsewhere).: "				
3041560	Dapaglifloz in vs Placebo	Patients with type 2 diabetes and CV disease or at high CV risk	Composite of CV death, MI, or ischemic stroke	"All patients should be treated according to regional standards of care for CV risk factors (e.g., blood pressure, lipids, antithrombot ic treatment) and HbA1c. Other medication( s), which are considered necessary for the patient's safety and well-being, may be given at the discretion of the Investigator"	Informatio n about other antidiabeti cs across groups	During follow- up	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	50 .4
2577106 9	Enalapril– folic vs Enalapril alone	Patients with hyperten sion	Stroke	"Any drugs other than use of folic acid are permitted. Proper control of blood pressure should be used as a goal for anti- hypertensiv e medications other than the study drugs. If blood pressure is not properly controlled, other anti- hypertensiv e medications control folic acid are pressure is not properly controlled, other anti- hypertensiv e medications can be	NI Info about other antihypert ensives in text not across groups	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antiplatele ts, statins)	54

				added based on the recommend ation of the "Chinese Guidelines of Hypertensio n Managemen t" published in 2005. Controlling of the blood pressure within a normal range is not mandatory. The first choices of anti- hypertensiv e drugs to be added are"				
2449026 4	High-dose multivitami n vs Placebo	Patients with prior MI	Composite of total death, recurrent MI, stroke, coronary revascular ization, or hospitalisa tion for angina	NI	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	55
2353224 0	EDTA Chelation solution vs Placebo	Patients with prior MI	Composite of total mortality, recurrent MI, stroke, coronary revascular ization, or hospitalisa tion for angina	NI	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	55
3041562 8	Icosapent Ethyl vs Placebo	Patients with CV disease or with diabetes and other risk factors	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, coronary revascular ization, or	"Any medications administere d during the study period must be documented on the Concomitan t Medication CRFThe	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s) and hypoglyce	56 .5

			unstable angina	following products are allowed: statins, ezetimibe, and herbal products & dietary supplement s not containing omega-3 fatty acids"			mic medication s	
2688641 8	Pioglitazon e vs Placebo	Patients with recent ischemic stroke or TIA	Composite of fatal or non-fatal stroke, MI	D.8.2 Definition and Managemen t of Vascular Risk Factors D.8.2.1 Hypertensio n D.8.2.2 Elevated Blood Lipids D.8.2.3 Carotid Artery Disease D.8.2.4 Atrial Fibrillation D.8.2.5 Cigarette Smoking D.8.2.6 Diet, Exercise, and Weight D.8.3 Other Preventive Therapy	Statins, "on blood pressure goal", anticoagul ants or antiplatele ts, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns, smoking	Each year unti end of follow- up		57 .6
2166394 9	Simvastati n plus Ezetimibe vs Placebo	Patients with chronic kidney disease	MACE (non-fatal MI or coronary death, non- hemorrha gic stroke, or any arterial revascular ization procedure )	From published study design: NI	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	58 .8
3015806 9	Aspirin vs Placebo	Patients with moderate CV risk	Composite outcome of time to first occurrenc e of CV death, MI,	No protocol	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert	60

			unstable angina, stroke, or TIA				ensives, statins)	
2365664	N-3 fatty acids vs Placebo	Patients with multiple CV risk factors or atheroscl erotic vascular disease but not MI	Composite of CV death or admission to the hospital for CV causes (revised)	"3.2 Terapie concomitant i Nonostante i molteplici effetti farmacologi ci degli n-3 PUFA, al dosaggio utilizzato nello studio, non sono note interazioni clinicamente rilevanti con i principali farmaci cardiovascol ari compresi antiaggrega nti, anticoagula nti e antiaritmici"	ACEI/ARB s, statins, antiplatele ts	At the end of follow- up		60
2540132	Aspirin vs Control	Patients with hyperten sion, dyslipide mia, or type 2 diabetes	Composite of death from CV causes (MI, stroke, and other CV causes), nonfatal stroke (ischemic or hemorrha gic, including undefined cerebrova scular events), and nonfatal MI	"Treatment to control hypertensio n, dyslipidemia , or diabetes (ie, the underlying risk factors for vascular events) was administere d to all eligible patients at the screening visit and, in principle, throughout the study, in accordance with Japanese therapeutic guideline" (no protocol)	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins)	60 .2
2312137 4	Cinacalcet vs Placebo	Patients with chronic	Composite of death, MI, hospitalisa	"Concomita nt therapy will be collected	"The provision of antiplatele	During follow- up	-	64

		kidney disease	tion for unstable angina, HF, or a peripheral vascular event	from day 1 through the end of the study"	t agents, statins, beta- blockers, and inhibitors of the renin– angiotensi n– aldosteron e system did not materially change over time in either group" (text)			
2632393 7	Benznidaz ole vs Placebo	Patients with establish ed Chagas' cardiomy opathy	Composite of death, resuscitat ed cardiac arrest, sustained ventricular tachycardi a, insertion of a pacemake r or implantabl e cardiovert er- defibrillato r, cardiac transplant ation, new HF, stroke, or other thromboe mbolic event	"Any concomitant therapy, including treatments demonstrate d to be effective in the study population is permitted"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins), diuretics, aldosteron e receptor inhibitors	64 .8
2704148 0	Candesart an/HCT vs Placebo	Patients with intermedi ate CV risk	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke	"Concomita nt treatments assessed 0, 24, end of FU; Concurrent Treatments: There are no other restrictions to the use of additional therapies. If clinicians managing	Only informatio n about other antihypert ensives in table across groups	At 2 years and at the end of follow- up	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antiplatele ts)	67 .2

				individual study participants believe that lipid modifying or blood pressure lowering treatments are clinically indicated after randomizati on, open label lipid modifying or blood pressure lowering drug(s) can be added. Whenever possible, drugs other than statins, ARBs, ACE inhibitors and thiazide diuretics should be used"				
2703994 5	Rosuvastat in and Candesart an/HCT vs Placebo	Patients with intermedi ate CV risk	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	"Concomita nt treatments assessed 0, 24, end of FU; Concurrent Treatments: There are no other restrictions to the use of additional therapies. If clinicians managing individual study participants believe that lipid modifying or blood pressure lowering treatments are clinically indicated after randomizati	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antiplatele ts)	67 .2

				on, open label lipid modifying or blood pressure lowering drug(s) can be added. Whenever possible, drugs other than statins, ARBs, ACE inhibitors and thiazide diuretics should be used"				
2704013	Rosuvastat in vs Placebo	Patients with intermedi ate CV risk	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	"Concomita nt treatments assessed 0, 24, end of FU; Concurrent Treatments: There are no other restrictions to the use of additional therapies. If clinicians managing individual study participants believe that lipid modifying or blood pressure lowering treatments are clinically indicated after randomizati on, open label lipid modifying or blood pressure lowering drug(s) can be added. Whenever possible, drugs other than statins, ARBs, ACE inhibitors	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antiplatele ts)	67 .2

				and thiazide diuretics should be used"				
2603952 1	Simvastati n plus Ezetimibe vs Simvastati n plus Placebo	Patients with recent ACS	Composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, unstable angina requiring rehospitali sation, coronary revascular ization or nonfatal stroke	"CV Concomitan t Medications Review in each visit. The use of any concomitant medication must relate to an adverse event or the subject's medical history"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s)	72
2268641 5	N-3 fatty acids vs Placebo	Patients at for CV risk and impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance , or diabetes	Composite of death from coronary heart disease, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, hospitalisa tion for an acute coronary syndrome, or symptom- driven coronary or cerebral revascular ization	"Concomita nt medications may be used at the discretion of the participant's physician when indicated for the participant's welfare. Participants will be formally asked about the types of concomitant treatments every year. As noted above, TZDs will not be permitted in combination with insulin glargine"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins) and hypoglyce mic medication s	74 .4
2268641 6	Insulin- glargine vs standard- care	Patients with CV risk factors plus impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose	Composite of nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or CV death	"Concomita nt medications may be used at the discretion of the participant's physician when	Lipid lowering, antihypert ensives (Thiazid, ACEI/ARB s, b- blocker, other), antiplatele	At the end of follow- up	-	.4

				la dia ata di fan	4			
		, or type		the	antidiabeti			
		2		participant's	CS			
		diabetes		welfare.				
				will be				
				formally				
				asked about				
				the types of				
				concomitant				
				treatments				
				As noted				
				above,				
				TZDs will				
				not be				
				combination				
				with insulin				
			- · ·	glargine"				
3014693	N-3 fatty	Patients	Composite	"Follow-up	Statins,	At the	-	88
Z	acius vs Placebo	with type	or serious	questionnair es asking	ACEI/ARB	follow-		.8
	1 100000	diabetes	event (i.e.,	about use of	hypoglyce	up		
			nonfatal	relevant	mic			
			MI or	non-study	medicatio			
			stroke,	treatments	ns, b-			
			ischemic	6-monthly	calcium			
			attack, or	with a	channel			
			vascular	further	blockers,			
			death)	supply of	diuretics			
				the participant's	(antiplatel			
				allocated	2x2			
				study	factorial)			
0044000	A	Detiente	<u>O a mara a sita</u>	treatment"	Otatina			00
3014693	Aspinn vs Placebo	with type	of serious	rollow-up	ACEI/ARB	end of	-	00 8
	1 100000	2	vascular	es asking	S,	follow-		.0
		diabetes	event (i.e.,	about use of	hypoglyce	up		
			nonfatal	relevant	mic			
			Myocardia	non-study	medicatio			
			or stroke.	will be sent	blockers.			
			transient	6-monthly	calcium			
			ischemic	with a	channel			
			attack, or	further	blockers,			
			death)	the	diuretics			
				participant's				
				allocated				
				study				
3004306	Escitalopra	Patient	Composite	"Any	NI	NI	No	97
5	m vs	with	of all-	change in			informatio	.2
	Placebo	recent	cause	concomitant			n on other	
		ACS and	mortality,	medications			cardiac	
		aepressio	IVII, and	or dosage			preventive	
		11	OUS	documented			(antihvpert	
			coronary				ensives,	

			interventio	Allowed			antiplatelet	
			n	drugs:"			s, statins)	
2311777 5	Multivitami n vs Placebo	Male physician s; subgroup with CV disease	Composite of MACE, including nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and CVD mortality.	From published study design: "We will use the Cox proportional hazards model to compare event rates for each treatment group while controlling simultaneou sly for variable lengths of follow-up, other treatment assignment s, and any risk factors that are unbalanced"	NI	NI	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	13 4
Long term follow- up (>1 month) with index procedu re after randomi zation								
2704308 2	Losmapim od vs Placebo	Patients with ACS	Composite of CV death, MI, or severe recurrent ischemia requiring urgent coronary revascular ization	"Investigator s will manage the subjects according to standard of care, following local prescribing information. Close adherence to professional society guidelines for standard of care therapies in ACS will be	Aspirin, P2Y12 inhibitors, statin, b blocker, ACE/ARB s	At discharg e	No informatio n on procedural characteris tics	5.5

				emphasized during study conduct, including anti-platelet therapy, statin medications , use of appropriate revasculariz ation, ACEIs and b- blockers. All concomitant medications taken during the study will be recorded in the eCRF"				
2884420	Bivalirudin vs Heparin	Patients with ACS undergoi ng PCI	Composite of death from any cause, MI, or major bleeding	"Procedure strategies: All other treatments. are according to local tradition. GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors may be given as bailout treatment according to physician's decision. After the index PCI, lifelong acetyIsalicyli c acid will be prescribed"	Periproce dural characteri stics; aspirin, clopidogre l, GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors, b- blockers, statins, ACEI/ARB s, calcium channel blockers, anticoagul ants	Periproc edural & at discharg e	Type of stent is not reported	5.9
2417725 7	3 months vs 12 months of dual treatment	Patients undergoi ng PCI with zotarolim us-eluting stents	Net adverse clinical and cerebral events (MACE and major bleeding)	"All intervention s were recommend ed to be performed according to the current standard guidelines, and final procedure strategy was left entirely at the operators'	Informatio n about procedural characteri stics	Periproc edural	Access site per group is missing. Periproced ural medication s missing; Informatio n o other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins) at end of	12

1									
	0007704				discretion. Direct stenting and implant of multiple E- ZES were allowed" (from published study design)			follow-up missing	
	6	vorapaxar vs Placebo	Patients with NSTEMI	of CV death, MI, stroke, recurrent ischemia with rehospitali sation, or urgent coronary revascular ization	In general, record in the eCRF those medications or therapies taken, used, or administere d during the study"	Only informatio n about procedural characteri stics	edural	NO informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	.5
	2954469 9	6 vs 12 months of of dual treatment (Clopidorg el and Aspirin)	Patients with ACS undergoi ng PCI with drug- eluting stents	Composite of all- cause death, MI, or stroke	"Direct stenting or prediltion and antithrombot ic medications during the procedure, and use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors will be up to operatos discretion. The length and diameter of the stent will not be restricted" (from published study design)	Informatio n about procedural characteri stics & medicatio ns; heparin, GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors and discharge medicatio ns: aspirin, clopidogre I, b- blockers, statins, ACEI/ARB s,	Periproc edural & at discharg e	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, statins) at the end of follow-up; no informatio n for balloon dilatation	18
	3016607 3	Aspirin and Tigagrelor vs Aspirin and Clopidogrel	Patients undergoi ng elective or urgent PCI with drug- eluting stents	Composite of all- cause mortality or non- fatal new Q-wave MI	"Balloon angioplasty and stent implantation were performed according to standard techniques; direct stenting (without	Informatio n about procedural characteri stics	Periproc edural	No informatio n on other cardiac preventive treatments (antihypert ensives, antiplatelet s, statins)	24

			1				1	
				previous balloon dilatation)				
				was				
				Staged				
				procedures				
				permitted				
				Glycoprotei				
				n IIB/IIIA receptor				
				inhibitors				
				were to be administere				
				d only in				
				patients who had				
				periprocedu				
				ral ischemic				
				s (i.e., no				
				reflow or				
				thrombus)				
				after				
				The use of				
				unfractionat				
				(up to an				
				arbitrary set				
				4000IU)				
				during the				
				index diagnostic				
				angiogram				
				was left at				
				discretion of				
				the investigator.				
				The use of				
				other medications				
				was per				
				applicable professional				
0000110		Datia		guidelines"	-	-	NL.	40
2632110 3	Cyclospori n vs	Patients with	of death	Associated	Procedura	Periproc edural &	NO informatio	12
	Placebo	STEMI	from any	(anti-	characteri	at	n on	
		ng PCI	worsening	agents,	periproced	e	preventive	
		(randomi	of HF	anticoagula	ural		treatments	
		before	initial	blockers,	ns; lipid		ensives,	
		recanaliz	hospitalisa	statins, n-3	lowering,		antiplatelet	
		auon	rehospitali	will be	ensives,		at end of	
			sation for	administere	anticoagul ants		follow-up; Type of	

			adverse left ventricular remodelin g at 1 year	to the current guidelines "; "Coronary angioplasty and stenting will be performed according to the usual procedures utilized by the cardiologist in charge "	antidiabeti cs		stent is missing	
Short term follow- up (<1 month) with index procedu re after randomi zation								
2347336 9	Cangrelor vs Clopidogrel	Patients undergoi ng urgent or elective PCI	Composite of death, MI, ischemia- driven revascular ization or stent thrombosi s	"All patients should receive standard of care antiplatelet therapy per ACC/AHA/E SC guidelines; The following allowed medications may constitute standard care and will be allowed as concomitant medications , including institution's standard practices during the index PCI procedure with the exception of medications prohibited	Procedura I characteri stics and periproced ural medicatio ns (P2Y12 inhibitors use, bivalirudin , heparin, fondaparin ux, aspirin)	Periproc edural & at discharg e		0. 2

				under this				
2399560 8	Otamixaba n vs Heparin plus eptifibatide	Patients with NSTEMI undergoi ng PCI	Composite of all- cause death or new MI	rotocol" "In addition to study medication, all randomized patients must receive both aspirin and an oral adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist given as per their local label or international guidelines. Both radial and femoral access for angiography and PCI are allowed. For patients having femoral access, if a closure device is used, the sheath"	Procedura I characteri stics and periproced ural medicatio ns (P2Y12 inhibitors use, bivalirudin , heparin, fondaparin ux, aspirin) and aspirin, clopidogre I, Gp IIb/IIIAa inhibitors, b- blockers, statins, ACEI/ARB s	Periproc edural & at discharg e	Type of stent not reported, balloon- dilatation not reported	0. 23
2500217 8	Bivalirudin vs Heparin	Patients undergoi ng primary PCI	Composite of all- cause mortality, cerebrova scular accident, reinfarctio n, or unplanned target lesion revascular isation	"The GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor, abciximab, was allowed for selective use in both groups as per the European Society of Cardiology guidelines (). No other trial- related restrictions were imposed on the performanc e of angiography and PCI, which were done in accordance with	ACEI/ARB s, aspirin, clopidogre l, statin at discharge and procedural characteri stics and periproced ural medicatio ns (Aspirin, P2Y12- inhibitor loading dose, GpIIb/IIIa)	Periproc edural & at discharg e	-	1

				prevailing best local practice as determined by the attending intervention al cardiologist" (no protocol)				
2467906	Aspirin vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng noncardi ac surgery	Composite of death or nonfatal MI	"All aspects of the patient's managemen t are at the discretion of the attending physician. This includes all decisions on antiplatelet, anticoagulat ion, and anti- ischemic therapies. We will encourage physicians not to prescribe an alpha-2 agonist We will also encourage physicians not to prescribe an alpha-2 agonist We will also encourage physicians not to prescribe antiplatelet therapy during the initial 7 days after surgery"	Anticoagul ants, NSAID, statin, Cox-2, b- blocker, P2Y12, perioperati ve antifibrinol ytic & procedural characteri stics	During the first 3 days		1
2467906 1	Clonidine vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng noncardi ac surgery	Composite of death or nonfatal MI	"All aspects of the patient's managemen t are at the discretion of the attending physician. This includes all decisions on antiplatelet, anticoagulat ion, and anti-	B-blocker, Calcium- Channel blockers, statin, a2- adrenergiv agonist & procedural characteri stics (antiplatel ets as part of factorial 2x2)	During the first 3 days	-	1

				ischemic therapies. We will encourage physicians not to prescribe an alpha-2 agonist We will also encourage physicians not to prescribe antiplatelet therapy during the initial 7 days after				
2759021	Edoxaban vs Enoxaparin –warfarin	Patients undergoi ng cardiover sion for atrial fibrillation	Composite of stroke, systemic ewent, MI, CV death	"There are no concomitant medications required as part of the study design. The study procedures detailed below are for both TEE and non-TEE- guided subjects, unless specifically stated otherwise. As much as possible, procedures must be followed in the order listed"	NI	-	No informatio n on antiplatelet s, or procedural characteris tics	1
2311777 6	Dexameth asone vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng cardiac surgery	Composite of death, MI, stroke, renal failure, or respiratory failure	"Anesthesia and surgical treatment were performed according to the standard procedures of each participating center". (no protocol)	B- blockers, statin, corticoster oid & procedural characteri stics	Periproc edural	No informatio n on antiplatelet s	1
2577505 2	Bivalirudin vs Heparin	Patients undergoi	Composite of MACE	"Anticoagula nt agent	ACEI/ARB s, aspirin.	Periproc edural &	-	1

	vs Henarin	na	or carebral	(henarin	clonidoare	at	
	plus Tirofiban	PCI	events (all-cause death, reinfarctio n, ischemia- driven target vessel revascular ization, or stroke) or bleeding	LMWH, etc.) post procedure is not recommend ed Provisional (bailout) tirofiban use is allowed in the bivalirudin and heparin alone arms for no- reflow, slow flow, visible thrombus or other thrombotic complication	I, statin and procedural characteri stics and periproced ural medicatio ns (aspirin, P2Y12- inhibitor loading dose, GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors)	discharg e	
2207790 9	Abciximab plus Heparin vs Bivalirudin	Patients with NSTEMI undergoi ng PCI	Composite of death, large recurrent MI, urgent target- sessel revascular isation, major bleeding	"Concomita nt medication assessed at discharge. Post- intervention ally Sheath should respectively. After the intervention, all patients will receive 80-325 mg/day aspirin indefinitely, clopidogrel 75-150 mg until discharge (but no longer than 3 days) followed by at least 75 mg/day for at least 6 months and other cardiac medications according to the judgment of patient's physician (e.g. ß- blockers,	Procedura I characteri stics and periproced ural medicatio ns (GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors, bivalirudin , heparin, randomiza tion after aspirin & P2Y12 was given)	Periproc edural	1

				ACE- inhibitors, statins etc)"				
2185648 3	Enoxaparin vs Heparin	Patients with STEMI undergoi ng PCI	Composite of death, complicati on of MI, procedure failure, or major bleeding	Procedures described in paper (no protocol)	Aspirin, clopidogre I, Gp IIb/IIIa inhibitors, statins, b blocker, ACEI/ARB S periproced ural and periproced ural characteri stics	Periproc edural	-	1
2245280 7	Glucose- insulin- potassium vs Placebo	Patients with suspecte d ACS	MI	NI (published study design)	NI	-	No informatio n on medication s (anticoagul ants, antiplatelet s) or procedural characteris tics	1
2417149 0	Bivalirudin vs Heparin	Patients with STEMI undergoi ng PCI	Composite of death or major bleeding not associated with coronary- artery bypass grafting	"Once a patient has commenced treatment with an anti- thrombin () no change in strategy is recommend ed. In patients requiring ongoing anti- coagulation for reasons other than PCI then anticoagulat ion should be maintained as per local practice. Glycoprotei n Ilb/Illa Inhibitor Managemen t: In patients randomised to the	Aspirin, clopidogre l, b- blockers, statins, ACEI/ARB s at discharge and procedural characteri stics and periproced ural medicatio ns (aspirin, P2Y12- inhibitor loading dose, heparin, bivalirubin , enoxapari n), GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors)	Periproc edural & at discharg e		1

				control arm the use of a GPI will be classified as either "routine" (treatment of patients before or during angiography but not once PCI has commenced ) or "bail out" (treatment of patients during or after PCI)"				
2632404 9	Bivalirudin vs Heparin	Patients with ACS undergoi ng PCI	Composite of urgent target- vessel revascular ization, definite stent thrombosi s, or net adverse clinical events	Only information on vascular access site: transfemoral access	Procedura I characteri stics; Periproce dural medicatio ns and medicatio ns at discharge (aspirin, clopidogre I, GpIIb/IIIA a inhibitors, b- blockers, statins, ACEI/ARB s, diuretics, antidiabeti cs)	Periproc edural & at discharg e	Type of stent missing	1
2952582	Atorvastati n vs Placebo	Patients with ACS undergoi ng PCI	Composite of all- cause mortality, MI, stroke, and unplanned coronary revascular ization	"Co- intervention s: Concomitan t treatment with ASA and clopidogrel will be recommend ed for all patients at discharge. Due to its pragmatic design, the co- intervention	Procedura I characteri stics, periproced ural medicatio ns: only heparin	Periproc edural	Procedural characteris tics: Access site is missing. Medication s: No informatio n on GIIb/IIIa, unclear if aspirin, clopidogrel , b- blockers, ACEIs/AR Bs on	1

				s choice will			baseline	
				be at the			table are	
				neuca			admission	
				discretion			or	
				Nevertheles			periproced	
				s, the use of			ural	
				the following				
				agents				
				listed below				
				will be				
				strongly				
				recommend				
				ed to all				
				Sites				
				contraindica				
				tions are				
				present).				
				The				
				percutaneo				
				us coronary				
				intervention				
				will be				
				performed				
				according to				
				the				
				Institution.				
				using either				
				the				
				transfemoral				
				or the				
				transradial				
				access.				
				Stents				
				Implantation				
				, as well as				
				characteristi				
				cs. will be at				
				the				
				intervention				
				al				
				cardiologist				
0000500		Detients	A mtonial	discretion"	Appirir	Deringer		
2609586	LOW	Patients	Arterial	Potential co-	Aspirin,	Periproc	-	1
1	Weight	fibrillation	mbolism			euural		
	Heparin vs	undergoi	(stroke	s.	Cox-2			
	Placebo	ng	systemic	on other	heparin.			
		surgery	embolism,	concomitant	warfarin &			
			TIA)	antiplatelet	procedural			
				Therapy,	characteri			
				antithrombot	stics			
0000400	D	Dation	0	ic drugs				
2399162	Prasugrel	Patients	Composite	Unly	Procedura	Periproc	Procedural	1
2	vs Placedo		death MI	in the use of	l characteri	edural	tics: Stept	
		undergoi	stroke	other	stic.		type is	
		na PCI	urgent	antiplatelets	periproced		missing	

			revascular ization, or glycoprote in IIb/IIIa inhibitor rescue therapy (Gp IIbIIIa bailout)	drugs in protocol	ural medicatio ns: heparin, bivalirudin , fondaparin ux, aspirin, clopidogre I, PPI, b- Blocker, statin, ACEI/ ARBs, clopidogre I, calcium			
2693384 8	Aspirin vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng cardiac surgery	Composite of death and thrombotic complicati ons (nonfatal MI, stroke, pulmonary embolism, renal failure, or bowel infarction)	"All other perioperativ e clinical care will be according to standard practice as this is an effectivenes s trial and some elements of the trial are deliberately left to the clinicians' discretion in order to reflect usual practice and maximise generalisabi lity. Anaesthesia and surgery will be according to local practices All such relevant perioperativ e data will be recorded on the CRF"	channel blockers ACEI/ARB s, aspirin, clopidogre I, statin, b- blocker, diuretics, digoxin, NSAID, amiodaron e, and procedural characteri stics	Periproc edural & up to 7 days		1
2777483 8	Tranexami c acid vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng cardiac surgery	Composite of death and thrombotic complicati ons (nonfatal MI, stroke, pulmonary	"All other perioperativ e clinical care will be according to standard practice as this is an effectivenes	ACEI/ARB s, aspirin, clopidogre l, statin, b- blocker, diuretics, digoxin, NSAID, amiodaron	Periproc edural & up to 7 days	-	1

			embolism, renal failure, or bowel infarction)	s trial and some elements of the trial are deliberately left to the clinicians' discretion in order to reflect usual practice and maximise generalisabi lity. Anaesthesia and surgery will be according to local practices All such relevant perioperativ e data will be recorded on the CRF"	e, and procedural characteri stics			
2278241 7	Acadesine vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng cardiac surgery	Composite of all- cause mortality, nonfatal stroke, or need for mechanic al support for severe left ventricular dysfunctio n	"Standard local procedures for CABG surgery or associated preoperative and postoperativ e care were followed" (no protocol)	ACEI/ARB s, b- blockers, statin, clopidogre I, calcium channel blockers, nitrate, hypoglyce mic medicatio ns	Periproc edural & at discharg e	No informatio n on procedural characteris tics	1
2646066 0	Methylpred nisolone vs Placebo	Patients undergoi ng cardiac surgery	Mortality and a composite of death and major morbidity (ie, myocardia I injury, stroke, renal failure, or respiratory failure)	No protocol available	Procedura I characteri stics; periproced ural medicatio ns (inotropes, antifibrinol ytic, non- study steroids, ACEI/ARB s, b- blockers, antiplatele ts, statins, vitamin K antagonist s, PPIs, hypoglyce mic	Periproc edural	-	1

		medicatio		
		ns)		

ACEI: angiotensive converting enzyme inhibitors, ACS: acute coronary syndrome, ARBs: Angiotensin II receptor blockers, CV: cardiovascular, FU: follow-up, GpIIb/IIa: Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa, HDL: high-densitiy cholesterol, HF: heart failure, LDL: low-density cholesterol, MACE: major adverse cardiac events, MI: myocardial infarction, NI: no information, NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, PCI: percutaneous coronary angiography, PPIs: Proton pump inhibitos, TIA: transient ischemic attack

Drug	Reported (%,n)	Not adequately reported (%,n)
Overall (n=123)	29.3 (36)	70.7 (87)
Antihypertensives/diuretics/heart failure (n=14)	14.3 (2)	85.7 (12)
Antithrombotics/anticoagulants (n=45)	35.6 (16)	64.4 (29)
Lipid-lowering treatment (n=17)	23.5 (4)	76.5 (13)
Antidiabetics (n=16)	56.3 (9)	43.7 (7)
Antiinflammatory, antirheumatic medication (n=12)	16.7 (2)	83.3 (10)
Cardiac treatments & various (n=19)	15.8 (3)	84.2 (16)

Table S6. Reporting of co-interventions according to medication category (n=123).
	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
	OR	95%CI	P-	OR	95%CI	P-
			value			value
Blinding of participants and/or	-		-	-		_
personnel*						
(ref: Inadequate blinding)						
Adequate blinding	1.04	0.47 to	0.93	0.99	0.41 to	0.99
		2.27			2.38	
Risk of bias due to deviations of						
intended interventions <sup>†</sup>						
(ref: "At risk of bias" <sup>‡</sup> )						
"At low risk of bias"	1.47	0.67 to	0.33	1.38	0.52 to	0.52
		3.21			3.69	
Funding				-		
(ref: Industry)						
Non-Industry	2.06	0.86 to	0.10	2.24	0.80 to	0.12
		4.92			6.25	
Trial design						
(ref: Non-inferiority)						
Superiority	0.63	0.26 to	0.32	0.38	0.13 to	0.08
		1.55			1.13	
Follow-up						
(ref: >1 month)						
<1 month	4.33	1.63 to	0.003	3.63	1.21 to	0.02
		11.52			10.91	

## Table S7. Potential explanatory factors associated with the reporting of co-interventions (n=123).

\*according to risk of bias due to lack of blinding of participants and/or personnel (RoB 1.0);†risk of bias due to deviations of the intended interventions: effect of adhering to treatment (RoB 2.0); ‡"at risk of bias": "some concerns" and "at high risk of bias"

Table S8. Factors associated with balanced co-interventions among RCTs with adequate reporting of co-interventions (n=36).

Univariable analysis

	OR	95%CI
Blinding of participants and/or personnel <sup>†</sup>		
(ref: Inadequate blinding)		
Adequate blinding*	Omitted*	
Risk of bias due to deviations of intended interventions		
(ref: "At risk of bias" <sup>‡</sup> )		
"At low risk of bias"	6.33	0.63 to 63.63
Funding		
(ref: Industry)		
Non-Industry*	Omitted <sup>*</sup>	
Trial design		
(ref: Non-inferiority)		
Superiority	5.14	0.71 to 37.15
Follow-up		
(ref: >1 month)		
<1 month	2,19	0.22 to 22.19

<sup>†</sup> according to risk of bias due to lack of blinding of participants and/or personnel (RoB 1.0); <sup>‡</sup>risk of bias due to deviations of the intended interventions: effect of adhering to treatment (RoB 2.0); "at risk of bias": "some concerns" and "at high risk of bias"; <sup>\*</sup>All trials with unbalanced co-interventions were judged as inadequately blinded trials and were industry-funded.

## Box S1. Detailed definition of procedural characteristics and periprocedural medications.

If the index procedure is cardiac surgery, minimum of procedural characteristics to be reported are: duration of aortic-cross clamping, on or off-pump surgery, duration of cardiac surgery. Minimum periprocedural medications to be reported are: antiplatelets, ACEIs/ARBs, statins, b-blockers (see ref. 29)
If the index procedure is percutaneous coronary angiography, minimum of procedural characteristics to be reported are: stents and type of stents (bare-metal stents, drug-eluting stents), balloon dilatation, arterial access site. –minimum of periprocedural medications to be reported are: Heparin or Bivalirubin, Aspirin, P2Y12 inhibitors drug use, Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa (see ref. 30)

## Figure S1. Flow diagram of the systematic review (Study selection).

