Simone Bertini and Martin Albrecht*

Abstract: A series of C,O-bidentate chelating mesoionic carbene nickel(II) complexes [Ni(NHC^PhO)a] (NHC = imidazolylidene or triazolylidene) were applied for hydrosilylation of carbonyl groups. The catalytic system is selective towards aldehyde reduction and tolerant to electron-donating and -withdrawing group substituents. Stoichiometric experiments in the presence of different silanes lends support to a metal-ligand cooperative activation of the Si-H bond. Catalytic performance of the nickel complexes is dependent on the triazolylidene substituents. Butyl-substituted triazolylidene ligands impart turnover numbers up to 7,400 and turnover frequencies of almost 30,000 h⁻¹, identifying this complex as one of the best-performing nickel catalysts for hydrosilylation and demonstrating the outstanding potential of O-functionalised NHC ligands in combination with first-row transition metals.

Keywords: NHC ligands · Nickel catalysis



Simone Bertini received his BSc and MSc in Chemistry from the University of Rome Tor Vergata, In 2016 he moved to Switzerland for his doctoral studies in the group of Prof. Albrecht, where he earned his PhD as a early stage researcher (ESR) for the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Initial Training Network Non-Noble Metal Catalysis (NoNoMeCat). His research focus is the synthesis, characterization and catalytic applications of first-

row transition metal complexes bearing strong donor ligands such as (mesoionic) N-heterocyclic carbenes.



Martin Albrecht studied Chemistry in Bern and graduated with Gerard van Koten at Utrecht University (NL) in 2000. After postdoctoral work with Bob Crabtree at Yale and with Ciba SC (Basel), he started independent research as an Alfred Werner Assistant Professor in Fribourg, then joined the faculty at University College Dublin and returned in 2015 to his alma mater. His research revolves around the ligand-induced

control and expansion of the reactivity of metal centres, particularly for catalytic applications. He is fascinated by new ligand classes such as mesoionic N-heterocyclic carbenes, and recently also by their N-donor analogues.

1. Introduction

source: https://doi.org/10.7892/boris.144832 | downloaded: 25.4.2024

The use of chelating ligands has become an established methodology for the development of homogeneous catalysts with long lifetime.^[1] This concept has also been implemented to N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complexes, which is facilitated by the synthetic versatility and easy accessibility of NHC scaffolds. [2] Introduction of chelating sites has been successfully employed to tailor properties of the metal centre such as coordination geometry, electron density, and stability of the metal-carbon bond. Among the countless possibilities for NHC functionalisation, a popular approach constitutes the implementation of N-donor groups.^[3] Less common is the introduction of O-donor sites, [4] despite the attractiveness of this type of donors for selected applications. For example, oxygen sites are fundamental for hydrogen bonding.^[5] In addition, this functionalisation is key for stabilising typically oxophilic first-row transition metals for catalytic applications, one of the major challenges for developing sustainable catalysts based on Earth-abundant metals. [6] In the absence of chelating stabilization, the $M-C_{NHC}$ bond is generally unstable and limits the catalytic application. This instability was demonstrated to be a key issue for the application of triazolylidene-based mesoionic NHC nickel complexes in Suzuki-cross coupling, which takes place with high initial turnover frequencies but rapidly stalls due to Ni-C bond cleavage and ensuing catalyst degradation.^[7] We have therefore become interested in enhancing the stability of these complexes by ligand functionalisation and have developed a new class of O-donor substituted NHC ligands based on the imdazole,[8] as well as the triazole scaffold. Nickelation under mild conditions afforded the bis-carbene nickel(II) complexes 1a-c, 2 (Fig. 1).[9] Here, these complexes were investigated as precursors for the catalytic hydrosilylation of carbonyl groups, providing one of the fastest and most robust nickel-based catalytic systems known to date.[10,11]




Fig. 1. Oxygen-functionalized NHC nickel(II) complexes.

484 CHIMIA 2020, 74, No. 6 NON-NOBLE METALS IN CATALYSIS

2. Results and Discussion

Hydrosilylation with complexes 1a-c and 2 was initially probed at a 2 mol% catalyst loading using 4-methylbenzaldehyde as model substrate and phenylsilane as hydrosilylation agent. The reaction proceeded to completion with complex 1b in 1,2-dichloroethane, affording 4-methylbenzyloxysilane in 99% within 30 h (entry 1, Table 1). Since hydrosilylation with PhSiH, afforded mixtures of products, this mixture was subsequently treated with methanolic NaOH (1 M) for 16 h to generate the corresponding alcohol. Analysis of this alcohol provided the actual product yield for each reaction. Increasing the temperature to 40 °C resulted in considerably enhanced activity, affording the product quantitatively in 30 min (entry 2). Replacing phenylsilane by diphenylsilane or triethylsilane under otherwise identical conditions gave only very slow or negligible substrate conversion (entries 3 and 4). Changing the solvent from dichloroethane to THF considerably lowered the catalytic performance (entry 5), whereas conducting the reaction in dichloromethane affected the reaction only slightly (entry 6). A blank experiment under the same conditions but in absence of the nickel catalyst revealed no detectable activity even over extended reaction time (entry 7), thus confirming the catalytic role of the nickel species.

Table 1. Hydrosilylation of 4-methylbenzaldhehyde with complex 1b.a

| entry | solvent | silane | T [°C] | time [min] | conv [%] ^b | yield [%] ^c |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | PhSiH ₃ | 25 | 1,800 | 99 | 97 |
| 2 | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | PhSiH ₃ | 40 | 30 | 99 | 96 |
| 3 | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | Ph_2SiH_2 | 40 | 60 | 14 | |
| 4 | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | Et ₃ SiH | 40 | 60 | <1 | |
| 5 | THF | PhSiH ₃ | 40 | 60 | 73 | 68 |
| 6 | CH ₂ Cl ₂ | PhSiH ₃ | 40 | 30 | 84 | 76 |
| 7 ^d | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | PhSiH ₃ | 40 | 60 | <1 | |

 $^{\rm a}$ Reactions were carried out with 4-Me-benzaldehyde (0.5 mmol), PhSiH $_{\rm 3}$ (0.6 mmol) and complex **1b** (2 mol %) in the corresponding solvent (0.4 mL) with C $_{\rm 6}$ Me $_{\rm 6}$ (0.05 mmol) as internal standard; $^{\rm b}$ conversion determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy; $^{\rm c}$ yield determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy after treatment with NaOH (1 M) in MeOH; $^{\rm d}$ reaction performed in the absence of **1b** (blank run).

Based on these results, the activity of catalysts 1a–c and 2 were compared with the model substrate 4-methylbenzaldehyde under the best conditions (phenylsilane, dichloroethane, 40 °C). All complexes reached full conversion in less than 3 h (Table 2, Fig. 2) and show similar time-conversion profiles with high reaction rates with 80–90% conversions. Different C4 substituents on the triazolylidene complexes affected the activity of the metal complexes and the activity is consistent with the electron density on the metal centre as imparted by the ligand, with triazolylidene ligands in 1a–c more donating than imidazolylidene in complex 2 (entries 1–4). Within the triazolylidene series, alkyl groups impart higher electron density than mesityl groups, consistent with a higher activity of complex 1b vs. 1c and complex 1a with a phenyl substituent displaying lowest activity of the triazolylidene complexes. We note that the complex configuration has no obvi-

ous relevance and both the *trans* configured complex 1c as well as the *cis* isomers (1a,b) show catalytic performance that is in line with wingtip substituent effects only. The relatively low activity of complex 2 indicates that the nickel complexes containing mesoionic ligands outperform the imidazolylidene analogue. Performing the reaction in the presence of simple nickel chloride as precatalyst did not lead to any detectable conversion (entry 5), suggesting an essential role of the carbene ligand for enabling catalytic activity.

Table 2. Hydrosilylation of 4-methylbenzaldhehyde with complexes ${\bf 1a\text{-c}, 2}.^{\rm a}$

| entry | [Ni] | time [min] | conv [%] ^b | yield [%]° | k [h ⁻¹] |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 1a | 120 | 98 | 94 | 2.4 |
| 2 | 1b | 30 | 99 | 96 | 6.6 |
| 3 | 1c | 60 | 98 | 94 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 2 | 180 | 97 | 95 | 1.2 |
| 5 | NiCl ₂ | 360 | <1 | | |

 $^{\rm a}$ Reactions were carried out with benzaldehyde (0.5 mmol), PhSiH $_{\rm 3}$ (0.6 mmol) and Ni complex (2 mol%) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.4 mL) with C $_{\rm 6}$ Me $_{\rm 6}$ (0.05 mmol) as internal standard at 40 °C; $^{\rm b}$ conversion determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy; $^{\rm c}$ yield determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy after treatment with NaOH (1 M) in MeOH.




Fig. 2. Hydrosilylation of 4-methylbenzaldehyde with complexes **1a-c** and **2**. Inset: linear correlation of the time (min) with $[ln([A]_{\ell}/[A]_{0})]$ for each catalyst, $[ln([A]_{\ell}/[A]_{0})] = -k$ time, R²=0.99 (green line), 0.98 (orange line), 0.93 (blue line) and 0.92 (red line).

2.1 Substrate Scope

The scope of this catalytic hydrosilylation system was investigated by using the most active nickel complex, **1b**, and a variety of functionalised benzaldehydes. These studies revealed a high tolerance of the nickel catalyst towards various functional groups including halides, ethers, and amines (Fig. 3, Table 3). Electronrich benzaldehydes with substituents such as –NMe₂, –OMe, and –Me, as well as electron-poor ones with substituents such as –F, –Br, and–CF₃, are converted completely within 7–180 min (entries 1–7).




Fig. 3. Conversion profile for the hydrosilylation of different 4-substituted benzaldehydes with complex **1b**.

Table 3. Substrate scope for hydrosilylation with complex 1b.a

| entry | R-PhCHO | time [min] | conv [%] ^b | yield [%] ^c | σ_{p} | TOF ₅₀ [h ⁻¹] |
|-------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 4-NMe2 | 6 | 99 | 95 | -0.83 | 730 |
| 2 | 4-OMe | 12 | 98 | 95 | -0.27 | 340 |
| 3 | 4-Me | 30 | 99 | 96 | -0.17 | 210 |
| 4 | 4-H | 36 | 97 | 95 | 0.00 | 150 |
| 5 | 4-F | 48 | 98 | 93 | 0.06 | 95 |
| 6 | 4-Br | 120 | 96 | 92 | 0.23 | 32 |
| 7 | 4-CF3 | 180 | 98 | 92 | 0.54 | 6 |
| 8 | 4-NO2 | 180 | 13 | n.d. | 0.78 | n.d. |

 $^{\rm a}$ Reactions were carried out with benzaldehyde (0.5 mmol), PhSiH $_3$ (0.6 mmol) and complex 1b (2 mol%) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.4 mL) with $C_{\rm e}$ Me $_{\rm e}$ (0.05 mmol) as internal standard at 40 °C; bconversion determined by $^{\rm 1}$ H NMR spectroscopy. $^{\rm e}$ Yield determined by $^{\rm 1}$ H NMR spectroscopy after treatment with NaOH (1 M) in MeOH; n.d. = not determined.

Comparison of the reaction rates as TOF₅₀ values with the Hammett parameter σ_n shows an inverse correlation, with a lower Hammett parameter inducing faster turnover (Fig. 4). The correlation is satisfactory ($R^2 = 0.98$) and the considerable slope suggests a strong influence of the substituent's electronic properties on the reaction rate, which provides a rationale also for the very low conversion of nitrobenzaldehyde (Table 3, entry 8). The inverse correlation between the Hammett parameter (σ_p) and the turnover frequency indicates that positive charge is built up in the transition state. This observation is reminiscent of related carbene Cp nickel complexes and consistent with a turnover-limiting step that involves a hypervalent Si centre.[10] Notably, acetophenone is not a suitable substrate for hydrosilylation with nickel complex 1b and essentially no conversion was observed even after 3 h. The low activity of these complexes toward ketone hydrosilylation indicates a clear selectivity for aldehydes vs ketones, which may be attractive in multifunctional substrates.

The catalytic potential of complex **1b** was further investigated by variation of the catalyst loading in order to identify maximum




Fig. 4. Linear correlation of the Hammett parameter (σ_p) with turnover frequency at 50% conversion (TOF₅₀), TOF₅₀ = $-488\sigma_p$ + 197, R² = 0.98.

turnover numbers and frequencies of hydrosilylation using 4-anisaldehyde as the substrate. When using 2 mol% of the catalyst precursor 1b, the reaction is completed at 40 °C within 12 min (Table 4, entry 1). This reactivity is three times faster when compared to runs using unsubstituted benzaldehyde as substrate (Table 3, entry 4). Quantitative formation of the product alcohol was also observed upon reducing the loading of complex 1b to 1 and 0.5 mol\%, although reaction times were slightly longer to reach completion under these conditions (14 and 18 min, respectively, entries 2 and 3). The reaction time is considerably shortened when the reaction temperature was increased to 60 °C, affording full conversion within 6 min with 2 mol% loading of complex 1b (entry 4). Successively decreasing of the precatalyst to 0.02 mol% does not compromise the yield of the reaction (entries 5–10). Full conversion at this low loading implies turnover numbers as high as 5,000, and maximum turnover frequencies of 27,000 h⁻¹ (entry 10). When the loading of complex **1b** was lowered even further to 0.01 mol\%, conversions were incomplete even when the reaction was run for extended periods of time, yet these conditions allowed to determine the maximum turnover number TON = 7,400 (60 min, 63%; entry 11). In the absence of nickel complex 1b no conversion was detected also at these slightly elevated temperatures

The TOF_{max} values accomplished with complex **1b** are higher than other known nickel-based hydrosilylation catalyst with^[10] or without a NHC ligand,^[11,12] and similar to those of the best-performing iron complexes,^[13] yet about two-times lower when compared to the best-performing manganese-based catalyst.^[14] The high catalytic performance of **1b** in terms of turnover numbers and frequencies suggests a marked influence imparted by chelation of the triazolylidene ligand when bound to nickel. Its key role is confirmed when comparing complex **3** as the best nickel catalyst reported so far with the Ni-O(NHC) system **1b** (Fig. 5). Under the same catalytic conditions, the *O*-chelated nickel complex **1b** outperforms the N-chelated system **3** and reaches higher TON (7,400 vs 5,500) and higher TOF₅₀ values (27,000 vs 23,000 h⁻¹).^[10]

2.2 Stoichiometric Experiments

In order to better understand the observed activities of catalyst **1b** and more generally the potential role of oxygen chelation during hydrosilylation, a set of stoichiometric experiments was performed. Adding a slight excess (1.2 equiv) of phenylsilane to complex **1b** in a $\mathrm{CD}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$ solution containing SiMe_4 as an internal standard resulted in an immediate change in colour of the solution and different sets of new signals appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum, suggesting the formation of new species. The resonances of complex **1b** disappeared and no triazolium salt formation was detected, while two singlets appeared in the hydride region at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} = -7.19$ and -8.21 ppm in a 1:1 relative ratio and accounting for

Table 4. Hydrosilylation of 4-anisaldehyde with complexes 1b.a

| entry | mol% 1b | T [°C] | time [min] | conv [%]b | yield [%] ^c | TON | TOF ₅₀ [h ⁻¹] |
|-----------------|---------|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 40 | 12 | 99 | 96 | 48 | 340 |
| 2 | 1 | 40 | 14 | 98 | 94 | 96 | 460 |
| 3 | 0.5 | 40 | 18 | 99 | 95 | 192 | 636 |
| 4 | 2 | 60 | 6 | 99 | 96 | 48 | 568 |
| 5 | 1 | 60 | 6 | 99 | 95 | 96 | 960 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 60 | 8 | 98 | 96 | 192 | 2,600 |
| 7 | 0.25 | 60 | 16 | 99 | 93 | 384 | 2,200 |
| 8 | 0.1 | 60 | 20 | 99 | 96 | 892 | 3,800 |
| 9 | 0.05 | 60 | 20 | 99 | 94 | 1,850 | 14,500 |
| 10 | 0.02 | 60 | 20 | 93 | 89 | 4,500 | 27,000 |
| 11 | 0.01 | 60 | 60 | 74 | 63 | 7,400 | 6,500 |
| 12 ^d | 0 | 60 | 120 | <1 | | | |

^aReactions were carried out with 4-anisaldehyde (0.5 mmol), PhSiH₃ (0.6 mmol) and complex **1b** in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.4 mL) with C_6Me_6 (0.05 mmol) as internal standard; ^bconversion determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; ^cyield determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy after treatment with NaOH (1 M) in MeOH; ^dIn absence of any nickel complex.




Fig. 5. Best performing Ni NHC supported catalysts known for the hydrosilylation of aldehydes.^[10]

almost 80% when compared to the internal standard. These observations suggest the formation of two metal hydride species. The ¹³C NMR signals were less clear, yet, two new resonances at 152 and 154 ppm were observed and attributed to phenolate C-O groups. These resonances are shifted upfield compared to the C–O resonance in **1b** ($\delta_{\text{C-O}}$ = 160 ppm) and compatible with the values of free phenol C-O groups that are not metal-coordinated, as for example in the triazolium ligand precursor ($\delta_{\text{C-OH}} = 150$ ppm). The ²⁹Si NMR spectrum supports the formation of two new species as two new signals appeared in the -25 to -30 ppm region (Fig. 6). Their chemical shift is substantially downfield from the signal of PhSiH₃ ($\delta_{s_i} = -60$) and indicative of a more electrophilic silicon nucleus. All these data are consistent with cleavage of the Ni–O bond and concomitant formation of the two isomers of a nickel-hydride complex containing a phenylsilylether, *cis-5* and *trans-5* as a result of the addition of a Si–H bond across the Ni–O bond (Scheme 1).[15]

Interestingly, an identical experiment using stoichiometric amounts of Et₃SiH instead of PhSiH₃ did not induce any spec-




Fig. 6. ²⁹Si NMR spectrum of a solution of complex **1b** after the addition of PhSiH₃ (1.2 equiv) and TMS used as internal standard.

troscopic changes of complex **1b** according to ¹H and ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy. Since Et₃SiH is also catalytically inactive, these data suggesting that the silane addition and formation of the silylether is inhibited, suggesting that this step is essential for catalyst activation. These observations therefore lend support to a met-

Scheme 1. Proposed Ni–O bond cleavage and formation of *cis-5* and *trans-5* from reaction of complex **1b** with phenylsilane.

487

al-ligand cooperative mechanism for the activation of the Si-H bond and a beneficial role of the anionic oxygen functionality in hydrosilylation catalysis.

Several different mechanisms have been put forward for the hydrosilylation of C=C and C=O bonds over the years.[16-19] Based on our observations, a tentative mechanism is proposed (Scheme 2), involving catalyst activation via Ni-O bond cleavage to form the hydride species 5. This step may be under rigorous steric control due to the bulkiness of the carbene ligand as well as the shielding of the silicon nucleus, thus providing a rationale for the loss in activity when changing the hydrosilylation agent from PhSiH₂ to Ph₂SiH₂ or Et₂SiH. Different pathways are conceivable from this hydride species 5. We propose that the aldehyde substrate is coordinating to the nickel hydride 5 to form the pentacoordinate Ni adduct A. Subsequent activation of the carbonyl unit produces transition state **B** comprised of a carbon with a strong δ^+ character, which is in line with the inverse correlation of the Hammett parameter and the reaction rate, as well as the dependence of catalytic activity on the electron density at the nickel centre. The higher the electron density at the metal centre is, the more reactive the hydride. Completing the migratory insertion step affords the alkoxide nickel intermediate C, which is presumed to react with phenylsilane via heterolytic Ni-O bond cleavage to conclude the cycle and release the product with concomitant regeneration of the nickel hydride 5. An alternative mechanism consistent with our data involves the formation of a silvlene in analogy to the catalytic systems developed by Tilley and coworkers.[20]

3. Conclusions

Nickel(II) complexes with C,O-bidentate chelating mesoionic carbene ligands display remarkable activity in the hydrosilylation of C=O bonds. Complexes supported by mesoionic ligands outperformed non-mesoionic analogues and can be rationally tailored to reach very high turnover frequencies and turnover num-

bers in the thousands, indicating a reliable control of the catalytic nickel centre through ligand modification. The catalytic system is selective towards aldehyde reduction and tolerant to electron-rich and -poor benzaldehydes. These results provide useful guidelines for installing *O*-containing functional groups to promote ligand cooperativity in catalytic systems based on first-row transition metals. Moreover, this work demonstrates that appropriate ligand design provides a powerful methodology for approaching the catalytic activity of noble metal catalysts by using Earth-abundant metal complexes.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge generous financial support from the European Research Council (CoG 615653) and the European Union Marie Sklodowska-Curie Initial Training NoNoMeCat (675020-MSCA-ITN-2015-ETN).

Received: March 30, 2020

- a) G. C. Vougioukalakis, R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1746; b) D. A.
 Colby, A. S. Tsai, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 814; c) J. F. Hartwig, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1534.
- a) W. A Herrmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290; b) F. E. Hahn, M.
 C. Jahnke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3112.
- [3] a) E. Peris, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 9988; b) S. T. Liddle, I. S. Edworthy, P. L. Arnold, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1732; c) C. Valente, S. Calimsiz, K. H. Hoi, D. Mallik, M. Sayah, M. G. Organ, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3314 3332.
- 4] S. Hameury, P. de Frèmont, P. Braunstein Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 632.
- O. Kühl, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 592.
- [6] R. M. Bullock, 'Catalysis without precious metals', 2011, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany.
- [7] Y. Wei, A. Petronilho, H. Mueller-Bunz, M. Albrecht, Organometallics 2014, 33, 5834.
- [8] Y. N. Kotovshchikov, G. V. Latyshev, M. A. Navasardyan, D. A. Erzunov, I. P. Beletskaya, N. V. Lukashev, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4467.
 - S. Bertini, F. Gloaguen, M. Rahaman, S. Huemann, P. Broekmann, P. Schollhammer, M. Albrecht, submitted.

Scheme 2. Proposed mechanistic cycle for hydrosilylation of C=O with complex 1.

488 CHIMIA 2020, 74, No. 6 NON-NOBLE METALS IN CATALYSIS

- [10] Y. Wei, S.-X. Liu, H. Mueller-Bunz, M. Albrecht, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 8192.
- [11] L. Postigo, B. Royo, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2613.
- [12] a) B. Chatterjee, C. Gunanathan, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 888; b) S. N. MacMillan, W. Hill Harman, J. C. Peters, Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 590.
- [13] A. J. Ruddy, C. M. Kelly, S. M. Crawford, C. A. Wheaton, O. L. Sydora, B. L. Small, M. Stradiotto, L. Turculet, *Organometallics* 2013, 32, 5581.
- [14] a) T. K. Mukhopadhyay, M. Flores, T. L. Groy, R. J. Trovitch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 882; b) C. Ghosh, T. K. Mukhopadhyay, M. Flores, T. L. Groy, R. J. Trovitch, Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 10398.
- [15] T. Stahl, P. Hrobarik, C. D. F. Konigs, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, S. Kemper, M. Kaupp, H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 4324.
- [16] L. Wang, H. Sun, X. Li, Organometallics 2015, 34, 5175.
- [17] B. H. Lipshutz, B. A. Frieman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6345.
- [18] K. Revunova, G. I. Nikonov, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 839.
- [19] L. Monsigny, P. Thuéry, J.-C. Berthet, T. Cantat, ACS Catalysis 2019, 9, 9025.
- [20] a) P. B. Glaser, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003 125, 13640; b) R. Waterman, P. G. Hayes, T. D. Tilley, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 712.

License and Terms



This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY_NC 4.0. The material may not be used for commercial purposes.

The license is subject to the CHIMIA terms and conditions: (http://chimia.ch/component/sppagebuilder/?view=page&id=12).

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one that can be found at doi:10.2533/chimia.2020.483.