Exploring Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in Parkinson's Disease with Dementia

3 Nicholas Murphy^{1,2,*}, Alison Killen¹, Sara Graziadio³, Lynn Rochester¹, Michael Firbank¹,

4 Mark R Baker¹, Charlotte Allan¹, Daniel Collerton¹ John-Paul Taylor¹, Prabitha Urwyler^{1,4,5}

- ¹ Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle
 upon Tyne, UK
- ² Menninger Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas,
 USA
- ³NIHR Newcastle In Vitro Diagnostics Co-operative, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals Foundation Trust,
 Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ⁴ University Neurorehabilitation Unit, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland
- ⁵Gerontechnology and Rehabilitation Group, ARTORG Center for Biomedical Engineering Research,
- 13 University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland;

14 * Correspondence:

- 15 Prabitha Urwyler
- 16 prabitha.urwyler@artorg.unibe.ch

Keywords: Visual processing, visual evoked potential, Parkinson's disease dementia, visual hallucination

19 Abstract

20 Visual hallucinations (VH) are a common symptom of Parkinson's disease with dementia (PDD), affecting up to 65% of cases. Integrative models of their etiology posit that a decline in executive 21 22 control of the visuo-perceptual system is a primary mechanism of VH generation. The role of 23 bottom-up processing in the manifestation of VH in this condition is still not clear. Here we 24 compared amplitude and latency patterns of reversal visual evoked potentials (VEPs) in healthy 25 controls (n=21) and PDD patients (n=34) with a range of VH severities. PDD patients showed 26 increased N2 latency relative to controls, but patients reporting complex VH (n=17) did not 27 demonstrate any relationship between VEP measurements and their hallucination severity as 28 measured on the neuropsychiatric inventory hallucinations subscale (NPIHal) score. Our VEP findings support previous reports of declining visual system physiology in PDD. However, no 29 30 notable major relationships between the integrity of the visual pathway and VH were found.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

31 **1 Introduction**

Visual symptoms are common in Parkinson's disease (PD), and include double vision, dry or painful 32 33 eyes, poor contrast sensitivity, problems with color vision, and blurring of vision or lowered acuity 34 (Biousse et al., 2004; Davidsdottir et al., 2005; Archibald et al., 2009; Urwyler et al., 2014; Weil et 35 al., 2016). Such problems have been linked to the physical decline of retinal function over the course 36 of disease development with depletion of retinal dopamine (Nguyen-Legros, 1988), and retinal nerve 37 fiber layer thinning (Lee et al., 2014). Electrophysiological measures of visual health, such as the 38 visual evoked potential (VEP), and the electroretinogram (ERG), have been widely used to support 39 the diagnosis of PD as indirect measures of health and integrity of early bottom-up visual processing 40 pathways. Measurements of scalp potentials, as well as scotopic alpha and beta wayes generated on 41 the retina during foveal stimulation typically demonstrate a slowing of peak activity in PDD patients 42 relative to controls (Bodis-Wollner and Yahr, 1978; Calzetti et al., 1990; Nowacka et al., 2015), 43 acting as indirect support for pathological evidence of a decline in pre-geniculate visual function

44 (Nguyen-Legros, 1988; Lee et al., 2014).

45 In 45% of PD cases without dementia (Aarsland et al., 1999; Fénelon et al., 2000), and up to 65% of

- 46 cases with dementia (PDD) (McKeith et al., 2005), patients will also experience visual hallucinations
- 47 (VH). The early presence of VH is a strong predictor of cognitive decline (Aarsland et al., 2003), as
- 48 well as increased mortality and overall reduced quality of life for patients and their carers (Goetz and
- 49 Stebbins, 1993; 1995). Models of VH in Lewy body dementias (including dementia with Lewy
- 50 bodies (DLB), and PDD) have posited that VH are a product of the inefficient integration of multiple
- 51 perceptual sub-divisions of the visual system (Collerton et al., 2005; Shine et al., 2011). The 52 framework for healthy visual perception involves the prediction of sensory inputs expected from t
- framework for healthy visual perception involves the prediction of sensory inputs expected from the salient features of images (based upon long-term memory of similar images and current context)
- 54 which are then matched to the actual sensory inputs to minimize any discrepancy between the two.
- 55 Thus, perception needs to balance predictions and sensory information. Impairments in cognitive
- 56 control across executive networks in PDD lead to difficulties balancing these processes, thus
- 57 impairing the accuracy of matching the visual input to expectations. Despite the precise etiology of
- 58 VH being unclear, variations in the frequency of visual hallucinations over the course of disease
- 59 progression suggests that these hallucinations reflect a complex relationship between declining
- 60 sensory function and dysfunctional predictions (Collerton et al., 2005; Onofrj et al., 2007; Fenelon,
- 61 2008; Llebaria et al., 2010; Sanchez-Castaneda et al., 2010; Shine et al., 2011).
- 62 In this investigation we sought to characterize the components of early bottom-up processing in PDD
- 63 patients, using the pattern reversal visual evoked potential, and to relate the response features to the
- 64 complexity of the VHs experienced. Based on available evidence of physiological decline in PDD we
- 65 predicted that we would observe a general reduction in the amplitude of the VEP components, as
- 66 well as an increase in the P1 latency (Matsui et al., 2005). In addition we expected baseline visual
- acuity and visual perception, to demonstrate a decline in those with a more severe and frequent
- 68 complex VH. This should also extend to an association between VEP P1 and N2 measurements with
- 69 VH experience, as both of these are thought to be contingent upon attentional and perceptual
- 70 processes (Haider et al., 1964; Luck 2005), which are, in particular, disrupted by Lewy body
- 71 pathology (Shine et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2011).
- 72 **2 Methods**
- 73 2.1 Participants

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC_BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- A total of 21 healthy controls, and 38 Parkinson's disease with dementia (PDD) patients were
- recruited from the North East of England. Ethical approval was granted by the Newcastle National
- 76 Health Service (NHS) Health Research Authority (HRA) (REC reference: 13/NE/0252; R&D
- reference: 6691). The diagnosis of PDD was confirmed by two independent and experienced
- clinicians (Charlotte Allan, John-Paul Taylor) and met with the standards described in the
- 79 international PD diagnostic criteria (Emre et al., 2007). Participants were excluded from the study if
- 80 baseline assessment revealed the presence of comorbid factors including stroke, non-PD related
- 81 dementia, and/or visual dysfunction secondary to glaucoma. All procedures related to the study were
- 82 explained to the participants and written informed consent was obtained prior to participation.

83 2.2 Clinical assessments

- 84 All participants were assessed on their level of global cognitive function using the Mini Mental State
- 85 Exam, (MMSE, (Folstein et al., 1975); maximum score of 30) and the Cambridge Cognitive Test
- 86 Battery (CAMCOG total score, (Roth et al., 1986; Roth et al., 1988); maximum score of 107). Motor
- 87 function was assessed using the total (left and right) score from the Unified Parkinson's disease
- rating scale section three (UPDRS-III, (Fahn et al. 1987); maximum score of 57).
- 89 The integrity of the participant's visual acuity was assessed using a detailed screening questionnaire,
- 90 computerized Freiburg acuity testing (Bach, 1996), and the LOGMAR (Logarithm of the Minimum
- 91 Angle of Resolution) scale of visual acuity. Visuo-perceptual function was assessed using
- 92 performance on motion sensitivity, (Wood et al., 2013), angle discrimination (Wood et al., 2013),
- 93 and performance on the pareidolic imagery test (Uchiyama et al., 2012).

94 2.3 Visual Hallucinations

- 95 The hallucination subscale of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPIHal) (Cummings et al., 1994) was
- 96 used for assessing VH occurring in the previous month, with the NPIHal score (frequency × severity
- 97 of hallucinations) derived as a measure. For reliability, patients and carers were independently asked
- about the occurrence of VH in the month before using the North-East Visual Hallucinations
- 99 Interview (NEVHI) (Mosimann et al., 2008). Any discrepancies in the reporting of VH (Urwyler et
- al., 2015) were discussed with both parties and the assessor, with reformulation of NPIHal scores
- 101 (wherever the patient seemed to lack insight, primacy was given to caregiver opinion).
- 102 Participants were classed as active visual hallucinators (PD-VH, n=17) if they had complex VH in
- 103 the month preceding their interview; otherwise, they were classed as non-hallucinators (controls
- 104 (n=21) and PD-NVH(n=17)). Participants with minor VH (e.g., passage or feeling of presence) but
- 105 no complex VH in the last month were included in the PD-NVH group. This distinction was made
- 106 due to the different etiologic basis to complex VH even though minor VH typically precede complex
- 107 VH. Patients in this study map onto the same categories used in previously published research from
- 108 our lab (see Firbank et al., 2018).

109 **2.4 EEG**

110 2.4.1 Visual Evoked Potential Presentation and Recording

- 111 The VEP adhered to the specifications proposed by the International Society for Clinical
- 112 Electrophysiology of Vision (Odom et al., 2010) (ISCEV). Participants viewed a black and white
- 113 checkerboard pattern whilst the checks (visual angle of 0.6°) reversed phase at a rate of 1Hz
- 114 (switching to the opposite phase every 500ms), for 200 sweeps, with a brief rest period (3000ms)
- after 100 sweeps. During stimulus presentation a pink dot was placed in the center of the display as a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC_BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 116 focus point, which the participant was instructed to look at. This was intended to prevent wandering
- 117 gaze during the check reversal and was presented on top of a grey background during the rest period.
- 118 The stimulus was generated on a Dell OptiPlex 755 (Microsoft Windows XP) using Matlab v2012a
- 119 (The MathWorks, 2012), and presented using a Dell U2412M 24-inch LCD monitor (resolution:
- 120 1920 x 1200 pixels refresh rate: 60Hz). Pattern reversal visual evoked potentials were recorded
- during three separate viewing conditions (both eyes, left eye, right eye), using an ASA-LAB 136
- system amplifier and the ASA-LAB recording software (version 4.9.1) in combination with a 128
- Ag/AgCl channel Waveguard cap (10-5 system, (Oostenveld and Praamstra, 2001) Advanced Neuro
- 124 Technologies). The ground electrode was placed on the right clavicle, and Fz was used as the 125 reference electrode. Electrode impedance was kept below $5k\Omega$, and no filters were applied during the
- acquisition of EEG data.
- -

127 2.4.2 Pre-Processing

- 128 Signal processing and measurement was performed using Matlab v2012a (The MathWorks, 2012),
- 129 with the EEGLab (Delorme and Makeig, 2004), ERPLab (Lopez-Calderon and Luck, 2014), and
- 130 current source density (Kayser and Tenke, 2006) (CSD) toolboxes. Individual sweeps were split into
- epochs of 400ms, a baseline period of 100ms prior to stimulus presentation, and a post-stimulus
- 132 period of 300ms. Epochs were baseline corrected using the mean of the data in the pre-stimulus
- period and filtered using a 0.1 to 45Hz bandpass filter. Individual channels with a kurtosis value
- 134 greater than three standard deviations from the cap-wide mean were removed and recreated after pre-
- processing using spherical interpolation (Perrin et al., 1989; Ferree, 2000; Delorme and Makeig,
- 136 2004; Ferree, 2006). After removing trials containing blinks, muscular activity, and drifting
- 137 potentials (impedance related artefacts), broad spatial effects of the electric field were attenuated by
- 138 applying a Laplacian transform (Kayser and Tenke, 2006). This approach was applied to reduce the
- 139 likelihood of false positives in spatially distant locations when defining the occipital region of
- 140 interest (ROI).

141 **2.4.3 Measurement**

- 142 To account for individual variance in the timing of synaptic communication the VEP components
- 143 were measured within windows defined by the global field power (GFP) for each individual. The
- 144 VEP components elicited three GFP maxima following stimulus presentation, each of which was
- 145 used as the center point for the corresponding component window (GFP maxima ± 10 ms). The
- 146 occipital ROI was defined by measuring the amplitudes of the P1 component for the grand average of
- 147 the control data set and using the 20 electrodes with the greatest amplitude as the limit for the ROI.
- 148 Individual subject measurements of peak latency and mean amplitude were taken from the average
- 149 VEP waveform within the occipital ROI. To account for potential inter-ocular latency differences we
- 150 estimated the difference between P1 peak latency measurements for the left and right eyes.

151 2.4.4 Statistical Analysis

- 152 All statistical tests were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS,
- 153 version 22). Demographic and baseline factors were compared using independent samples t-tests. We
- 154 compared the measurements of amplitude and latency separately for each component using univariate
- analysis of variance controlling for age and inter-ocular latency difference between the left and right
- 156 eyes. Effect sizes were estimated using the partial eta squared measure (η^2). To explore the
- relationship between the variance within our physiological measurements and VH experience in the
- hallucinating PDD group, we performed Spearman's correlations between each VEP measurement
- and NPI hallucination subset score. To help identify any variance in our measurements accounted for 160 here aligned and (or demonstration for the demonstration of the demons
- 160 by clinical and/or demographic factors we performed additional Spearman's correlations between the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 161 VEP measurements and each value. Significance for all tests was determined using an alpha criterion
- 162 of p<0.05, and Bonferroni corrected for multiple comparisons (corrected alpha criterion of p<0.016).
- 163 Where appropriate un-corrected correlations are reported to highlight trends within individual results.

164 **3 Results**

165 **3.1 Demographics & Clinical Scores**

166 Demographic results are summarized in Table 1. All groups were matched for age and there were no

- 167 significant differences in duration of PD or levodopa dose between the PDD-VH and PDD-NVH
- 168 groups. PDD patients displayed a significant reduction in global cognitive function, UPDRS motor
- score relative to controls, with the PDD-VH group global cognitive function and motor function were
- 170 significantly worse when compared to the PDD-NVH group.

171 **3.2 Visual Integrity & Visual Perceptual Scores**

172 Visual acuity and perceptual scores are summarized in Table 1. There was a pattern of overall decline 173 in visual integrity within the PDD patients relative to the control group, characterized by a significant

- reduction in decimal and LOGMAR measurements of visual acuity. As expected, PDD-VH patients
- showed a characteristic significant increase in the number of false perceptions reported during the
- 176 pareidolia task compared to PDD-NVH patients.

177

[Table 1 Here]

178 3.3 Visual Evoked Potential

179 Amplitude tended to be smaller, and latency later in PDD vs controls, although this was not

- 180 significant, except for N2. There were no significant differences between PDD-VH vs PDD-NVH.
- 181 (see Table 2). Follow up simple effects analysis demonstrated that N2 latency in controls was
- 182 significantly less than PDD-VH (p = .022) and PDD-NVH group (p = .03), but the N2 latency did not
- 183 differ between the VH and NVH group.
- 184

[Table 2 Here]

185 **3.4 Clinical Correlations**

186 Visual hallucination experience, as measured using the NPIHal subscale was not significantly related 187 to the measurements of any of the VEP components. In PDD-VH patients there were no significant

188 correlations between any of the VEP measurements, demographic, and clinical factors.

189 4 Discussion

190 In healthy participants, the VEP reflects a combination of many pre-striate and cortical processes. It

191 is noted that a decline in visual pathway integrity following structural changes to the retina can affect

192 the latency and amplitude (Bodis-Wollner and Onofrj, 1982; Bhaskar et al., 1986; Nowacka et al.,

193 2015; Miri et al., 2016). In earlier studies the VEP has consistently been shown to be affected by PD

neuropathology, indicating substantial decline in the quality of bottom-up visual processing

195 (Archibald et al., 2009; Bodis-Wollner & Yahr, 1978; Nowacka et al., 2015). Following the

hypothesis that disrupted bottom-up processing of visual input is associated with the generation of

197 VH in PDD we investigated whether the VEP could be used as a marker of hallucination

198 symptomology.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CG-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

199 In accordance with previous research (Mosimann et al., 2004; Emre et al., 2007; Archibald et al., 200 2009; Possin, 2010; Landy et al., 2015) the PDD patients demonstrated a reduction in visual acuity, 201 impaired visual perception, impoverished motor ability, and compromised global cognition. Analysis 202 of the pattern reversal VEP data revealed a significant increase in the PDD N2 latency relative to 203 controls, especially in PDD-VH, and non-significant reduction in the PDD P1 amplitude. P1 and N2 204 (N140) are both linked to physical properties of the stimulus such as luminance, brightness, position 205 on the retina, and associated attentional demands (Van Voorhis and Hillyard, 1977; Hillyard and 206 Munte, 1984; Luck et al., 1994; Johannes et al., 1995; Ito and Gilbert, 1999; Johannes et al., 2003). 207 Further, the N2 (N140) has been reported to be associated with increased disease severity (Talebi et 208 al, 2014). In patients with PDD there are often abnormalities associated with the structure and 209 function of the retina, including changes in morphology and dopaminergic signaling (Archibald et al., 210 2009), which have previously been linked to reduced conduction velocity in early visual processing 211 (Regan and Neima, 1984; Bodis-Wollner et al., 1987; Jones et al., 1992; Price et al., 1992; Pieri et 212 al., 2000; Holroyd et al., 2001; Nowacka et al., 2015). Source localization of these components 213 places the generating sources deep within the secondary visual cortex (Di Russo et al., 2002; Di 214 Russo et al., 2005); although their cognitive associations suggest that their activity is governed as 215 part of a higher order visual processing network. Given the lack of association between the VEP 216 components and clinical measurements in our study it is unclear what relationship exists between the 217 primary visual cortex and its bottom-up and top-down inputs in this context. However, our 218 experimental design is limited in the scope to which we can draw conclusions on the nature of

219 pathological change within the executive system and the link between attention and passive

220 perception of the VEP stimulus.

In the context of a mechanism for VH, our sample results suggest that bottom-up processing is not

differentially affected between hallucinators and non-hallucinators. This is not unexpected as it

follows that in an integrative model of VH we would expect VH content to stem from the interaction

- of impaired bottom-up processing with dysfunctional top-down control of perception. In our data,
- 225 complex VH were associated with greater decline in CAMCOG, and UPDRS scores, as well as
- 226 increased numbers of pareidolia relative to patients without complex VH. The divergence in the
- cognitive and perceptual profile of the groups supports a deteriorated capacity for effective top-down
- 228 control, which in this model would be a pre-requisite factor for the generation of complex VH.
- However, these measures were not significantly correlated with the amplitude or latency of the VEP
- 230 component measurements suggesting that conduction velocity and basic processing of visual feature 231 information is unimpeded by the integrity of detailed perceptual processing.
- 232 Within the integrative model of complex VH in Lewy body dementias the importance of bottom-up
- processing is thought to be its influence on the generation of proto-objects (Collecton et al., 2005;
- Shine et al., 2011). The frequency and phenomenology of the VH would then depend on the
- interaction between the executive system and the perceptual processing centers. Therefore, declining
- visual health and perceptual quality might simply place the individual in an at-risk state for VH
- 237 development (Firbank et al., 2018) rather than directly impact their generation. Further research is
- required to model the way pathological effects on top-down processing interact with declining visualhealth.
- ___ noutili.

240 **4.1 Limitations**

- 241 There are several limitations. Firstly, the sample size within this study was relatively small.
- 242 Secondly, we used only a single subjective measure for VH severity. The NPI items are typically
- collected from the carers of the patient, and do not ask questions about the content of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC_BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- hallucination. It thus remains possible that there could be a relationship between visual health,
- bottom-up processing, and VH content that could be accessed by quantifying a scale such as the
- 246 North East Visual Hallucination Interview (NEVHI) (Mosimann et al., 2008). Furthermore, the range
- 247 of VH severity scores in our groups was limited making correlative analyses more difficult.

248 **4.2** Conclusion

In summary, PDD patients demonstrated a diminished profile for visual information processing by

- 250 way of lowered acuity and reduced visual integrity. This was partially reflected in the outcome of the
- VEP components, although the broad lack of significant differences between PDD-VH, PDD-NVH,
- and healthy controls implies that bottom-up visual information processing remains reasonably intact.
 Our findings support a separation between bottom-up information processing and the mechanism of
- complex VH generation, and instead imply that the reduced visual integrity might act to place the
- individual in an at risk state for the development of hallucinations in patients with a deteriorated
- cognitive profile. Future work should focus on a multimodal approach to understanding the
- interactions between top-down and bottom-up perceptual circuitry and how this is impacted by PDD
- 258 neuropathology.

259 **5** Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that this research was conducted in the absence of commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

262 **6** Author contributions

- 263 NM, SG, LR, CA, DC, and J-PT contributed to the conception and organization of the research. NM
- and AK participated in the execution and data collection. NM, PU and MF designed and
- 265 implemented the data analysis and interpretation. NM and PU wrote the first draft of the manuscript.
- 266 All authors approved the final version of this manuscript.

267 **7 Funding**

268 The research was supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (IZK0Z3_173146) to PU

- and by National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre (BRC)
- 270 based at Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University. SG was
- 271 funded by the NIHR MedTech In Vitro Diagnostics Co-operative scheme (ref MIC-2016-014).

272 8 Acknowledgements

- 273 We would like to thank all participants for taking part. The authors are grateful to the group of
- 274 Professor Etsuro Mori, Tohoku University School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan, for providing a copy
- of the pareidolia task.

276 9 Data Availability

277 Data pertaining to the obtained results may be provided upon request.

278 **10 Figure Captions**

- Table 1 | Participant demographics and clinical scores. Data are mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical
- 280 tests: : Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA), p-value 2 sided <0.05 significant; PD =

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 281 Parkinson's disease, PDD = Parkinson's disease dementia, MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination,
- 282 CAMCOG = Cambridge Cognitive Assessment, UPDRS = Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating
- 283 Scale, HC = Healthy Controls, VH = Visual Hallucination, NVH= No Visual Hallucination; Tests
- reported used univariate ANOVA with partial eta squared effect size, except for Levodopa, age at
- 285 onset, duration of PD, and NPI Hal total which used independent samples t-tests and Cohen's D
- effect sizes.
- 287 Table 2 | Comparison of the visual evoked potential component (N1, P1 and N2) amplitude and
- 288 latency. Data are mean ± standard deviation. Statistical tests: Univariate analysis of variance
- 289 (ANOVA), df=52, p-value 2 sided <0.05 significant; PDD = Parkinson's disease dementia; HC =
- Healthy Controls; VH = Visual Hallucination; NVH= No Visual Hallucination; * Posthoc = VH>HC,
- 291 NVH>HC;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a GC BY-NC ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

292 **11 References**

- Aarsland, D., Andersen, K., Larsen, J.P., Lolk, A., and Kragh-Sorensen, P. (2003). Prevalence and
- characteristics of dementia in Parkinson disease: an 8-year prospective study. Arch Neurol 60(3),
 387-392. doi: 10.1001/archneur.60.3.387.
- Aarsland, D., Larsen, J.P., Karlsen, K., Lim, N.G., and Tandberg, E. (1999). Mental symptoms in
- Parkinson's disease are important contributors to caregiver distress. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 14(10),
 866-874.
- Archibald, N.K., Clarke, M.P., Mosimann, U.P., and Burn, D.J. (2009). The retina in Parkinson's disease. Brain 132(Pt 5), 1128-1145. doi: 10.1093/brain/awp068.
- Bach, M. (1996). The Freiburg Visual Acuity test--automatic measurement of visual acuity. Optom
 Vis Sci 73(1), 49-53. doi: 10.1097/00006324-199601000-00008.
- 303 Bhaskar, P.A., Vanchilingam, S., Bhaskar, E.A., Devaprabhu, A., and Ganesan, R.A. (1986). Effect
- of L-dopa on visual evoked potential in patients with Parkinson's disease. Neurology 36(8), 1119 1121. doi: 10.1212/wnl.36.8.1119.
- Biousse, V., Skibell, B.C., Watts, R.L., Loupe, D.N., Drews-Botsch, C., and Newman, N.J. (2004).
 Ophthalmologic features of Parkinson's disease. Neurology 62(2), 177-180.
- Bodis-Wollner, I., Marx, M.S., Mitra, S., Bobak, P., Mylin, L., and Yahr, M. (1987). Visual
- dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. Loss in spatiotemporal contrast sensitivity. Brain 110 (Pt 6),
 1675-1698. doi: 10.1093/brain/110.6.1675.
- 311 Bodis-Wollner, I., and Onofrj, M. (1982). System diseases and visual evoked potential diagnosis in
- 312 neurology: changes due to synaptic malfunction. Ann N Y Acad Sci 388, 327-348. doi:
- 313 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1982.tb50800.x.
- Bodis-Wollner, I., and Yahr, M.D. (1978). Measurements of visual evoked potentials in Parkinson's
 disease. Brain 101(4), 661-671. doi: 10.1093/brain/101.4.661.
- 316 Calzetti, S., Franchi, A., Taratufolo, G., and Groppi, E. (1990). Simultaneous VEP and PERG
- 317 investigations in early Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 53(2), 114-117. doi:
- 318 10.1136/jnnp.53.2.114.
- Collerton, D., Perry, E., and McKeith, I. (2005). Why people see things that are not there: a novel
 Perception and Attention Deficit model for recurrent complex visual hallucinations. Behav Brain Sci
 28(6), 737-757; discussion 757-794. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X05000130.
- 322 Cummings, J.L., Mega, M., Gray, K., Rosenberg-Thompson, S., Carusi, D.A., and Gornbein, J.
- 323 (1994). The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in dementia.
 324 Neurology 44(12), 2308-2314.
- Davidsdottir, S., Cronin-Golomb, A., and Lee, A. (2005). Visual and spatial symptoms in Parkinson's
 disease. Vision Res 45(10), 1285-1296. doi: 10.1016/j.visres.2004.11.006.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 327 Delorme, A., and Makeig, S. (2004). EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial
- EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. J Neurosci Methods 134(1), 9-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009.
- 330 Di Russo, F., Martinez, A., Sereno, M.I., Pitzalis, S., and Hillyard, S.A. (2002). Cortical sources of
- the early components of the visual evoked potential. Hum Brain Mapp 15(2), 95-111. doi:
- 332 10.1002/hbm.10010.
- 333 Di Russo, F., Pitzalis, S., Spitoni, G., Aprile, T., Patria, F., Spinelli, D., et al. (2005). Identification of
- the neural sources of the pattern-reversal VEP. Neuroimage 24(3), 874-886. doi:
- 335 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.09.029.
- Emre, M., Aarsland, D., Brown, R., Burn, D.J., Duyckaerts, C., Mizuno, Y., et al. (2007). Clinical
 diagnostic criteria for dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 22(12), 1689-1707;
 quiz 1837. doi: 10.1002/mds.21507.
- Fahn, S., Elton, R., and Committee, M.o.t.U.D. (1987). "The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating
- 340 Scale," in Recent Developments in Parkinson's Disease, eds. S. Fahn, C.D. Marsden, D.B. Calne &
- 341 M. Goldstein. (Florham Park, NJ: Macmillan Health Care Information), 153-163
- 342 Fenelon, G. (2008). Psychosis in Parkinson's disease: phenomenology, frequency, risk factors, and
- current understanding of pathophysiologic mechanisms. CNS Spectr 13(3 Suppl 4), 18-25. doi:
 10.1017/s1092852900017284.
- Fénelon, G., Mahieux, F., Huon, R., and Ziegler, M. (2000). Hallucinations in Parkinson's disease:
 prevalence, phenomenology and risk factors. Brain 123, 733-745.
- 347 Ferree, T.C. (2000). "Spline Interpolation of the Scalp EEG.". Electical Geodesics, Inc.).
- Ferree, T.C. (2006). Spherical splines and average referencing in scalp electroencephalography.
 Brain Topogr 19(1-2), 43-52. doi: 10.1007/s10548-006-0011-0.
- Firbank, M.J., Parikh, J., Murphy, N., Killen, A., Allan, C.L., Collerton, D., et al. (2018). Reduced occipital GABA in Parkinson disease with visual hallucinations. Neurology 91(7), e675-e685. doi:
- 351 occipital GABA in Parkinson disease wi352 10.1212/WNL.00000000006007.
- 353 Folstein, M.F., Folstein, S.E., and McHugh, P.R. (1975). "Mini-mental state". A practical method for
- grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 12(3), 189-198. doi:
 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6.
- Goetz, C.G., and Stebbins, G.T. (1993). Risk factors for nursing home placement in advanced
 Parkinson's disease. Neurology 43(11), 2227-2229.
- Goetz, C.G., and Stebbins, G.T. (1995). Mortality and hallucinations in nursing home patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. Neurology 45(4), 669-671. doi: 10.1212/wnl.45.4.669.
- Haider, M., Spong, P., and Lindsley, D.B. (1964). Attention, Vigilance, and Cortical Evoked-Potentials in Humans. Science 145(3628), 180-182. doi: 10.1126/science.145.3628.180.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CG-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- Hillyard, S.A., and Munte, T.F. (1984). Selective attention to color and location: an analysis with
 event-related brain potentials. Percept Psychophys 36(2), 185-198. doi: 10.3758/bf03202679.
- Holroyd, S., Currie, L., and Wooten, G.F. (2001). Prospective study of hallucinations and delusions in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 70(6), 734-738. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.70.6.734.

Ito, M., and Gilbert, C.D. (1999). Attention modulates contextual influences in the primary visual
 cortex of alert monkeys. Neuron 22(3), 593-604. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80713-8

Johannes, S., Munte, T.F., Heinze, H.J., and Mangun, G.R. (1995). Luminance and spatial attention
effects on early visual processing. Brain Res Cogn Brain Res 2(3), 189-205. doi: 10.1016/09266410(95)90008-x.

- Johannes, S., Wieringa, B.M., Nager, W., Rada, D., Muller-Vahl, K.R., Emrich, H.M., et al. (2003).
- 372 Tourette syndrome and obsessive-compulsive disorder: event-related brain potentials show similar
- 373 mechanisms [correction of mechansims] of frontal inhibition but dissimilar target evaluation
- 374 processes. Behav Neurol 14(1-2), 9-17. doi: 10.1155/2003/326468.
- Jones, R.D., Donaldson, I.M., and Timmings, P.L. (1992). Impairment of high-contrast visual acuity in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 7(3), 232-238. doi: 10.1002/mds.870070308.
- 377 Kayser, J., and Tenke, C.E. (2006). Principal components analysis of Laplacian waveforms as a
- generic method for identifying ERP generator patterns: I. Evaluation with auditory oddball tasks.
 Clin Neurophysiol 117(2), 348-368. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2005.08.034.
- 380 Landy, K.M., Salmon, D.P., Galasko, D., Filoteo, J.V., Festa, E.K., Heindel, W.C., et al. (2015).
- Motion discrimination in dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer disease. Neurology 85(16),
 1376-1382. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000002028.
- Lee, J.Y., Kim, J.M., Ahn, J., Kim, H.J., Jeon, B.S., and Kim, T.W. (2014). Retinal nerve fiber layer
- thickness and visual hallucinations in Parkinson's Disease. Mov Disord 29(1), 61-67. doi:
- 385 10.1002/mds.25543.
- 386 Llebaria, G., Pagonabarraga, J., Martinez-Corral, M., Garcia-Sanchez, C., Pascual-Sedano, B.,
- Gironell, A., et al. (2010). Neuropsychological correlates of mild to severe hallucinations in
 Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 25(16), 2785-2791. doi: 10.1002/mds.23411.
- Lopez-Calderon, J., and Luck, S.J. (2014). ERPLAB: an open-source toolbox for the analysis of event-related potentials. Front Hum Neurosci 8, 213. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00213.
- Luck , S.J. (2005). An Introduction to the Event-Related Potential Technique. Massachusetts Institute
 of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Luck, S.J., Hillyard, S.A., Mouloua, M., Woldorff, M.G., Clark, V.P., and Hawkins, H.L. (1994).
- 394 Effects of spatial cuing on luminance detectability: psychophysical and electrophysiological evidence
- for early selection. J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform 20(4), 887-904. doi: 10.1037//0096-
- 396 1523.20.4.887.
- 397

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a GC BY-NC ND 4.0 International license Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 398 Matsui, H., Udaka, F., Tamura, A., Oda, M., Kubori, T., Nishinaka, K., et al. (2005). The relation
- between visual hallucinations and visual evoked potential in Parkinson disease. Clin Neuropharmacol
 28(2), 79-82. doi: 10.1097/01.wnf.0000157066.50948.65
- 401 McKeith, I.G., Dickson, D.W., Lowe, J., Emre, M., O'Brien, J.T., Feldman, H., et al. (2005).
- 402 Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium.
 403 Neurology 65(12), 1863-1872. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000187889.17253.b1.
- Miri, S., Glazman, S., Mylin, L., and Bodis-Wollner, I. (2016). A combination of retinal morphology
 and visual electrophysiology testing increases diagnostic yield in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism
 Relat Disord 22 Suppl 1, S134-137. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.09.015.
- 407 Mosimann, U.P., Collerton, D., Dudley, R., Meyer, T.D., Graham, G., Dean, J.L., et al. (2008). A
- 408 semi-structured interview to assess visual hallucinations in older people. International journal of 409 geriatric psychiatry 23(7), 712-718. doi: 10.1002/gps.1965.
- 410 Mosimann, U.P., Mather, G., Wesnes, K.A., O'Brien, J.T., Burn, D.J., and McKeith, I.G. (2004).
- 411 Visual perception in Parkinson disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. Neurology 63(11),
- 412 2091-2096. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000145764.70698.4e.
- 413 Nguyen-Legros, J. (1988). Functional neuroarchitecture of the retina: hypothesis on the dysfunction
- 414 of retinal dopaminergic circuitry in Parkinson's disease. Surg Radiol Anat 10(2), 137-144. doi:
- 415 10.1007/bf02307822.
- 416 Nowacka, B., Lubinski, W., Honczarenko, K., Potemkowski, A., and Safranow, K. (2015).
- 417 Bioelectrical function and structural assessment of the retina in patients with early stages of
- 418 Parkinson's disease (PD). Doc Ophthalmol 131(2), 95-104. doi: 10.1007/s10633-015-9503-0.
- 419 Odom, J.V., Bach, M., Brigell, M., Holder, G.E., McCulloch, D.L., Tormene, A.P., et al. (2010).
- 420 ISCEV standard for clinical visual evoked potentials (2009 update). Doc Ophthalmol 120(1), 111421 119. doi: 10.1007/s10633-009-9195-4.
- 422 Onofrj, M., Thomas, A., and Bonanni, L. (2007). New approaches to understanding hallucinations in
- Parkinson's disease: phenomenology and possible origins. Expert Rev Neurother 7(12), 1731-1750.
 doi: 10.1586/14737175.7.12.1731.
- 425 Oostenveld, R., and Praamstra, P. (2001). The five percent electrode system for high-resolution EEG
 426 and ERP measurements. Clin Neurophysiol 112(4), 713-719. doi: 10.1016/s1388-2457(00)00527-7.
- 427 Perrin, F., Pernier, J., Bertrand, O., and Echallier, J.F. (1989). Spherical splines for scalp potential
 428 and current density mapping. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 72(2), 184-187. doi:
- 429 10.1016/0013-4694(89)90180-6.
- 430 Pieri, V., Diederich, N.J., Raman, R., and Goetz, C.G. (2000). Decreased color discrimination and
- 431 contrast sensitivity in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci 172(1), 7-11. doi: 10.1016/s0022 432 510x(99)00204-x.
- 433 Possin, K.L. (2010). Visual spatial cognition in neurodegenerative disease. Neurocase 16(6), 466434 487. doi: 10.1080/13554791003730600.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CG-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 435 Price, M.J., Feldman, R.G., Adelberg, D., and Kayne, H. (1992). Abnormalities in color vision and 436 contrast sensitivity in Parkinson's disease. Neurology 42(4), 887-890. doi: 10.1212/wnl.42.4.887. 437 Regan, D., and Neima, D. (1984). Low-contrast letter charts in early diabetic retinopathy, ocular 438 hypertension, glaucoma, and Parkinson's disease. Br J Ophthalmol 68(12), 885-889. doi: 439 10.1136/bjo.68.12.885. 440 Roth, M., Huppert, F.A., Tym, E., and Mountjoy, C.Q. (1988). CAMDEX: The Cambridge 441 Examination for Mental Disorders of the Elderly. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 442 Roth, M., Tym, E., Mountjoy, C.Q., Huppert, F.A., Hendrie, H., Verma, S., et al. (1986). CAMDEX. 443 A standardised instrument for the diagnosis of mental disorder in the elderly with special reference to 444 the early detection of dementia. Br J Psychiatry 149, 698-709. doi: 10.1192/bjp.149.6.698. 445 Sanchez-Castaneda, C., Rene, R., Ramirez-Ruiz, B., Campdelacreu, J., Gascon, J., Falcon, C., et al. 446 (2010). Frontal and associative visual areas related to visual hallucinations in dementia with Lewy 447 bodies and Parkinson's disease with dementia. Mov Disord 25(5), 615-622. doi: 10.1002/mds.22873. 448 Shine, J.M., Halliday, G.M., Naismith, S.L., and Lewis, S.J. (2011). Visual misperceptions and 449 hallucinations in Parkinson's disease: dysfunction of attentional control networks? Mov Disord 450 26(12), 2154-2159. doi: 10.1002/mds.23896. 451 Talebi, M., A., Meshkinghalam & Andalib, S. (2014). Association between Visual Evoked Potential 452 and Disease Severity, Disease Duration and Visual Hallucination in Patients with Idiopathic 453 Parkinsonism. Novel Biomed. 2, 120-125. 454 Taylor, J.P., Firbank, M., Barnett, N., Pearce, S., Livingstone, A., Mosimann, U., et al. (2011). Visual 455 hallucinations in dementia with Lewy bodies: transcranial magnetic stimulation study. Br J 456 Psychiatry 199(6), 492-500. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.110.090373. 457 Uchiyama, M., Nishio, Y., Yokoi, K., Hirayama, K., Imamura, T., Shimomura, T., et al. (2012). 458 Pareidolias: complex visual illusions in dementia with Lewy bodies. Brain 135, 2458-2469. doi: 459 10.1093/brain/aws126. 460 Urwyler, P., Nef, T., Killen, A., Collerton, D., Thomas, A., Burn, D., et al. (2014). Visual complaints 461 and visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism & related disorders 20(3), 318-322. 462 doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.12.009. 463 Urwyler, P., Nef, T., Muri, R.M., Killen, A., Collerton, D., Burn, D., et al. (2015). Patient and 464 Informant Views on Visual Hallucinations in Parkinson Disease. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 23(9), 970-976. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2014.12.190. 465 466 Van Voorhis, S.T., and Hillyard, S.A. (1977). Visual evoked potentials and selective attention to
- 467 points in space. Perception & Psychophysics 22, 54-62.
- Weil, R.S., Schrag, A.E., Warren, J.D., Crutch, S.J., Lees, A.J., and Morris, H.R. (2016). Visual
 dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. Brain 139(11), 2827-2843. doi: 10.1093/brain/aww175.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.28.20142042; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license Bottom-Up Visual Processing and Visual Hallucinations in PDD

- 470 Wood, J.S., Firbank, M.J., Mosimann, U.P., Watson, R., Barber, R., Blamire, A.M., et al. (2013).
- 471 Testing visual perception in dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer disease. Am J Geriatr
- 472 Psychiatry 21(6), 501-508. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2012.11.015.

473

Maagunamant	Controls	PDD NVH	PDD VH	Desthee	Statistics
Measurement	(n=21)	(n=17)	(n=17)	Postnoc	Test Val, p, Effect Size
Age (years)	74.90 ± 5.16	72.94 ± 5.19	73.88 ± 5.36		0.756, 0.524, 0.026
MMSE score*	29.10 ± 1.81	24.59 ± 5.0	22.76 ± 4.99	HC>VH, HC>NVH	12.307, <0.001, 0.321
CAMCOG total score*	95.14 ± 6.79	80.94 ± 15.53	73.18 ± 15.91	HC>VH, HC>NVH, VH <nvh< td=""><td>14.003, <0.001, 0.350</td></nvh<>	14.003, <0.001, 0.350
CAMCOG Memory score*	23.52 ± 1.5	19.94 ± 4.93	17 ± 4.1	HC>VH, HC>NVH	15.32, <0.001, .36
CAMCOG Executive score*	22.28 ± 3.16	14.53 ± 3.93	12.44 ± 4.04	HC>VH, HC>NVH	38.67, <.001, .59
UPDRS III score*	2.10 ± 2.47	38.65 ± 21.93	57.88 ± 20.47	HC <vh, hc<nvh,<br="">VH>NVH</vh,>	55.147, <0.001, 0.680
Acuity (decimal)*	0.58 ± 0.31	0.29 ± 0.21	0.31 ± 0.19	HC>VH, HC>NVH	7.783, 0.01, 0.234
Acuity (logmar)*	0.31 ± 0.26	0.65 ± 0.32	0.57 ± 0.27	HC>VH, HC>NVH	7.823, 0.001, 0.235
Minimum Angle Perception (degrees)*	8.63 ± 3.25	28.42 ± 23.51	32.68 ± 30.07	HC <vh< td=""><td>7.059, 0.002, 0.214</td></vh<>	7.059, 0.002, 0.214
Motion Perception*	-2.72 ± 0.72	1.80 ± 3.15	2.68 ± 2.88	HC <vh< td=""><td>26.746, <0.001, 0.522</td></vh<>	26.746, <0.001, 0.522
Number of Pareidolia*	1.0 ± 1.46	3.18 ± 4.54	6.82 ± 5.58	HC <vh, vh="">NVH</vh,>	8.188, 0.001, 0.254
Levodopa Dose (24 hours, mg)		569.12 ± 303.05	710.59 ± 363.10		-1.04, 0.31, 0.35
Age at Onset of PD symptoms (years)		64.65 ± 7.08	60.88 ± 7.62		1.06, 0.29, 0.36
PD Duration (years)		7.18 ± 4.51	10.82 ± 7.46		-1.47, 0.15, 0.5
NPI total score*		0.29 ± 0.58	3.11 ± 2.05	NVH <vh< td=""><td>-5.44, <.001, 3.23</td></vh<>	-5.44, <.001, 3.23

474 Table 1, Participant demographics and clinical scores. *Denotes significant at *p*<0.05

475 Data are mean ± standard deviation. Statistical tests: : Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA), p-value 2 sided <0.05 significant; PD = Parkinson's disease, PDD =

476 Parkinson's disease dementia, MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination, CAMCOG = Cambridge Cognitive Assessment, UPDRS = Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating

477 Scale, HC = Healthy Controls, VH = Visual Hallucination, NVH = No Visual Hallucination; Tests reported used univariate ANOVA with partial eta squared effect size,

478 except for Levodopa, age at onset, duration of PD, and NPI Hal total which used independent samples t-tests and Cohen's D effect sizes.

479

480

481

482 Table 2, Comparison of the visual evoked potential component (N1, P1 and N2) amplitude and latency

483

Component		Controls (n=21)	PDD NVH (n=17)	PDD VH (n=17)	Statistics F , p -value, η^2
N1	Amplitude	-1.27 ± 0.93	$\textbf{-0.85} \pm 0.82$	$\textbf{-0.84} \pm 0.51$	1.63, 0.21, 0.06
	Latency	88.28 ± 8.62	90.23 ± 08.68	93.35 ± 10.47	0.46, 0.63, 0.02
P1	Amplitude	3.61 ± 2.55	2.32 ± 1.82	2.36 ± 1.33	2.16, 0.13, 0.08
	Latency	$124.50 \pm$	127.59 ± 7.73	126.84 ± 6.33	1.47, 0.24, 0.06
	Amplitude	-1.64 ± 1.44	-0.97 ± 0.84	-1.26 ± 1.19	1.7, 0.19, 0.06
N2	Latency (ms)	162.27 ± 8.97	176.93 ± 14.69	174.15 ± 15.30	7.44, 0.001*, 0.23

484 Data are mean ± standard deviation. Statistical tests: Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA), df=52, p-value 2 sided <0.05 significant; PDD = Parkinson's disease
 485 dementia; HC = Healthy Controls; VH = Visual Hallucination; NVH= No Visual Hallucination; * Posthoc = VH>HC, NVH>HC;

486