Incidence and prognostic significance of hypoxemia in fibrotic interstitial lung disease: an international cohort study

Yet H. Khor, MBBS PhD, Lawrence Gutman, MD, Nebal Abu Hussein, MD, Kerri A. Johannson, MD, Ian N. Glaspole, MBBS PhD, Sabina A. Guler, MD, Manuela Funke-Chambour, MD, Thomas Geiser, MD, Nicole SL. Goh, MBBS PhD, Christopher J. Ryerson, MD



PII: S0012-3692(21)00762-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.04.037

Reference: CHEST 4223

To appear in: CHEST

Received Date: 15 November 2020

Revised Date: 13 April 2021 Accepted Date: 17 April 2021

Please cite this article as: Khor YH, Gutman L, Hussein NA, Johannson KA, Glaspole IN, Guler SA, Funke-Chambour M, Geiser T, Goh NS, Ryerson CJ, Incidence and prognostic significance of hypoxemia in fibrotic interstitial lung disease: an international cohort study, *CHEST* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.04.037.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Copyright © 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc under license from the American College of Chest Physicians.

Word count for text: 3248

Word count for abstract: 271

Title: Incidence and prognostic significance of hypoxemia in fibrotic interstitial lung

disease: an international cohort study

Short title: Hypoxemia in fibrotic ILD

Yet H Khor MBBS PhD^{1,2,3,4}, Lawrence Gutman MD⁵, Nebal Abu Hussein MD⁶, Kerri A

Johannson MD 5,7, Ian N Glaspole MBBS PhD 4,8, Sabina A Guler MD6, Manuela Funke-

Chambour MD ⁶, Thomas Geiser MD ⁶, Nicole SL Goh MBBS PhD ^{1,2,3}, Christopher J Ryerson

MD 3,9

¹ Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine / Institute for Breathing and Sleep, Austin

Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia

² Faculty of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³ Centre for Heart Lung Innovation, Providence Health Care, Vancouver, BC, Canada

⁴ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Australia

⁵ Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

⁶ Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Inselspital Bern University Hospital, University of

Bern, Bern, Switzerland

⁷ Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

⁸ Department of Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

⁹ Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Corresponding Author:

Yet H Khor

Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Austin Health

145 Studley Road, Heidelberg 3084 VIC

Email: yethong.khor@austin.org.au

Summary conflict of interest statements

YHK reports grants from Lung Foundation Australia, Austin Medical Research Foundation, Australian Endeavour Award, and Royal Australasian College of Physicians, during the conduct of the study; in-kind trial support from Air Liquide Healthcare, grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and Roche, outside the submitted work.

LG declares no competing interests.

NAH declares no competing interests.

KAJ reports personal fees and other from Boehringer-Ingelheim, personal fees and other from Hoffman La Roche Ltd, personal fees and other from Theravance, personal fees and other from Blade Therapeutics, grants from Chest Foundation, grants from University of Calgary School of Medicine, grants from Pulmonary Fibrosis Society of Calgary, grants from UCB Biopharma SPRL, other from Three Lakes Foundation, outside the submitted work. ING reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche, and Menarini, outside the submitted work.

SAG reports grants from Roche and Boehringer Ingelheim, during the conduct of the study.

MFC reports unrestricted grants from Boehringer Ingelheim and Roche, outside the submitted work.

TG declares no competing interests.

NSLG reports grants from Lung Foundation Australia, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Astrazeneca, personal fees from GSK, non-financial support from Air Liquide Australia, outside the submitted work.

CJR reports grants from Lung Foundation Australia and Austin Medical Research Foundation, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and Hoffmann-La Roche, outside the submitted work.

Funding information

This study was funded by the Lung Foundation Australia Eleanor Greenwood Memorial Grant-in-Aid for Interstitial Lung Disease Research and Austin Medical Research Foundation.

YHK received fellowship support from an Australian Endeavour Award and the Royal Australasian College of Physicians Robert and Elizabeth Albert Travel Grant. The University

Hospital Inselspital Bern Interstitial Lung Disease Registry is supported by Boehringer Ingelheim and Roche.

Notation of prior abstract presentation

- Thoracic Society of Australia & New Zealand Annual Scientific Meeting 2020 (Virtual)
- American Thoracic Society 2020 International Conference (Virtual)

ABBREVIATIONS LIST

6MWT 6-minute walk test

ABG Arterial blood gas

AIC Akaike information criterion

CI Confidence interval

COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CTD-ILD Connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease

DLCO Diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide

FEV₁ Forced expiratory volume in 1s

FVC Forced vital capacity

ILD Interstitial lung disease

IPF Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

PaO2 Partial arterial oxygen tension

SpO₂ Oxyhemoglobin saturation

ABSTRACTS

Background: Hypoxemia is a cardinal feature of fibrotic interstitial lung disease (ILD). The incidence, progression, and prognostic significance of hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD is currently unknown.

Research Question: What are the epidemiology of hypoxemia and its additive prognostic value in current risk prediction model in fibrotic ILD?

Methods: We identified 848 patients with fibrotic ILD (258 with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF)) in five prospective ILD registries from Australia, Canada, and Switzerland. Cumulative incidence of exertional and resting hypoxemia from the time of diagnosis was estimated at 1-year intervals in patients with baseline 6-minute walk tests, adjusted for competing risks of death and lung transplantation. Likelihood ratio tests were used to determine the prognostic significance of exertional and resting hypoxemia for 1-year mortality/transplantation when added to the ILD-GAP model. The cohort was divided into derivation and validation subsets to evaluate performance characteristics of the extended model (the "ILD-GAP-O₂" model), which included oxygenation status as a predictor.

Results: The 1-, 2-, and 5-year overall cumulative incidence was 6.1%, 17.3%, and 40.1% for exertional hypoxemia, and 2.4%, 5.6%, and 16.5% for resting hypoxemia, which were significantly higher in IPF patients compared to non-IPF patients (p<0.001 for both). Addition of exertional or resting hypoxemia to the ILD-GAP model improved 1-year mortality/transplantation prediction (p<0.001 for both). The ILD-GAP-O₂ model had improved discrimination (C-index of 0.80 vs 0.75) and model fit (Akaike information criteria of 400 vs 422) in the validation cohort, with comparable calibration.

Interpretation: IPF patients have higher cumulative incidence of exertional and resting hypoxemia than non-IPF patients. The extended ILD-GAP-O₂ model provides additional risk stratification for 1-year prognosis in fibrotic ILD.

Fibrotic interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) comprise a heterogeneous group of chronic pulmonary diseases characterized by diffuse parenchymal fibrosis, leading to irreversible lung function decline and impaired gas exchange. Hypoxemia is a key feature among patients with ILD, although its incidence is unclear. Exertional hypoxemia is an independent predictor of dyspnea and reduced physical activity. And is associated with increased mortality. Exertional hypoxemia is more severe in patients with ILD than those with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and can occur in patients with ILD who have relatively preserved lung function. As ILD deteriorates, some patients develop resting hypoxemia. Recent studies suggest ambulatory oxygen may improve symptoms and health-related quality of life in patients with ILD.

The incidence, progression, and prognostic significance of hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD is unknown. A better understanding of the natural course of hypoxemia in fibrotic ILD is needed to aid discussion regarding patient expectations and guide management decisions. In this study, we aimed to determine the cumulative incidence for the progression of normoxemia to exertional and resting hypoxemia from the time of diagnosis, as well as the survival and prognostic significance of hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD. We hypothesized that the incidence of both exertional and resting hypoxemia would be higher in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) than in those with other fibrotic ILDs, and that the presence of either exertional or resting hypoxemia would be associated with increased 1-year mortality in patients with fibrotic ILD, independent of the ILD-GAP model. ¹¹

METHODS

Study design and population

This international retrospective cohort study included prospective registries from five ILD centers in three countries [Australia: Alfred Health (2010-2019), Austin Health (2015-2019); Canada: Providence Health Care (2015-2019), South Health Campus (2015-2019); Switzerland: University Hospital Inselspital Bern (2014-2019)] (e-Table 1). Consecutive patients aged ≥18 years with a multidisciplinary diagnosis of fibrotic ILD were included if they had baseline 6-minute walk tests (6MWT) at the initial ILD visit. Multidisciplinary discussion was conducted according to international guidelines at each site. ¹²⁻¹⁴ This study

was approved by the Austin Health Human Research Ethics Committee (LNR/18/Austin/388).

Hypoxemia assessments and definitions

Baseline and serial data of 6MWTs and arterial blood gases (ABGs) were extracted from clinical records (e-Table2 and e-Figure 1). 6MWTs were performed according to guideline recommendations, with continuous monitoring of oxyhemoglobin saturation (SpO₂) using a pulse oximeter.^{15,16} Exertional hypoxemia was defined as a nadir SpO₂ <88% during 6MWTs on room air. Resting hypoxemia was defined as either a SpO₂ <88% at the beginning of 6WMTs on room air, or partial arterial oxygen tension (PaO₂) ≤55mmHg in isolation or 56–59mmHg on resting ABG measurement on room air with evidence of hypoxemic organ damage (right heart failure, pulmonary hypertension, or polycythemia).¹⁷ ABG results were prioritized if both ABG and 6MWT were available. New-onset exertional or resting hypoxemia was defined as the date of first assessment at which patients met the abovementioned criteria. Clinical stability was not a requirement for inclusion. Given the eligibility criteria for domiciliary oxygen at each study site are consistent with the study definitions of hypoxemia, patients using long-term oxygen and ambulatory oxygen were considered to have resting and exertional hypoxemia, respectively.

Additional data collection

The date of ILD diagnosis was defined as the date of surgical lung biopsy, when available, or the first date of HRCT evidence of pulmonary fibrosis if a biopsy was not performed. Patient demographics, smoking history, body mass index, cardiopulmonary comorbidities, medications (anti-fibrotic and immunosuppressive agents), and survival or lung transplantation status and dates were extracted. A high likelihood of pulmonary hypertension was defined as pulmonary artery systolic pressure ≥35mmHg on echocardiogram, mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥25mmHg on right heart catheterization, documented pulmonary hypertension as comorbidity, or documented therapies for pulmonary hypertension. Serial pulmonary function test parameters extracted were the forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV₁/FVC ratio, and diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Stata (v15.1 StataCorp, USA). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or frequency (%).

Progression of hypoxemia: The cumulative incidence of exertional and resting hypoxemia from the date of diagnosis was estimated at 1-year intervals for the first 5 years after diagnosis. Death and lung transplantation were considered as competing risks by the method of Fine and Gray. Time to exertional and resting hypoxemia were calculated as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of hypoxemia occurrence. The outcome was censored if a patient had not developed hypoxemia by the date of last follow-up. Cumulative incidence of exertional and resting hypoxemia stratified by ILD diagnosis (IPF vs non-IPF) were performed, adjusting for age, sex, lung function (FVC and DLCO % predicted), and study site. This was supplemented by subgroup analyses of patients without a high likelihood of pulmonary hypertension. The progression from exertional to resting hypoxemia was evaluated in the subgroup of patients with new-onset exertional hypoxemia during follow-up, with logistic regression analyses used to assess potential predictor variables associated with the progression. The a priori potential predictors included age, sex, lung function (FVC and DLCO % predicted), diagnosis of IPF, and time since diagnosis.

Survival and prognostic significance of hypoxemia: Time to death or lung transplantation following the development of exertional hypoxemia was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method, adjusting for age and lung function (FVC and DLCO % predicted) at the date of hypoxemia assessment, sex, diagnosis of IPF, and study site. A similar analysis was undertaken for resting hypoxemia. For both analyses, follow-up began at the dates of exertional and resting hypoxemia (time zero), respectively. Transplant-free survival stratified by ILD diagnosis (IPF vs non-IPF) was performed, with subgroup analyses excluding patients with a high likelihood of pulmonary hypertension. To decrease risk from immortal time bias, landmark analyses were performed in patients surviving beyond two years, with the hypoxemia status being determined at the landmark time. Time-varying Cox regression methods were used to examine the association between hypoxemia (both baseline and incident events) and 1-year mortality or transplantation, using age and concurrent lung function values at each oxygen assessment that change over time. The incremental value of

adding exertional and resting hypoxemia to the ILD-GAP model for 1-year mortality or transplantation in patients with fibrotic ILD was determined using likelihood ratio tests.

An extension of the ILD-GAP model for predicting mortality or transplantation was created by including oxygenation status as a predictor variable. To develop the extended ILD-GAP-O2 model, the study population was divided according to the study sites into derivation and validation cohorts to achieve a 3:1 ratio. The derivation and validation of GAP and ILD-GAP models have been described previously. 11,19 The "connective tissue disease-associated ILD (CTD-ILD) / idiopathic non-specific interstitial pneumonia / chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis" category for the ILD-GAP model was re-termed as "other ILD" to include other ILD subtypes, including pneumonoconiosis, sarcoidosis, and other idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. Point assignments for exertional and resting hypoxemia were weighted based on the existing ILD-GAP model. Regression coefficient values for variables of the ILD-GAP model and the oxygenation status derived from the derivation cohort were compared. Points for exertional and resting hypoxemia were then determined in proportion to that for the variables with the closest coefficient values. The Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used to compare the goodness-of-fit between the existing and extended models. Predictive performance of the two models was further evaluated using Harrell's C-index for discrimination and a Goodness-of-fit likelihood ratio test for calibration in both derivation and validation cohorts. A staging system was then devised by grouping the point scores into three clinically meaningful risk categories: low, intermediate, and high.

RESULTS

Study population and characteristics

The study flow and patient characteristics of the excluded cohort are presented in **Figure 1** and **e-Table 3**, respectively. Of the 1272 who were excluded from the analysis, the majority (n=1063) did not have baseline hypoxemia assessments. A total of 848 eligible patients were included (Australia: Alfred Health: n=146, Austin Health: n=131; Canada: Providence Health Care: n=352, South Health Campus: n=171; Switzerland: University Hospital Inselspital Bern: n=48; **Table 1**). The study population had approximately equal numbers of males and females, with a median age of 63 years at diagnosis. The most common diagnoses were CTD-ILD (39%) and IPF (30%). Most patients (74%) had been treated with either anti-fibrotic

therapies or immunosuppressive agents during their disease course. The median follow-up duration was 3.8 (2.4-6.1) years. Three hundred and one patients (35%) had a high likelihood of pulmonary hypertension during the observation period.

Cumulative incidence and hypoxemia progression

At baseline, 490 patients (57.8%) were normoxemic, 336 (39.6%) had isolated exertional hypoxemia, and 22 (2.6%) had resting hypoxemia. Of 490 patients who were normoxemic at baseline, 186 (38%) developed exertional hypoxemia during follow-up, with 1-, 2-, and 5-year cumulative incidence of 6.1% (95% CI: 4.1-8.5%), 17.3% (95% CI: 13.9-21.0%), and 40.1% (95% CI: 34.7-45.5%), respectively. Of 826 who were either normoxemic or had isolated exertional hypoxemia at baseline, 139 (17%) patients developed resting hypoxemia, with 1-, 2-, and 5-year cumulative incidence of 2.4% (95% CI: 1.5-3.7%), 5.6% (95% CI: 4.1-7.4%), and 16.5% (95% CI: 13.6-19.7%), respectively. Patients with IPF had significantly higher cumulative incidence of exertional and resting hypoxemia than patients with non-IPF fibrotic ILD (p<0.001; **Figure 2a** and **2b**). Results were similar after adjusting for the presence of a high likelihood of pulmonary hypertension, cardiac disease, or COPD.

In the 186 patients with new-onset exertional hypoxemia, 34 subsequently developed resting hypoxemia during the median follow-up of 28 (14-44) months. The median time for progression from incidence exertional to resting hypoxemia was 19 (5-29) months. Of the demographics and disease severity measurements, DLCO % predicted was the only independent predictor of hypoxemia progression on both unadjusted and adjusted analyses (e-Table 4).

Prognostic significance of hypoxemia

Within the period of observation, 169 patients died and 30 underwent lung transplantation. The median transplant-free survival was 26 months after the onset of exertional hypoxemia (13.0-41.1 months), with 1-, 2-, and 3-year transplant-free survival rates of 96%, 92%, and 84%, respectively. After the development of resting hypoxemia, the median transplant-free survival was 8.2 months (3.2-17.8 months), and the transplant-free survival rates at 1, 2, and 3 years were 58%, 44%, and 28%, respectively. The landmark analyses showed worse

transplant-free survival in patients who developed exertional and resting hypoxemia, compared to those who did not (e-Figure 1). Compared to patients with non-IPF fibrotic ILD, patients with IPF had worse transplant-free survival after the occurrence of exertional hypoxemia (p=0.004; **Figure 3a**), but not after the occurrence of resting hypoxemia (p=0.77; **Figure 3b**). Adding either exertional or resting hypoxemia to the ILD-GAP model significantly improved the prognostic value for 1-year mortality or transplantation in patients with fibrotic ILD (exertional hypoxemia: p<0.001; resting hypoxemia: p<0.001).

The study population was divided into a derivation set (n=669) and a validation set (n=179)in order to create and validate the ILD-GAP-O₂ model (e-Table 5). Compared to the derivation cohort, the validation cohort was older, was more often male, had lower baseline DLCO % predicted, and was more often diagnosed with IPF. The new predictor (oxygenation status) was categorized into normoxemia, isolated exertional hypoxemia, and resting hypoxemia, with point assignment based on the existing weighting of DLCO for the ILD-GAP model (e-Table 6). The extended ILD-GAP-O₂ model is presented in Table 2. By incorporating exertional or resting hypoxemia as a predictor, the extended model had lower AIC scores compared to those for the ILD-GAP model in the derivation cohort (exertional hypoxemia: 969 vs 993; resting hypoxemia: 732 vs 767), indicating a better goodness-of-fit (**Table 3**). The extended ILD-GAP-O₂ model also had improved discrimination (exertional hypoxemia: Cindex 0.83 vs 0.81; resting hypoxemia: 0.86 vs 0.83) and comparable calibration in the derivation cohort. When both models were applied to the validation cohort, their performance characteristics remained unchanged, with the extended model showing superior goodness-of-fit (AIC 400 vs 422) and discrimination (C-index 0.80 vs 0.75). The mortality and lung transplant rates according to the ILD-GAP and ILD-GAP-O2 models are presented in e-Table 7.

DISCUSSION

Our study established that the development of exertional and resting hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD is both common and has prognostic importance. We show that patients with IPF are at higher risks of developing exertional and resting hypoxemia compared to patients with non-IPF fibrotic ILD. Furthermore, we derived and externally validated an

extension of the well-validated ILD-GAP model by incorporating oxygenation status, with this new model improving prognostic risk stratification in fibrotic ILD.

To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study of a large international cohort of patients with well-defined fibrotic ILD to evaluate the cumulative incidence and prognosis of exertional and resting hypoxemia. We show that exertional hypoxemia is a common early feature in fibrotic ILD, with 17.3% of patients developing it within two years of the first objective evidence of lung fibrosis. Conversely, resting hypoxemia is a late feature that signifies end-stage disease with similar poor prognosis in both IPF and non-IPF patients, with 42% of patients having died or received lung transplantation within a year of developing resting hypoxemia. Qualitative studies have identified that patients with ILD want general information on their prognosis, including what they should expect regarding the need for oxygen over their disease course. 20,21 There is substantial patient and carer anxiety associated with the initiation of oxygen therapy, including ambulatory oxygen for exertional hypoxemia.^{8,22} Our population estimate on the expected prognosis after the development of exertional and resting hypoxemia in ILD will aid clinicians in discussions concerning advanced care planning, although individual patients may differ. Our real-world study design that captures patients with different ILD subtypes, disease severities, follow-up intervals, and comorbidities allows the application of our study findings to day-to-day clinical practice.

It is not surprising that patients with fibrotic ILD develop exertional hypoxemia early in their disease course. There are multiple contributing pathophysiological mechanisms for exertional hypoxemia in fibrotic ILD, including ventilation-perfusion mismatch, diffusion limitation with the disruption of alveolar-capillary membrane, and low mixed venous oxygen concentration during exertion with reduced cardiac output and proportionally increased extraction of oxygen by working muscles.²³⁻²⁶ Using multiple inert gas elimination techniques, studies have shown that ventilation-perfusion mismatch is the predominant factor, with a lesser contribution from diffusion limitation.²³⁻²⁵ DLCO correlates with exertional hypoxemia in patients with ILD.²⁷ Consistent with these findings, DLCO was the only predictor of progression from exertional to resting hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD in this study. However, the severity of gas exchange appears to differ across different ILD subtypes, with a greater degree of exertional desaturation in patients with IPF compared to those with sarcoidosis or asbestosis.²⁸⁻³⁰ This may be attributed to the underlying

pathophysiological mechanisms with varying degrees of interstitial fibrosis. In addition to varying progression, this could partially explain the differential cumulative incidence of hypoxemia in patients with IPF and non-IPF fibrotic ILD. Given the recently described progressive fibrosing ILD phenotype, ^{31,32} it is important to further explore the relationship between disease progression and the development of hypoxemia, irrespective of ILD subtype.

Extending beyond previous studies examining the relationships of mortality with hypoxemia in fibrotic ILD, ^{4,5,33} we provide further evidence that the development and progression of hypoxemia can be used to improve the performance of the ILD-GAP index, a well-validated prediction model in fibrotic ILD. ¹¹ The ILD-GAP-O₂ model demonstrated consistently superior performance in both the derivation and validation cohorts despite differences in patient characteristics in these populations, further supporting the generalizability of this extended model. This finding suggests that resting SpO₂ by pulse oximetry should be routinely checked at clinic visits and that regular 6MWTs have a potential role in the routine clinical care of patients with ILD. A recent study has proposed the use of a scoring system of DLCO and resting SpO₂ for predicting exertional hypoxemia during 6MWTs. ²⁷ Future studies are needed to validate clinical prediction models for the development and progression of hypoxemia, which will help guide appropriate assessment intervals for at-risk patients.

There are some limitations to this study. As a retrospective study, serial 6MWTs were conducted at irregular intervals, typically between three and twelve months. It is possible that hypoxemia could have developed between serial assessments. In this situation, we may have overestimated the time to develop hypoxemia and underestimated the survival duration post-hypoxemia. There was also missing serial 6MWT data during follow-up, ranging from 18.5 to 32.9%, which may result in biased estimates. Nevertheless, the estimated survival after development of resting hypoxemia is consistent with previous studies examining the survival of patients with ILD following initiation of long-term oxygen therapy for resting hypoxemia. ^{34,35} In addition, the potentially imprecise determination of hypoxemia onset represents real-world clinical practice. We included consecutive patients in order to minimize selection bias. However, a large proportion of patients without baseline hypoxemia assessment were excluded from this study and all study sites are specialized ILD

centers that may have different patient population compared to non-academic centers. We included study sites located at different altitudes (from sea-level up to 1000m above sea-level), which improves generalizability.

There is a lack of standardized definitions and test modalities for exertional hypoxemia. A nadir SpO₂ <88% during 6MWT was chosen for this study, given that it is a common prescribing threshold for ambulatory oxygen across different regions. ^{17,36,37} We used pulse oximetry measurements for evaluation of resting hypoxemia in this study, which may not be as accurate as arterial blood gas assessments. However, this again increases the clinical applicability of our findings. Furthermore, SpO₂ <88% is an accepted prescribing threshold for long-term oxygen therapy in various countries, which has been recommended in international guidelines.³⁷⁻⁴⁰ The prognostic significance of new-onset hypoxemia observed and validated in our study provides reassurance that this is not a major limitation. We could not account for pulmonary hypertension with accuracy given that it was not routinely assessed in all patients. Incidence of acute exacerbation, a rare event for ILD, 41 and the reversibility of hypoxemia following acute events or hospitalizations were similarly not available for this study population. However, the reason for the development of hypoxemia would not influence the clinical utility of this prognostic model, as it is developed for application irrespective of clinical status. In this study, all tests were performed as part of outpatient care rather than during admission to hospital for respiratory worsening. Due to incomplete data, the potential effects of anti-fibrotic therapies and immunosuppressive agents for the development and progression of hypoxemia in this population could not be determined.

A major strength of this study is the use of real-world data with well-designed external validation using different patient cohorts from different countries to support the accuracy and reproducibility of our model. This study design allows a wide application of the ILD-GAP-O₂ model in clinical practice, compared to an alternative approach of a post-hoc analysis of clinical trials with standardized assessment intervals, which has limited generalizability due to limited number of serial assessments, short follow-up duration, and strict inclusion criteria with highly selected populations of better disease prognosis.⁴²

INTERPRETATION

In conclusion, this large international multicenter cohort study demonstrates that exertional and resting hypoxemia are important clinical events that have significant prognostic value in patients with fibrotic ILD. We further show that these events are common and can occur at early stages of the disease, particularly in patients with IPF. Regular monitoring resting pulse oximetry and 6MWT can help stratify patients into different mortality risk groups to facilitate discussions for advanced care planning. Additional studies are needed to evaluate the effects of ambulatory and long-term oxygen therapy in altering the natural history of hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

YHK, NSLG, KAJ, ING, and CJR were responsible for trial conception and development of the study protocol. YHK, LG, NAH, NSLG, KAJ, ING, SAG, MF, TG, and CJR were responsible for data acquisition. YHK and CJR were responsible for data analysis and interpretation. YHK drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript and approved the final version for publication. YHK is the guarantor of the content of the manuscript, including the data and analysis.

This study was funded by the Lung Foundation Australia Eleanor Greenwood Memorial Grant-in-Aid for Interstitial Lung Disease Research and Austin Medical Research Foundation. YHK received fellowship support from an Australian Endeavour Award and the Royal Australasian College of Physicians Robert and Elizabeth Albert Travel Grant. The University Hospital Inselspital Bern Interstitial Lung Disease Registry is supported by Boehringer Ingelheim and Roche. The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Take Home Point:

Study Question: What are the epidemiology of hypoxemia and its additive prognostic value in current risk prediction model in fibrotic ILD?

Results: The 2-year cumulative incidence was 17.3% for exertional hypoxemia and 5.6% for resting hypoxemia in patients with fibrotic ILD, which were significantly higher in IPF patients compared to those with non-IPF, and the extended ILD-GAP-O₂ prognostic model improved mortality prediction.

Interpretation: Exertional and resting hypoxemia are key clinical events in patients with fibrotic ILD, particularly in those with IPF, and the oxygenation status provides risk stratification for prognostication in this population.

REFERENCES

- Khor YH, Goh NSL, Glaspole I, Holland AE, McDonald CF. Exertional desaturation and prescription of ambulatory oxygen therapy in interstitial lung disease. *Respir Care*. 2019;64(3):299-306.
- 2. Nishiyama O, Taniguchi H, Kondoh Y, et al. Dyspnoea at 6-min walk test in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: comparison with COPD. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2007;101(4):833-838.
- 3. Wallaert B, Monge E, Le Rouzic O, Wémeau-Stervinou L, Salleron J, Grosbois JM.

 Physical activity in daily life of patients with fibrotic idiopathic interstitial pneumonia.

 Chest. 2013;144(5):1652-1658.
- 4. Lama VN, Flaherty KR, Toews GB, et al. Prognostic value of desaturation during a 6-minute walk test in idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2003;168(9):1084-1090.
- 5. Flaherty KR, Andrei AC, Murray S, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: prognostic value of changes in physiology and six-minute-walk test. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2006;174(7):803-809.
- 6. Du Plessis JP, Fernandes S, Jamal R, et al. Exertional hypoxemia is more severe in fibrotic interstitial lung disease than in COPD. *Respirology*. 2018;23(4):392-398.
- 7. Visca D, Montgomery A, de Lauretis A, et al. Ambulatory oxygen in interstitial lung disease. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2011;38(4):987-990.
- 8. Visca D, Mori L, Tsipouri V, et al. Effect of ambulatory oxygen on quality of life for patients with fibrotic lung disease (AmbOx): a prospective, open-label, mixed-method, crossover randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet. Respiratory Medicine*. 2018;6(10):759-770.
- 9. Khor YH, Holland AE, Goh NS, et al. Ambulatory oxygen in fibrotic ILD: a pilot, randomised, triple-blinded, sham-controlled trial. *Chest.* 2020:pii: S0012-3692(0020)30343-30343.
- 10. Bell EC, Cox NS, Goh N, et al. Oxygen therapy for interstitial lung disease: a systematic review. *European Respiratory Review*. 2017;26(143):pii: 160080.
- 11. Ryerson CJ, Vittinghoff E, Ley B, et al. Predicting survival across chronic interstitial lung disease: the ILD-GAP model. *Chest.* 2014;145(4):723-728.
- 12. American Thoracic Society, Euroepeant Respiratory Society. American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society International Multidisciplinary Consensus

- Classification of the Idiopathic Interstitial Pneumonias. This Joint Statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS), and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) Was Adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, June 2001 and by the ERS Executive Committee, June 2001. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2002;165(2):277-304.
- 13. Raghu G, Collard HR, Egan JJ, et al. An official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT statement: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: evidence-based guidelines for diagnosis and management.

 American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2011;183(6):788-824.
- 14. Travis WD, Costabel U, Hansell DM, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: Update of the international multidisciplinary classification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2013;188(6):733-748.
- 15. ATS Committee on Proficiency Standards for Clinical Pulmonary Function Laboratories.

 ATS Statement: Guidelines for the Six-Minute Walk Test. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.*2002;166(1):111-117.
- 16. Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, et al. An official European Respiratory

 Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2014;44(6):1428-1446.
- 17. McDonald CF, Whyte K, Jenkins S, Serginson J, Frith P. Clinical Practice Guideline on Adult Domiciliary Oxygen Therapy: Executive summary from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand. *Respirology*. 2016;21(1):76-78.
- 18. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. *J Am Stat Assoc.* 1999;94:496-509.
- 19. Ley B, Ryerson CJ, Vittinghoff E, et al. A multidimensional index and staging system for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2012;156(10):684-691.
- 20. Holland AE, Fiore JF, Jr., Goh N, et al. Be honest and help me prepare for the future: what people with interstitial lung disease want from eduction in pulmonary rehabilitation. *Chronic Respiratory Disease*. 2015;12(2):93-101.
- 21. Ramadurai D, Corder S, Churney T, et al. Understanding the informational needs of patients with IPF and their caregivers: 'You get diagnosed, and you ask this question right away, what does this mean?'. *BMJ Open Quality*. 2018;7(1):e000207.

- 22. Khor YH, Goh NSL, McDonald CF, Holland AE. Oxygen therapy for interstitial lung disease: a mismatch between patient expectations and experiences. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*. 2017;14(6):888-895.
- 23. Agustí AG, Roca J, Gea J, Wagner PD, Xaubet A, Rodriguez-Roisin R. Mechanisms of gasexchange impairment in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *The American Review of Respiratory Disease*. 1991;143(2):219-225.
- 24. Jernudd-Wilhelmsson Y, Hornblad Y, Hedenstierna G. Ventilation-perfusion relationships in interstitial lung disease. *European Journal of Respiratory Diseases*. 1986;68(1):39-49.
- 25. Wagner PD. Ventilation-perfusion inequality and gas exchange during exercise in lung disease. In: Dempsey JA, Reed CE, eds. *Muscular exercise and the lung*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press; 1977:345-356.
- 26. West JB. Restrictive diseases. *Pulmonary Pathophysiology: The Essentials*. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012:74-90.
- Alfieri V, Crisafulli E, Visca D, et al. Physiological predictors of exertional oxygen desaturation in patients with fibrotic interstitial lung disease. *Eur Respir J.* 2020;44(2):pii: 1901681.
- 28. Agustí AG, Roca J, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Xaubet A, Agusti-Vidal A. Different patterns of gas exchange response to exercise in asbestosis and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

 European Respiratory Journal. 1988;1(6):510-516.
- 29. Markos J, Musk AW, Finucane KE. Functional similarities of asbestosis and cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis. *Thorax.* 1988;43(9):708-714.
- 30. Wallaert B, Wemeau-Stervinou L, Salleron J, Tillie-Leblond I, Perez T. Do we need exercise tests to detect gas exchange impairment in fibrotic idiopathic interstitial pneumonias? *Pulmonary Medicine*. 2012;2012:657180.
- 31. Wijsenbeek M, Kreuter M, Olson A, et al. Progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases: current practice in diagnosis and management. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2019;35(11):2015-2024.
- 32. Flaherty KR, Wells AU, Cottin V, et al. Nintedanib in progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(18):1718-1727.

- 33. Timmer SJ, Karamzadeh AM, Yung GL, Kriett J, Jamieson SW, Smith CM. Predicting survival of lung transplantation candidates with idiopathic interstitial pneumonia: Does PaO(2) predict survival? *Chest.* 2002;122(3):779-784.
- 34. Crockett AJ, Alpers JH, Moss JR. Home oxygen therapy: an audit of survival. *Aust N Z J Med.* 1991;21(2):217-221.
- 35. Rantala HA, Leivo-Korpela S, Lehtimäki L, Lehto J. Predictors of impaired survival in subjects with long-term oxygen therapy. *Respir Care*. 2019;64(11):1401-1409.
- 36. Bradley B, Branley HM, Egan JJ, et al. Interstitial lung disease guideline: the British Thoracic Society in collaboration with the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand and the Irish Thoracic Society. . *Thorax.* 2008;63:v1-58.
- 37. Lim RK, Humphreys C, Morisset J, Holland AE, Johannson KA, the O2 Delphi Collaborators. Oxygen in patients with fibrotic interstitial lung disease: an international Delphi survey. *Eur Respir J.* 2019;54(2):pii: 1900421.
- 38. Lacasse Y, Tan A-YM, Maltais F, Krishnan JA. Home oxygen in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2018;197(10):1254–1264.
- 39. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Weinberger SE, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;155(3):179-191.
- 40. Jacobs SS, Krishnan JA, Lederer DJ, et al. Home Oxygen Therapy for Adults with Chronic Lung Disease. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;In press.
- 41. Collard HR, Ryerson CJ, Corte TJ, et al. Acute Exacerbation of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. An International Working Group Report. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016;194(3):265-275.
- 42. Khor YH, Ng Y, Barnes H, Goh NSK, McDonald CF, Holland AE. Prognosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis without anti-fibrotic therapy: a systematic review. *Eur Respir Rev.* 2020(190158).

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

	Overall	IPF	Non-IPF
	(n = 848)	(n = 258)	(n = 590)
Age at diagnosis, years	63 (53-71)	69 (63-74)	59 (49-68)
Male	426 (50)	199 (77)	227 (38)
BMI at diagnosis, kg/m ²	28.4 ± 5.7	29.4 ± 5.2	28.0 ± 5.8
Smoking history at baseline			
Ever-smoker	490 (58)	181 (70)	309 (52)
Pack-years	20 (10-37)	26 (12-40)	20 (6-30)
 Unknown 	10 (1)	4 (2)	6 (1)
Pulmonary function at diagnosis			
• FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	81 ± 8	81 ± 7	80 ± 8
• FEV ₁ , % predicted	80 ± 19	83 ± 18	79 ± 19
• FVC, % predicted	78 ± 19	78 ± 18	78 ± 20
DLCO, % predicted	58 ± 20	55 ± 18	59 ± 20
• 6MWD, m	420 (350-500)	420 (349-505)	420 (350-499)
• Resting SpO ₂ , %	96 (95-98)	96 (93-97)	97 (95-98)
ILD therapies			
Anti-fibrotic therapies	193 (23)	172 (67)	21 (4)
 Immunosuppressive agents 	456 (54)	42 (16) ¹	$414(70)^2$
N-acetylcysteine	76 (9)	22 (9)	54 (9)
No treatment	218 (26)	64 (25)	154 (26)
Comorbidities			
• Cardiac disease ³	142 (17)	65 (25)	77 (13)
• COPD	113 (13)	40 (16)	73 (12)
Pulmonary hypertension	301 (35)	64 (25)	237 (40)
Sleep disordered breathing	136 (16)	53 (21)	83 (14)
ILD subtypes			
• IPF	258 (30.4)		
Non-IPF	, ,		
- CTD-ILD ⁴	327 (39)		
- Fibrotic HP	68 (8)		
- NSIP	17 (2)		
- Sarcoidosis	21 (2.5)		
 Unclassifiable ILD 	143 (16.5)		
– Other ⁵	14 (1.6)		

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range], or n (%).

Abbreviations: 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease; DLCO, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; HP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; NSIP, non-specific interstitial pneumonia; SpO₂, oxyhemoglobin saturation.

¹ Immunosuppressive agents included prednisolone (15%), azathioprine (5%).

² Immunosuppressive agents included prednisolone (49%), mycophenolate (41%), azathioprine (20%), cyclophosphamide (12%), methotrexate (11%), rituximab (7%).

³ Including ischemic heart disease, heart failure, congenital heart disease

 $^{^4}$ Types of connective tissue diseases included systemic sclerosis (n=174), rheumatoid arthritis (n=46), mixed connective tissue disease (n=31), inflammatory myositis (n=27), undifferentiated connective tissue disease (n=22), systemic lupus erythematosus (n=10), Sjogren's disease (n=9), interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features (n=8)

⁵ Other diagnoses included asbestosis (n=5), drug-related ILD (n=5), organizing pneumonia (n=2), desquamative interstitial pneumonia (n=1), lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia (n=1)

Table 2. The ILD-GAP-O₂ model

	Predictors	Points
ILD	ILD subtype	
	 IPF/Unclassifiable ILD 	0
	Other ILD	-2
G	Gender	
	 Female 	0
	 Male 	1
Α	Age, years	
	≤ 60	0
	• 61-65	1
	>65	2
Р	FVC, % predicted	
	> 75%	0
	• 50-75%	1
	• < 50%	2
	DLCO, % predicted	
	• >55%	0
	• 36-55%	1
	• ≤35%	2
	 Cannot perform 	3
O ₂	Oxygenation status	
	 Normoxemia 	0
	 Isolated exertional hypoxemia 	1
	 Resting hypoxemia 	2
	Total possible points	10

Stage	ı	II	Ш
Points	0-3	4-5	>5
Mortality/Lung Transplant			
1-year	1.1	10.1	32.0
2-year	6.6	25.1	52.3
3-year	9.8	33.1	66.1

Abbreviations: CHP, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis; CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease; DLCO, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; NSIP, non-specific interstitial pneumonia

 $\textbf{Table 3}. \ \mathsf{Performance} \ \mathsf{statistics} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{ILD-GAP} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{ILD-GAP-O_2} \ \mathsf{models}$

a) Derivation Cohort

Performance Statistics	Exertional Hypoxemia		Resting Hypoxemia	
	ILD-GAP	ILD-GAP- Exertional	ILD-GAP	ILD-GAP- Resting
		hypoxemia		hypoxemia
Akaike information criteria	993	969	767	732
Harrell's C-index	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.86
Goodness-of-fit likelihood ratio test	1.00	1.00	0.14	1.00

b) Validation Cohort

Performance Statistics	ILD-GAP	ILD-GAP-O ₂
Akaike information criteria	422	400
Harrell's C-index	0.75	0.80
Goodness-of-fit likelihood	0.95	0.43
ratio test	0.95	0.43

Figure 1. Study flow diagram.

Abbreviation: ILD, interstitial lung disease

^a Non-fibrotic ILD diagnoses included eosinophilic pneumonia (n = 3), histiocytosis X (n = 4), lymphangioleiomyomatosis (n = 13), sarcoidosis without pulmonary involvement (n = 35), and vasculitis (n = 11).

Figure 2a. Cumulative incidence of exertional hypoxemia from time of diagnosis in patients with IPF and non-IPF fibrotic ILD.

Abbreviation: IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Figure 2b. Cumulative incidence of resting hypoxemia from time of diagnosis in patients with IPF and non-IPF fibrotic ILD.

Abbreviation: IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Figure 3a. Kaplan-Meier survival curve following new-onset exertional hypoxemia.

Abbreviation: IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Figure 3b. Kaplan-Meier survival curve following new-onset resting hypoxemia.

Abbreviation: IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis









