# Time-variable gravity field recovery from kinematic positions of Low Earth Orbiting satellites

Thomas Grombein, Martin Lasser,

Daniel Arnold, Ulrich Meyer, Adrian Jäggi

Astronomical Institute University of Bern, Switzerland

Contact: thomas.grombein@aiub.unibe.ch

**Citation:** Grombein, T., Lasser, M., Arnold, D., Meyer, U., and Jäggi, A. (2021): Time-variable gravity field recovery from kinematic positions of Low Earth Orbiting satellites, EGU General Assembly, online, 19–30 Apr 2021, EGU21-7708, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-7708</u>



#### Introduction

- The Earth's time-variable gravity field
  - Provides important information for monitoring changes in the Earth's system
  - Dedicated satellite missions like GRACE/-FO use ultra-precise K-Band data (inter-satellite ranging) to derive time series of monthly gravity field solutions
  - In addition: any Low Earth Orbiting (LEO) satellite equipped with an onboard GPS receiver may also serve as a gravity field sensor
- Gravity field recovery from kinematic LEO positions
  - GPS-based kinematic LEO positions are purely geometrically determined and therefore suitable for gravity field recovery
  - Although less sensitive, this technique provides mostly uninterrupted series
  - Combined Multi-LEO gravity field solutions can take advantage of many observations and the variety of complementary orbital configurations





# GPS-based orbit and gravity field determination

#### Precise orbit determination

- GPS-based kinematic orbits are routinely processed at AIUB for various LEO satellites like GRACE/-FO, GOCE, SWARM, Sentinel, …
- Bernese GNSS Software with GNSS products of CODE
- In-flight calibrated phase center variation (PCV) maps
- Ambiguity-float and nowadays also ambiguity-fixed orbit solutions
- Gravity field processing (Celestial Mechanics Approach)
  - Kinematic LEO positions are used as pseudo-observations in a generalized orbit determination problem
  - Orbit and gravity field parameters are estimated simultaneously
  - Unmodeled forces are absorbed by empirical/stochastic parameters



Source: ESA, NASA

Focus of this talk: GRACE/-FO GPS-only solutions

• Further used GPS-only solutions

	GRACE	GRACE-FO	<b>GOCE</b> (Arnold et al. 2021)	SWARM (Dahle et al. 2017)
Processing period	2009/01 - 2017/10	2018/06 - 2021/02	2009/11 - 2013/10	2013/12 – 2020/12
Kinematic orbit	ambiguity-float	ambiguity-fixed	ambiguity-float	ambiguity-float
Data sampling	10 s		1 s	10 / 5 s
Initial conditions	6 orbital elements (daily)		6 orbital elements (da	ily) 6 orbital elements (daily)
Empirical parameters	-		Constant and 1/per r accelerations (daily	ev Constant accelerations ) (daily)
Stochastic parameters	Piecewise constant accelerations (15 min)		Pseudo-stochastic pul (6 min)	ses Piecewise constant accelerations (15 min)
Accelerometer data	Yes / Bias and Scaling factors (daily)		Yes / –	- / -
Gravity field coefficients	d/o 90 (monthly)		d/o 120 (monthly)	d/o 40, 70 (monthly)

Monthly ITSG-Grace2018 / ITSG-Grace\_op solutions are used as reference (Mayer-Gürr et al. 2018)

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern AIUB

•

Quality of monthly GRACE GPS-only gravity field solutions



Quality of monthly GRACE GPS-only gravity field solutions



Quality of monthly GRACE GPS-only gravity field solutions



Correlation with the ionospheric activity represented by the global mean total electron content (TEC)



- Degradation of kinematic positions directly propagates into GPS-only gravity field solutions
- Artifacts along the geomagnetic equator, known from GOCE / SWARM, are also visible for GRACE



Geoid height differences w.r.t. ITSG-Grace2018

(500 km Gauss-filtered)

Quality of monthly GRACE-FO GPS-only gravity field solutions



Activated GPS Flex Power affects the orbit and gravity field quality (under investigation)



Comparison of accumulated yearly solutions for 2019 and 2020



Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

6

Comparison of accumulated yearly solutions for 2019 and 2020



Correlation with TEC values might also be seen for GRACE-FO (but much lower ionospheric activity)



# Evaluation of mass trends and changes

rnold, U. Meyer, A. Jäggi: Time-variable gravity field recovery from kinematic positions of EGU General Assembly 2021, vEGU21: Gather Online, April 19–30, 2021

Ā

T. Grombein, M. Lasser, D. Low Earth Orbiting satellit

- Time series of monthly GPS-only solutions are used for the evaluation of mass trends and changes
- Analysis of mean equivalent water height (EWH) values averaged over selected regions









Slide 21











# Comparisons to time series of other LEOs











### Estimation of trends and annual variations (Jan 2009 - Oct 2017)

• A posteriori fit of monthly gravity field solutions (up to d/o 10)



# Summary and Outlook

- Time-variable gravity field recovery from kinematic LEO positions
- Processing of time series of monthly gravity field solution from
  - 8.8 years of GRACE GPS data (2009/01 2017/10)
  - 2.8 years of GRACE-FO GPS data (2018/06 2021/02)
- Major mass trends and changes in Greenland, Antarctica and the Amazon river basin are in good agreement with those derived from inter-satellite ranging (however: as expected GPS-only solutions exhibit larger variations)
- Comparisons to time series based on GOCE and SWARM GPS data are promising in the view of future combinations
- Next steps
  - Extension of the monthly GRACE and GRACE-FO time series
  - Combined time series based on kinematic orbits of multiple LEO satellites



Source: ESA, NASA

# References

Arnold D, Grombein T, Schreiter L, Sterken V, Jäggi A (2021): Reprocessed precise science orbits and gravity field recovery for the entire GOCE mission (Publication in preparation)

Dahle C, Arnold D, Jäggi A (2017): Impact of tracking loop settings of the Swarm GPS receiver on gravity field recovery. Advances in Space Research 59(12):2843–2854, DOI:10.1016/j.asr.2017.03.003

Mayer-Gürr T, Behzadpur S, Ellmer M, Kvas A, Klinger B, Strasser S, Zehentner N (2018): ITSG-Grace2018 - Monthly, Daily and Static Gravity Field Solutions from GRACE. GFZ Data Services, DOI: 10.5880/ICGEM.2018.003