1 DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE 3 (MET3) is regulated by Polycomb Group

2 complex during Arabidopsis endosperm development

- 3 Louis Tirot¹ and Pauline E. Jullien^{1†}
- 4 ¹ Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
- 5 ⁺*Corresponding author:* Pauline E. Jullien (pauline.jullien@ips.unibe.ch)

7 Key message

8 The DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE MET3 is controlled by Polycomb group complex during 9 endosperm development.

10 Abstract

Complex epigenetic changes occur during plant reproduction. These regulations ensure the proper 11 12 transmission of epigenetic information as well as allowing for zygotic totipotency. In Arabidopsis, the main DNA methyltransferase is called MET1 and is responsible for methylating cytosine in the CG 13 14 context. The Arabidopsis genome encodes for three additional reproduction-specific homologs of 15 MET1, namely MET2a, MET2b and MET3. In this paper, we show that the DNA methyltransferase 16 MET3 is expressed in the seed endosperm and its expression is further restricted to the chalazal 17 endosperm. *MET3* is biallelically expressed in the endosperm but displays a paternal expression bias. 18 We found that MET3 expression is regulated by the Polycomb complex proteins FIE and MSI1. Seed 19 development is not impaired in *met3* mutant, and we could not observe significant transcriptional 20 changes in *met3* mutant. Interestingly, we found that *MET3* regulates gene expression in a Polycomb 21 mutant background suggesting a further complexification of the interplay between H3K27me3 and 22 DNA methylation in the seed endosperm.

23 Keywords

24 DNA methylation, Arabidopsis, endosperm, Polycomb, MET3

25

26 Introduction

27 Sexual reproduction in Angiosperm is initiated by a double fertilization event. Fertilization of the haploid egg cell by one of the sperm cells gives rise to the diploid embryo whereas fertilization of the 28 29 homodiploid central cell gives rise to the triploid endosperm (Berger 2003; Costa et al. 2004). The 30 endosperm represents a nourishing tissue supporting embryo growth and is therefore key for proper seed development. Cell divisions in the endosperm are initiated very rapidly following fertilization. 31 32 These divisions are initially occurring without cellularization and form a syncytium that will later 33 cellularize (Brown et al. 1999, 2003; Boisnard-Lorig et al. 2001). An additional peculiarity of the 34 endosperm, beyond its triploid syncytial nature, is being the seat of interesting epigenetic phenomena 35 and complex epigenetic regulation.

36 Endosperm development and cellularization are indeed regulated by the FIS Polycomb group 37 complex known to mediate Histone H3 Lysine 27 tri-methylation, a key silencing epigenetic mark. 38 Some of the key members of the FIS Polycomb group complex (FIS-PcG) are MEA, FIS2, FIE, and MSI1 39 (Chaudhury et al. 1997; Luo et al. 1999; Kiyosue et al. 1999; Yadegari et al. 2000; Köhler et al. 2003; 40 Guitton et al. 2004). In mutants affecting those genes, the endosperm fails to cellularize, resulting in an arrest of embryo development and eventually seed abortion. Additionally, several genes were 41 42 found to be imprinted, *i.e.* only one parental allele is expressed whereas the other is epigenetically 43 silent, in the endosperm. This is the case, for example, of genes such as FIS2, FWA, MEA or PHE1 44 (Kinoshita et al. 1999, 2004; Luo et al. 2000; Köhler et al. 2005; Jullien et al. 2006). The silencing of 45 those endosperm imprinted genes relies principally on two epigenetic mechanisms: either regulation by the FIS-PcG itself like for MEA or PHE1 or silencing by DNA methylation like for FWA and FIS2 (Jullien 46 47 and Berger 2009; Gehring 2013; Batista and Köhler 2020). Another epigenetic singularity of the 48 endosperm is of being relatively hypomethylated compared to other plant tissues (Gehring et al. 2009; 49 Hsieh et al. 2009). This hypomethylation is due in part to the activity of a DNA glycosylase called 50 DEMETER (Choi et al. 2002; Gehring et al. 2006; Hsieh et al. 2009) and likely also to the low expression 51 of canonical actors of the DNA methylation pathway (Jullien et al. 2012).

52 DNA methylation is a key epigenetic mark regulating gene expression and protecting genome 53 integrity by repressing transposons. In plant genomes, DNA methylation is found in three cytosine 54 contexts: CG, CHG and CHH (where H is any base except C). Methylation on these different contexts 55 relies on specific DNA methyltransferases. DNA methylation on CG sites relies on maintenance DNA 56 METHYLTRANSFERASE (MET) where the main ubiquitous enzyme is MET1. DNA methylation on CHG 57 sites relies on CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) and an interplay with histone methylation (Lindroth et 58 al. 2001). DNA methylation on CHH site, due to its non-symmetrical nature, relies on the constant de 59 novo methylation pathway involving small RNA molecules as well as DOMAIN REARANGED 60 METHYLTRANSFERASE2 (DRM2) (Cao and Jacobsen 2002). In centromeric sequences, CHH methylation also relies on CHROMOMETHYLASE2 (CMT2) (Stroud et al. 2013). 61

62 Although we know a lot about the main actors of this pathway, the *Arabidopsis*'s genome encodes 63 multiple copies of DNA methyltransferase genes (4 METs, 3 CMTs and 3 DRMs) some of which might 64 have a more complex or similar function in discreet cell types. For example, CMT1 (the third 65 CHROMOMETHYLASE encoded by the Arabidopsis genome) is principally detected in reproductive tissue (Henikoff and Comai 1998; Klepikova et al. 2016) and the reconstitution of a full-length 66 67 transcript relies on the splicing out of a transposable element situated in its 13th exon (Yadav et al. 68 2018). The DOMAIN REARANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE1 (DRM1) seems to also solely play a role in 69 reproductive tissue, where a redundancy between DRM1 and DRM2 was observed in the early embryo 70 (Jullien et al. 2012). Similarly, data concerning the potential function of non-canonical *METs* are scarce. 71 *MET2a* and *MET2b* are detected in the central cell, but their function is unknown (Jullien et al. 2012). 72 Nonetheless, correlative evidence suggest MET2a might be important to regulate transposon 73 reactivation in wild Arabidopsis accessions (Quadrana et al. 2016) and involved in fungal response 74 (Salvador-Guirao et al. 2018).

As mentioned, little is known about the DNA methyltransferase *MET3*. *MET3* is also named
 MATERNAL EFFECT EMBRYO ARREST 57 (MEE57) as a transposon insertion associated with the *MET3*

77 locus led to an arrest in endosperm development (Pagnussat et al. 2005). MET3 is also reported to be 78 the sole MET expressed in the endosperm (Jullien et al. 2012). Here, we show that MET3 is specifically 79 expressed in the endosperm in a biallelic fashion with a paternal bias. MET3 expression is controlled 80 by the FIS-PcG complex. Despite the initial report of a seed arrest phenotype in the *mee57* line, we 81 did not see any seed developmental phenotype in two independent *met3* mutant alleles. Additionally, 82 we could not see major changes in the seed transcriptome of *met3* mutant. Nevertheless, we could 83 see an effect on the seed transcriptome in a *fie* mutant background suggesting that MET3 might 84 interplay with PcG gene regulation in the developing endosperm.

85 Material and Methods

86 *Plant Materials, Growth Conditions and Genotyping.*

87 The wild-types Col-0 and Gr-1, the MET3 mutant lines met3-3 (GABI404F04), met3-4 (GABI659H03) and PRC2 mutant lines fie-362 (GABI_362D08) (Bouyer et al. 2011) and msi1 (SAIL_429_B08) were 88 89 provided by the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (NASC). pMET3:H2B-RFP line was previously 90 described (Jullien et al. 2012). After sowing, plants were stratified in the dark at 4°C between 2 and 4 91 days. Plants were germinated and grown in growing chambers under long-day conditions (16h light 92 22°C / 8h dark 18°C). For the transmission analysis (Fig. S5a), plants were grown on Murashige and 93 Skoog (MS1/2) media agarose plate in long-day conditions for 12 days before genotyping. Primers for 94 genotyping are listed in Table S1.

95 Microscopy and phenotype observation

96 DIC seed phenotype and GUS observations were done using a Leica DM2000 as previously described 97 (Jullien et al. 2006). For seed development observation and counting (Fig. 4a), plants were 98 synchronized by removing all open flowers from the inflorescences. After 6 days, the two first siliques 99 situated above the previously removed flowers were picked for each inflorescence. We refer to this 100 stage as 6 days after synchronization (6 DAS). Siliques were dissected, cleared using chloride hydrate

solution and mounted on a slide for observation. *pMET3:H2B-tdTomato* and *pMET3:H2B-RFP* reporter
 lines were imaged using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica SP5). When necessary, brightness
 and contrast were uniformly modified by using ImageJ.

104 Cloning and transformation

pMET3:H2B-tdTomato and *pMET3:H2B-GUS* were generated using the Gateway Cloning System
 (Invitrogen). All PCR fragments were amplified by PCR using the Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase
 (Thermo). Primer sequences used for cloning can be found in Table S1. All plasmids were transformed
 into wild-type Columbia-0 plants the by floral dipping method (Clough and Bent 1998). At least ten
 transgenic lines were analyzed per construct, which showed a consistent fluorescence expression
 pattern. An Illustration of the constructs can be found in Fig. S2a.

111 RNA extraction, qPCR & RT-PCR

112 Total RNAs were extracted using RNeasy Plant Minikit (Qiagen). All samples were treated with DNase I (ThermoScientific) at 37°C for 30 minutes. DNAse I was subsequently inactivated by the addition of 113 114 EDTA and heat treatment (65°C for 10 minutes). First-strand cDNAs were synthesized using between 500 and 1000 ng of DNase treated total RNAs as a template. The RT reaction was performed using 115 116 either Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fig. 1a, Fig. 2a) (ThermoScientific) , containing both 117 oligo-dT and random hexamer primers, or RevertAid RT Reverse Transcription Kit (Fig. 3e, Fig. S4b) 118 (ThermoScientific), containing only oligo-dT primers. The qPCR reactions were performed with a 119 QuantStudio 5 thermocycler (ThermoScientific) using SYBR green (KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix 120 or ORA qPCR Green ROX H Mix). The qPCR mix was prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol. 121 An RNA equivalent of 25 ng of cDNA templates was used for each reaction. The qPCR program was as follow: 95 °C for 3 minutes followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 5 seconds and 60 °C for 30 seconds. 122 ACTIN2 (AT3G18780) expression was used to normalize the transcript level in each sample. For each 123 124 condition, RNA abundance of target genes was calculated from the average of three independent 125 biological replicates with three qPCR technical replicates. Real-time PCR primers used in this study are

listed in Table S1. For the allele-specific RT-PCR (Fig.2a), cDNAs were amplified for 22 cycles for *ACT2*primers and 35 cycles for *MET3* specific primers. Half of the *MET3* PCR product was digested for 1h30
at 37°C with Xbal restriction enzyme (Sigma-Aldrich). *ACT2* amplification was used as a control.

129 RNA sequencing and Bioinformatics

130 Total RNAs were extracted and DNAsel treated as previously mentioned. mRNA libraries were prepared and sequenced by Novogene (https://en.novogene.com/). Bioinformatic analyses were 131 performed on the Galaxy web platform (https://usegalaxy.org) (Afgan et al. 2018). Our Galaxy 132 133 workflow including the exact parameters and tool versions used can be downloaded on 134 https://usegalaxy.org/u/pej/w/pejrnaseq and be freely reused. Briefly, Paired-end raw mRNA sequencing reads were controlled using FastQC (Galaxy version 0.72) and trimmed using Trimmomatic 135 136 (Galaxy version 0.36.6) (Andrews 2010; Bolger et al. 2014). Clean reads were aligned to the Arabidopsis 137 thaliana TAIR 10 genome assembly using HISAT2 (Galaxy version 2.1.0+galaxy4) (Kim et al. 2015). Aligned sequencing reads were assigned to genomic features using featureCounts (Galaxy version 138 139 1.6.2) (Liao et al. 2014). Differential expression was analyzed using DESeq2 default parameters (Galaxy 140 Version 2.11.40.6+galaxy1) (Love et al. 2014). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined by 141 an absolute logFC > 2 and an FDR < 0.05. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis has been performed 142 on PANTHER (Mi et al. 2019) and visualized using REVIGO (Supek et al. 2011). All plots have been 143 generated using R-studio (www.rstudio.com). Raw data are deposited on the European Nucleotide 144 Archive under reference PRJEB46544 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB46544).

145 *Results*

146 MET3 is expressed biallelically with a paternal bias in the endosperm

Our previous analysis has shown that *MET3* is expressed in the endosperm of developing *Arabidopsis* seeds (Jullien et al. 2012). However, the detail and exclusivity of its expression pattern remain to be investigated. To get a better characterization of *MET3* expression pattern, we performed 150 a qPCR of MET3 transcript in different tissue types of wild-type Col-0 (Fig. 1a). Our result shows that 151 MET3 is principally expressed in siliques and its expression peaks at 5 Days After Pollination (DAP). 152 These results could be confirmed using publicly available transcriptome datasets (Fig. S1a) (Klepikova 153 et al. 2016). To gain a better spatial and temporal characterization of MET3 expression, we generated 154 two new transcriptional MET3 reporter constructs, encompassing 2kb of the MET3 promoter driving 155 either H2B-tdTomato or H2B-GUS (Fig. S2a). The analysis of the *pMET3:H2B-GUS* in different plant 156 tissues confirmed the specificity of MET3 expression to the seed endosperm (Fig. S1b-d). To 157 characterize in detail the temporal expression of MET3 in the endosperm, we performed confocal 158 microscopy on the *pMET3:H2B-tdTomato* lines at different stages of seed development. We could detect *pMET3:H2B-tdTomato* expression from as early as the four nuclei stage endosperm (Fig. 1b). 159 160 *pMET3:H2B-tdTomato* remains express throughout the endosperm (Fig. 1c) until the globular stage of 161 embryo development where its expression starts to be higher in the chalazal endosperm and chalazal 162 cyst (Fig. 1d). At later stages, pMET3:H2B-tdTomato expression is limited to the chalazal endosperm 163 and chalazal cyst (Fig. 1e). From 7 DAP, pMET3:H2B-tdTomato expression can no longer be detected. 164 A similar expression pattern was observed with *pMET3:H2B-GUS* (Fig. S2b) and *pMET3:H2B-RFP* (Fig. 165 S2c) as well as online transcriptomic data (Fig. S2d) (Belmonte et al. 2013). MET3 protein expression 166 and localization could not be determined as we, so far, failed in expressing a fluorescently tagged 167 MET3 protein in Arabidopsis (LT personal communication).

168 Such endosperm expression pattern is common in imprinted genes, like FWA, FIS2, MEA or PHE1 169 (Kinoshita et al. 1999, 2004; Köhler et al. 2005; Jullien et al. 2006). In order to examine if MET3 is 170 biallelically or mono-allelically expressed, we performed allele-specific RT-PCR. We are making use of 171 a Short Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) consisting of a substitution from a C to a T within MET3 9th 172 exon in the Gr-1 ecotype which is abolishing a Xbal restriction site present in Col-0. We did reciprocal 173 crosses using Col-0 and Gr-1 ecotypes and analyzed MET3 parental expression at 5 DAP following Xbal 174 digestion (Fig. 2a). We could observe, for both reciprocal crosses, bands corresponding to MET3 175 transcript from Col-0 (505 and 329 bp) and from Gr-1 (834 bp) with a bias toward the paternal allele.

This result shows that *MET3* is expressed from both maternal and paternal allele but displays a paternal bias of expression. *MET3* paternally biased expression was also observed using the *pMET3:H2B-RFP* transgene at 1 DAP (Fig.2b). Taking together our results shows that *MET3* expression is biallelic with a paternal bias and confined to the endosperm. *MET3* expression, initially throughout the endosperm, becomes restricted to the chalazal pole at later stages.

181 MET3 expression is regulated by Polycomb group proteins

Beyond imprinted genes, MET3 expression pattern is reminiscent of the expression of genes 182 183 controlled by the endosperm FIS Polycomb group complex (PcG) composed of FIE, MSI1, FIS2 and MEA 184 (Guitton et al. 2004). To investigate if MET3 could be regulated by PcG, we introgressed a MET3 185 transcriptional reporter into *fie* and *msi1* mutant background (Fig. 3a-d). We could observe increased pMET3:H2B-RFP reporter expression in 49% of the seeds (n=249) in fie/+ mutant and 44% (n=240) in 186 187 *msi1/+* mutant background characteristic of the maternal gametophytic effect of those mutations (Fig. 188 3d). In msi1 and fie mutants, pMET3:H2B-RFP expression is higher and observed throughout the 189 endosperm (Fig. 3b-c) whereas at the same developmental stage the expression of *pMET3:H2B-RFP* is 190 already restricted to the chalazal pole in wild-type seeds (Fig. 3a). In order to confirm that the 191 regulation of MET3 by MSI1 and FIE was not only restricted to our transgene, we performed a RT-qPCR 192 of MET3 expression in wild-type and mutant selected seeds at 10 DAP (Fig. 3e). We could observe a clear upregulation of MET3 expression in both fie and msi1 seeds compare to wild-type seeds (t-test 193 p-value of 0.0543 and 0.0114 respectively). Using publicly available data, we could see that the 194 195 upregulation of MET3 is also observed in other PcG mutants (Fig. S3a-b). MET3 upregulation was 196 observed in silique samples of *clf* mutant (Fig. S3a) (Liu et al. 2016) and in *fis2* seeds (Fig. S3b) 197 (Weinhofer et al. 2010).

Subsequently, we wanted to know if the effect of the PcG complex was direct or indirect. PcG complexes are known to repress gene expression by tri-methylating the Lysine 27 of the Histone H3 tail (H3K27me3) inducing a closed chromatin state at the targeted loci. We, therefore, analyzed available H3K27me3 genome-wide Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) data. We could see that
the *MET3* locus is covered by H3K27me3 in *Arabidopsis* seedling samples (Fig. 3f) (Zhang et al. 2007).
Additionally, using the RepMap2020 tool (Chèneby et al. 2020), we could observe H3K27me3 on the *MET3* locus in several independent ChIP experiments including some performed on endosperm tissue
(Fig. S3c). We conclude that *MET3* expression is directly repressed by PcG complex induced H3K27me3
in the endosperm.

207 MET3 does not affect seed development

208 Considering that MET3 is specifically expressed in the developing endosperm during seed 209 development, we then ask if MET3 function influences seed and/or endosperm development. We 210 characterized two mutant alleles from the GABI collection: met3-3 (GABI 404F04) and met3-4 (GABI 659H03) (Fig. S4a). The mutations are located on the 10th and the 2nd exon respectively and are 211 212 expected to abolish MET3 function. To confirm the downregulation of MET3 in the mutants, we 213 performed RT-qPCR. We could observe that *MET3* is downregulated in both mutant alleles (Fig. S4b). 214 To investigate if the *met3* mutation could result in seed lethality we first investigated the presence of 215 aborted seeds at the green seed stage (~12DAP). We could not see any significant seed abortion in both met3-3 and met3-4 alleles (Fig. S5b). To further confirm the absence of defects, we analyzed the 216 217 transmission rate of the met3 mutations in met3-3/+ and met3-4/+ selfed progeny. We could not 218 observe any segregation distortion from the Mendelian ratio (Fig. S5a). Mutations affecting the main 219 Arabidopsis DNA methyltransferase MET1 display variation in seed size. We, therefore, investigated if 220 met3 mutants would display a seed size phenotype. We could not see any significant variation in seed 221 size using both *met3* alleles (Fig. S5c-d). We conclude that *MET3* mutation does not severely impair 222 seed development. Additionally, met1 mutant are known to display increased developmental defect through generation (Mathieu et al. 2007). To assess potential transgenerational effect of the met3 223 224 mutation we have maintained met3 homozygotes mutants for five generations. However, we could 225 not observe such increased developmental phenotype with met3 mutants after five generation of inbreeding (*met3*^{G5}) (Fig. S5e-g). The *met3*^{G5} did not show difference when compared to wild-type 226

either in term of rosette size (Fig. S5f) nor flowering time (Fig. S5g). We conclude that *MET3* mutations
do not severely impair seed development and do not accumulate transgenerational developmental
defects.

230 As shown above, MET3 expression is regulated by PcG complex in the endosperm, we then 231 analyzed if MET3 mutation could influence the PcG fie mutant phenotype. To answer this question, we generated *fie/+;met3-3* and *fie/+;met3-4* double mutants and analyzed their seed development 232 233 phenotype using DIC (Fig. 4a-f). In order to minimize the stress to the plant due to handling during emasculation and crossing, we used "synchronized seeds". In practice, we remove the open flowers 234 of the day, and we wait an x number of days before collecting two siliques above our cutting. This is 235 236 allowing us to have age synchronized siliques without the physical disturbance of 237 emasculation/pollination and is, therefore, closer to normal growth and fertilization. We are using the 238 term Day After Synchronization (DAS). To our experience, 6 DAS is corresponding to around 4-5DAP. 239 At 6 DAS, we could not see any delay in embryo development and endosperm cellularization 240 comparing Col-0 to met3-3 and met3-4 mutants (Fig. 4a-c, 4g-h). In fie/+, we could clearly see the 241 delayed endosperm cellularization characteristic of FIS-PcG mutants (Fig. 4d-f and h) (56% n=407) 242 (Ohad et al. 1999; Sørensen et al. 2001). In the double mutants, we could not see variations in the 243 quantification of the *fie/+* phenotype for both embryo development (Fig. 4g) and endosperm 244 cellularization (Fig. 4h). We conclude that MET3 mutations do not influence fie mutant seed 245 phenotype.

246 Effect of MET3 mutation on the seed transcriptome

In order to investigate the potential effect of *met3* mutation on the seed transcriptome, we performed a mRNA deep sequencing experiment of 3 DAP (Fig. S6) and 10 DAS (Fig. 5a) seeds (*i.e.* seeds attached to the septum). We then compared the seed transcriptome of *met3* seeds to wild-type seeds. At 3 DAP, we could only detect one differentially expressed gene: *ESM1* (*AT3G14210*). *ESM1* was up-regulated in both *met3-3* and *met3-4* mutant seeds (Fig. S6a-b). At 10 DAS, we could also only 252 see very minor changes to the seed transcriptome (Fig. 5a). We could identify only 16 differentially 253 expressed genes with an absolute logFC>2 and FDR<0.05. These results show that reminiscent of the 254 absence of seed phenotype in *met3* mutants, the seed transcriptome is also mostly unaffected by the 255 met3 mutation. As MET3 expression is regulated by the FIS-PcG complex in the endosperm, we then 256 ask if MET3 mutation could influence the fie transcriptome. We, therefore, sequenced the 257 transcriptome of 10 DAS seeds where we selected for *fie* mutant seeds under the dissecting 258 microscope (white seeds). We compared the transcriptome of *fie* seeds to the transcriptome of 259 fie;met3-3 double mutant seeds. We could observe 87 differentially expressed genes (DEG) with an 260 absolute logFC>2 and FDR<0.05 (Fig 5b). A Goterm enrichment analysis revealed that these genes are 261 enriched for genes involved in pectin metabolism (GO:0045490, FDR=4.46E-10; GO:0045488, 262 FDR=6.59E-09) and cell-wall related processes (GO:0042545, FDR= 8.97E-09; GO:0071555, FDR=4.87E-263 10, GO:0071554, FDR=5.67E-08) (Fig. 5c and Table S2). We then analyzed if among these 87 DEGs some are also modified in *fie* mutant compared to wild-type. We could find that a large proportion of 264 265 either met3 DEGs (Col vs met3, 11/16) and met3; fie DEGs (fie versus met3fie, 44/87) are miss-266 regulated in *fie* mutant seeds (Fig. 5d). We conclude that the *met3* mutation does not drastically 267 change the seed transcriptome, but a set of genes are miss-regulated by both *met3 and fie mutants*.

268 Discussion

269 Our study highlights an additional connection between DNA Methylation pathways and Polycomb 270 group H3K27 tri-methylation in the seed endosperm via the regulation of MET3 by the FIS-PcG 271 complex. *MET3* is specifically expressed in the endosperm, and its expression becomes restricted to 272 the chalazal pole at later stages of endosperm development. In our study, using two independent 273 insertion lines, *met3-3* and *met3-4*, we could not observe any major seed developmental phenotype. 274 Both mutants show a significant decrease in MET3 expression by qPCR suggesting they both represent 275 knockout mutants. It was previously documented that a mutation affecting MET3 named mee57 276 displayed a strong seed developmental phenotype (Pagnussat et al. 2005). The mee57 mutation shows an early maternal embryo and endosperm arrest. The discrepancy between our lines and the previously published *mee57* could have several causes: the mutagenesis method used (T-DNA versus transposition), the presence of additional genetic modifications, or a difference between the two ecotypes used, Columbia-0 in our case and Landsberg for *mee57*. If the latter is true, *MET3* function could vary between different *Arabidopsis* accessions.

In this work, we show that repression of MET3 expression at later stages of endosperm 282 283 development is linked to the direct action of the FIS-PcG complex on the MET3 locus. MET3 is over-284 expressed in PcG mutant seeds, such as *fie* and *msi1* mutant seeds. Interestingly, it was previously shown that *fie* mutant endosperm display higher CG methylation compared to wild-type endosperm 285 286 and lower CHG and CHH (Ibarra et al. 2012). This increased CG methylation in *fie* mutant seems to be 287 restricted to the endosperm as it is not observed in *fie* seedling or *fie* embryo methylome (Bouyer et 288 al. 2017). We propose that higher expression of *MET3* in the *fie* endosperm could be the cause for the 289 increase in CG methylation specifically in the endosperm. Indeed, in our study, we could not observe 290 any change in MET3 tissue expression pattern in PcG mutants but the increased expression is still 291 restricted to the endosperm. In further studies, the Investigation of the endosperm methylome in 292 met3 and met3; fie mutants will allow to test this hypothesis. Additionally, further experiments are 293 required to determine if MET3 is a functional DNA methyltransferase.

294 As we previously mentioned, MET3 function could vary in between wild Arabidopsis accessions. 295 Similarly, to CMT1, several SNPs can be found at the MET3 locus in-between different ecotypes 296 suggesting that MET3 might not be fully functional in all of them. Additionally, looking closer at the 297 MET3 locus, we could detect the presence of a Class 2 DNA transposon (AT4TE34810) situated in the 298 third intron of the MET3 gene. In addition to PcG regulation, MET3 expression and possibly function 299 could be influenced by the presence or regulation of AT4TE34810 like it is the case for CMT1 transcript 300 (Yadav et al. 2018). The study of MET3 function and imprint in different accession could reveal more 301 about its function in natural growth conditions. One hint of MET3 potential function in Columbia-0 302 came from the study of methylome stability across several generations (Becker et al. 2011). In this 303 study, methylome stability was investigated in several Col-0 selfed lineages (30 generations). The line 304 accumulating the most methylation polymorphisms had concomitantly acquired a SNP in the MET3 305 gene. It was therefore suggested that MET3 could be the cause of such methylome instability (Becker 306 et al. 2011; Schmitz and Ecker 2012). Analyzing the methylome of selfed met3 mutants after 30 307 generations would allow to test this hypothesis. If true and taking into account the endosperm specificity of MET3 expression, it would indicate that the endosperm methylome influences the 308 309 embryonic methylome, a hypothesis that has stimulated a lot of interest over the last 10 years but 310 remains to be demonstrated.

311 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following people for their help: Jasmine Sekulovski for support concerning plant growth, Diane Bonnet for technical support. We thank Mathieu Ingouff and Diane Bonnet for critical reading of the manuscript.

315 Funding

PEJ and LT are supported by an SNF professorship grant (no.163946) attributed to PEJ.

317 Author contributions

318 PEJ conceived the study. LT performed the experiments. LT and PEJ analyzed the data. PEJ wrote

the manuscript with the help of LT.

320 Conflicts of interest

321 Authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

322

323 References

- 324 Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, et al (2018) The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and
- 325 collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W537–W544.
- 326 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379
- 327 Andrews S (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- 328 Batista RA, Köhler C (2020) Genomic imprinting in plants-revisiting existing models. Genes Dev
- 329 34:24–36. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.332924.119
- Becker C, Hagmann J, Müller J, et al (2011) Spontaneous epigenetic variation in the Arabidopsis
- thaliana methylome. Nature 480:245–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10555
- 332 Belmonte MF, Kirkbride RC, Stone SL, et al (2013) Comprehensive developmental profiles of gene
- activity in regions and subregions of the Arabidopsis seed. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110:E435–E444.
- 334 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222061110
- Berger F (2003) Endosperm: The crossroad of seed development. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:42–50.
- 336 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369526602000043
- 337 Boisnard-Lorig C, Colon-Carmona A, Bauch M, et al (2001) Dynamic analyses of the expression of the
- 338 HISTONE::YFP fusion protein in arabidopsis show that syncytial endosperm is divided in mitotic
- domains. Plant Cell 13:495–509
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
- 341 Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bouyer D, Kramdi A, Kassam M, et al (2017) DNA methylation dynamics during early plant life.
- 343 Genome Biol 18:179. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1313-0
- Bouyer D, Roudier F, Heese M, et al (2011) Polycomb repressive complex 2 controls the embryo-to-

- 345 seedling phase transition. PLoS Genet 7:. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002014
- Brown RC, Lemmon BE, Nguyen H (2003) Events during the first four rounds of mitosis establish
- 347 three developmental domains in the syncytial endosperm of Arabidopsis thaliana. Protoplasma
- 348 222:167–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-003-0010-x
- 349 Brown RC, Lemmon BE, Nguyen H, Olsen OA (1999) Development of endosperm in Arabidopsis
- 350 thaliana. Sex Plant Reprod 12:32–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004970050169
- 351 Cao X, Jacobsen SE (2002) Locus-specific control of asymmetric and CpNpG methylation by the DRM
- and CMT3 methyltransferase genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:16491–16498.
- 353 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.162371599
- 354 Chaudhury a M, Ming L, Miller C, et al (1997) Fertilization-independent seed development in
- 355 Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:4223–8
- 356 Chèneby J, Ménétrier Z, Mestdagh M, et al (2020) ReMap 2020: A database of regulatory regions
- 357 from an integrative analysis of Human and Arabidopsis DNA-binding sequencing experiments.
- 358 Nucleic Acids Res 48:D180–D188. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz945
- 359 Choi Y, Gehring M, Johnson L, et al (2002) DEMETER, a DNA glycosylase domain protein, is required
- 360 for endosperm gene imprinting and seed viability in arabidopsis. Cell 110:33–42
- 361 Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated
- 362 transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16:735–743. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
- 363 313X.1998.00343.x
- Costa LM, Gutièrrez-Marcos JF, Dickinson HG (2004) More than a yolk: The short life and complex

365 times of the plant endosperm. Trends Plant Sci 9:507–514.

366 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2004.08.007

- 367 Gehring M (2013) Genomic imprinting: insights from plants. Annu Rev Genet 47:187–208.
- 368 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110711-155527
- 369 Gehring M, Bubb KL, Henikoff S (2009) Extensive demethylation of repetitive elements during seed
- development underlies gene imprinting. Science (80-) 324:1447–51.
- 371 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171609
- 372 Gehring M, Huh JH, Hsieh TF, et al (2006) DEMETER DNA Glycosylase Establishes MEDEA Polycomb
- 373 Gene Self-Imprinting by Allele-Specific Demethylation. Cell 124:495–506.
- 374 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.12.034
- 375 Guitton A, Page DR, Chambrier P, et al (2004) Identification of new members of Fertilisation
- 376 Independent Seed Polycomb Group pathway involved in the control of seed development in
- 377 Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 2971–2981. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01168
- 378 Henikoff S, Comai L (1998) A DNA Methyltransferase Homolog With a Chromodomain Exists in

379 Multiple Polymorphic Forms in Arabidopsis. Genetics 149:307–318

380 Hsieh TT-F, Ibarra CA, Silva P, et al (2009) Genome-wide demethylation of Arabidopsis endosperm.

381 Science (80-) 324:1451–1454. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172417

- 382 Ibarra CA, Feng X, Schoft VK, et al (2012) Active DNA Demethylation in Plant Companion Cells
- 383 Reinforces Transposon Methylation in Gametes. Science (80-) 337:1360–1364.
- 384 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224839
- Jullien PE, Berger F (2009) Gamete-specific epigenetic mechanisms shape genomic imprinting. Curr
- 386 Opin Plant Biol 12:637–642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.07.004
- 387 Jullien PE, Kinoshita T, Ohad N, Berger F (2006) Maintenance of DNA Methylation during the
- 388 Arabidopsis Life Cycle Is Essential for Parental Imprinting. Plant Cell 18:1360–1372.

389 https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.041178.1

- 390 Jullien PE, Susaki D, Yelagandula R, et al (2012) DNA methylation dynamics during sexual
- 391 reproduction in Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr Biol 22:1825–1830.
- 392 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.061
- 393 Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2015) HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements.
- 394 Nat Methods 12:357–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3317
- 395 Kinoshita T, Miura A, Choi Y, et al (2004) One-Way Control of FWA Imprinting in Arabidopsis
- 396 Endosperm by DNA Methylation. Science (80-) 303:521–523.
- 397 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1089835
- 398 Kinoshita T, Yadegari R, Harada JJ, et al (1999) Imprinting of the MEDEA polycomb gene in the
- 399 Arabidopsis endosperm. Plant Cell 11:1945–52
- 400 Kiyosue T, Ohad N, Yadegari R, et al (1999) Control of fertilization-independent endosperm
- 401 development by the MEDEA polycomb gene in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 96:4186–4191.
- 402 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.7.4186
- 403 Klepikova A V., Kasianov AS, Gerasimov ES, et al (2016) A high resolution map of the Arabidopsis
- 404 thaliana developmental transcriptome based on RNA-seq profiling. Plant J 88:1058–1070.
- 405 https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13312
- 406 Köhler C, Hennig L, Bouveret R, et al (2003) Arabidopsis MSI1 is a component of the MEA/FIE
- 407 Polycomb group complex and required for seed development. EMBO J 22:4804–14.
- 408 https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg444
- 409 Köhler C, Page DR, Gagliardini V, Grossniklaus U (2005) The Arabidopsis thaliana MEDEA Polycomb
- 410 group protein controls expression of PHERES1 by parental imprinting. Nat Genet 37:28–30.

411 https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1495

- 412 Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) FeatureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning
- 413 sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30:923–930.
- 414 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656
- Lindroth a M, Cao X, Jackson JP, et al (2001) Requirement of CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for maintenance
- 416 of CpXpG methylation. Science 292:2077–80. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059745
- 417 Liu J, Deng S, Wang H, et al (2016) CURLY LEAF regulates gene sets coordinating seed size and lipid
- 418 biosynthesis. Plant Physiol 171:424–436. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01335
- 419 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-Seq
- 420 data with DESeq2. bioRxiv 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1101/002832
- 421 Luo M, Bilodeau P, Dennis ES, et al (2000) Expression and parent-of-origin effects for FIS2, MEA, and
- 422 FIE in the endosperm and embryo of developing Arabidopsisseeds. PNAS.
- 423 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.170292997
- 424 Luo M, Bilodeau P, Koltunow a, et al (1999) Genes controlling fertilization-independent seed
- 425 development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:296–301
- 426 Mathieu O, Reinders J, Caikovski M, et al (2007) Transgenerational stability of the Arabidopsis
- 427 epigenome is coordinated by CG methylation. Cell 130:851–862.
- 428 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.07.007
- 429 Mi H, Muruganujan A, Huang X, et al (2019) Protocol Update for large-scale genome and gene
- 430 function analysis with the PANTHER classification system (v.14.0). Nat Protoc 14:703–721.
- 431 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-019-0128-8
- 432 Ohad N, Yadegari R, Margossian L, et al (1999) Mutations in FIE, a WD polycomb group gene, allow

- 433 endosperm development without fertilization. Plant Cell 11:407–415.
- 434 https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.3.407
- 435 Pagnussat GC, Yu HJ, Ngo QA, et al (2005) Genetic and molecular identification of genes required for
- 436 female gametophyte development and function in Arabidopsis. Development 132:603–614.
- 437 https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01595
- 438 Quadrana L, Silveira AB, Mayhew GF, et al (2016) The Arabidopsis thaliana mobilome and its impact
- 439 at the species level. Elife 5:1–25. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.15716
- 440 Salvador-Guirao R, Baldrich P, Weigel D, et al (2018) The MicroRNA miR773 Is Involved in the
- 441 *Arabidopsis* Immune Response to Fungal Pathogens. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 31:249–259.
- 442 https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-05-17-0108-R
- 443 Schmitz RJ, Ecker JR (2012) Epigenetic and epigenomic variation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Trends Plant
- 444 Sci 17:149–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.01.001
- 445 Sørensen MB, Chaudhury AM, Robert H, et al (2001) Polycomb group genes control pattern
- 446 formation in plant seed. Curr Biol 11:277–281
- 447 Stroud H, Do T, Du J, et al (2013) Non-CG methylation patterns shape the epigenetic landscape in
- 448 Arabidopsis. Nat Struct Mol Biol 21:64–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2735
- 449 Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T (2011) Revigo summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene
- 450 ontology terms. PLoS One 6:. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021800
- 451 Weinhofer I, Hehenberger E, Roszak P, et al (2010) H3K27me3 Profiling of the Endosperm Implies
- 452 Exclusion of Polycomb Group Protein Targeting by DNA Methylation. PLoS Genet 6:e1001152.
- 453 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001152
- 454 Winter D, Vinegar B, Nahal H, et al (2007) An "electronic fluorescent pictograph" Browser for

455	exploring and	analyzing lar	ge-scale biological	data sets. PLoS One 2:1–12.
		, ,	0 0	

- 456 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000718
- 457 Yadav NS, Khadka J, Domb K, et al (2018) CMT3 and SUVH4/KYP silence the exonic Evelknievel
- 458 retroelement to allow for reconstitution of CMT1 mRNA 06 Biological Sciences 0604 Genetics.
- 459 Epigenetics and Chromatin 11:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13072-018-0240-y
- 460 Yadegari R, Kinoshita T, Lotan O, et al (2000) Mutations in the FIE and MEA genes that encode
- 461 interacting polycomb proteins cause parent-of-origin effects on seed development by distinct
- 462 mechanisms. Plant Cell 12:2367–2382
- 463 Zhang X, Clarenz O, Cokus S, et al (2007) Whole-genome analysis of histone H3 lysine 27
- trimethylation in Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol 5:e129. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050129

465

466

467 Figure legends

468 Figure 1. MET3 expression pattern

(a) *MET3* expression measured by RT-qPCR. DAP = Day After Pollination. The histogram displays the
mean, and each dot represents a biological replicate. *ACT2* is used as a normalizer. RQ = Relative
Quantification (b-e) Single-plan Confocal images representing the expression of *pMET3:H2B- tdTomato* construct in *Arabidopsis* 1 Day After Synchronization (DAS) seed (b), 2 DAS seed (c), 3 DAS
(d) and 5 DAS (e). cze = chalazal endosperm, endo = endosperm nuclei. Scale bars represent 25µm.

474 Figure 2. MET3 is biallelically expressed with a paternal bias

(a) Allele specific RT-PCR of *MET3* parental expression in 5DAP silique samples. The *Xbal* restriction enzyme digests the Col-0 *MET3* transcript but not the Gr-1 transcript. *ACT2* is used as loading control. (b) Single-plan confocal images of *pMET3:H2B-RFP* parental expression in the endosperm of 1 DAP seeds. endo = endosperm nuclei. Scale bars represent 25μ m. σ symbol indicates the genotype of the male parent while φ indicates the genotype of the female parent.

480 Figure 3. MET3 expression is controlled by FIE and MSI1 Polycomb proteins

481 (a-c) Confocal images representing the expression of *pMET3:H2B-RFP* construct in Arabidopsis 482 wildtype (a), fie (b) and msi1 (c) selfed seeds at 5 days after pollination (DAP). Scale bars represent 50 483 μ m. (d) Proportion of seeds with high RFP signal in the endosperm at 5DAP in wild-type, *fie* and *msi1* 484 mutants. Grey bars represent the seeds with a restricted RFP expression to the chalazal endosperm 485 (as illustrated in (a)). Black bars represent the seeds with ectopic expression of pMET3:H2B-RFP 486 throughout the endosperm (as illustrated in (b-c)). (e) MET3 expression measured by RT-qPCR in 10 DAP selected seeds of wild-type, fie and msi1. The histogram displays the mean, and each dot 487 represents a biological replicate. ACT2 is used as a normalizer. (f) Snapshot showing that the MET3 488 489 locus contains H3K27me3 and LHP1 but no H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K4me3 and H3K9me2 in seedlings. 490 Data from http://epigenomics.mcdb.ucla.edu/H3K27m3/ (Zhang et al. 2007).

491 Figure 4. MET3 does not influence fie phenotype

- 492 (a-f) Seed developmental phenotype observed after clearing by Difference Interference Contrast (DIC)
 493 of wild-type (a), *met3-3* (b), *met3-4* (c), *fie/+* (d), *met3-3;fie/+* (e) and *met3-4;fie/+* (f) at 6 days after
 494 synchronization (DAS). Scale bars represent 50 μm. (g-h) Quantification of the embryo developmental
- 495 stages (g) and endosperm cellularization (h) in wild-type, met3-3, met3-4, fie/+, met3-3; fie/+ and
- 496 *met3-4;fie/+* at 6 DAS. mce = micropylar endosperm.

497 Figure 5. Transcriptome of met3 and met3; fie mutant seeds

- 498 (a-b) Volcano plot depicting differentially expressed genes (DEGs) comparing Col-0 to met3-3 (a) and
- 499 *fie* to *met3-3;fie* in 10 DAS seeds (b). Red dots represent up-regulated DEGs and blue dots represent
- 500 down-regulated DEGs. The top 10 DEGs are annotated. We use a threshold of [absolute logFC > 2,
- 501 FDR < 0.05]. (c) GO term analysis for the 87 DEGs obtained with the *fie vs met3-3;fie* contrast. (d)
- 502 Venn diagram showing the overlapping DEGs between the different contrasts.

503 Figure S1. MET3 is only expressed in seeds

- (a) Snapshot of the *MET3* expression pattern obtained from ebar using the data from Klepikova *et al.*
- 505 (Winter et al. 2007; Klepikova et al. 2016)(b-d) The absence of *pMET3:H2B-GUS* expression observed
- 506 in Arabidopsis seedling (b), stamen (c) and ovule (d).

507 Figure S2. MET3 reporters and expression

- 508 (a) Representation of *pMET3:H2B-GUS*, *pMET3:H2B-tdTomato* and *pMET3:H2B-RFP*. (b) *pMET3:H2B-*
- 509 GUS expression observed in 1 DAP and 3 DAP seeds. (c) pMET3:H2B-RFP expression observed in 1 DAP
- and 3 DAP seeds. Scale bars represent 50 μ m. (d) Snapshot of expression data from LCM dissected
- 511 seeds from http://seedgenenetwork.net/plotprobe?name=254720_at (Belmonte et al. 2013).

512 Figure S3. MET3 regulation by Polycomb group

513 (a) MET3 expression from an RNA-seq experiment in inflorescence, root, shoot and siliques from wild-

type plants and *clf28* mutant plants, data from Liu *et al* 2016 (Liu et al. 2016). (b) *MET3* expression

from transcriptomic data obtained from 3 DAP seeds using MicroArray comparing wild-type to *fis2-1*endosperm, data from Weinhofer *et al* 2010 (Weinhofer et al. 2010). (c) Snapshot of H3K27me3 ChIP
data from several tissues including endosperm (colored in blue), flowers (colored in yellow) and
various sporophytic (colored in green). Green line represent the presence of ChIP peaks of H3K27me3.
Data from ReMap (Chèneby et al. 2020).

520 Figure S4. Characterization of MET3 mutants

(a) Representation of the *MET3* locus. Blue triangles are representing the T-DNA insertion site of both *met3-3* (GABI_404F04) and *met3-4* (GABI_659H03) (b) *MET3* expression measured by RT-qPCR in Col0 and *met3* mutants seeds at 3DAP. The histogram displays the mean, and each dot represents a
biological replicate. *ACT2* is used as a normalizer. 2 stars indicate a p value <0.01.

525 Figure S5. MET3 mutants do not show developmental defect

- 526 (a) met3 mutants transmission in self progeny. +/+ represent wild-type, +/- heterozygotes and -/-527 homozygotes plants for the mutations. (b) Percentage of seed abortion in Col-0 and met3 mutants 528 (met3-3 and met3-4) siliques. (c) Seed size measurement for Col-0 and met3 mutants dry seeds. No 529 significant differences observed. (d) Pictures of Col-0 and met3 mutants dry seeds. The scale bars 530 represent 1 mm. (e) Pictures of Col-O and met3 mutants rosette at first (top panel) and fifth generation 531 (bottom panel). The scale bars represent 1 cm. (f) Rosette area measurement in mm² of Col and met3 mutants at fifth generation. n=12. (g) Flowering time (number of days between transplanting and 532 bolting) of Col and *met3* mutants at fifth generation. n=12. 533
- 534

535 *Figure S6. Transcriptome of met3 mutant seeds at 3DAP*

(a-b) Volcano plot depicting one differentially expressed gene comparing Col-0 to *met3-3* (a) and
 met3-4 (b) at 3DAP.

538



Figure 1. MET3 expression pattern



Figure 2. MET3 is biallelically expressed with a paternal bias



Figure 3. MET3 expression is controlled by FIE and MSI1 Polycomb proteins



Figure 4. MET3 does not influence fie phenotype



Figure 5. Transcriptome of met3 and met3fie mutant seeds