Phenotype-genotype associations in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia: where do we stand?

Authors:

Myrofora Goutaki^{1,2}, Eva SL Pedersen¹

Affiliations

1 Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

2 Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, Children's University Hospital of Bern, University of Bern, Switzerland

Corresponding author:

Myrofora Goutaki, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern. Mittelstrasse 43, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. Email: <u>Myrofora.goutaki@ispm.unibe.ch</u>, tel: 0041 31 631 59 73

Take home message:

Defining distinct PCD clinical phenotypes and their associations to genotypes in large collaborative clinical and research networks could have important implications to clinical management and subsequently patients' quality of life.

The study by Shoemark et al. [1], published in this issue of the European Respiratory Journal, is the first large-scale multinational study investigating genotype-phenotype correlations in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia (PCD), a genetically heterogeneous rare disease. The study confirmed genotype-phenotype relationships reported by previous smaller studies and identified new relationships, bringing the importance of defining distinct PCD phenotypes into the spotlight.

PCD: a heterogeneous disease

PCD is a rare genetic multiorgan disease with an estimated prevalence of 1 in 10,000 [2, 3]. It is characterised by genetic heterogeneity and so far, mutations in 50 genes have been described [4, 5]. These disease-causing mutations lead to defects in the structure or function of cilia [6, 7], impairing mucociliary clearance and resulting in recurrent progressive upper and lower respiratory disease [8-10]. Situs inversus occurs in about 50% of people while an additional 10-12% presents with other heterotaxic syndromes sometimes combined with congenital heart defects [11, 12]. Subfertility is reported commonly in male and female patients though still not well described, and in rarer cases other organ systems are affected resulting in hydrocephalus, retinitis pigmentosa or renal abnormalities [13, 14]. Until recently, PCD was perceived as one disease with the largest group of patients fitting what was considered a typical clinical presentation: runny nose, many ear infections in childhood, and progressive lung disease leading to bronchiectasis in adulthood [15]. This presentation remains common; however, as the diagnostic procedures for PCD improved and our understanding of the disease deepened, we became aware of important phenotypical variations [16]. We now consider PCD more as an umbrella term for a spectrum of ciliopathies with overlapping clinical features [17].

Older studies describing the clinical features of PCD such as symptoms or lung function showed important heterogeneity, underlining the possibility of distinct PCD phenotypes as in other chronic respiratory diseases [9, 18-25]. During the past 5-6 years, several studies assessed possible associations of PCD disease severity with genotype or corresponding ultrastructural groups; a selected summary presented in Table 1. A multicentre study in North American children described that lung disease was worse in those with isolated inner dynein arm (IDA), central apparatus (CA) and microtubular disorganization (MTD) ultrastructural defects, most of whom had biallelic mutations in CCDC39 or CCDC40 genes, compared to those with outer dynein arm (ODA) defects [26]. A large multinational cohort of 991 children and adults with PCD highlighted differences in FEV1 z-scores between ultrastructural defect groups, and patients with a microtubular defect had worse lung function than patients with a non-diagnostic transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and patients with ODA or IDA defects [21]. Studies examining disease progression reported similar results. In a large British adult cohort, patients with microtubular defects had the greatest annual FEV1 decline compared with patients with outer (ODA) or combined ODA/IDA defects and patients with normal or inconclusive TEM [25]. A longitudinal study including 137 North American children showed that those with CCDC39 or CCDC40 mutations had significantly diminished lung function and growth parameters compared to those with DNAH5 mutations [18]. Similarly, patients with PCD and CCDC39 or CCDC40 mutations had the worst evolution of lung function in an Italian cohort, while patients with DHAH11 mutations the most favourable [24].

What the study found

The study of Shoemark et al. [1] is a large multinational collaborative study including paediatric and adult patients. The authors investigated phenotype-genotype relationships using clinical, diagnostic, and genetic data from 396 people with genetically confirmed PCD. Cluster analyses confirmed established associations from previous studies between defects in ciliary structure and function and genetics. Patients with defects in the central complex and nexin-dynein regulatory complex gene functional groups, corresponding primarily to mutations in the CCDC39 and CCDC40 genes, were more likely to have lower lung function at diagnosis compared to those with dynein structural gene mutations. This cluster of patients included a defined group with no history of rhinitis. On the other hand, patients with preserved lung function at diagnosis had predominantly a DNAH11 gene mutation and were less likely to have had a history of neonatal respiratory distress (NRDS). Interestingly, patients with a DNAH5 mutation, which is the most common genetic cause of PCD, were the largest and most phenotypical diverse group regarding lung function and symptom history.

The authors applied the novel non-hypothesis driven method, topological data analysis, to identify clusters of clinical data including among others anthropometric data, lung function results and symptom history, and diagnostic data including ciliary beat pattern and results from TEM. Exploratory cluster analyses were first applied in 199 patients and thereafter validated in the second half of the population including 197 patients. Validation analyses confirmed the association between a mutation in CCDC39 and lower lung function at diagnosis and higher proportion of reported NRDS and contrasting higher lung function at diagnosis and less reported NRDS in patients with a DNAH11 mutation.

What difficulties did the study face?

The study by Shoemark et al. is a well-conducted important study that brings us one step closer to understanding phenotypes in PCD and their relationship with different genotypes [1]. However, the study also faced certain methodological difficulties – difficulties that are very hard to overcome due to the rarity and heterogeneity of PCD. Despite this study being the largest yet to explore phenotype-genotype associations, it was limited by small sample sizes in certain genotype groups. The study included in total 396 patients with PCD who had mutations in 31 different genes. Only six of these 31 gene mutations had a sample size larger than 20 patients. The authors tried to overcome this by grouping gene mutations into five categories depending on the structural or functional consequence of the mutations, however the smallest group still had only 13 patients. Another limitation was the restrictions related to the clinical data used to explore phenotype-genotype associations such as incompleteness, a major issue with all studies including retrospective chart data, and the cross-sectional design where only data at time of diagnosis were included. As previously shown, disease progression in PCD is highly variable and could also depend on genotype. It would therefore be essential for future studies to include longitudinal data to understand time-varying associations between phenotypes and genotypes.

How to bring research on PCD phenotypes further

The challenges the authors encountered in this study are typical for research on phenotypes particularly in rare diseases and they underline where to focus the efforts in PCD research to further define distinct clinical phenotypes and improve patient care. Genetic testing has recently become more widespread, not only in research but also as part of the diagnostic process for PCD patients, however still many patients have not undergone testing. In addition to its role in confirming PCD diagnosis, the identification of disease-causing mutations in PCD patients will allow for even larger collaborative studies on phenotypegenotype associations. To achieve this, clinicians should ideally refer all their PCD patients, even the ones with resolved diagnosis, for genetic testing. Targeted testing on a subset of genes, guided by other tests such as TEM, could be a preferable solution for these patients. Identification of well genotyped patients through the international PCD registry will allow larger studies in the future [27], also for the less common gene mutation groups. A new online open database registering PCD gene mutations and specific combinations of variants is being set up in the framework of the BEAT-PCD clinical research collaboration supported by the ERS and could potentially have an important role in this process [28].

Another issue that hinders most phenotype-genotype studies is the limited available clinical data. So far, clinical data are mostly derived from chart reviews, leading to missing and often unreliable information, particularly on symptoms. To address this and improve the quality of clinical data used in research, a large multidisciplinary team developed the FOLLOW-PCD, a PCD-specific form for standardised prospective data collection, including also patient-reported information on symptoms during routine clinical follow-up [29]. Furthermore, it is important to identify which clinical measures would help us to best characterize PCD disease severity and its progression. Lung function measured via spirometry might be widely available and included in most studies but does not provide the most sensitive information on lung disease in PCD [22]. Thus, we might need to select and incorporate longitudinal information from multiple

modalities accounting both for structural and functional lung impairment over the patients' lifetime to phenotypically characterize PCD [30]. Standardised prospective collection of appropriate clinical data will allow us to get better evidence on PCD clinical phenotypes, severity and disease prognosis.

Implications for patient care

Defining distinct PCD clinical phenotypes and their associations with genotypes could have important implications for clinical management and subsequently patients' quality of life. It could contribute to personalised clinical care decisions and potential early measures to prevent or delay disease progression. On the other hand, this process could help us identify patients with less typical phenotypic profiles who might remain still undiagnosed so they could be referred for PCD diagnostic testing.

The study by Shoemark et al. shows what could be done so far and paves the way for future studies to build on its findings and improve further the definition of phenotype-genotype associations in PCD. We expect this to be a long process and one thing is certain: large collaborative clinical and research networks are the only way to achieve this.

Table 1: Selected studies reporting phenotype-genotype associations among patients with PCD

First author, journal, year	Study design, country, data collection period. Time followed up	Inclusion criteria, age	Ν	Main findings
Shoemark, Eur Respir J, 2021	Retrospective, cross- sectional United Kingdom, Netherlands, France Collected until 2019	People with genetically confirmed PCD Median age 11 y (IQ range 4- 18 y)	396	 Genotype groups: 171 (43%) dynein structure defect (most frequent: DNAH5), 94 (24%) dynein assembly defect (most frequent: CCDC103), 50 (13%) radial spoke/central complex defect (most frequent: RSPH4A), 68 (17%) N-DRC/molecular ruler (most frequent: CCDC39), 13 other defects. N-DRC or molecular ruler defects associated with poor FEV1 (-2.7 z-scores, SD 1.6) and absence of history of rhinitis. Dynein structure defects associated with preserved lung function (-1.4 FEV1 z-scores, SD 1.4) and absence of NRDS
Pifferi, Chest, 2020	Prospective, longitudinal Italy Collected 2008-2018 Mean follow-up 5 y (range 1-10 y)	People aged >5 years with confirmed PCD 66 children enrolled, mean age 10 y (SD 4 y) 69 adults enrolled, mean age 34 (SD 11 y)	135	 131 with EM results: 33 (25%) had ODA/IDA, 33 (25%) had IDA/CA/MTD, 14 (11%) had CA, 25 (19%) had ODA only, 26 (20%) had normal EM. Children: BMI lowest in those with CA defect (-0.76 z-score, SD 2.09). Lung function worst in those with IDA/CA/MTD (FEV1 z-scores -1.29, SD 0.82) or CA alone (FEV1 z-scores -1.62, SD 1.02). Adults: Worst lung function in those with IDA/CA/MTD defects (FEV1 z-scores -3.72, SD 1.28). Genotype comparisons: worst lung function among those with CCDC39 and CCDC40 (FEV1 z-scores -1.38, SD 0.78)
Davis, Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2019	Prospective, longitudinal USA, Canada Collected 2006-2011 Median follow-up 6 y (range 1-6 y)	People aged <19 years with confirmed PCD Age at enrollment 8 y (SD 5 y)	137	 55 (40%) had ODA defects, 20 (15%) had ODA+IDA defects, 41 (30%) had IDA/CA/MTD defects, 12 (9%) had normal ultrastructure, 9 (6%) had other defects. CCDC39 and CCDC40 mutations (IDA/CA/MTD defects) associated with lower FEV1 (-15 %predicted) and weight (-0.8 z-scores) and height (-0.60 z-scores) than DNAH5 (ODA defects) Lung function decline (FEV1) was highest among those with IDA/CA/MTD defects
Halbeisen, Eur Respir J, 2018	Retrospective, cross- sectional 14 different countries Collected until 2016	People aged >5 years with a definite, probable, or clinical PCD diagnosis	991	 689 with TEM results: 425 (43%) had ODA or IDA, 134 (14%) had MT defects, 123 were non-diagnost Patients with MT defects had worse lung function (-1.91 FEV1 z-scores, -1.08 FVC z-scores than patients with non-diagnostic TEM (-1.19 FEV z-scores, -0.74 FVC z-scores and patient with ODA or ID defects (-1.50 FEV1 z-scores, -0.73 FVC z-scores)
Shah, Eur Respir J, 2016	Retrospective, longitudinal United Kingdom Data period: 1980-2014 median follow-up 7 y (range 1-34 y)	People aged >17 y with confirmed PCD Median age 35 y (range 19-75 y)	151	 138 with TEM results: 92 (67%) had IDA and/or ODA defects, 27 (20%) had MTD defects, 19 (13%) had normal/inconclusive result. Greatest annual FEV1 decline in patients MTD defects (-0.75% predicted, 95% CI -2.08-0.58) compar with ODA/IDA (-51% predicted, 95% CI -1.41-0.39) and normal/inconclusive TEM (-0.13% predicted, 95%CI -1.53-1.28).
Davis, Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2015	Prospective, longitudinal USA, Canada Collected 2006-2012	People aged <19 years with confirmed PCD Median age 8 y (range 5-11 y)	118	 54 (46%) had ODA defects, 18 (15%) had ODA+IDA defects, 40 (34%) had IDA/CA/MTD defects, 6 (5% had CA or IDA only. Patients with IDA/CA/MTD defects had worse lung function (72 % predicted FEV1, IQ range 58-88), more radiographic disease (3.5 lobes with bronchiectasis), and poorer growth (BMI 46th percentile) than those with ODA or ODA+IDA.

Abbreviations: CA: central apparatus; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC: forced vital capacity; IDA: inner dynein arm; MT: microtubular; MTD: microtubular disorganization; ODA; outer dynein arm; N-DRC: nexin-dynein regulatory complex; PCD: primary ciliary dyskinesia; TEM: transmission electron microscopy; y: years

Acknowledgements

Both authors participate in the BEAT-PCD clinical research collaboration, supported by the European Respiratory Society; M. Goutaki is one of the chairs. The views expressed in this editorial do not reflect official views of this collaboration but opinions of the authors. M. Goutaki and E. Pedersen receive funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (PZ00P3_185923 and 320030B_192804/1).

References

1. Shoemark A, Rubbo B, Legendre M, Fassad M, Haarman E, Best S, Bon I, Brandsma J, Burgel PR, Carlsson G, Carr SB, Carroll M, Edwards M, Escudier E, Honore I, Hunt D, Jouvion G, Loebinger MR, Maitre B, Morris-Rosendahl DJ, Papon JF, Parsons CM, Patel M, Thomas NS, Thouvenin G, Walker WT, Wilson R, Hogg C, Mitchison HM, Lucas JS. Topological data analysis reveals genotype-phenotype relationships in

primary ciliary dyskinesia. The European respiratory journal 2021.

2. Kuehni CE, Frischer T, Strippoli MP, Maurer E, Bush A, Nielsen KG, Escribano A, Lucas JS, Yiallouros P, Omran H, Eber E, O'Callaghan C, Snijders D, Barbato A. Factors influencing age at diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia in European children. *The European respiratory journal* 2010: 36(6): 1248-1258.

3. Lucas JS, Walker WT, Kuehni CE, Lazor R. Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. European Respiratory Society, 2011.

4. Höben IM, Hjeij R, Olbrich H, Dougherty GW, Nöthe-Menchen T, Aprea I, Frank D, Pennekamp P, Dworniczak B, Wallmeier J, Raidt J, Nielsen KG, Philipsen MC, Santamaria F, Venditto L, Amirav I, Mussaffi H, Prenzel F, Wu K, Bakey Z, Schmidts M, Loges NT, Omran H. Mutations in C11orf70 Cause Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia with Randomization of Left/Right Body Asymmetry Due to Defects of Outer and Inner Dynein Arms. *American journal of human genetics* 2018: 102(5): 973-984.

5. Olcese C, Patel MP, Shoemark A, Kiviluoto S, Legendre M, Williams HJ, Vaughan CK, Hayward J, Goldenberg A, Emes RD, Munye MM, Dyer L, Cahill T, Bevillard J, Gehrig C, Guipponi M, Chantot S, Duquesnoy P, Thomas L, Jeanson L, Copin B, Tamalet A, Thauvin-Robinet C, Papon JF, Garin A, Pin I, Vera G, Aurora P, Fassad MR, Jenkins L, Boustred C, Cullup T, Dixon M, Onoufriadis A, Bush A, Chung EM, Antonarakis SE, Loebinger MR, Wilson R, Armengot M, Escudier E, Hogg C, Amselem S, Sun Z, Bartoloni L, Blouin JL, Mitchison HM. X-linked primary ciliary dyskinesia due to mutations in the cytoplasmic axonemal dynein assembly factor PIH1D3. *Nature communications* 2017: 8: 14279.

6. Bhatt R, Hogg C. Primary ciliary dyskinesia: a major player in a bigger game. *Breathe (Sheffield, England)* 2020: 16(2): 200047.

7. Blanchon S, Legendre M, Bottier M, Tamalet A, Montantin G, Collot N, Faucon C, Dastot F, Copin B, Clement A, Filoche M, Coste A, Amselem S, Escudier E, Papon JF, Louis B. Deep phenotyping, including quantitative ciliary beating parameters, and extensive genotyping in primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Journal of medical genetics* 2020: 57(4): 237-244.

8. Bequignon E, Dupuy L, Zerah-Lancner F, Bassinet L, Honoré I, Legendre M, Devars du Mayne M, Escabasse V, Crestani B, Maître B, Escudier E, Coste A, Papon JF. Critical Evaluation of Sinonasal Disease in 64 Adults with Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *Journal of clinical medicine* 2019: 8(5).

9. Frija-Masson J, Bassinet L, Honore I, Dufeu N, Housset B, Coste A, Papon JF, Escudier E, Burgel PR, Maitre B. Clinical characteristics, functional respiratory decline and follow-up in adult patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Thorax* 2017: 72(2): 154-160.

10. Goutaki M, Meier AB, Halbeisen FS, Lucas JS, Dell SD, Maurer E, Casaulta C, Jurca M, Spycher BD, Kuehni CE. Clinical manifestations in primary ciliary dyskinesia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *The European respiratory journal* 2016: 48(4): 1081-1095.

11. Shapiro AJ, Davis SD, Ferkol T, Dell SD, Rosenfeld M, Olivier KN, Sagel SD, Milla C, Zariwala MA, Wolf W, Carson JL, Hazucha MJ, Burns K, Robinson B, Knowles MR, Leigh MW. Laterality defects other than situs inversus totalis in primary ciliary dyskinesia: insights into situs ambiguus and heterotaxy. *Chest* 2014: 146(5): 1176-1186.

12. Kennedy MP, Omran H, Leigh MW, Dell S, Morgan L, Molina PL, Robinson BV, Minnix SL, Olbrich H, Severin T, Ahrens P, Lange L, Morillas HN, Noone PG, Zariwala MA, Knowles MR. Congenital heart disease and other heterotaxic defects in a large cohort of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Circulation* 2007: 115(22): 2814-2821.

13. Vanaken GJ, Bassinet L, Boon M, Mani R, Honoré I, Papon JF, Cuppens H, Jaspers M, Lorent N, Coste A, Escudier E, Amselem S, Maitre B, Legendre M, Christin-Maitre S. Infertility in an adult cohort with primary ciliary dyskinesia: phenotype-gene association. *The European respiratory journal* 2017: 50(5).

14. Sironen A, Shoemark A, Patel M, Loebinger MR, Mitchison HM. Sperm defects in primary ciliary dyskinesia and related causes of male infertility. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS* 2020: 77(11): 2029-2048.

15. Behan L, Dimitrov BD, Kuehni CE, Hogg C, Carroll M, Evans HJ, Goutaki M, Harris A, Packham S, Walker WT, Lucas JS. PICADAR: a diagnostic predictive tool for primary ciliary dyskinesia. *The European respiratory journal* 2016: 47(4): 1103-1112.

16. Lucas JS, Barbato A, Collins SA, Goutaki M, Behan L, Caudri D, Dell S, Eber E, Escudier E, Hirst RA, Hogg C, Jorissen M, Latzin P, Legendre M, Leigh MW, Midulla F, Nielsen KG, Omran H, Papon JF, Pohunek P, Redfern B, Rigau D, Rindlisbacher B, Santamaria F, Shoemark A, Snijders D, Tonia T, Titieni A, Walker WT, Werner C, Bush A, Kuehni CE. European Respiratory Society guidelines for the diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia. *The European respiratory journal* 2017: 49(1).

17. Lucas JS, Davis SD, Omran H, Shoemark A. Primary ciliary dyskinesia in the genomics age. *The Lancet Respiratory medicine* 2020: 8(2): 202-216.

18. Davis SD, Rosenfeld M, Lee HS, Ferkol TW, Sagel SD, Dell SD, Milla C, Pittman JE, Shapiro AJ, Sullivan KM, Nykamp KR, Krischer JP, Zariwala MA, Knowles MR, Leigh MW. Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia: Longitudinal Study of Lung Disease by Ultrastructure Defect and Genotype. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2019: 199(2): 190-198.

19. Emiralioğlu N, Taşkıran EZ, Koşukcu C, Bilgiç E, Atilla P, Kaya B, Günaydın Ö, Yüzbaşıoğlu A, Tuğcu GD, Ademhan D, Eryılmaz Polat S, Gharibzadeh Hızal M, Yalçın E, Doğru D, Kiper N, Alikaşifoğlu M, Özçelik U. Genotype and phenotype evaluation of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: First results from Turkey. *Pediatric pulmonology* 2020: 55(2): 383-393.

20. Fassad MR, Shoemark A, Legendre M, Hirst RA, Koll F, le Borgne P, Louis B, Daudvohra F, Patel MP, Thomas L, Dixon M, Burgoyne T, Hayes J, Nicholson AG, Cullup T, Jenkins L, Carr SB, Aurora P, Lemullois M, Aubusson-Fleury A, Papon JF, O'Callaghan C, Amselem S, Hogg C, Escudier E, Tassin AM, Mitchison HM. Mutations in Outer Dynein Arm Heavy Chain DNAH9 Cause Motile Cilia Defects and Situs Inversus. *American journal of human genetics* 2018: 103(6): 984-994.

21. Halbeisen FS, Goutaki M, Spycher BD, Amirav I, Behan L, Boon M, Hogg C, Casaulta C, Crowley S, Haarman EG, Karadag B, Koerner-Rettberg C, Loebinger MR, Mazurek H, Morgan L, Nielsen KG, Omran H, Santamaria F, Schwerk N, Thouvenin G, Yiallouros P, Lucas JS, Latzin P, Kuehni CE. Lung function in patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: an iPCD Cohort study. *The European respiratory journal* 2018: 52(2).

22. Halbeisen FS, Jose A, de Jong C, Nyilas S, Latzin P, Kuehni CE, Goutaki M. Spirometric indices in primary ciliary dyskinesia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *ERJ open research* 2019: 5(2).

23. Knowles MR, Ostrowski LE, Leigh MW, Sears PR, Davis SD, Wolf WE, Hazucha MJ, Carson JL, Olivier KN, Sagel SD, Rosenfeld M, Ferkol TW, Dell SD, Milla CE, Randell SH, Yin W, Sannuti A, Metjian HM, Noone PG, Noone PJ, Olson CA, Patrone MV, Dang H, Lee HS, Hurd TW, Gee HY, Otto EA, Halbritter J, Kohl S, Kircher M, Krischer J, Bamshad MJ, Nickerson DA, Hildebrandt F, Shendure J, Zariwala MA. Mutations in RSPH1 cause primary ciliary dyskinesia with a unique clinical and ciliary phenotype. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2014: 189(6): 707-717.

24. Pifferi M, Bush A, Mariani F, Piras M, Michelucci A, Cangiotti A, Di Cicco M, Caligo MA, Miccoli M, Boner AL, Peroni D. Lung Function Longitudinal Study by Phenotype and Genotype in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *Chest* 2020: 158(1): 117-120.

25. Shah A, Shoemark A, MacNeill SJ, Bhaludin B, Rogers A, Bilton D, Hansell DM, Wilson R, Loebinger MR. A longitudinal study characterising a large adult primary ciliary dyskinesia population. *The European respiratory journal* 2016: 48(2): 441-450.

26. Davis SD, Ferkol TW, Rosenfeld M, Lee HS, Dell SD, Sagel SD, Milla C, Zariwala MA, Pittman JE, Shapiro AJ, Carson JL, Krischer JP, Hazucha MJ, Cooper ML, Knowles MR, Leigh MW. Clinical features of childhood primary ciliary dyskinesia by genotype and ultrastructural phenotype. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2015: 191(3): 316-324.

27. Werner C, Lablans M, Ataian M, Raidt J, Wallmeier J, Grosse-Onnebrink J, Kuehni CE, Haarman EG, Leigh MW, Quittner AL, Lucas JS, Hogg C, Witt M, Priftis KN, Yiallouros P, Nielsen KG, Santamaria F, Uckert F, Omran H. An international registry for primary ciliary dyskinesia. *The European respiratory journal* 2016: 47(3): 849-859.

28. Goutaki M, Crowley S, Dehlink E, Gaupmann R, Horton KL, Kouis P, Lam YT, Loges NT, Lucas JS, Roehmel JF, Shoemark A. The BEAT-PCD (Better Experimental Approaches to Treat Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia) Clinical Research Collaboration. *The European respiratory journal* 2021: 57(2).

29. Goutaki M, Papon JF, Boon M, Casaulta C, Eber E, Escudier E, Halbeisen FS, Harris A, Hogg C, Honore I, Jung A, Karadag B, Koerner-Rettberg C, Legendre M, Maitre B, Nielsen KG, Rubbo B, Rumman N, Schofield L, Shoemark A, Thouvenin G, Willkins H, Lucas JS, Kuehni CE. Standardised clinical data from patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: FOLLOW-PCD. *ERJ open research* 2020: 6(1).

30. Nyilas S, Bauman G, Pusterla O, Sommer G, Singer F, Stranzinger E, Heyer C, Ramsey K, Schlegtendal A, Benzrath S, Casaulta C, Goutaki M, Kuehni CE, Bieri O, Koerner-Rettberg C, Latzin P. Structural and Functional Lung Impairment in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. Assessment with Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Multiple Breath Washout in Comparison to Spirometry. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society* 2018: 15(12): 1434-1442.