Supplementary Material (online only)

Schoepf IC, Thorball CW et al.: CAD-associated and Longevity-associated Genome-wide Polygenic
 Risk Scores for Prediction of Coronary Artery Disease Events in Swiss Persons Living with HIV

4

### 5 Supplementary Methods

6

7 Genotyping, Quality Control. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were mapped to the human 8 genome build GRCh37, with the correct strand orientation ensured using BCFTOOLS (v1.8). SNPs 9 were removed from the dataset if they had a larger than 20% minor allele frequency (MAF) deviation 10 from the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 EUR reference panel. The remaining genotypes were phased with 11 EAGLE2[3] and missing genotypes were imputed using positional Burrows-Wheeler transform 12 (PBWT)[2] at the Sanger Imputation Service, [1] using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 panel as reference. Following imputation, only high-quality SNPs with an imputation information score (INFO 13 14 > 0.8) were retained, after which separate genotyping batches were combined. Calculation of 15 population structure and principal components was performed with EIGENSTRAT (v6.1.4)[4], together with the HapMap3 reference panel. [5] Only individuals clustering with the European 16 17 HapMap3 samples were kept for subsequent analyses. The cohort was furthermore screened with 18 KING (v2.1.3)[6] to verify that no duplicate or cryptic related samples were included. Finally, SNPs 19 and samples with excessive missingness (above 10%), low MAF (below 1%) or excessive deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (PHWE < 1e-6) were removed prior to generating polygenic risk 20 21 scores.[7]

Calculation of the meta-PRS (i.e. CAD-PRS plus longevity-PRS). We calculated the meta-PRS following the same principles described previously by Inouye *et al*,[8] thus obtaining a weighted average of the standardized PRS scores for CAD and longevity, while accounting for the correlation between the scores due to potential overlapping genetic signals caused by shared genetic loci.

$$metaPRS_{i} = \frac{\beta_{1}Z_{i1} + \beta_{2}Z_{i2}}{\sqrt{\beta_{1}^{2} + \beta_{2}^{2} + 2\beta_{1}\beta_{2}\rho_{1,2}}}$$

26

27 Prior to combination, the scores were standardized as z-scores, with  $Z_{i1}$  representing the CAD-PRS 28 and  $Z_{i2}$  the longevity-PRS for the *i*th individual.  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  represents the obtained odds ratio per 29 standard deviation from logistic regressions with the CAD- and longevity-PRS, respectively.

30

#### 31 Supplementary Results

32 CAD Variability Explained by Traditional and HIV-related Risk Factors. CAD variability explained by 33 traditional risk factors was 15.03% and 14.17% (continuous model vs. model with quintiles of 34 traditional risk). CAD Variability explained by HIV-associated risk factors was 16.94% and 18.16% 35 (continuous model vs. model with quintiles of HIV-associated risk). The combination of traditional 36 and HIV-associated risk factors ("clinical risk") resulted in CAD variability explained being 30.54% and 37 30.86% (continuous model vs. model that included quintiles of clinical risk).

38

# 40 Supplementary Table 1: Single nucleotide polymorphisms included in the longevity-PRS

41

rsID	Gene	effect_allele	reference_allele	Beta_GWAS	SE_GWAS
rs7412	ΑΡΟΕ	С	Т	0.2452	0.0367
rs6859	NECTIN2	G	А	-0.1124	0.02
rs429358	ΑΡΟΕ	Т	С	0.5098	0.0322
rs405509	APOE	G	Т	-0.1299	0.0199

# 43 Supplementary Table 2: Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Odds Ratio (OR) According to

## 44 Clinical Risk Factors. Univariable and Multivariable Analysis.

	Univariable analysis	Multivariable analysis	
Never smoking	(reference)	(reference)	
Current smoking, n (%)	OR 1.67; 95% CI 1.11–2.52; p= 0.02	OR 1.57; 95% CI 0.94–2.63; p= 0.08	
Past smoking, n (%)	OR 1.32; 95% CI 0.86–2.04; p= 0.20	OR 0.85; 95% Cl 0.50–1.46; p= 0.56	
Family History of CAD, n (%)	OR 1.54; 95% Cl 1.01–2.34; p= 0.05	OR 1.43; 95% Cl 0.83–2.49; p= 0.20	
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	OR 3.30; 95% Cl 1.99–5.45; p<0.01	OR 5.01; 95% CI 2.64–9.51; p<0.01	
Hypertension, n (%)	OR 1.10; 95% Cl 0.78–1.55; p= 0.59	OR 0.90; 95% Cl 0.59–1.38; p= 0.62	
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	OR 2.17; 95% CI 1.59-2.96; p<0.01	OR 1.83; 95% CI 1.25-2.66; p<0.01	
Age at matching date (per year older) (IQR)	OR 1.28; 95% Cl 1.16–1.41; p<0.01	OR 1.33; 95% CI 1.18–1.51; p<0.01	
Ever cocaine use, n (%)	OR 1.09; 95% Cl 0.62–1.93; p= 0.75		
HIV RNA <50 copies/mL	(reference)	(reference)	
at matching date			
HIV RNA <u>&gt;</u> 50 copies/mL	OR 0.74; 95% Cl 0.48–1.15; p= 0.19	OR 0.94; 95% Cl 0.54–1.64; p= 0.84	
at matching date, n (%)			
CD4 nadir (cells/µL), median	OR 1.94; 95% Cl 1.27–2.97; p<0.01	OR 0.97; 95% Cl 0.56–1.69; p= 0.91	
(IQR)			
Currently on Abacavir, n (%)	OR 1.82; 95% CI 1.30-2.55; p<0.01	OR 1.98; 95% CI 1.28–3.08; p<0.01	
Lopinavir/ritonavir, exposure	OR 2.08; 95% Cl 1.42–3.05; p<0.01	OR 1.86; 95% Cl 0.99–2.61; p= 0.05	
<u>&gt;</u> 1 year, n (%)			
Indinavir, exposure <u>&gt;</u> 1 year,	OR 3.19; 95% Cl 2.05–4.96; p<0.01	OR 2.23; 95% CI 1.31–3.78; p<0.01	
n (%)			
Darunavir, exposure <u>&gt;</u> 1 year,	OR 2.05; 95% Cl 1.31–3.21; p<0.01	OR 1.93; 95% Cl 1.11–3.36; p= 0.02	
n (%)			

Stavudine, exposure <u>&gt;</u> 1 year,	OR 4.89; 95% CI 3.28–7.28; p<0.01	OR 3.82; 95% Cl 2.40–6.08; p<0.01
n (%)		
Hepatitis C Seropositivity, n (%)	OR 1.51; 95% Cl 1.03–2.20; p= 0.03	OR 0.99; 95% Cl 0.50–1.97; p= 0.98
CMV Seropositivity, n (%)	OR 1.70; 95% CI 1.10-2.61; p= 0.02	OR 1.78; 95% Cl 1.05-3.04; p= 0.03
IDU	OR 1.41; 95% Cl 0.92–2.14; p= 0.11	OR 1.86; 95% CI 0.84–4.13; p= 0.13

- 47 Abbreviations. CAD, coronary artery disease; CMV, cytomegalovirus; IDU, intravenous drug use; IQR,
- 48 interquartile range;

#### 52 References

- McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, et al. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype
   imputation. Nat. Genet. **2016**; 48:1279–1283.
- Durbin R. Efficient haplotype matching and storage using the positional Burrows-Wheeler
   transform (PBWT). Bioinformatics. **2014**; 30:1266–1272.
- Loh PR, Danecek P, Palamara PF, et al. Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype
   Reference Consortium panel. Nat. Genet. **2016**; 48:1443–1448.
- 59 4. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components
- analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet. 2006;
  38:904–909.
- 5. The International HapMap 3 Consortium, Altshuler DM, Peltonen L, et al. Integrating common
  and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. Nature. **2010**; 467:52–58.
- 64 6. Manichaikul A, Mychaleckyj JC, Rich SS, Daly K, Sale M, Chen W-M. Robust relationship
- 65 inference in genome-wide association studies. Bioinformatics. **2010**; 26:2867–2873.
- 66 7. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising
  67 to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience. **2015**; 4:7.
- 68 8. Inouye M, Abraham G, Nelson CP, et al. Genomic Risk Prediction of Coronary Artery Disease
- 69 in 480,000 Adults: Implications for Primary Prevention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018; 72(16):1883-
- 70 1893.