

1 **Short communication**

2

3

4 **Nasal microbiota composition dynamics after occupational change in animal farmers**
5 **suggest major shifts**

6

7 JULIA G. KRAEMER^{a, b}, SUZANNE AEBI^a, MARKUS HILTY^{*a}, ANNE OPPLIGER^{*b}

8 *^aInstitute for Infectious Diseases, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.*

9 *Markus.Hilty@ifik.unibe.ch; Julia.Kraemer@yahoo.com*

10 *^b[Unisanté](#), the Center for Primary Care and Public Health, Department of Occupational and*
11 *Environmental Health (DSTE), University of Lausanne, Switzerland*

12 *contributed equally

13

14 **Corresponding Author:** Anne Oppliger

15 Phone: +41 21 314 74 16

16 Fax: + 41 21 314 74 30

17 E-mail address: Anne.Oppliger@unisante.ch.ch

18

19 **Key Words:** Occupational health, microbial diversity, pig-farmer, cow-farmers, microbiome

20

21 **Abstract**

22 Previous studies have suggested a significantly higher diversity in the nasal microbiota of pig
23 farmers compared to people having no contact with farm animals. However, the fate of this
24 nasal microbiota specificity after farmers stop being in contact with the pig farm environment
25 is unknown. The aim of this study was to investigate the change in the nasal microbiota of
26 pig-farmers after the change of occupation.

27 **Methods:** Anterior and posterior nasal swabs were collected from seven people during
28 employment on pig farms, and again after a period of at least 50 days after leaving the pig
29 farm. Illumina MiSeq sequencing of 16S rRNA was conducted to characterize the dynamics
30 of the nasal microbiota. The microbiota of actively working pig farmers was compared to
31 microbiota after they had stopped working (ex-pig-farmers) and to control groups (cow
32 farmers and non-exposed individuals).

33 **Results:** Following a prolonged period without exposure to pigs, α -diversity of both anterior
34 and posterior cavities dropped significantly. The composition of the microbiota of pig-farmers
35 had a low inter-similarity with the non-exposed group while ex-pig-farmers were more similar
36 to cow-farmers and the non-exposed group than to their own microbiota during pig farming.

37

38 **Highlights**

- 39 • The pig farmer's microbiota composition specificity is not permanent.
- 40 • Change of the nasal microbiota of pig-farmers, after they stop being exposed to pigs
41 is observed.
- 42 • Alpha-diversity dropped significantly and microbiota becomes more similar
43 to the microbiota of the control groups (cow-farmers and non-farmers).

44

45 **1. Introduction**

46 The nasal microbiota exists at the interface between the exterior environment and the
47 interior of the human body and can undergo modification due to external environmental
48 factors. It has been demonstrated that the nasal microbiota of humans can be influenced by
49 by the level of particles matter pollution (1) the presence of pets (2) or farm animals (3).
50 Indeed, we previously indicated that pig-farmers have a significantly more diverse nasal
51 microbiota than cow-farmers or non-farmers (3, 4). We also showed that this microbiota is
52 more similar to the nasal microbiota of the pigs and to the airborne microbiota of the pig
53 house than to the nasal microbiota of non-farmers. Another study showed that people living
54 and working on dairy farms have also a rich and distinct nasal microbiome compared to that
55 of non-farmers (5).

56 However, the fate of this specific nasal microbiota when farmers cease to be exposed to the
57 pig farm environment remains to be investigated. Indeed, despite demonstrations of
58 colonization of the farmers' nasal cavities with animal associated microorganisms (6-8), the
59 permanent or transient character of this colonization is unknown. The aim of this research is
60 to study the change of the microbiota from anterior and posterior nasal cavities of pig-
61 farmers, in terms of diversity and specificity, after they stop being exposed to pigs.

62

63 **2. Materials and methods**

64 Sampling was conducted in Switzerland between October 2014 and July 2015.

65 The volunteers were grouped by occupation into either office workers (non-farmers, n=19),
66 cow-farmers without any previous occupational contact with pigs (n=12) or pig-farmers (pig-

67 farmers/ex-pig-farmers, n=7). For this study, the non-farmers and the cow-farmers were
68 sampled once while pig-farmers were sampled twice. The latter included a time point while
69 actively working on pig farms (pig-farmers) and after having stopped working with pigs (ex-
70 pig-farmers) for at least 50 days (mean 70, min 51-max 102; see details in supplementary file,
71 table S1). Anterior and posterior samples were taken using a dry cotton swab (Dryswab, MWE,
72 UK) and a flocked nylon fiber swab, allowing to reach the posterior cavity (E-Swab, Copan,
73 Italy) respectively. DNA extraction, amplification of the V4 region, Illumina MiSeq sequencing,
74 were conducted as previously described by using DADA2 package version 1.5.0 to analyze the
75 reads which request no rarefying of sequence reads (3). All calculations were performed in R
76 version 3.1.2 (<http://www.R-project.org>) with the base and vegan package and all graphs were
77 created using the ggplot2 package, if not stated otherwise.

78 Alpha- and Beta-diversity was assessed as previously described (3). Unweighted (Jaccard) and
79 weighted (Ružička) distance matrices were clustered using the hierarchical clustering method
80 unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA; *hclust* function) to observe
81 possible cluster changes. Cluster allocation was visualized using the *alluvial* function (alluvial
82 package). The BioProject study accession number is PRJEB39411 for the pig farmer samples
83 and the control samples are part of accession PRJEB26637.

84

85 **3. Results**

86 The mean age, the proportion of smokers, and sex in the 3 groups were not significantly
87 different (One-way ANOVA, $p > 0.05$). The 90 samples resulted in 3,264,192 sequencing reads.
88 The mean number of reads per sample was 36,269 (\pm standard deviation 21,698) ranging from
89 3,692 to 120,642 reads. Reads were clustered into a total of 7057 SVs (Sequence Variants).

90 Richness expressed as the number of sequence variants (SV) and Shannon Diversity Index
91 (SDI) of the nasal microbiota of the four different groups are represented in figure 1a and 1b.

92

93 In pig-farmers and cow-farmers, richness and SDI observed in anterior and posterior nasal
94 cavities was significantly higher than in non-farmers ($p < 0.001$ for all comparisons). Cow-
95 farmers had significantly higher richness and SDI than ex-pig-farmers in their anterior nasal
96 cavities ($p = 0.002$ for richness; $p = 0.02$ for SDI). Once individuals ceased to be exposed to pigs,
97 richness and SDI of both anterior and posterior cavities dropped considerably ($p < 0.004$ for
98 richness comparisons; $p < 0.03$ for SDI comparisons). The richness and SDI in the anterior cavity
99 did not longer differ from those of non-farmers but, in contrast, showed significantly lower
100 values than these of cow-farmers. Concerning the posterior cavity, only the richness remained
101 significantly higher than that of non-farmers while SDI showed no significant differences
102 between ex-pig-farmers and non-farmers. No differences were observed in the posterior
103 cavity between ex-pig-farmers and cow-farmers for neither richness nor SDI values.

104 Clustering analyses showed significant differences for the four groups in the anterior and
105 posterior cavities using Jaccard ($P = 0.016$) or Ružička calculation models ($P = 0.016$). (Fig 1c and
106 1d, S1).

107 Referring to the plot, pig-farmers showed the largest dissimilarity with the non-exposed
108 group, while ex-pig-farmers and cow-farmers were between pig-farmers and non-farmers.

109 The alluvial plots highlight the change of cluster identity of the anterior (Fig 1e and 1f) and
110 posterior (Fig 1g and 1h) nare of ex-pig-farmers. We observed that the majority of pig-farmers
111 changed clusters after they stopped working with pigs. However, the results differ according
112 to whether we use the Jaccard or the Ružička calculation models. By using the Jaccard index,

113 the clusters of the anterior nares of pig-farmers changed and two of them switched to a
114 cluster that was representative for non-farmers, whereas four of them joined a cluster of cow-
115 farmers. Using the Ružička index, six of the pig-farmers switched to a cluster common to cow-
116 farmers and non-farmers after they stopped being exposed to pigs (ex-pig-farmers). This
117 finding did not apply to the posterior nare microbiota. The majority of the pig-farmers (4/7)
118 underwent no change of cluster after they ceased working with pigs (Fig 1g and 1h).

119

120

121 4. Discussion

122 Our main findings showed a decreased richness and SDI of both anterior and posterior cavities
123 of ex-pig-farmers compared to the time point when they were exposed to pigs daily. These
124 alpha-diversity values became more similar to those of the non-farmers.

125 Concerning the beta-diversity, we again observed that nasal microbiota of ex-pig-farmers
126 became either more similar to the microbiota of non-farmers or more similar to the
127 microbiota of cow-farmers. This can be explained by the fact that the majority of the ex-pig-
128 farmers (5/7) became cow-farmers. However, as they were cow-farmers for only a short
129 period (< 50 days) we speculate that the colonization with a microbiota commonly found in
130 cow farmer had not yet been fully achieved. Interestingly, this finding was not true for the
131 posterior nare microbiota, which seemed to be stable for a longer time. Unfortunately, we
132 are not able to exactly quantify the duration of this stability, but it would be very interesting
133 to know how long this animal microbial signature will remain detectable in ex-pig-farmers.
134 Indeed, the potential health effects of harboring more diverse and/or different bacterial
135 communities in the nasal microbiota are currently not known. This modification of the
136 microbiota could be beneficial if it is associated with a protective effect against allergic/atopic
137 diseases, according to the hygiene hypothesis (9). But, this modification could also be
138 worrying if it leads to the colonization of human by antimicrobial resistant bacteria.

139 It has been widely documented that livestock-associated Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus*
140 *aureus* (MRSA) colonize the nasal cavities of farmers (10). Two recent studies showed that
141 MRSA was detected in almost all persons immediately after a sporadic short-term exposure
142 to pigs colonized with MRSA, but 94% of them were negative when a second sample was

143 collected maximum 24 hours later (11, 12). Therefore, a single short-term exposure to animals
144 led to a transient contamination rather than a true colonization by MRSA. In contrast, another
145 study showed that a cessation of pig exposure for 7-14 days (holidays) did not clear the nasal
146 MRSA colonization (13). Apart from MRSA, a pig farmer microbiome could harbor additional
147 resistance genes and therefore act as a reservoir of the pig farm resistome. It is important to
148 know if such changes in the microbiome are long lasting to evaluate possible public health
149 issues. This is particularly important if farmers acquire pathogenic species which are antibiotic
150 resistant. As our sample size is small, and the density of sampling time points of the included
151 individuals precludes a quantitative timeline for changes to the microbiota, the results need
152 to be interpreted cautiously. Indeed, other factors such as life style (14) and seasons (4) can
153 also shape the microbiome. However, these first results showed that specific nasal microbiota
154 of pig-farmers changed to a non-pig farmer nasal microbiota after cessation of pig farming
155 using a longitudinal design which is a particular strength of the study. Further studies are
156 needed to confirm this finding and clarify the key roles of animals and air on the modification
157 of the microbiome.

158

159 **5. Conclusion**

160 It has been described that work-related microbial and nonmicrobial exposures may modify
161 the worker microbiome (1, 3). Here, we demonstrated that this modification is not permanent
162 for pig farmer's microbiota composition. The nasal microbiota of ex-pig-farmers no longer
163 showed the characteristics of a regular pig farmer's microbiota and became either more
164 similar to the microbiota of the non-exposed group or the microbiota of cow-farmers.

165

166 **Acknowledgements**

167 We are grateful to all the participants in this study and to Eulalia Semaani to help us with
168 the farm recruitment.

169

170 **Declaration**

171 This work was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) grant 310030_152880
172 to AO and MH .

173 The authors declare no conflict of interest relating to the material presented in this article

174 Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of
175 the Canton Vaud (243/14 and P_2017-00265).

176

177 **Reference**

1. Mariani J, Favero C, Spinazze A, Cavallo DM, Carugno M, Motta V, et al. Short-term particulate matter exposure influences nasal microbiota in a population of healthy subjects. *Environ Res.* 2018;162:119-26.
2. Misisic AM, Davis MF, Tyldsley AS, Hodkinson BP, Tolomeo P, Hu BF, et al. The shared microbiota of humans and companion animals as evaluated from *Staphylococcus* carriage sites. *Microbiome.* 2015;3.
3. Kraemer JG, Ramette A, Aebi S, Oppliger A, Hilty M. Influence of Pig Farming on the Human Nasal Microbiota: Key Role of Airborne Microbial Communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2018;84(6).
4. Kraemer JG, Aebi S, Oppliger A, Hilty M. The Indoor-Air Microbiota of Pig Farms Drives the Composition of the Pig Farmers' Nasal Microbiota in a Season-Dependent and Farm-Specific Manner. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2019;85(9).
5. Shukla SK, Ye Z, Sandberg S, Reyes I, Fritsche TR, Keifer M. The nasal microbiota of dairy farmers is more complex than oral microbiota, reflects occupational exposure, and provides competition for staphylococci. *Plos One.* 2017;12(8).
6. Oppliger A, Moreillon P, Charriere N, Giddey M, Morisset D, Sakwinska O. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains acquired by pig farmers from pigs. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2012;78(22):8010-4.
7. Kraemer JG, Pires J, Kueffer M, Semaani E, Endimiani A, Hilty M, et al. Prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae and Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in pig farms in Switzerland. *Sci Total Environ.* 2017;603-604:401-5.
8. Pirolo M, Visaggio D, Gioffre A, Artuso I, Gherardi M, Pavia G, et al. Unidirectional animal-to-human transmission of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 in pig farming; evidence from a surveillance study in southern Italy. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2019;8(1).
9. Xinliu L, Xia R, Xiaojun X, Zhaowei Y, Siyang Y, Gary WK, et al. Important Role of Immunological Responses to Environmental Exposure in the Development of Allergic Asthma. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* 2020;12(6):934-48.
10. Goerge T, Lorenz MB, van Alen S, Hubner NO, Becker K, Kock R. MRSA colonization and infection among persons with occupational livestock exposure in Europe: Prevalence, preventive options and evidence. *Vet Microbiol.* 2017;200:6-12.
11. van Cleef BAGL, Graveland H, Haenen APJ, van de Giessen AW, Heederik D, Wagenaar JA, et al. Persistence of Livestock-Associated Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Field Workers after Short-Term Occupational Exposure to Pigs and Veal Calves. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2011;49(3):1030-3.
12. Angen O, Feld L, Larsen J, Rostgaard K, Skov R, Madsen AM, et al. Transmission of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to Human Volunteers Visiting a Swine Farm. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2017;83(23).
13. Kock R, Loth B, Koksals M, Schulte-Wulwer J, Harlizius J, Friedrich AW. Persistence of Nasal Colonization with Livestock-Associated Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Pig Farmers after Holidays from Pig Exposure. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2012;78(11):4046-7.
14. David LA, Materna AC, Friedman J, Campos-Baptista MI, Blackburn MC, Perrotta A, et al. Host lifestyle affects human microbiota on daily timescales. *Genome Biol.* 2014;15(R89).

Figure legends

Figure . Alpha-diversity comparison of samples from individuals during (pig-farmer) and after pig exposure (ex-pig-farmer), cow-farmers and non-farmers. (A) Richness values for anterior and posterior samples; (B) SDI values for anterior and posterior samples. Beta-diversity comparison of anterior samples from individuals during (pig-farmer) and after pig exposure (ex-pig-farmer), cow-farmers and non-farmers. NMDS plot of unweighted (Jaccard) (C) and weighted (Ružička) (D). Alluvial plot showing cluster assignments of anterior nare, based on hierarchical clustering of unweighted (Jaccard) (E) and weighted (Ružička) (F) distances. Alluvial plot showing cluster assignments of posterior nare, based on hierarchical clustering of unweighted (Jaccard) (G) and weighted (Ružička) (H) distances. The number of samples in a cluster is indicated and each cluster is indicated with a different colour (four different colours for each of the four different clusters).



