

# Viewpoint

# The untapped potential of macrofossils in ancient plant DNA research

# **Summary**

The rapid development of ancient DNA (aDNA) analysis in the last decades has induced a paradigm shift in ecology and evolution. Driven by a combination of breakthroughs in DNA isolation techniques, high-throughput sequencing and bioinformatics, ancient genome-scale data for a rapidly growing variety of taxa is now available, allowing researchers to directly observe demographic and evolutionary processes over time. However, the vast majority of palaeogenomic studies still focuses on human or animal remains. In this article, we make the case for a vast untapped resource of ancient plant material that is ideally suited for palaeogenomic analyses: Plant remains such as needles, leaves, wood, seeds or fruits that are deposited in natural archives, such as lake sediments, permafrost or even ice caves. Such plant remains are commonly found in large numbers and in stratigraphic sequence through time and have so far been used primarily to reconstruct past local species presences and abundances. However, they are also unique repositories of genetic information with the potential to revolutionize the fields of ecology and evolution by directly studying microevolutionary processes over time. Here, we give an overview of the current state-of-the-art, address important challenges, and highlight new research avenues to inspire future research.

### Introduction

Over the last decades, the analysis of ancient DNA has evolved from the recovery of a few hundred base pairs (bp) of mitochondrial DNA from century-old historical samples (Higuchi *et al.*, 1984) to the sequencing of whole genomes at high coverage (Meyer *et al.*, 2012) and up to a million years old (van der Valk *et al.*, 2021). Both the number of ancient DNA studies and the number of taxa for which ancient genomic information is available has increased exponentially (Orlando *et al.*, 2021). This tremendous development has mainly been driven by the introduction of high-throughput sequencing (HTS), also referred to as next-generation sequencing (NGS; Goodwin *et al.*, 2016), in combination with breakthroughs in DNA isolation techniques (Meyer *et al.*, 2008; Dabney *et al.*, 2013; Schmid *et al.*, 2017; Lendvay *et al.*, 2018b; Rohland *et al.*, 2018). Palaeogenomic data allow researchers to directly observe demographic and evolutionary processes over time. This includes population expansions and declines (Lorenzen *et al.*, 2011), range shifts and migrations (Lipson *et al.*, 2017; Moreno-Mayar *et al.*, 2018), adaptation to environmental stressors (Marciniak & Perry, 2017; Sandoval-Castellanos *et al.*, 2017; Dehasque *et al.*, 2020), domestication processes (da Fonseca *et al.*, 2015; Scott *et al.*, 2019; Librado *et al.*, 2021), gene flow and hybridization (Sarkissian *et al.*, 2013; Schaefer *et al.*, 2016; van der Valk *et al.*, 2021), species extinctions (Lorenzen *et al.*, 2011; Dehasque *et al.*, 2021) and speciation (van der Valk *et al.*, 2021). The groundbreaking results of many ancient DNA studies have thus led to a paradigm shift in different fields such as archaeology, anthropology, ecology, and evolution.

Ancient DNA studies are based on two different approaches: extracting DNA from either ancient, preserved tissues as starting material (i.e., referred to as aDNA), or from ancient source material such as lake sediment, soil, permafrost, or

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/nph.18108

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

ice (Box 1), which contains a mixture of tissue, cells, or extracellular DNA from a wide range of organisms (i.e., referred to as environmental DNA, or in the case of sediment sedaDNA). Currently, the vast majority of palaeogenomic studies still focuses on human (Rasmussen et al., 2010; Meyer et al., 2012; Moreno-Mayar et al., 2018) or animal remains (van der Valk et al., 2021; Librado et al., 2021), where mineralized tissues such as bones and teeth may provide well-preserved aDNA. Those tissues mainly stem from archaeological sites or natural archives (e.g. permafrost, see Box 1) and allow an individual-based approach. In contrast, most studies focusing on plants so far have rather applied a metabarcoding approach from sedaDNA to reconstruct past floristic communities (Alsos et al., 2016; Parducci et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2021). SedaDNA studies can provide important information about the past presence, diversity and possibly also abundance of species that cannot be resolved by palaeoecological methods such as pollen analysis alone because of the latter's lower taxonomic resolution (Parducci et al., 2017). A metabarcoding approach uses specific primers (e.g. targeting the chloroplast trnL P6 loop in plants; Taberlet et al., 2007) that amplify short DNA fragments known to harbor a high sequence variability among species (but low variation at the withinspecies level) that can then be sequenced using HTS and compared to a reference database (Alsos et al., 2016; Parducci et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2021). The advantage here is obviously that a multitude of taxa can be identified from a single sediment sample. Another option for the analysis of sedaDNA samples is to use shotgun metagenomics, i.e. sequencing billions of reads from a single sediment sample and then using dedicated bioinformatic analyses to align them with publicly available sequence databases and/or newly established reference libraries to identify the species present (Pedersen et al., 2016; Parducci et al., 2017; Armbrecht et al., 2021). However, the efficiency of the method is limited by the fraction of the reads that can be assigned to the target species due to the lack of reference genomes available and the high amounts of microbial non-target DNA. An advantage of shotgun sequencing compared to metabarcoding is that aDNA can be authenticated based on characteristic patterns of post-mortem DNA damage, particularly deaminated cytosine residues (Hofreiter et al., 2001)—although these patterns must be corrected bioinformatically to avoid accounting for artefactual variation. However, it is at least theoretically possible, albeit complex, to sequence the genetic information from individuals or reconstruct entire genomes (see Kurland et al., 2019; Guirao-Rico & González, 2021, for a discussion on *Pool seq* approaches). Nevertheless, so far there are no studies that have reconstructed the entire genome of ancient plants from environmental DNA and studies that have applied an individual-based approach to ancient plant material are rare. Whereas a few have for instance used archaeobotanical remains to study domestication processes (da Fonseca et al., 2015; Estrada et al., 2018; Scott et al., 2019), others used plant remains or pollen from natural archives (Parducci et al., 2005; Schmid et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2018; Lendvay et al., 2018a) or herbarium collections (Bieker & Martin, 2018; Kistler et al., 2020) to reconstruct population dynamics or genetic diversity. One reason for the scarcity of individual-based plant aDNA studies focusing on natural populations is that endogenous DNA content in soft tissue such as plant remains is relatively low due to high amounts of contaminant microbial DNA and/or high levels of DNA degradation (Green & Speller, 2017). Also, many plant remains found in an archaeological context are charred remains that rarely contain exploitable amounts of endogenous DNA (Nistelberger et al., 2016).

## Survival of plant aDNA in waterlogged sediments

The preservation of biological remains depends on an array of processes and conditions that involve physical, chemical and biological agents (Behrensmeyer *et al.*, 2000). Such processes can affect the DNA of waterlogged tissues at different steps of taphonomy: during the transport to and within the aquatic environment, at the sediment—water interface, and after burial in the sediment. In the pre-burial environment, DNA may be degraded primarily by microbes and intracellular nucleases, while these processes may stabilize after burial in the sediment due to anoxic conditions. In these instances, hydrolytic processes, particularly DNA depurination leading to single-strand breaks (Lindahl, 1993), may further limit the time over which DNA remains intact in a tissue. However, it has been suggested that depurination of DNA preserved in waterlogged sediments proceeds at slower rates than theoretically predicted or estimated for terrestrial environments (Corinaldesi *et al.*, 2008).

An increasing number of studies indicates that waterlogged plant remains represent a rich source of aDNA sequences. Waterlogged seeds (Kistler et al., 2015; Wales et al., 2016; Ramos-Madrigal et al., 2019), fruit fragments (Kistler et al., 2014), needles (Schmid et al., 2017) and wood (Wagner et al., 2018; Danusevičius et al., 2021) have all been shown to yield aDNA suitable for chloroplast or nuclear genome-scale analyses (Table 1). As expected for aDNA, the recovered DNA was degraded to small average size (< 95 bp) and, when analyzed, characterized by an increased occurrence of purines (adenine and guanosine residues) before strand breaks, putatively due to DNA depurination (Briggs et al., 2007). Moreover, an increased frequency of cytosine-to-thymine misincorporations close to the ends of the DNA fragments was observed, due to deamination of cytosine residues that occur primarily in the single-stranded DNA overhangs (Brotherton et al., 2007; Table 1). Such characteristic damage patterns can in turn also be used to authenticate aDNA (Hofreiter et al., 2001; Jónsson et al., 2013). The most detailed information about plant aDNA preservation is available for waterlogged wood of European white oaks (Wagner et al., 2018). Using wood retrieved from lake sediment, marine silt, clay, and peat, it was found that the DNA fragment size was linearly correlated with thermal age, a measure combining the age of the specimen with average temperatures since deposition (Smith et al., 2003). However, the data indicated that other factors in addition to depurination may contribute to DNA fragmentation (Wagner et al., 2018). Furthermore, it was observed that all millennia-old wood samples with moderate-to-high endogenous oak DNA contents (>1%-16.5% of total DNA reads), with the remaining DNA originating from microbes, were retrieved from wood samples embedded in calcareous lake sediments, suggesting that such sediments could represent particularly promising environments for the preservation of aDNA in wood.

# Challenges of plant aDNA analysis

Despite indications about favorable environmental conditions for the preservation of aDNA in plant macrofossils, it is currently not possible to predict the suitability of samples for genome-scale aDNA analyses. In palaeogenomic studies it is common to initially screen samples to select the most promising material for further analyses, for instance using PCR-based assays (Wales *et al.*, 2012; Lendvay *et al.*, 2018b). However, if all DNA of a sample is fragmented to the point that none of the markers will amplify, the assay cannot provide any guidance, because such samples are not necessarily devoid of endogenous DNA. Additional challenges of plant palaeogenomics lie in practical aspects such as the often small size of specimens, plant compounds that inhibit downstream enzymatic reactions, and contamination with modern DNA. Fortunately, there is a fast-growing number of tools available to address these challenges, such as

pretreatment of plant remains, dedicated extraction protocols for ultra-short aDNA fragments, targeted sequencing of endogenous aDNA using hybridization-capture approaches, post-mortem deamination correction, or mapping shotgun-sequenced libraries to ever increasing numbers of reference genomes.

The fragmented, low-quality, and fragile nature of plant aDNA requires strict protocols to recover generally low quantities of degraded DNA and minimize contamination from modern sources (Latorre et al., 2020; Kistler et al., 2020). Thus, all extraction and pre-amplification steps should be performed in dedicated aDNA laboratories to avoid and/or identify sources of contamination (Kistler et al., 2020). Different methods have been developed that optimize the recovery and processing of the short and damaged plant aDNA fragments (Latorre et al., 2020). However, there is currently no standard protocol for DNA extraction from ancient plant remains, largely due to the diversity of plant taxa and tissue types recovered in ancient deposits (Lendvay et al., 2018a). Therefore, pilot studies are often required to identify the best practice for a given set of samples (Kistler et al., 2020). Most plant tissues are rich in polysaccharides and polyphenols, and waterlogged plant remains can also contain humic acids derived from sediment (Kistler et al., 2020). All these molecules tend to co-extract with DNA and can act as inhibitors for downstream enzymatic reactions (Kistler, 2012). Several methods have been developed for extracting DNA from modern plant material, aiming to maximize the DNA yield and simultaneously reduce inhibitors. Most of these protocols include either sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) or cetyl-trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) as detergents in the extraction buffer. The anionic SDS is used to precipitate polysaccharides and proteins (Dellaporta et al., 1983). Likewise, the cationic CTAB is capable of precipitating polysaccharides (Doyle & Doyle, 1987). In a comparative study it was shown that extraction with SDS yields higher DNA amounts from ancient and historical plant remains than extraction with CTAB (Wales et al., 2014). Indeed, the majority of plant aDNA studies conducted so far have used SDS-based extraction buffers (see Table 2 in Pont et al., 2019), in some cases (e.g. Gutaker et al., 2017; Schmid et al., 2017) in combination with N-phenacylthiazolium bromide (PTB), an agent that cleaves glucose-derived protein crosslinks and thus can help to release DNA from protein-DNA complexes (Poinar et al., 1998). Extraction of aDNA from herbarium specimens with PTB and SDS was found to decrease the average DNA fragment length when compared with CTAB (Gutaker et al., 2017). Additionally, silica-based DNA purification techniques allow the efficient recovery of short DNA fragments (Rohland et al., 2018), and by adjusting chaotropic salt concentrations of the binding buffer, fragments as short as 35 bp (Dabney et al., 2013) or even shorter (≥ 25 bp; Glocke & Meyer, 2017) can be retained.

After extraction and purification, aDNA molecules must be converted into sequencing libraries, which requires the addition of individual barcodes and platform-specific sequencing adapters to each DNA molecule (Goodwin *et al.*, 2016). Library preparation should also be optimized for degraded DNA. In comparison to double stranded, single-stranded library preparation techniques minimize the loss of short DNA fragments and those with single-stranded breaks, thereby increasing the number of library molecules that can be retrieved from highly degraded DNA. Recent studies show fast and inexpensive, single-stranded library preparation methods (Troll *et al.*, 2019) even optimized for aDNA (Tin *et al.*, 2014; Kapp *et al.*, 2021).

Ancient DNA libraries often contain <1% endogenous DNA, with the majority of sequencing capacity taken up by DNA from other sources such as microorganisms. A way to overcome this limitation is to enrich the libraries using hybridization probes prior to sequencing (Carpenter *et al.*, 2013). These methods use either commercially synthesized

probes (e.g. Ali *et al.*, 2016; Ramos-Madrigal *et al.*, 2019), which can be costly, or benchtop produced hybridization probes (Suchan *et al.*, 2016; Schmid *et al.*, 2017). Plant genomes are generally complex, containing 10–80% noncoding repeated elements (Metcalfe & Casane, 2013), and can thus be very large such as in conifers (Nystedt *et al.*, 2013; Mosca *et al.*, 2019). Libraries could be enriched for chloroplast genomes (Meucci *et al.*, 2021; Schulte *et al.*, 2021) or exome (protein-coding) sequences, using probes generated from mRNA (Schmid *et al.*, 2017; Toussaint *et al.*, 2021), which may significantly reduce sequencing costs. Last but not least, bioinformatic suites have made it possible to apply the whole set of post-sequencing analytical steps in a glance (Schubert *et al.*, 2014; Fellows Yates *et al.*, 2021), including corrections for post-mortem damage (Jónsson *et al.*, 2013) and incorporating uncertainty in the genotype calling for low coverage sequence data (Nielsen *et al.*, 2011). For a whole review on the downstream aDNA bioinformatic analyses, see Orlando *et al.* (2021).

### Applications of aDNA analyses based on plant macrofossils

Reconstructing postglacial range shifts using a multi-site approach

Ongoing and future climate change is expected to lead to widespread range shifts of plant species that are tracking their current climatic niche (Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Steinbauer et al., 2018). The unprecedented rate of change is raising the question if the dispersal capacity of plants is sufficient to keep up with the rising temperatures. Some scientists have even argued that species might need "assisted migration" to prevent their local extinction (McLachlan et al., 2007; Aitken & Bemmels, 2016; Dauphin et al., 2021). Species migration rates have either been inferred by estimating the species' dispersal capacity, e.g. by directly or indirectly determining seed dispersal distances, or by tracking the first establishment of a species at different sites in response to past climatic changes using pollen and macrofossil analyses (Pearson, 2006; Feurdean et al., 2013; Birks, 2019). Palaeoecological techniques have also been applied to estimate expansion pathways from refugial locations during the last Ice Age, sometimes in combination with ecological niche modelling and phylogenetic data that provide information about past geographic isolation and location of refugia (Gavin et al., 2014). However, these approaches commonly rely on the location of source populations from the main refugia and may ignore secondary or cryptic refugia, which could significantly alter effective species dispersal rates (Birks, 2019). Additionally, palaeoecological approaches alone are not able to resolve population-level dynamics due to intrinsic taxonomic constraints that do not allow the identification of within-species lineages. This makes it impossible to track species range shifts in detail. Phylogeographic approaches, on the other hand, rely on present-day genetic variation only and are therefore not able to identify cryptic lineages that became extinct in the past. It is, however, possible to infer past demographic changes and migration patterns from extant populations using demographic inference (Marchi et al., 2021).

Macrofossils deposited in natural archives not only allow to determine and date the local population establishment, e.g. in response to past climate warming, but the genetic information preserved within such remains also provides crucial information about the relationship among populations. By analyzing aDNA from the first populations that established around a network of sites and inferring the degree of relationship among them, the expansion of a population can be tracked with unprecedented detail (Fig. 3a). The analysis of aDNA also allows identifying populations that became extinct during the Holocene and/or might have originated from previously unknown ("cryptic") refugia. The identification of refugial populations is important to calculate expansion rates more precisely

and to understand the processes involved in species survival under adverse climatic conditions. Indeed, a study identifying *seda*DNA of Scots pine and Norway spruce in the lake sediment of an ice-free potential refugium from Northern Scandinavia during the last glacial maximum, as well as the Early Holocene presence of a rare mitochondrial haplotype, point to the persistence of trees in Northern Scandinavia during the last Ice Age (Parducci *et al.*, 2012), even though this interpretation immediately aroused criticism (Birks *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, a more recent study also based on *seda*DNA could not fully confirm nor reject the findings, because the low presence of spruce and pine DNA was not distinguishable from background contamination (Alsos *et al.*, 2020). Unfortunately, the metabarcoding approach used here erases the signature of deamination patterns potentially present in the original DNA template, which could have represented evidence for ancient DNA.

Tracking changes in genetic diversity through time

Genetic diversity is one of the fundamental components of biodiversity and an important prerequisite for adaptation to changing environmental conditions. It is therefore crucial for preserving species and maintaining ecosystem resilience. The effects of demographic processes such as range shifts or population declines on genetic diversity have been intensely investigated theoretically (Pauls *et al.*, 2013; Dauphin *et al.*, 2021), but empirical studies, especially with long-lived organisms such as trees, are rare and are unable to resolve the impacts of climate change over several generations (Pluess, 2011; Lesser *et al.*, 2013; Elleouet & Aitken, 2019). Recently, there has been a lot of concern about the effect of population declines on the genetic diversity of many species. However, there is virtually no baseline to compare present vs. ancient levels of genetic diversity (but see Leigh *et al.*, 2019; Gauthier *et al.*, 2020).

Plant aDNA studies based on macrofossils would allow to reconstruct changes in the genetic diversity of a species over extended time periods as required for long-lived organisms such as trees (Fig. 3b). In contrast to herbarium collections, which are also used as an important resource of past genetic diversity (Bieker & Martin, 2018; Lopez *et al.*, 2020), natural archives go beyond the historical period of human-driven impacts on ecosystems, thereby providing information from truly natural populations. In long-lived organisms such as trees, extant populations can also be used to study allele frequency changes over several generations (Dauphin *et al.*, 2021). By using plant remains deposited in natural archives, such analyses can be extended over much longer time-periods (e.g. Schmid *et al.*, 2017; Fig. 3b). Neutral population genetic processes can be tracked by using aDNA given that allelic frequencies at the population scale are directly impacted by demographic events such as population expansions and declines, gene flow from neighboring populations or random loss of certain alleles due to genetic drift. A better understanding of the effects of demographic processes on genetic diversity would help us to make more accurate predictions about future changes in genetic diversity.

Testing the adaptive potential of plants to climate change

It is still an open question whether (or to what extent and at what speed) plants can genetically adapt to rapid climatic changes (Birks, 2019). However, this knowledge is crucial in assessing the impact of future climate change on the vegetation. It is clear that species can adapt to local environmental conditions through natural selection, resulting in distinct phenotypes, but the pace at which such processes occur is still debated. The novel research field of landscape genomics aims to identify genes that are associated with certain environmental conditions and result in the expression of respective phenotypes that convey higher fitness (Sork *et al.*, 2013). Adaptive loci are either identified by genome-

wide association studies (GWAS) that link adaptive genes to associated phenotypic traits (Bragg *et al.*, 2015) or by environmental association analysis (EAA), also termed genotype—environment associations (GEA), that is based on correlations between genetic variants with environmental conditions (Rellstab *et al.*, 2015). In a recent article by Napier *et al.*(2020), the authors argue that both GWAS and EAA can also be applied to aDNA, thereby testing if plants were able to adapt to past climatic changes. Similarly, a recent study linking genomic information of adult and juvenile Swiss stone pine (*Pinus cembra*) age cohorts in the Alps with environmental data indicates that environment-driven allele frequency changes over centuries are small, which suggests that such long-lived species may not be able to adapt fast enough, potentially resulting in epigenetically-mediated acclimation rather than adaptation, or to local extinction (Dauphin *et al.*, 2021).

Expanding the temporal scale of plant species adaptation to changing environments, one may compare the genetic information preserved within macrofossils from time periods with marked climatic changes (Fig. 3c). For example, the transition from the Younger Dryas cold period to the current Holocene interglacial ca. 11,700 years ago in Europe is considered a close analogue to the current climate warming regarding the rate of climate change, with temperatures rising 2–4°C within less than a century (Heiri *et al.*, 2014). By comparing the allele frequencies of putatively adaptive loci between populations growing before and after the climate warming at the same site and comparing this change to the situation in extant populations, it would be possible to better estimate the adaptive potential of a species.

#### Conclusions and outlook

Climate change will have profound impacts on plant distribution and abundance as well as associated ecosystem services and functioning. Analyzing plant aDNA from macrofossils deposited in natural archives has the potential to assess the effects of past rapid climate change on plant species at the genetic level. This will ultimately allow better predictions about the effects of future climate change on the abundance, distribution, adaptive potential and genetic diversity of plants.

With ever more ancient genetic information available, it will also be possible to test and validate population genetic models. Such palaeo-validated models can then be used in turn to make detailed predictions about future changes in genetic diversity. This approach of comparing model output with palaeo-data is standard procedure for climate as well as vegetation models, but is not very common for population genetic models, at least for long-lived organisms over long time scales. Palaeogenetic information from individual species (aDNA) could also be combined with data from multi-taxon approaches based on *sedaDNA* (Dussex *et al.*, 2021).

Overall, we believe that the proposed framework has the potential to fundamentally improve our understanding of population genetic processes, by opening a window into the past and allowing us to retrospectively track genetic changes over time.

# Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the SwissForestLab (Research Grant SFL-19 P4) and by the Federal Office for the Environment FOEN, as well as the Swiss National Science Foundation within the project HOLOGENE (Grant No. SNF- 200021\_188472). We would like to thank three anonymous reviewers for valuable comments on an earlier version of this article.

#### **Author contributions**

CSchwörer, NA, FG and CSperisen designed the research, CSchwörer and ML wrote a first draft and all authors contributed to the final manuscript.

# Data availability statement

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study

ORCIDs:

Christoph Schwörer: 0000-0002-8884-8852

Maria Leunda: 0000-0002-9186-3121

Nadir Alvarez: 0000-0002-0729-166X

Felix Gugerli: 0000-0003-3878-1845

Christoph Sperisen: 0000-0003-1241-5636

## Christoph Schwörer<sup>1\*</sup>, Maria Leunda<sup>1,2</sup>, Nadir Alvarez<sup>3,4</sup>, Felix Gugerli<sup>2</sup>, Christoph Sperisen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Plant Sciences & Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3013 Bern, Switzerland;
<sup>2</sup> WSL Swiss Federal Research Institute, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland;
<sup>3</sup> Natural History Museum of Geneva, 1208 Geneva, Switzerland;
<sup>4</sup> Department of Genetics and Evolution, University of Geneva, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland

\*Author for correspondence: christoph.schwoerer@ips.unibe.ch)

# **Tables and Figures**

Study	Species	Tissue	Age range (ka cal. BP)	Number of sites	Endogenous DNA content	Average read length	Method	Target	DNA damage
Kistler <i>et. al.</i> (2014)	Lagenaria siceraria	Fruit fragment (gourd rind)	10.2–9.8	1	NA	63 bp	Target enrichment	Large single-copy (LSC) region of the plastid genome	NA
Wales <i>et. al.</i> (2014)	Vitis vinifera	Seed	1.35–1.25	1	NA	NA	PCR	Plant <i>rbcL</i> marker (138 bp)	NA
Kistler <i>et. al.</i> (2015)	Cucurbita spp.	Seed	Holocene	1	0.08-0.76%1	NA	Target enrichment	Plastid genome	Deamination
Wales et. al. (2016)	Vitis vinifera	Seed	3.2-0.45	21	1.4%²	59.1–86.3 bp <sup>1</sup>	Target enrichment and shotgun	Plastid genome	Deamination
Lendvay <i>et</i> . <i>al</i> . (2018b)	Pinus sylvestris	Wood	13.9–13.0	1	NA	NA	PCR	Plastid <i>trn</i> L region (84 bp) and <i>trn</i> F region (109 bp)	Deamination
Schmid <i>et. al.</i> (2017)	Abies alba	Needle	7.2–5.8	1	0.01-0.33%	NA	Target enrichment	Nuclear exome, complexity reduced	Deamination
Wagner et. al. (2018)	Quercus robur/petraea	Wood	9.8–0.55	26	0–16.5%	≤ 95 bp	Shotgun	Part of plastid genome	Deamination & depurination
Ramos-	Vitis vinifera	Seed	2.46-0.75	9	0-33.5%	58.1-77.3	Target	Set of nuclear genes	Deamination

Madrigal *et.* bp<sup>1</sup> enrichment *al.* (2019) and shotgun

Table 1. Recovery of aDNA using High Throughput Sequencing (HTS) from waterlogged plant remains.

NA: Not analyzed

<sup>1</sup>Values after target enrichment

<sup>2</sup>Value for one sample

**Figure 1**: (a) Lakes are natural archives that can conserve plant material such as leaves (e.g. *Dryas octopetala*; left inset), seeds (e.g. winged *Betula* fruits and catkin scale; middle inset) and needles (e.g. *Abies alba*; right inset), as well as endogenous genetic information for millennia. Lake sediment archives are especially suited for plant aDNA studies, because they can contain numerous macrofossils that are deposited in stratigraphic order over time (b). Other natural archives that represent valuable sources for plant aDNA studies are (c) ice caves, (d) fluvial or landslide deposits, and (e) permafrost soils.

**Figure 2**: Past changes in the genetic diversity of *Abies alba* populations in response to the decline and subsequent recovery of population size around Lago di Origlio, Southern Switzerland (Tinner *et al.*, 1999; Schmid *et al.*, 2017). (a) Anthropogenic disturbance caused a drastic decline in *A. alba* populations during the period 6.5–6.2 ka cal. BP, as reflected in pollen percentages. Individual *A. alba* needles from selected time periods (orange squares; *n* number of needles) have been used for aDNA analysis. (b) The genetic analysis revealed a significantly lower observed heterozygosity during the period of population decline at 6.5–6.2 ka cal. BP (letters above bars refer to statistically significant differences). However, the absence of significant changes in allelic richness after population recovery at 6.2–5.8 ka cal. BP indicates that genetic diversity was able to recover. (c) Since there was no genetic differentiation between the populations growing before (7.2–6.6 ka cal. BP) and after population recovery (6.2–5.8 ka cal. BP), this process was most likely driven by internal recruitment (H1) and not external recruitment (H2; Schmid *et al.*, 2017).

Figure 3: Applications of plant aDNA analyses at different temporal and spatial scales illustrated with hypothetical examples. (a) Postglacial recolonization patterns of plant species (red, orange and blue arrows) from refugial locations (red, orange and blue DNA double helices) can be reconstructed in detail, when the timing of population establishment is combined with aDNA analysis of the first macrofossils at several sites (stars). Such patterns might differ from present-day phylogeographic analyses (filled pie charts) due to subsequent range expansions and admixtures. This approach would also allow to identify cryptic populations or lineages that became extinct during the Holocene (pink star) and greatly improve the precision of estimated population expansion rates. (b) By analyzing aDNA from plant macrofossils deposited in stratigraphic order, changes in haplotype diversity (pink, orange and blue bars) as well as genetic diversity in response to demographic changes (as indicated by pollen percentages) can be reconstructed in detail, using population genetic indices such as allelic richness  $(A_r)$  red line) or expected heterozygosity ( $H_{\text{exp}}$ ; blue line). In this hypothetical example, the extinction of the cryptic lineage (pink bars) can also be observed in more detail. (c) Comparing genetic information, studying putatively adaptive loci, from populations before (blue double helix) and after rapid past climate change (red double helix), such as the transition from the Younger Dryas cold period to the Early Holocene (as indicated by independent climate reconstructions, e.g. Heiri et al., 2014), would potentially allow to test if plant species were able to adapt to rapid climate change and may again do so in the future.

### References

**Aitken SN, Bemmels JB**. **2016**. Time to get moving: assisted gene flow of forest trees. *Evolutionary Applications* **9**: 271–290.

Ali OA, O'Rourke SM, Amish SJ, Meek MH, Luikart G, Jeffres C, Miller MR. 2016. RAD capture (Rapture): flexible and efficient sequence-based genotyping. *Genetics* 202: 389–400.

Alsos IG, Sjögren P, Brown AG, Gielly L, Merkel MKF, Paus A, Lammers Y, Edwards ME, Alm T, Leng M, et al. 2020. Last Glacial Maximum environmental conditions at Andøya, northern Norway; evidence for a northern ice-edge ecological "hotspot". *Quaternary Science Reviews* 239: 106364.

Alsos IG, Sjögren P, Edwards ME, Landvik JY, Gielly L, Forwick M, Coissac E, Brown AG, Jakobsen LV, Føreid MK, *et al.* 2016. Sedimentary ancient DNA from Lake Skartjørna, Svalbard: Assessing the resilience of arctic flora to Holocene climate change. *The Holocene* 26: 627–642.

Armbrecht L, Hallegraeff G, Bolch CJS, Woodward C, Cooper A. 2021. Hybridisation capture allows DNA damage analysis of ancient marine eukaryotes. *Scientific Reports* 11: 3220.

Behrensmeyer AK, Kidwell SM, Gastaldo RA. 2000. Taphonomy and paleobiology. Paleobiology 26: 103–147.

**Bieker VC, Martin MD**. **2018**. Implications and future prospects for evolutionary analyses of DNA in historical herbarium collections. *Botany Letters* **165**: 409–418.

**Birks HH**. **2003**. The importance of plant macrofossils in the reconstruction of Lateglacial vegetation and climate: examples from Scotland, western Norway, and Minnesota, USA. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **22**: 453–473.

**Birks HJB**. **2019**. Contributions of Quaternary botany to modern ecology and biogeography. *Plant Ecology & Diversity* **12**: 189–385.

Birks HH, Giesecke T, Hewitt GM, Tzedakis PC, Bakke J, Birks HJB. 2012. Comment on "glacial survival of boreal trees in Northern Scandinavia". *Science* 338: 742–742.

**Bragg JG, Supple MA, Andrew RL, Borevitz JO**. **2015**. Genomic variation across landscapes: insights and applications. *New Phytologist* **207**: 953–967.

Briggs AW, Stenzel U, Johnson PLF, Green RE, Kelso J, Prüfer K, Meyer M, Krause J, Ronan MT, Lachmann M, et al. 2007. Patterns of damage in genomic DNA sequences from a Neandertal. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 104: 14616–14621.

Brotherton P, Endicott P, Sanchez JJ, Beaumont M, Barnett R, Austin J, Cooper A. 2007. Novel high-resolution characterization of ancient DNA reveals C > U-type base modification events as the sole cause of post mortem miscoding lesions. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35: 5717–5728.

Büntgen U, Tegel W, Nicolussi K, McCormick M, Frank D, Trouet V, Kaplan JO, Herzig F, Heussner K-U, Wanner H, et al. 2011. 2500 Years of European climate variability and human susceptibility. Science 331: 578–582.

Carpenter ML, Buenrostro JD, Valdiosera C, Schroeder H, Allentoft ME, Sikora M, Rasmussen M, Gravel S, Guillén S, Nekhrizov G, et al. 2013. Pulling out the 1%: whole-genome capture for the targeted enrichment of ancient DNA sequencing libraries. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 93: 852–864.

Corinaldesi C, Beolchini F, Dell'anno A. 2008. Damage and degradation rates of extracellular DNA in marine sediments: implications for the preservation of gene sequences. *Molecular Ecology* 17: 3939–3951.

Dabney J, Knapp M, Glocke I, Gansauge M-T, Weihmann A, Nickel B, Valdiosera C, García N, Pääbo S, Arsuaga J-L, et al. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 110: 15758–15763.

Danusevičius D, Buchovska J, Žulkus V, Daugnora L, Girininkas A. 2021. DNA markers reveal genetic associations among 11,000-year-old Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) found in the Baltic Sea with the present-day gene pools in Lithuania. *Forests* 12: 317.

Dauphin B, Rellstab C, Schmid M, Zoller S, Karger DN, Brodbeck S, Guillaume F, Gugerli F. 2021. Genomic vulnerability to rapid climate warming in a tree species with a long generation time. *Global Change Biology* 27: 1181–1195.

Dehasque M, Ávila-Arcos MC, Díez-del-Molino D, Fumagalli M, Guschanski K, Lorenzen ED, Malaspinas A-S, Marques-Bonet T, Martin MD, Murray GGR, et al. 2020. Inference of natural selection from ancient DNA. Evolution Letters 4: 94–108.

Dehasque M, Pečnerová P, Muller H, Tikhonov A, Nikolskiy P, Tsigankova VI, Danilov GK, Díez-del-Molino D, Vartanyan S, Dalén L, et al. 2021. Combining Bayesian age models and genetics to investigate population dynamics and extinction of the last mammoths in northern Siberia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 259: 106913.

**Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB**. **1983**. A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. *Plant molecular biology reporter* **1**: 19–21.

**Doyle JJ, Doyle JL**. **1987**. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* **19**: 11–15.

Dussex N, Bergfeldt N, de Anca Prado V, Dehasque M, Díez-del-Molino D, Ersmark E, Kanellidou F, Larsson P, Lemež Š, Lord E, et al. 2021. Integrating multi-taxon palaeogenomes and sedimentary ancient DNA to study past ecosystem dynamics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 288: 20211252.

**Elleouet JS, Aitken SN**. **2019**. Long-distance pollen dispersal during recent colonization favors a rapid but partial recovery of genetic diversity in *Picea sitchensis*. *New Phytologist* **222**: 1088–1100.

Estrada O, Breen J, Richards SM, Cooper A. 2018. Ancient plant DNA in the genomic era. *Nature Plants* 4: 394–396.

Fellows Yates JA, Lamnidis TC, Borry M, Valtueña AA, Fagernäs Z, Clayton S, Garcia MU, Neukamm J, Peltzer A. 2021. Reproducible, portable, and efficient ancient genome reconstruction with nf-core/eager. *PeerJ* 9: e10947.

**Feurdean A, Bhagwat SA, Willis KJ, Birks HJB, Lischke H, Hickler T. 2013**. Tree migration-rates: narrowing the gap between inferred post-glacial rates and projected rates. *PLOS ONE* **8**: e71797.

da Fonseca RR, Smith BD, Wales N, Cappellini E, Skoglund P, Fumagalli M, Samaniego JA, Carøe C, Ávila-Arcos MC, Hufnagel DE, et al. 2015. The origin and evolution of maize in the Southwestern United States. *Nature Plants* 1: 1–5.

Gauthier J, Pajkovic M, Neuenschwander S, Kaila L, Schmid S, Orlando L, Alvarez N. 2020. Museomics identifies genetic erosion in two butterfly species across the 20th century in Finland. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 20: 1191–1205.

Gavin DG, Fitzpatrick MC, Gugger PF, Heath KD, Rodríguez-Sánchez F, Dobrowski SZ, Hampe A, Hu FS, Ashcroft MB, Bartlein PJ, et al. 2014. Climate refugia: joint inference from fossil records, species distribution models and phylogeography. New Phytologist 204: 37–54.

**Glocke I, Meyer M**. **2017**. Extending the spectrum of DNA sequences retrieved from ancient bones and teeth. *Genome Research* **27**: 1230–1237.

**Goodwin S, McPherson JD, McCombie WR**. **2016**. Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **17**: 333–351.

Green EJ, Speller CF. 2017. Novel substrates as sources of ancient DNA: prospects and hurdles. Genes 8: 180.

**Gugerli F, Alvarez N, Tinner W**. **2013**. A deep dig—hindsight on Holocene vegetation composition from ancient environmental DNA. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 3433–3436.

Gugerli F, Parducci L, Petit RJ. 2005. Ancient plant DNA: review and prospects. New Phytologist 166: 409–418.

**Guirao-Rico S, González J. 2021**. Benchmarking the performance of Pool-seq SNP callers using simulated and real sequencing data. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **21**: 1216–1229.

**Gutaker RM, Reiter E, Furtwängler A, Schuenemann VJ, Burbano HA**. **2017**. Extraction of ultrashort DNA molecules from herbarium specimens. *BioTechniques* **62**: 76–79.

Hafner A, Reich J, Ballmer A, Bolliger M, Antolín F, Charles M, Emmenegger L, Fandré J, Francuz J, Gobet E, et al. 2021. First absolute chronologies of neolithic and bronze age settlements at Lake Ohrid based on dendrochronology and radiocarbon dating. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 38: 103107.

Heiri O, Koinig KA, Spötl C, Barrett S, Brauer A, Drescher-Schneider R, Gaar D, Ivy-Ochs S, Kerschner H, Luetscher M, et al. 2014. Palaeoclimate records 60–8 ka in the Austrian and Swiss Alps and their forelands.

Quaternary Science Reviews 106: 186–205.

**Higuchi R, Bowman B, Freiberger M, Ryder OA, Wilson AC**. **1984**. DNA sequences from the quagga, an extinct member of the horse family. *Nature* **312**: 282–284.

Hofreiter M, Jaenicke V, Serre D, Haeseler A von, Pääbo S. 2001. DNA sequences from multiple amplifications reveal artifacts induced by cytosine deamination in ancient DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research* 29: 4793–4799.

Jónsson H, Ginolhac A, Schubert M, Johnson PLF, Orlando L. 2013. mapDamage 2.0: fast approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics* 29: 1682–1684.

Jørgensen T, Haile J, Möller P, Andreev A, Boessenkool S, Rasmussen M, Kienast F, Coissac E, Taberlet P, Brochmann C, et al. 2012. A comparative study of ancient sedimentary DNA, pollen and macrofossils from permafrost sediments of northern Siberia reveals long-term vegetational stability. *Molecular Ecology* 21: 1989–2003.

**Kapp JD, Green RE, Shapiro B. 2021.** A fast and efficient single-stranded genomic library preparation method optimized for ancient DNA. *Journal of Heredity* **112**: 241–249.

**Kistler** L. **2012**. Ancient DNA extraction from plants. In: Shapiro B, Hofreiter M, eds. Methods in Molecular Biology. Ancient DNA: Methods and Protocols. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, 71–79.

**Kistler L, Bieker VC, Martin MD, Pedersen MW, Ramos Madrigal J, Wales N. 2020**. Ancient plant genomics in archaeology, herbaria, and the environment. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **71**: 605–629.

**Kistler L, Montenegro Á, Smith BD, Gifford JA, Green RE, Newsom LA, Shapiro B. 2014**. Transoceanic drift and the domestication of African bottle gourds in the Americas. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **111**: 2937–2941.

**Kistler L, Newsom LA, Ryan TM, Clarke AC, Smith BD, Perry GH**. **2015**. Gourds and squashes (*Cucurbita* spp.) adapted to megafaunal extinction and ecological anachronism through domestication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **112**: 15107–15112.

Kurland S, Wheat CW, de la Paz Celorio Mancera M, Kutschera VE, Hill J, Andersson A, Rubin C-J, Andersson L, Ryman N, Laikre L. 2019. Exploring a Pool-seq-only approach for gaining population genomic insights in nonmodel species. *Ecology and Evolution* 9: 11448–11463.

**Latorre SM, Lang PLM, Burbano HA, Gutaker RM**. **2020**. Isolation, library preparation, and bioinformatic analysis of historical and ancient plant DNA. *Current Protocols in Plant Biology* **5**: e20121.

**Leigh DM, Hendry AP, Vázquez-Domínguez E, Friesen VL**. **2019**. Estimated six per cent loss of genetic variation in wild populations since the industrial revolution. *Evolutionary Applications* **12**: 1505–1512.

**Lendvay B, Bálint M, Pál I, Vincze I, Orbán I, Magyari EK**. **2018a**. Plant macrofossils from lake sediment as the material to assess ancient genetic diversity: Did deforestation influence Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) in the South Carpathians? *Quaternary International* **477**: 106–116.

Lendvay B, Hartmann M, Brodbeck S, Nievergelt D, Reinig F, Zoller S, Parducci L, Gugerli F, Büntgen U, Sperisen C. 2018b. Improved recovery of ancient DNA from subfossil wood – application to the world's oldest Late Glacial pine forest. *New Phytologist* 217: 1737–1748.

**Lesser MR, Parchman TL, Jackson ST. 2013**. Development of genetic diversity, differentiation and structure over 500 years in four ponderosa pine populations. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 2640–2652.

Leunda M, González-Sampériz P, Gil-Romera G, Bartolomé M, Belmonte-Ribas Á, Gómez-García D, Kaltenrieder P, Rubiales JM, Schwörer C, Tinner W, et al. 2019. Ice cave reveals environmental forcing of long-term Pyrenean tree line dynamics. *Journal of Ecology* 107: 814–828.

Librado P, Khan N, Fages A, Kusliy MA, Suchan T, Tonasso-Calvière L, Schiavinato S, Alioglu D, Fromentier A, Perdereau A, et al. 2021. The origins and spread of domestic horses from the Western Eurasian steppes. *Nature* 598: 634–640.

Lindahl T. 1993. Instability and decay of the primary structure of DNA. *Nature* 362: 709–715.

Lipson M, Szécsényi-Nagy A, Mallick S, Pósa A, Stégmár B, Keerl V, Rohland N, Stewardson K, Ferry M, Michel M, et al. 2017. Parallel palaeogenomic transects reveal complex genetic history of early European farmers. *Nature* 551: 368–372.

**Lopez L, Turner KG, Bellis ES, Lasky JR. 2020**. Genomics of natural history collections for understanding evolution in the wild. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **20**: 1153–1160.

Lorenzen ED, Nogués-Bravo D, Orlando L, Weinstock J, Binladen J, Marske KA, Ugan A, Borregaard MK, Gilbert MTP, Nielsen R, et al. 2011. Species-specific responses of Late Quaternary megafauna to climate and humans. *Nature* 479: 359–364.

Magyari EK, Major Á, Bálint M, Nédli J, Braun M, Rácz I, Parducci L. 2011. Population dynamics and genetic changes of *Picea abies* in the South Carpathians revealed by pollen and ancient DNA analyses. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 11: 66.

Marchi N, Schlichta F, Excoffier L. 2021. Demographic inference. Current Biology 31: R276–R279.

**Marciniak S, Perry GH**. **2017**. Harnessing ancient genomes to study the history of human adaptation. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **18**: 659–674.

McLachlan JS, Hellmann JJ, Schwartz MW. 2007. A framework for debate of assisted migration in an era of climate change. *Conservation Biology* 21: 297–302.

Metcalfe CJ, Casane D. 2013. Accommodating the load. Mobile Genetic Elements 3: e24775.

Meucci S, Schulte L, Zimmermann HH, Stoof-Leichsenring KR, Epp L, Eidesen PB, Herzschuh U. 2021. Holocene chloroplast genetic variation of shrubs (*Alnus alnobetula*, *Betula nana*, *Salix* sp.) at the Siberian tundra-taiga ecotone inferred from modern chloroplast genome assembly and sedimentary ancient DNA analyses. *Ecology and Evolution* 11: 2173–2193.

Meyer M, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Höber B, Höffner B, Krause J, Weihmann A, Pääbo S, Hofreiter M. 2008. From micrograms to picograms: quantitative PCR reduces the material demands of high-throughput sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Research* 36: e5.

Meyer M, Kircher M, Gansauge M-T, Li H, Racimo F, Mallick S, Schraiber JG, Jay F, Prüfer K, Filippo C de, et al. 2012. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. Science 338: 222–226.

Moreno-Mayar JV, Vinner L, Damgaard P de B, Fuente C de la, Chan J, Spence JP, Allentoft ME, Vimala T, Racimo F, Pinotti T, et al. 2018. Early human dispersals within the Americas. *Science* 362.

Mosca E, Cruz F, Gómez-Garrido J, Bianco L, Rellstab C, Brodbeck S, Csilléry K, Fady B, Fladung M, Fussi B, et al. 2019. A reference genome sequence for the European silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.): a community-generated genomic resource. *G3 Genes*|*Genomes*|*Genetics* 9: 2039–2049.

Napier JD, de Lafontaine G, Chipman ML. 2020. The Evolution of Paleoecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 35: 293–295.

**Nielsen R, Paul JS, Albrechtsen A, Song YS. 2011**. Genotype and SNP calling from next-generation sequencing data. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **12**: 443–451.

**Nistelberger HM, Smith O, Wales N, Star B, Boessenkool S. 2016**. The efficacy of high-throughput sequencing and target enrichment on charred archaeobotanical remains. *Scientific Reports* **6**: 37347.

Nystedt B, Street NR, Wetterbom A, Zuccolo A, Lin Y-C, Scofield DG, Vezzi F, Delhomme N, Giacomello S, Alexeyenko A, et al. 2013. The Norway spruce genome sequence and conifer genome evolution. *Nature* 497: 579–584.

Orlando L, Allaby R, Skoglund P, Der Sarkissian C, Stockhammer PW, Ávila-Arcos MC, Fu Q, Krause J, Willerslev E, Stone AC, et al. 2021. Ancient DNA analysis. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* 1: 1–26.

Parducci L, Bennett KD, Ficetola GF, Alsos IG, Suyama Y, Wood JR, Pedersen MW. 2017. Ancient plant DNA in lake sediments. *New Phytologist* 214: 924–942.

Parducci L, Jørgensen T, Tollefsrud MM, Elverland E, Alm T, Fontana SL, Bennett KD, Haile J, Matetovici I, Suyama Y, et al. 2012. Glacial survival of boreal trees in Northern Scandinavia. Science 335: 1083–1086.

Parducci L, Petit RJ. 2004. Ancient DNA – unlocking plants' fossil secrets. New Phytologist 161: 335–339.

Parducci L, Suyama Y, Lascoux M, Bennett KD. 2005. Ancient DNA from pollen: a genetic record of population history in Scots pine. *Molecular Ecology* 14: 2873–2882.

**Parmesan C, Yohe G**. **2003**. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* **421**: 37–42.

Pauls SU, Nowak C, Bálint M, Pfenninger M. 2013. The impact of global climate change on genetic diversity within populations and species. *Molecular Ecology* 22: 925–946.

**Pearson RG**. **2006**. Climate change and the migration capacity of species. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**: 111–113.

Pedersen MW, Ruter A, Schweger C, Friebe H, Staff RA, Kjeldsen KK, Mendoza MLZ, Beaudoin AB, Zutter C, Larsen NK, et al. 2016. Postglacial viability and colonization in North America's ice-free corridor. *Nature* 537: 45–49.

**Pluess AR**. **2011**. Pursuing glacier retreat: genetic structure of a rapidly expanding *Larix decidua* population. *Molecular Ecology* **20**: 473–485.

Poinar HN, Hofreiter M, Spaulding WG, Martin PS, Stankiewicz BA, Bland H, Evershed RP, Possnert G, Pääbo S. 1998. Molecular coproscopy: dung and diet of the extinct ground sloth *Nothrotheriops shastensis*. *Science* 281: 402–406.

Pont C, Wagner S, Kremer A, Orlando L, Plomion C, Salse J. 2019. Paleogenomics: reconstruction of plant evolutionary trajectories from modern and ancient DNA. *Genome Biology* 20: 29.

Ramos-Madrigal J, Runge AKW, Bouby L, Lacombe T, Samaniego Castruita JA, Adam-Blondon A-F, Figueiral I, Hallavant C, Martínez-Zapater JM, Schaal C, et al. 2019. Palaeogenomic insights into the origins of French grapevine diversity. *Nature Plants* 5: 595–603.

Rasmussen M, Li Y, Lindgreen S, Pedersen JS, Albrechtsen A, Moltke I, Metspalu M, Metspalu E, Kivisild T, Gupta R, et al. 2010. Ancient human genome sequence of an extinct Palaeo-Eskimo. *Nature* 463: 757–762.

Rellstab C, Gugerli F, Eckert AJ, Hancock AM, Holderegger R. 2015. A practical guide to environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. *Molecular Ecology* 24: 4348–4370.

**Rohland N, Glocke I, Aximu-Petri A, Meyer M. 2018**. Extraction of highly degraded DNA from ancient bones, teeth and sediments for high-throughput sequencing. *Nature Protocols* **13**: 2447–2461.

Sandoval-Castellanos E, Wutke S, Gonzalez-Salazar C, Ludwig A. 2017. Coat colour adaptation of post-glacial horses to increasing forest vegetation. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1: 1816–1819.

Sarkissian CD, Balanovsky O, Brandt G, Khartanovich V, Buzhilova A, Koshel S, Zaporozhchenko V, Gronenborn D, Moiseyev V, Kolpakov E, et al. 2013. Ancient DNA reveals prehistoric gene-flow from Siberia in the complex human population history of North East Europe. *PLOS Genetics* 9: e1003296.

Schaefer NK, Shapiro B, Green RE. 2016. Detecting hybridization using ancient DNA. *Molecular Ecology* 25: 2398–2412.

Schmid S, Genevest R, Gobet E, Suchan T, Sperisen C, Tinner W, Alvarez N. 2017. HyRAD-X, a versatile method combining exome capture and RAD sequencing to extract genomic information from ancient DNA. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 8: 1374-1388.

Schubert M, Ermini L, Sarkissian CD, Jónsson H, Ginolhac A, Schaefer R, Martin MD, Fernández R, Kircher M, McCue M, et al. 2014. Characterization of ancient and modern genomes by SNP detection and phylogenomic and metagenomic analysis using PALEOMIX. *Nature Protocols* 9: 1056–1082.

Schulte L, Bernhardt N, Stoof-Leichsenring K, Zimmermann HH, Pestryakova LA, Epp LS, Herzschuh U. 2021. Hybridization capture of larch (*Larix* Mill.) chloroplast genomes from sedimentary ancient DNA reveals past changes of Siberian forest. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 21: 801–815.

Scott MF, Botigué LR, Brace S, Stevens CJ, Mullin VE, Stevenson A, Thomas MG, Fuller DQ, Mott R. 2019. A 3,000-year-old Egyptian emmer wheat genome reveals dispersal and domestication history. *Nature Plants* 5: 1120–1128.

Smith CI, Chamberlain AT, Riley MS, Stringer C, Collins MJ. 2003. The thermal history of human fossils and the likelihood of successful DNA amplification. *Journal of Human Evolution* 45: 203–217.

**Sork VL, Aitken SN, Dyer RJ, Eckert AJ, Legendre P, Neale DB. 2013**. Putting the landscape into the genomics of trees: approaches for understanding local adaptation and population responses to changing climate. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* **9**: 901–911.

Steinbauer MJ, Grytnes J-A, Jurasinski G, Kulonen A, Lenoir J, Pauli H, Rixen C, Winkler M, Bardy-Durchhalter M, Barni E, *et al.* 2018. Accelerated increase in plant species richness on mountain summits is linked to warming. *Nature* 556: 231–234.

Suchan T, Pitteloud C, Gerasimova NS, Kostikova A, Schmid S, Arrigo N, Pajkovic M, Ronikier M, Alvarez N. 2016. Hybridization capture using RAD probes (hyRAD), a new tool for performing genomic analyses on collection specimens. *PLOS ONE* 11: e0151651.

Taberlet P, Coissac E, Pompanon F, Gielly L, Miquel C, Valentini A, Vermat T, Corthier G, Brochmann C, Willerslev E. 2007. Power and limitations of the chloroplast trn L (UAA) intron for plant DNA barcoding. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35: e14–e14.

**Tin MM-Y, Economo EP, Mikheyev AS. 2014**. Sequencing degraded DNA from non-destructively sampled museum specimens for RAD-tagging and low-coverage shotgun phylogenetics. *PLOS ONE* **9**: e96793.

**Tinner W, Hubschmid P, Wehrli M, Ammann B, Conedera M**. **1999**. Long-term forest fire ecology and dynamics in southern Switzerland. *Journal of Ecology* **87**: 273–289.

Toussaint EFA, Gauthier J, Bilat J, Gillett CPDT, Gough HM, Lundkvist H, Blanc M, Muñoz-Ramírez CP, Alvarez N. 2021. HyRAD-X exome capture museomics unravels giant ground beetle evolution. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 13: evab112.

Troll CJ, Kapp J, Rao V, Harkins KM, Cole C, Naughton C, Morgan JM, Shapiro B, Green RE. 2019. A ligation-based single-stranded library preparation method to analyze cell-free DNA and synthetic oligos. *BMC Genomics* 20: 1023.

van der Valk T, Pečnerová P, Díez-del-Molino D, Bergström A, Oppenheimer J, Hartmann S, Xenikoudakis G, Thomas JA, Dehasque M, Sağlıcan E, et al. 2021. Million-year-old DNA sheds light on the genomic history of mammoths. *Nature* 591: 265–269.

Wagner S, Lagane F, Seguin-Orlando A, Schubert M, Leroy T, Guichoux E, Chancerel E, Bech-Hebelstrup I, Bernard V, Billard C, et al. 2018. High-Throughput DNA sequencing of ancient wood. *Molecular Ecology* 27: 1138–1154.

Accepted

Wales N, Andersen K, Cappellini E, Ávila-Arcos MC, Gilbert MTP. 2014. Optimization of DNA recovery and amplification from non-carbonized archaeobotanical remains. *PLOS ONE* 9: e86827.

Wales N, Ramos Madrigal J, Cappellini E, Carmona Baez A, Samaniego Castruita JA, Romero-Navarro JA, Carøe C, Ávila-Arcos MC, Peñaloza F, Moreno-Mayar JV, et al. 2016. The limits and potential of paleogenomic techniques for reconstructing grapevine domestication. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 72: 57–70.

Wales N, Romero-Navarro JA, Cappellini E, Gilbert MTP. 2012. Choosing the best plant for the job: A cost-effective assay to prescreen ancient plant remains destined for shotgun sequencing. *PLOS ONE* 7: e45644.

Wang Y, Pedersen MW, Alsos IG, De Sanctis B, Racimo F, Prohaska A, Coissac E, Owens HL, Merkel MKF, Fernandez-Guerra A, et al. 2021. Late Quaternary dynamics of Arctic biota from ancient environmental genomics. *Nature* 600: 86–92.

Key words: genetic diversity, Holocene, lake sediment, palaeoecology, palaeogenomics, range shifts

(Received, 9 December 2021; accepted, 7 March 2022)

## BOX 1: Natural archives as a treasure trove of genetic information

Natural archives such as lake or mire sediments, fluvial and landslide deposits, ice caves, and permafrost can conserve biological remains for millennia, due to either anoxic conditions or low temperatures that prevent the decomposition of tissues (Birks, 2003; Jørgensen et al., 2012; Leunda et al., 2019; Fig. 1). Indeed, lake and mire archives have been regularly used in palaeoecology for a century to reconstruct past species abundances and vegetation composition, mostly based on the analysis of pollen (Birks, 2019). Besides pollen that is ubiquitous in such archives, also larger plant remains such as leaves, needles, bud scales, wood, seeds or fruits occur regularly and can often be determined to species level using morphological and anatomical characteristics. In contrast to pollen, which is readily dispersed by wind over large distances, such macrofossils commonly originate from the local vegetation around a site, thus more reliably indicating local species presence as compared to pollen. The same processes that prevent the decomposition of these macrofossils should also minimize the degradation of the DNA within the plant cells, making macrofossils a viable source for past genetic information. There are also other advantages: plant remains are commonly deposited in stratigraphic order and, depending on size, can be directly dated using radiocarbon dating, instead of relying on the dating of surrounding organic material. In many cases, there are numerous remains available from different individuals within a certain time period, which allows the quantitative reconstruction of past local species abundance. This also makes the genetic analysis of entire populations possible and allows comparing the past genetic composition with present-day and/or non-local populations. However, even though the potential of macrofossils as a source for aDNA has been recognized for more than a decade (Parducci & Petit, 2004; Gugerli et al., 2005, 2013), only a handful of palaeogenomic studies based on macrofossils exist so far. One of the earliest such studies is from the Carpathians, where the authors analyzed chloroplast aDNA from subfossil Picea abies (Norway spruce) seeds and cone scales, as well as pollen, and found the same genetic haplotypes as in extant populations, indicating strong demographic stasis over millennial timescales (Magyari et al., 2011). The authors could also show a decrease in genetic variability since the beginning of the Holocene, which could be associated with the repeated bottlenecks inferred from palaeoecological data. The results were recently confirmed by a follow-up study at the same site based on macrofossils alone, including needles, concluding that such remains are an invaluable repository for information on past population genetic dynamics (Lendvay et al., 2018a). In the southern Alps, genome-scale aDNA data extracted from subfossil Abies alba (Silver fir) needles were used to infer changes in genetic variation between 7.2–5.8 ka cal. BP (calibrated years before present; Schmid et al., 2017), when anthropogenic disturbance led to a drastic decrease in population size (Tinner et al., 1999). The aDNA analysis revealed a lowered observed heterozygosity during the palynologically inferred population decrease, which confirms the palaeoecological interpretation of population fragmentation in response to disturbance (Fig. 2). With a recovery of the estimated population size after 6.5 ka cal. BP, genetic variation also returned to pre-disturbance levels. The lack of genetic differentiation between the populations growing before and after the population decline indicates re-expansion of local trees in the study area (Schmid et al., 2017). Besides macrofossils preserved in lake sediments, plant aDNA has also been extracted from waterlogged, subfossil wood remains found in archaeological or sedimentological contexts (Lendvay et al., 2018b; Wagner et al., 2018). Such remains are widely used in dendroclimatology and -chronology to reconstruct past climatic conditions or precisely date wood remains based on tree-ring patterns (Büntgen et al., 2011; Hafner et al., 2021). In the most comprehensive study to date, 167 waterlogged wood remains from European white oaks have been analyzed

using HTS (Wagner *et al.*, 2018). Even though endogenous DNA content was mostly low (< 1% of total DNA reads), the comparison of ancient and extant chloroplast haplotypes indicates a continuous presence of local populations with limited changes in haplotype composition over millennia. Another recent study was able to taxonomically identify 13,000 year old pine trunks buried in clay as *Pinus sylvestris* using amplicon sequencing of chloroplast aDNA (Lendvay *et al.*, 2018b). Similarly, a study from Lithuania could link ancient haplotypes from 11,000 year old, submerged Scots pine stumps in the Baltic Sea with extant populations and refugia in the Balkan peninsula, based on mitochondrial DNA and nuclear microsatellites (Danusevičius *et al.*, 2021)





