Gestational diabetes is associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy: A case-control study

Anda-Petronela Radan, Mihaela-Madalina Fluri, Konstantinos Nirgianakis, Beatrice Mosimann, Bettina Schlatter, Luigi Raio, Daniel Surbek

PII: \$1262-3636(22)00034-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2022.101351

Reference: DIABET 101351

To appear in: Diabetes & Metabolism

Received date: 31 January 2022 Revised date: 28 March 2022 Accepted date: 4 April 2022



Please cite this article as: Anda-Petronela Radan, Mihaela-Madalina Fluri, Konstantinos Nirgianakis, Beatrice Mosimann, Bettina Schlatter, Luigi Raio, Daniel Surbek, Gestational diabetes is associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy: A case-control study, Diabetes & Metabolism (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2022.101351

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

1	Gestational diabetes is associated with SARS-Cov-2 injection during pregnancy: A case-control study
2	Anda-Petronela Radan, MD, Mihaela-Madalina Fluri, MD, Konstantinos Nirgianakis, MD, Beatrice
3	Mosimann, MD, Bettina Schlatter, MD, Luigi Raio, MD, Daniel Surbek, MD
4	Department of Obstetrics and feto-maternal Medicine, University Hospital of Bern, University of
5	Bern, Switzerland
6	Address: Friedbühlstrasse 19, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland
7	
8	Corresponding Author: Anda-Petronela Radan, MD
9	University of Bern, Hospital of Bern, Inselspital
10	Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
11	Friedbühlstrasse 19, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland
12	Tel +41316321010/ Fax: +41316321646
13	Email: anda-petronela.radan@insel.ch
14	
15	Short title: Gestational diabetes and SARS-CoV-2
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21	<u>Abstract</u>			
22	<u>Aim</u>			
23	Individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection and (pre-existing) diabetes, including pregnant women			
24	present with more severe morbidity, as compared to non-diabetic subjects. To date, evidence is			
25	limited concerning the role of gestational diabetes (GDM) in severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection during			
26	pregnancy, or vice versa. The aim of our study was to investigate the prevalence of GDM in a SARS			
27	CoV-2 infected pregnant population and evaluate risk factors for and from severe infection in these			
28	patients.			
29	<u>Methods</u>			
30	A case-control study with prospective data collection for the case group and 1:2 matching with			
31	historical controls based on parity, BMI and ethnicity was conducted (n=224). GDM screening was			
32	performed at 26 weeks' gestation. Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was performed to			
33	assess risk factors for GDM and inpatient COVID-19 management.			
34	Results			
35	34.6% of the patients in the case group suffered from GDM, vs. 16.1% in the control group (p=0.002).			
36	35.7% patients were diagnosed with GDM after, vs. 33.3% before SARS-CoV-2 infection (OR (95%CI)			
37	1.11(0.40-3.08), p=0.84), with no correlation between time point of infection and GDM diagnosis.			
38	SARS-CoV-2 (OR (95%CI) 2.79 (1.42, 5.47), p=0.003) and BMI (OR (95%CI) 1.12 (1.05, 1.19), p=0.001)			
39	were significant independent risk factors for GDM.			
10	Conclusion			
11	Data suggests that GDM increases the risk of infection in SARS-CoV-2 infected pregnant women.			
12	Meanwhile, SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy might increase the risk of developing GDM.			

43	vaccination and caution in using protective measures should be recommended to pregnant women,
44	particularly when suffering from GDM.
45	Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, gestational diabetes, COVID-19
46	Funding information: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the
47	public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
48	
49	<u> </u>
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	<u>Introduction</u>

62	Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most frequent comorbidities in individuals with SARS-CoV-2
63	infection [1-2]. Evidence shows that individuals suffering from diabetes present higher morbidity and
64	mortality as compared to non-diabetic subjects [1].
65	Analogue to the general population, pregnant women suffering from preexisting diabetes seem to
66	present with a higher severity degree of SARS-CoV-2 infection [3, 4]. An international case control
67	analysis comparing data stratified by the severity of maternal disease identified pulmonary
68	comorbidities, hypertensive disease and DM as risk factors associated with a severe form of SARS-
69	CoV-2 infection in pregnancy [5]. Furthermore, it has been previously suggested that hyperglycemia
70	generally increases viral replication and decreases anti-viral response, making a causal relationship
71	between diabetes and SARS-CoV-2 biologically plausible [1,2]. However, there is limited data so far
72	whether these elaborations also apply to gestational diabetes (GDM).
73	GDM is a major public health issue, with an abrupt increase in prevalence in the last decade, and
74	international committees report a so-called 'metabolic pandemic' [6]. According to The
75	Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Study, the level of glycaemia during pregnancy is
76	directly linked to the presence of adverse obstetrical outcomes [7-8].
77	Prevalence of GDM lies worldwide between 9,3% and 25,5% [8]. A British study described a 33.8%
78	increase in GDM since the onset of the pandemic, attributing this mainly to reduced exercise levels
79	and psychical stress [9].
80	SARS-CoV mediated pancreatic islet cell damage is not a newly described phenomenon, as earlier
81	experiences with MERS and SARS teach us [10]. DM is a multifactorial disease, and its development is
82	linked to genetic and environmental influences. Indeed, a causal relationship between viral infections
83	and acute glycemic decompensation with onset of Type I diabetes has been previously described
84	[11].
85	In this context, increasing evidence shows that SARS-CoV-2 can trigger severe diabetic ketoacidosis in
86	persons with new-onset Type I diabetes, most probably due to high angiotensin converting enzyme 2

- 87 (ACE2) expression in the endocrine part of the pancreas. The mechanism seems to involve cell
- apoptosis with decreased pancreatic insulin secretion [11].
- 89 The aim of our study was to investigate the prevalence of GDM in a SARS-CoV-2 infected pregnant
- 90 population and evaluate risk factors for and from severe infection in these patients.

91 <u>Methods</u>

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

110

with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, irrespective of the severity of the symptoms. We included all SARS-CoV-2 positive women who were managed at our tertiary hospital between May 2020 and July 2021. Data from these individuals were collected prospectively within the international COVI-Preg register. Cases were matched 1:2 with a historical cohort of women who delivered before the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic between 01.01.2016 and 31.10.2019, based on parity, body mass index (BMI) and ethnicity. In one woman, only one matching control was found, so that the control group consisted of 149 individuals. Screening for GDM by 75mg oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed at 26 weeks' gestation in all 224 women. Normal blood sugar values were defined as

follows: fasting < 5,1mmol/l, one hour after glucose ingestion < 10mmol/l, two hours after glucose

We included 224 pregnant women in our case-control study. The case group consisted of 75 women

First trimester was defined as conception to 13 + 6 weeks, second trimester from 14 + 0 to 26 + 6

weeks and third trimester as more than 27 + 0 weeks of gestation.

ingestion < 8,5mmol/l. All women where OGTT was not available were previously excluded.

- Diagnosis of COVID-19 infection in the case group was made by identification of SARS-CoV-2-PCR in a nasopharyngeal swab.
- Written informed consent was obtained, institutional review board approval was provided by the
 Ethical Committee of Berne (2020-00832). The study was performed in accordance with the
 principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. No external funding was received.

Statistical Analysis

111 Mean values and SD were calculated for continuous variables and percentages for the qualitative 112 variables. A student t-test and Fisher's exact test was used to compare continuous parametric variables and binary variables between the two groups, respectively. Possible risk factors for 113 114 gestational diabetes and inpatient COVID-19 management were determined with multivariate binary 115 logistic regression analysis. A logistic regression analysis was also performed to identify if the time of 116 COVID-19 infection during pregnancy was associated with GDM. Missing data were excluded from 117 the analysis. Significance was set at p<0.05. Statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS 25.0 118 software (SPSS, USA). 119 Results 120 Baseline characteristics of the study population and delivery outcomes are depicted in Table 1. Altogether, 26/75 (34.66%) of the patients in the case group suffered from gestational diabetes vs. 121 122 24/149 (16.1%) in the control group (p=0.002). The rate of preterm delivery was 17.3% in the case group vs. 7.6% in the control group (p=0.04) 123 124 Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that SARS-CoV-2 (OR (95%CI) 2.79 (1.42, 5.47), p=0.003) and BMI (OR (95%CI) 1.12 (1.05, 1.19), p=0.001) were significant independent risk factors 125 126 for GDM. In 11/75 (14.66%) patients, SARS-CoV-2 infection occurred in the first trimester of gestation, in 19/75 127 128 (25.33%) in the second and in 37/75 (49.33%) in the third trimester. In eight patients, time-point of 129 infection was unknown (10.66%). Of these, three suffered from GDM. 130 Out of 28 patients infected with COVID-19 ≤ 26 week of pregnancy, 10 (35.7%) had a positive OGTT (GDM diagnosis) afterwards. This is similar to the 13/39 (33.3%) of patients with positive OGTT 131 132 before infected with COVID (OR (95%CI) 1.11 (0.40, 3.08, Chi-Square = 0.84). 133 89.33% of the patients (67/75) in the case group suffered from asymptomatic, mild or moderate 134 SARS-CoV-2 infection, according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) criteria for severity of the

disease [12]. 12% (9/75) of the patients had severe or critical illness with inpatient management. Of

these, 5.33% (4/75) required intensive care unit (ICU) admission and ventilation. These four patients underwent an emergency delivery because of SARS-CoV-2 infection. No patient deaths were recorded.

Of the nine patients with inpatient management, four (44.44%) suffered from GDM. Of the four patients who required admission at the ICU, two suffered from GDM (50%). Of the 66 patients with outpatient management, 22 suffered from GDM (33.33%). This difference was not significant in chi-square test (p=0.51). Regression analysis of factors associated with inpatient COVID-19 management (inpatient vs. outpatient) showed no significance for GDM (OR (95%CI) 1.14 (0.22, 5.80, p= 0.88), time-point of infection (OR (95%CI) 1.08 (0.98, 1.20), p=0.12) or BMI (OR (95%CI) 1.07 (0.91, 1.25), 0.41).

Discussion

The main finding of our study is a significantly higher incidence of GDM in a SARS-CoV-2 infected pregnant population, as compared to historical controls. All though no statistical correlation was found between the time point of infection in regards to OGTT, previous data concerning DM and COVID-19 during pregnancy would support in a first line that those patients with GDM are more prone to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Meanwhile, multivariate regression analysis revealed that BMI and COVID-19 were independent risk factors for GDM in our cohort, thus supporting the theory of the virus-triggered diabetes onset.

A recently published multicentric study also reported an association between insulin dependent GDM and COVID-19 diagnosis in pregnancy, yet over 80% of the participants were SARS-CoV-2 positive at the time-point of delivery, thus chronologically after diagnosis of GDM [13]. Our report is to our knowledge the first case-control study providing evidence, even if limited, for a possible causal relationship between COVID-19 and onset of GDM.

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

As stated before, the hyperglycemic level directly correlates with adverse obstetrical outcome [7, 8]. Incidence of GDM was higher in the SARS-CoV-2 hospitalized patients (44.44% vs. 33.33% in those with outpatient management), yet this difference was marginally not statistically significant (p=0.51). Meanwhile, 50% of the women requiring ICU admission in our cohort suffered from GDM, which is alarming. On a deeper analysis, BMI, GDM and time point of infection none correlated with inpatient management of SARS-CoV-2 infection, thus with the degree of severity. Since previous large reports could clearly show a correlation between high BMI and severity of infection, we believe that our results are a consequence of the small number of women with inpatient management and ICU admission, thus lack of statistical power to demonstrate a possible association [5]. With an European rate of GDM of 16.3% and worldwide of up to 25.5%, these results are of concern and call for consequences in the management of pregnant patients suffering from GDM or at risk for GDM in the context of the pandemic [7-8]. Higher exposition to hospital visits in women suffering from GDM could be cofounding factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy. We mention that patient management was adapted in our center during the major SARS-CoV-2 pandemic surges, mostly by conversion to teleconsultations. Diabetes testing protocols remained unaltered. In both our study groups, GDM rate was higher than in the general pregnant population in Switzerland, which could be explained by the higher proportion of high-risk pregnancies as well as by the high number of women of South Asian ethnicity being followed at our institution [8]. The rate of hospital admission in SARS-CoV-2 infection in our population was in line with previous reports [5]. We noted a significantly higher incidence of premature delivery in the case group, whereas in the control group, incidence was similar to that of the general pregnant population in our country [14]. The 17.33% rate of preterm delivery in the SARS-CoV-2 infected women in our cohort is in line with results from a large previous meta-analysis [15].

One major strength of our report is the prospective data assessment in the case group and the case-
control approach. Homogeneity of testing is another major strength, since standard OGTT was
carried out in each patient in both groups, which distinguishes us from previous publications. The
ability to classify the COVID-19 infection in respect to the symptoms is a further strength of our
study. The major limitation is the cohort size as well as not having matched for further comorbidities
or lower socioeconomic status, which is a known risk factor for both GDM as well as SARS-CoV-2
infection, because of incomplete records.
Conclusion
The significantly higher rate of GDM among women with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, as
compared to corresponding controls, suggests that GDM increases the risk of infection. Meanwhile,
SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy might increase the risk of developing GDM. Vaccination and caution in
using protective measures should be recommended to pregnant women, particularly those with co-
morbidities.
<u>Disclosure statement:</u> The author(s) report(s) no conflict of interest.
Author's contribution:
APR: conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data,
drafting the article
MF: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data
KN: analysis and interpretation of data, statistics, revising the article
BM: acquisition of data, revising the article critically for important intellectual content
BS: acquisition of data
I.R. analysis and interpretation of data revising the article critically for important intellectual content

206	DS: coi	S: conception and design of the study, revising the article critically for important intellectual			
207	conter	t			
208					
209	<u>Refere</u>	nces			
210	1.	Hartmann-Boyce J, Rees K, Perring JC, et al. Risks of and From SARS-CoV-2 Infection and			
211		COVID-19 in People With Diabetes: A Systematic Review of Reviews. Diabetes Care. 2021			
212		Dec;44(12):2790-2811. doi: 10.2337/dc21-0930. Epub 2021 Oct 28. Erratum in: Diabetes			
213		Care. 2022 Mar 09;: PMID: 34711637; PMCID: PMC8669527.			
214	2.	JS Stevens, M.M. Bogun, D.J. McMahon et al. Diabetic ketoacidosis and mortality in COVID-19			
215		infection. Diabetes & Metabolism. Volume 47, Issue 6, 2021, 101267, ISSN 1262-3636,			
216		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2021.101267			
217	3.	De Almeida-Pititto B., Dualib P.M., Zajdenverg L., Rodrigues Dantas J., Dias de Souza F.,			
218		Rodacki M. Severity and mortality of COVID-19 in patients with diabetes, hypertension and			
219		cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. <i>Diabetol Metab Syndr.</i> 2020;12:75.			
220	4.	Radan AP, Baud D, Favre G, Papadia A, Surbek D, Baumann M, Raio L. Low placental weight			
221		and altered metabolic scaling after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2			
222		infection during pregnancy: a prospective multicentric study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2022 Feb			
223		10:S1198-743X(22)00076-3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.02.003. Epub ahead of print. PMID:			
224		35150886; PMCID: PMC8828389.			
225	5.	Vouga, M., Favre, G., Martinez-Perez, O. et al. Maternal outcomes and risk factors for COVID-			
226		19 severity among pregnant women. Sci Rep 11, 13898 (2021).			
227		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92357-y			
228	6.	Michael E. Singer, Kevin A. et al. The type 2 diabetes 'modern preventable pandemic' and			
229		replicable lessons from the COVID-19 crisis, Preventive Medicine Reports, Volume 25, 2022,			
230		101636. ISSN 2211-3355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2021.101636.			

231	7. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel, Metzge
232	BE, Gabbe SG, et al. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study group
233	recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia i
234	pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(3):676-682. doi:10.2337/dc09-1848
235	8. Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, et al. Hyperglycemi
236	and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2008;358(19):1991-2002.
237	9. M Cauldwell, Y van-de-L'Isle, I Watt Coote, PJ Steer. Seasonal and SARS-CoV-2 pandem
238	changes in the incidence of gestational diabetes. BJOG 2021. https://doi.org/10.1111/1473
239	<u>0528.16779</u>
240	10. Navand AH, Soltani S, Moghadami M, Hosseini P, Nasimzadeh S, Zandi M. Diabetes an
241	coronavirus infections (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2). J Acute Dis 2020;9:244-7
242	11. C-T Wu, PV. Lidsky, Y Xiao et al. SARS-CoV-2 infects human pancreatic β cells and elicits β ce
243	impairment. Cell Metabolism. Volume 33, Issue 8, 202:
244	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.013.
245	12. Clinical Spectrum COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines (nih.gov)
246	13. B Eskenazi, S Rauch, E lurlaro et al, Diabetes mellitus, maternal adiposity, and insulir
247	dependent gestational diabetes are associated with Covid-19 in pregnancy: The INTERCOVI
248	Study, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology (2022), do
249	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.12.032.
250	14. Radan AP, Aleksandra Polowy J, Heverhagen A et al. Cervico-vaginal placental o
251	macroglobulin-1 combined with cervical length for the prediction of preterm birth in wome
252	with threatened preterm labor. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2020 Mar;99(3):357-363. do
253	10.1111/aogs.13744. Epub 2019 Oct 28. PMID: 31587255.
254	15. Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M et al; for PregCOV-19 Living Systematic Review Consortiun

Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus

disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2020 Sep

1;370:m3320. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3320. PMID: 32873575; PMCID: PMC7459193.

	Cases	Controls	
Characteristics	n= 75	n= 149	P value
	11- 73	11- 143	



258

259

Age		30.76 ± 4.63	30.62 ± 4.48	ns
BMI (kg/m²)		26.27 ± 5.08	25.91 ± 5.03	ns
Parity		1 (0-7)	1 (0-5)	ns
	Caucasian	60 (80)	120 (80)	
	African	11 (14.7)	21 (14.1)	
Ethnicity	South Asia	2 (2.7)	4 (2.7)	ns
	East Asia	1 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	
	Mixed	1 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	
Twins	1	1 (1.3)	4 (2.7)	ns
GDM		26/75 (34.7)	24/149 (16.1)	0.002
SGA/IUGR		9/70 (12.9)	13/139 (9.4)	ns
Preterm delivery		13/75 (17.3)	11/144 (7.6)	0.04
	Spontaneous vaginal delivery	31/66 (47)	69/140 (49.3)	
Mode of	Operative vaginal delivery	6/66 (9.1)	15/140 (10.7)	ns
delivery	Primary cesarean section	19/66 (28.8)	29/140 (20.7)	
	Secondary cesarean section	10/66 (15.2)	27/140 (19.3)	
рНа		7.25 ± 0.078	7.18 ± 0.683	ns
5Min. Apgar score		8.91 ± 1.01	8.82 ± 1.40	ns
Fetal transfer to the ICU		7/66 (10.6)	8/136 (5.9)	ns

Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics and pregnancy outcomes between the two groups

* missing values were excluded from the analysis

Journal President