Duration of protection after vaccination against yellow fever - systematic review and
 meta-analysis

- 3
- 4 Kerstin Kling¹, Cristina Domingo², Christian Bogdan³, Steven Duffy⁴, Thomas Harder¹, Jeremy
- 5 Howick⁴, Jos Kleijnen⁴, Kevin McDermott⁴, Ole Wichmann¹, Annelies Wilder-Smith^{5,6}, Robert
- 6 Wolff⁴
- 7
- 8 ¹ Immunization Unit, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Robert Koch Institute,
- 9 Berlin, Germany
- ² Center for International Health Protection, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany
- ³ Mikrobiologisches Institut Klinische Mikrobiologie, Immunologie und Hygiene, Friedrich
- 12 Alexander Universität (FAU) Erlangen-Nürnberg and Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Erlangen,

13 Germany

- 14 ⁴ Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, York, United Kingdom
- ⁵ Heidelberg Institute of Global Health, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁶ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

17

- 18
- Corresponding author: Kerstin Kling, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Robert
 Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany (<u>klingk@rki.de</u>)

21

23

source: https://doi.org/10.48350/171487 | downloaded: 9.5.2024

22 Running title: Protection after yellow fever vaccine

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. This article is published and distributed under the terms of the Oxford University Press, Standard Journals Publication Model

(https://academic.oup.com/journals/pages/open_access/funder_policies/chorus/standard_publicati on_model) 1

1 Abstract

2 The duration of protection after a single dose of yellow fever vaccine is a matter of debate. To summarize the current knowledge, we performed a systematic literature review and 3 meta-analysis. Studies on the duration of protection after 1 and ≥ 2 vaccine doses were 4 5 reviewed. Data were stratified by time since vaccination. In our meta-analysis, we used random-effects models. We identified 36 studies from 20 countries, comprising over 17,000 6 participants aged 6 months to 85 years. Among healthy adults and children, pooled 7 seroprotection rates after single vaccination dose were close to 100% by 3 months and 8 9 remained high in adults for 5 to 10 years. In children vaccinated before age 2, the seroprotection rate was 52% within 5 years after primary vaccination. For immunodeficient 10 persons, data indicate relevant waning. The extent of waning of seroprotection after yellow 11 fever vaccination depends on age at vaccination and immune status. 12

13

Keywords. yellow fever vaccine; duration of protection; risk group; immunodeficiency;
systematic review

1 Introduction

2 Yellow fever (YF) is a vector-borne disease transmitted by mosquitoes of the Aedes and 3 Haemagogus species. In 2020, 40 countries in Africa and South America were classified as endemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. The case fatality rate with the severe 4 form of YF can reach 60% or more in persons with underlying diseases (such as diabetes 5 mellitus) [2, 3]. Each year, approximately 200,000 YF cases and 30,000 YF deaths occur 6 worldwide [4]. As no licensed drugs are available to treat YF, reduction of disease burden is 7 exclusively accomplished through vaccination and vector control. Despite effective YF 8 vaccines being available since the 1930s, outbreaks continue to occur and the disease has 9 10 spread into new areas during recent decades [5-7]. To date, it is unknown to what extent a lack of seroconversion (primary vaccine failure) and waning immunity (secondary vaccine 11 12 failure) influence the individual risk of YF.

For many years, YF vaccine booster doses were recommended every 10 years for those at 13 14 risk of exposure, including people living in endemic countries and travellers. In 2013, WHO decided that a single dose of the YF vaccine is usually sufficient to confer lifelong protection 15 against YF, except for certain sub-populations such as persons with immunodeficiencies (e.g. 16 HIV). Accordingly, International Health Regulations (IHR) were adapted in 2016 concerning 17 validity of vaccination certificates. Since then, the sufficiency of a single dose for life-long 18 protection has been questioned for various reasons [8-12]. To provide an up-to-date 19 overview of the currently available data and knowledge, we performed a systematic review 20 21 (SR) and meta-analysis on the duration of protection after vaccination against YF.

22

23 Methods

24 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We performed a SR in accordance with the methods recommended by Cochrane (formerly The Cochrane Collaboration) and the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination [13, 14]. Studies were selected for inclusion based on the following criteria:

Population: People living in areas where YF is endemic (list of countries as defined by
 WHO) as well as travellers from non-endemic areas. Subgroups: children,
 adults (≥18 years), older adults (≥60 years), those with any form of

immunodeficiency, pregnant women, and persons who have received a vaccine
 against another flavivirus

- Intervention: Any full single dose of a licenced YF vaccine
- Comparators: Placebo, no vaccine, other vaccine, fractional dose or booster dose(s)
 of YF vaccine alone or with another vaccine against another related viral disease, e.g.
 dengue fever, Japanese encephalitis
- Outcomes: Proportion (%) of individuals with YF; proportion (%) of individuals with
 death due to YF; seropositivity rates e.g. proportion (%) of individuals who are
 seropositive for neutralising antibodies against YF

We included randomised controlled trials (RCT), non-randomized (observational) studies
 with control groups and prospective single-armed observational studies with
 ≥50 participants (non-RCT). Retrospective single arm studies, prospective single arm studies
 with <50 participants and case reports/series were excluded.

14

15 Literature search

16 We performed a systematic literature search in 15 databases (date of last search:17 12.11.2021).

Search strategies combined relevant search terms comprising indexed keywords (e.g., 18 Medical Subject Headings [MeSH]) and free text terms appearing in the titles and/or 19 20 abstracts of database records. Search terms were identified through discussion, by scanning background literature and 'key articles' already known to the project team, and by browsing 21 22 database thesauri. Searches were not limited by language, geographic location, publication status or date of publication. The Embase search strategy was peer reviewed by a second 23 information specialist. After removal of duplicates, 4,800 records remained for further 24 screening based on titles and abstracts. Full details of all search strategies are provided in 25 Supplementary Data. 26

Titles and abstracts identified through electronic database and web searching were independently screened by 2 reviewers. Subsequently, full texts were independently examined by 2 reviewers to determine whether they met the criteria for inclusion in the review (see Supplementary Data for studies excluded at this stage). Any discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion or a 3rd reviewer. The study selection process is detailed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews
 and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [15].

3

4 Data extraction

5 Data extraction forms were individually designed and piloted using Microsoft Excel. Data 6 extraction was performed by 1 reviewer and checked for accuracy by a 2nd reviewer. Any 7 discrepancies were resolved through discussion or through the intervention of a 3rd 8 reviewer. Where necessary and feasible, we requested additional information from the 9 authors (details available upon request).

10

11 Risk of bias assessment

12 Risk of bias in randomised trials (RCT) was assessed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool [16]. 13 For assessment of non-randomised studies we used the JBI (formerly Joanna Briggs Institute) 14 checklist for non-randomised experimental studies [17]. Assessments were made by 2 15 reviewers independently and discrepancies were resolved through discussion or a 3rd 16 reviewer.

17

18 Statistical analyses

A narrative summary of all included studies was prepared in tabular form. Where available,separate data were described for subgroups.

To investigate the duration of vaccine-induced protection against YF, data were stratified according to the follow-up time period after vaccination: \leq 3 months; > 3 months to \leq 5 years; > 5 to \leq 10 years; > 10 to \leq 20 years; > 20 years.

The outcome of interest was the proportion of people who were seropositive at a given time point post-vaccination. The presence of neutralizing antibody titers ≥ 1:10 in the serum neutralization assay (which is the reference test for the detection of humoral immunity to YF) is an established correlate of protection in vaccinated individuals [18-20] for which equivalent efficacy of the 17D- and its 17DD-substrain has been extensively demonstrated [11, 21, 22].

1 Meta-analyses were performed using the metaprop command with exact 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) in R version 4.1.0. Study arms not containing a YF vaccine (i.e. placebo) 2 were excluded. If a study reported results at multiple time points for the same groups of 3 participants, only the results for time-points closest to 3 months, 5 years, 10 years, and 4 20 years, respectively, were included. All analyses have been grouped by the follow-up time 5 period after vaccination. Results for the larger datasets (e.g., for single dose applications and 6 endemic regions) were additionally grouped by study design and person subgroups (adults, 7 8 children, persons with immunodeficiency).

9 This review was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42020223939).

10

11 Results

12 **Characteristics of the included studies**

The systematic literature search revealed a total of 4,800 records. Thirty-six studies [9, 21-(reported in 61 references) met the inclusion criteria (see Supplementary Data). These studies comprised 18 RCT (reported in 32 references), 12 non-randomised comparative studies (non-RCT, reported in 18 references), and 6 single arm studies (reported in 11 references). For the study selection process see PRISMA flow chart in Supplementary Data.

The included studies were conducted during 1993-2019 and entailed about 10,000 19 participants in 11 endemic and about 7,000 participants in 9 non-endemic countries, aged 6 20 months to 85 years (see Table 1 for study characteristics). All but one study in children 21 examined children who received their YF vaccination before the age of 2. Eight studies 22 included participants with immunodeficiencies (including HIV, autoimmune diseases, organ 23 transplantation recipients and patients under immunosuppressive therapy for various 24 reasons). The duration of protection beyond 3 months after YF vaccination was analysed in 25 20 studies. All included studies reported the titers of detectable neutralizing antibodies as 26 surrogate markers for protection. None of the studies reported the proportion of individuals 27 with clinical endpoints such as YF or death due to YF. 28

- 29
- 30

1 Risk of Bias (RoB) assessment

Six of the 36 included studies (4 RCT and 2 non-randomized studies) had a low RoB, while
RoB was high in 23 studies (10 RCT and 13 non-randomized studies). The remaining 7 studies
were of unclear RoB (Supplementary Data).

5

6 **Protection after single dose of YF vaccine**

7 Up to 3 months: A total of 29 studies investigated the protection up to 3 months after a 8 single dose of YF vaccine. In all groups with healthy individuals (adults [16 studies], children 9 [10 studies]), pooled seroprotection rates were close to 100%. In persons with 10 immunodeficiency (3 studies), pooled seroprotection rate was 92% (Table 2 and 11 Supplementary Forest Plots 001-009).

>3 months up to 5 years: Protection up to 5 years was addressed in 15 studies. In adults (8 studies), the pooled seroprotection rate remained as high as 97%. In contrast, the seroprotection rate was 52% in children (3 studies), with all 3 studies being conducted in endemic countries. In persons with immunodeficiency, the pooled seroprotection rate was slightly lower than for the preceding time interval (86%; 4 studies; Table 2 and Supplementary Forest Plots 010-014 and 016-018).

>5 years up to 10 years: Eleven studies addressed protection up to 10 years. In adults from both endemic and non-endemic countries, seroprotection rates were 88% (6 studies). In children, the respective value was 54% (3 studies in endemic settings). Two studies in persons with immunodeficiency in non-endemic settings showed a pooled seroprotection rate of 75% (Table 2 and Supplementary Forest Plots 019-023, 025 and 027).

>10 years up to 20 years: Protection up to 20 years was evaluated in 5 studies. In 4 studies (3
from endemic countries, 1 from a non-endemic country), the pooled seroprotection rate was
71% for healthy adults. No studies were conducted in children. The only study in
immunodeficient persons in a non-endemic country showed a seroprotection rate of 62%
(Table 2 and Supplementary Forest Plots 028-030, 034 and 036).

28 >20 years: The only study for this time period was performed in immunodeficient adults in a
 29 non-endemic setting and showed a seroprotection rate of 94% in 16 of 17 persons who had

been vaccinated prior to immunosuppressive therapy (Table 2 and Supplementary Forest
 Plots 037, 039, 043 and 045).

3

4 Protection after one booster dose of YF vaccine

5 Up to 3 months, seroprotection rates were 98% in adults and 100% in patients with 6 immunodeficiency. Between 3 months and 5 years after the booster dose, one study in an 7 endemic and one in a non-endemic setting reported a pooled seroprotection rate of 92%. Up 8 to 10 years after the booster dose, 3 studies in adults resulted in a pooled seroprotection 9 rate of 88%.

10 Two studies in adults, one of which was performed in an endemic country, reported a 11 pooled seroprotection rate of 86% for 10 to 20 years after booster dose.

For protection >20 years after booster dose, a study in immunodeficient persons receiving corticosteroid therapy showed a seroprotection rate of 88% (Table 3 and Supplementary Forest Plots 046-048, 052, 054, 055-057, 064-066, 073-075, 088 and 090).

15 In children, none of the studies met the inclusion criteria.

16

17 Protection after two or more booster doses of YF vaccine

In two studies which investigated the protection from 3 months to 5 years after multiple booster doses in adults a pooled seroprotection rate of 90% was reported. For >10 years, one study which was performed in a non-endemic setting with patients receiving corticosteroid therapy, demonstrated a seroprotection rate of 100%. The participants had their first YF vaccination before the onset of immunosuppression (Table 4 and Supplementary Forest Plots 100, 101, 124, 126, 133 and 135).

24 **Subgroup analysis: Protection in immunocompromised persons**

In persons with HIV, reduced antibody levels and a faster waning of YF immunity within 10 years were found compared to healthy controls, especially in patients with unsuppressed HIV RNA [44, 47, 55]. In persons with autoimmune diseases, seroprotection rates ranged between 73-85% one month after primary vaccination, depending on the underlying disease [49]. Another study in patients with autoimmune diseases examined the duration of immunity after 1 or more vaccine doses. All seronegative participants had only received a single YF vaccine dose. Those with 2 doses (or more) were seropositive for up to 33 years after the last dose [41] (Table 2, 3 and 4).

5 Subgroup analysis: Protection in persons aged >60 years

Two studies reported on persons >60 years [48, 56]. A group of 28 persons was followed up
10 years after having received their first dose between 60-80 years of age. All of the 22
participants that could be contacted at the end of the observation period maintained a
protective titer 10 years after primary vaccination [56].

10 Subgroup analysis: Cross-reactive antibodies against other flavivirus

In one study with cross-reactive antibodies from a prior vaccination against Japanese
encephalitis, an enhanced YF immunogenicity was detected after YF vaccination [26].
Crossreactive antibodies facilitated immune cell interactions and provoked greater proinflammatory responses.

15 Discussion

This systematic review shows that the YF vaccine confers high rates of seroprotection within 16 17 3 months after primary vaccination. After a single vaccine dose, reduced seroprotection rates were observed 5 and 10 years after vaccination of healthy adults and 3 months to 5 18 years after vaccination of children. There is only scarce data on the persistence of humoral 19 immunity beyond 10 years after a single YF vaccine dose. Beyond 20 years, no studies have 20 been published in healthy adults. In immunodeficient persons, only limited data for different 21 groups are available, which make general statements difficult. However, our subgroup 22 analysis allows the conclusion that waning occurs in all groups examined. In the majority of 23 studies, waning was more pronounced in immunodeficient persons than in healthy adults. 24

25 Only very limited data exist on the effects of booster vaccinations. For the time span beyond 26 20 years, either after a single YF vaccine dose or after booster doses, the only available data 27 are derived from one study with immunodeficient persons. Due to the small sample size and 28 a wide 95% CI, the results are difficult to interpret [41]. Our data revealed no epidemiologically relevant differences between endemic and non endemic settings, suggesting that natural boosters in endemic settings are either rare or do
 not play a major role in maintaining protection.

4 The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization, the principal advisory group to the 5 WHO for vaccines and immunization, concluded in 2013 that YF booster doses are not 6 needed for lifelong protection against YF in immunocompetent persons. The conclusion was 7 based on a SR published in 2013 by Gotuzzo et al. [57]. However, this SR has been criticized for its methodological weaknesses. Other experts questioned the development of long-term 8 protective immunity in a considerable proportion of those vaccinated with only one dose [8, 9 10, 12, 58]. The SR by Gotuzzo et al. mainly relies on retrospective cohort and small 10 observational studies including case reports. 11

Since the data cut of the SR by Gotuzzo et al. [57], 23 additional studies have been published that were incorporated in our meta-analysis. To ascertain high quality evidence, we excluded retrospective studies, case reports and case series. Prospective single-arm studies were only accepted if they comprised ≥50 participants. Moreover, we included studies with different entities of immunodeficiency, such as patients seropositive for HIV (adults and children), patients with autoimmune diseases and organ transplantation recipients.

In persons with immunodeficiency, seroprotection after primary vaccination was only slightly lower than in healthy persons, but appeared to wane faster. Some countries recommend booster doses for patients with some but not all conditions leading to immunodeficiency [59]. The analysis of the various diseases associated with immunodeficiency supports to extend this recommendation to other patient groups with immunodeficiencies, provided that there are no contra-indications for a YF vaccination of the individual.

Persons aged 60 years and older often exhibit immunosenescence, which increases with age but also depends on other factors such as comorbidities. Although in one study all analysed participants aged >60 years still showed a protective YF titer 10 years after primary vaccination, the studied cohort was too small to draw firm conclusions [56].

The only study that measured antibodies against Japanese encephalitis [26] indicated a potential impact of antibodies cross-reactive with the YF virus, but the duration and 10 relevance of this cross-reactivity remains uncertain. In endemic settings with high dengue
 seropositivity, pre-existing antibodies against dengue might lead to an overestimation of the
 seroprotection against YF.

4 One reason for no longer recommending routine booster doses was the extremely low 5 number of reported YF vaccine failures. This rationale can be misleading, since vaccine 6 failures can only be detected upon exposure of the vaccinated person to the YF virus as it 7 occurs during outbreaks or in highly endemic sylvatic areas in Latin America. In addition, an 8 underestimation of vaccine failures can result from insufficient local surveillance, case 9 detection and reporting, especially in endemic countries in Africa, where 90% of all YF cases 10 occur [4].

In Africa, reliable laboratory diagnostics are developing and recent examples, such as the YF
 outbreak in Uganda, might also show secondary vaccine failures [60].

For control and elimination of YF, it is crucial to improve the epidemiological surveillance notonly for vaccine failures, but also for outbreaks [61].

15 Strengths and limitations

Our review has several strengths. It adheres to rigorous methods recommended by relevant 16 bodies such as Cochrane and the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. With the last 17 literature search performed in November 2021, our review reflects the current state of the 18 evidence. With our meta-analysis we provide numerical estimates for protection at different 19 20 time points after vaccination. High risk of bias and statistical heterogeneity of some of the included studies limits the ability to generate firm implications. The limitations associated 21 with a functional assay and the variability among protocols represent a challenge for the 22 comparison of results. As serum neutralization assays are usually only carried out in 23 reference or specialized laboratories for the assessment of the immune response in 24 25 vaccinated individuals, they certainly can provide a robust estimation on the presence of protective immunity against YF. Although we excluded prospective studies with less than 50 26 27 participants, for some study arms the number of subjects was too small to draw firm conclusions, which particularly applies to the studies with immunodeficient persons. Based 28 29 on our data, it is not possible to make definitive statements on the necessity and impact of one or several YF booster doses in immunodeficient patients. 30

1 Conclusions

- 2 A single dose of YF vaccine confers high levels of immunity (as measured by seroprotection
- 3 rates) in healthy adults for up to 10 years, after which waning occurs, thereby increasing the
- 4 risk for secondary vaccine failures. The extent to which immunity wanes depends on the age
- 5 at primary vaccination and immune status.
- 6
- 7 NOTES
- 8

9 **Author contributions.** *KK, TH, JK, and RW developed the protocol and SD developed the*

- 10 search strategy. KK, TH, CD and OW conceptualized the study, developed the PICO question
- and finalized the manuscript. KK, SD, JH, JK, KM, and RW reviewed full-text articles and
- 12 abstracted the data. RW and KK performed the analysis. KK, CD and TH checked data
- 13 extraction. KK wrote the first draft of the manuscript. CB provided immunological expertise
- 14 and contributed to the writing of the paper. AWS contributed to the interpretation of data
- and writing of the final manuscript. TH supervised study conduct and all authors reviewed
 the manuscript for final revisions before submission.
- 17
- 18 Acknowledgments. The authors thank Gerd Burchard, Annette Chalker, Annika Falman,
- 19 Debra Fayter, Carol Forbes, Thomas Ledig, Thomas Mertens, Marianne Röbl-Mathieu, Jonas
- 20 Schmidt-Chanasit, Ursula Wiedermann-Schmidt, and Gill Worthy for their valuable
- 21 *contribution to content.*

- 23 **Financial support**. This research was supported by internal funding from the Center for
- 24 International Health Protection (ZIG) at RKI (paid to Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd).
- 25
- Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts. All authors have submitted
 the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors
- 28 consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed. CD reports an unpaid
- 29 position as Chairman of the WHO EYE (Eliminating Yellow Fever Epidemics) Laboratory
- 30 Technical Working Group.
- 31

1 References

2 WHO. Countries with risk of yellow fever transmission and countries requiring yellow 1. 3 fever vaccination (July 2020). Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/countries-with-risk-of-yellow-fever-4 transmission-and-countries-requiring-yellow-fever-vaccination-(july-2020). Accessed 5 6 04.05.2021. Monath TP, Vasconcelos PF. Yellow fever. Journal of clinical virology : the official 7 2. publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 2015; 64: 160-73. 8 9 3. Ho YL, Joelsons D, Leite GFC, et al. Severe yellow fever in Brazil: clinical characteristics and management. J Travel Med 2019; 26(5). 10 WHO. Vaccines and vaccination against yellow fever. WHO position paper -- June 11 4. 2013. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2013; 88(27): 269-83. 12 Chen LH, Wilson ME. Yellow fever control: current epidemiology and vaccination 5. 13 strategies. Trop Dis Travel Med Vaccines 2020; 6: 1. 14 Rezende IM, Sacchetto L, Munhoz de Mello E, et al. Persistence of Yellow fever virus 15 6. 16 outside the Amazon Basin, causing epidemics in Southeast Brazil, from 2016 to 2018. 17 PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2018; 12(6): e0006538. Zhao S, Musa SS, Hebert JT, et al. Modelling the effective reproduction number of 18 7. vector-borne diseases: the yellow fever outbreak in Luanda, Angola 2015-2016 as an 19 example. PeerJ 2020; 8: e8601. 20 Amanna IJ, Slifka MK. Questions regarding the safety and duration of immunity 8. 21 following live yellow fever vaccination. Expert Rev Vaccines 2016; 15(12): 1519-33. 22 Campi-Azevedo AC, Costa-Pereira C, Antonelli LR, et al. Booster dose after 10 years is 9. 23 recommended following 17DD-YF primary vaccination. Hum Vaccin Immunother 24 25 **2016**; 12(2): 491-502. Plotkin SA. Ten yearly yellow fever booster vaccinations may still be justified. J Travel 26 10. 27 Med 2018; 25(1). Staples JE, Barrett ADT, Wilder-Smith A, Hombach J. Review of data and knowledge 28 11. 29 gaps regarding yellow fever vaccine-induced immunity and duration of protection. npj Vaccines 2020; 5(1): 54. 30 Vasconcelos PF. Single shot of 17D vaccine may not confer life-long protection 12. 31 against yellow fever. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2018; 113(2): 135-7. 32 33 13. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking 34 reviews health care. Available at: in http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/SysRev/!SSL!/WebHelp/SysRev3.htm. 35 Accessed 08.07.2021. 36 14. Higgins J TJ, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page M. Cochrane handbook for 37 systematic reviews of interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019). Cochrane, 2019. 38 39 15. PRISMA Group. PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and 40 Meta-Analyses) statement. Available at: <u>http://prisma-statement.org/</u>. Accessed 41 12.10.2020. 42 16. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019; 366: 14898. 43 Joanna Briggs Institute. Critical appraisal tools for use in JBI systematic reviews: 44 17. checklist for case series. Available at: https://joannabriggs.org/critical-appraisal-45 tools. Accessed 12.10.2020. 46

1	18.	WHO. Correlates of vaccine-induced protection: methods and implications. Available
2		at:
3		https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/84288/WHO_IVB_13.01_eng.pdf.
4		Accessed 17.03.2022.
5	19.	Plotkin SA. Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. Clin Vaccine Immunol
6		2010 ; 17(7): 1055-65.
7	20.	Mason RA, Tauraso NM, Spertzel RO, Ginn RK. Yellow fever vaccine: direct challenge
8		of monkeys given graded doses of 17D vaccine. Appl Microbiol 1973 ; 25(4): 539-44.
9	21.	Camacho LA, Freire Mda S, Leal Mda L, et al. Immunogenicity of WHO-17D and
10		Brazilian 17DD yellow fever vaccines: a randomized trial. Rev Saude Publica 2004;
11		38(5): 671-8.
12	22.	Collaborative Group for Studies of Yellow Fever Vaccine. A randomised double-blind
13		clinical trial of two yellow fever vaccines prepared with substrains 17DD and 17D-
14		213/77 in children nine-23 months old. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2015 ; 110(6): 771-80.
15	23.	Asante KP, Ansong D, Kaali S, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the RTS,S/ASO1
16		malaria vaccine co-administered with measles, rubella and yellow fever vaccines in
17		Ghanaian children: A phase IIIb, multi-center, non-inferiority, randomized, open,
18		controlled trial. Vaccine 2020 ; 38(18): 3411-21.
19	24.	Belmusto-Worn VE, Sanchez JL, McCarthy K, et al. Randomized, double-blind, phase
20		III, pivotal field trial of the comparative immunogenicity, safety, and tolerability of
21		two yellow fever 17D vaccines (Arilvax and YF-VAX) in healthy infants and children in
22		Peru. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005 ; 72(2): 189-97.
23	25.	Campi-Azevedo AC, de Almeida Estevam P, Coelho-Dos-Reis JG, et al. Subdoses of
24		17DD yellow fever vaccine elicit equivalent virological/immunological kinetics
25		timeline. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14: 391.
26	26.	Chan KR, Wang X, Saron WAA, et al. Cross-reactive antibodies enhance live
27		attenuated virus infection for increased immunogenicity. Nat Microbiol 2016; 1:
28	_	16164.
29	27.	Coursaget P, Fritzell B, Blondeau C, Saliou P, Diop-Mar I. Simultaneous injection of
30		plasma-derived or recombinant hepatitis B vaccines with yellow fever and killed polio
31	• •	vaccines. Vaccine 1995 ; 13(1): 109-11.
32	28.	Edupuganti S, Eidex RB, Keyserling H, et al. A randomized, double-blind, controlled
33		trial of the 17D yellow fever virus vaccine given in combination with immune globulin
34		or placebo: comparative viremia and immunogenicity. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2013;
35		88(1): 172-7.
36	29.	Guirakhoo F, Kitchener S, Morrison D, et al. Live attenuated chimeric yellow fever
37		dengue type 2 (ChimeriVax-DEN2) vaccine: Phase I clinical trial for safety and
38		immunogenicity: effect of yellow fever pre-immunity in induction of cross
39		neutralizing antibody responses to all 4 dengue serotypes. Hum Vaccin 2006 ; 2(2):
40	20	60-7.
41	30.	Juan-Giner A, Kimathi D, Grantz KH, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of fractional
42		doses of yellow fever vaccines: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial.
43	21	Lancet 2021 ; 397(10269): 119-27.
44	31.	Lang J, Zuckerman J, Clarke P, Barrett P, Kirkpatrick C, Blondeau C. Comparison of the
45 46		immunogenicity and safety of two 17D yellow fever vaccines. Am J Trop Med Hyg
46 47	27	1999 ; 60(6): 1045-50.
47 48	32.	Lopez P, Lanata CF, Zambrano B, et al. Immunogenicity and Safety of Yellow Fever Vaccine (Stamaril) When Administered Concomitantly With a Tetravalent Dengue
40		
		14

- Vaccine Candidate in Healthy Toddlers at 12-13 Months of Age in Colombia and Peru:
 A Randomized Trial. Pediatr Infect Dis J **2016**; 35(10): 1140-7.
- 3 33. Monath TP, Nichols R, Archambault WT, et al. Comparative safety and 4 immunogenicity of two yellow fever 17D vaccines (ARILVAX and YF-VAX) in a phase III 5 multicenter, double-blind clinical trial. Am J Trop Med Hyg **2002**; 66(5): 533-41.
- A. Nasveld PE, Marjason J, Bennett S, et al. Concomitant or sequential administration of
 live attenuated Japanese encephalitis chimeric virus vaccine and yellow fever 17D
 vaccine: randomized double-blind phase II evaluation of safety and immunogenicity.
 Hum Vaccin 2010; 6(11): 906-14.
- 10 35. Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics S.r.l. Study to evaluate the safety and 11 immunogenicity of travel vaccines when administered concomitantly with 12 meningococcal ACWY conjugate vaccine in healthy adults. Vol. 11. WHO 13 International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP): Novartis Vaccines and 14 Diagnostics S.r.l., **2011**:1056-9.
- 36. Osei-Kwasi M, Dunyo SK, Koram KA, Afari EA, Odoom JK, Nkrumah FK. Antibody
 response to 17D yellow fever vaccine in Ghanaian infants. Bull World Health Organ
 2001; 79(11): 1056-9.
- Roukens AH, Vossen AC, Bredenbeek PJ, van Dissel JT, Visser LG. Intradermally
 administered yellow fever vaccine at reduced dose induces a protective immune
 response: a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial. PLoS One **2008**; 3(4): e1993.
- 38. Stefano I, Sato HK, Pannuti CS, et al. Recent immunization against measles does not
 interfere with the sero-response to yellow fever vaccine. Vaccine 1999; 17(9-10):
 1042-6.
- Avelino-Silva VI, Miyaji KT, Hunt PW, et al. CD4/CD8 Ratio and KT Ratio Predict Yellow
 Fever Vaccine Immunogenicity in HIV-Infected Patients. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2016;
 10(12): e0005219.
- Avelino-Silva VI, Miyaji KT, Mathias A, et al. CD4/CD8 Ratio Predicts Yellow Fever
 Vaccine-Induced Antibody Titers in Virologically Suppressed HIV-Infected Patients. J
 Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 71(2): 189-95.
- Burkhard J, Ciurea A, Gabay C, et al. Long-term immunogenicity after yellow fever
 vaccination in immunosuppressed and healthy individuals. Vaccine 2020; 38(19):
 3610-7.
- 42. Collaborative group for studies on yellow fever vaccines. Duration of immunity in
 recipients of two doses of 17DD yellow fever vaccine. Vaccine 2019; 37(35): 5129-35.
- 43. Campi-Azevedo AC, Peruhype-Magalhaes V, Coelho-Dos-Reis JG, et al. 17DD Yellow
 Fever Revaccination and Heightened Long-Term Immunity in Populations of Disease Endemic Areas, Brazil. Emerg Infect Dis 2019; 25(8): 1511-21.
- Colin de Verdiere N, Durier C, Samri A, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of yellow fever vaccine in HIV-1-infected patients. AIDS 2018; 32(16): 2291-9.
- Kerneis S, Launay O, Ancelle T, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of yellow fever 17D
 vaccine in adults receiving systemic corticosteroid therapy: an observational cohort
 study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2013; 65(9): 1522-8.
- 43 46. Michel R, Berger F, Ravelonarivo J, et al. Observational study on immune response to 44 yellow fever and measles vaccines in 9 to 15-month old children. Is it necessary to 45 wait 4 weeks between two live attenuated vaccines? Vaccine **2015**; 33(20): 2301-6.
- 46 47. Sibailly TS, Wiktor SZ, Tsai TF, et al. Poor antibody response to yellow fever
 47 vaccination in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Pediatr
 48 Infect Dis J **1997**; 16(12): 1177-9.

- 48. Roukens AH, Soonawala D, Joosten SA, et al. Elderly subjects have a delayed antibody
 response and prolonged viraemia following yellow fever vaccination: a prospective
 controlled cohort study. PLoS One **2011**; 6(12): e27753.
- 4 49. Valim V, Machado K, Miyamoto ST, et al. Planned Yellow Fever Primary Vaccination Is
 5 Safe and Immunogenic in Patients With Autoimmune Diseases: A Prospective Non6 interventional Study. Front Immunol **2020**; 11: 1382.
- Campi-Azevedo AC, Reis LR, Peruhype-Magalhaes V, et al. Short-Lived Immunity After
 17DD Yellow Fever Single Dose Indicates That Booster Vaccination May Be Required
 to Guarantee Protective Immunity in Children. Front Immunol **2019**; 10: 2192.
- 51. Domingo C, Fraissinet J, Ansah PO, et al. Long-term immunity against yellow fever in
 children vaccinated during infancy: a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis
 2019; 19(12): 1363-70.
- 13 52. Idoko OT, Domingo C, Tapia MD, et al. Serological Protection 5-6 Years Post
 14 Vaccination Against Yellow Fever in African Infants Vaccinated in Routine
 15 Programmes. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 577751.
- Jia Q, Jia C, Liu Y, et al. Clinical evidence for the immunogenicity and immune
 persistence of vaccination with yellow fever virus strain 17D in Chinese peacekeepers
 deployed to Africa. Antiviral Res 2019; 162: 1-4.
- Kareko BW, Booty BL, Nix CD, et al. Persistence of Neutralizing Antibody Responses
 Among Yellow Fever Virus 17D Vaccinees Living in a Nonendemic Setting. J Infect Dis
 2020; 221(12): 2018-25.
- Veit O, Domingo C, Niedrig M, et al. Long-term Immune Response to Yellow Fever
 Vaccination in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)-Infected Individuals Depends on
 HIV RNA Suppression Status: Implications for Vaccination Schedule. Clin Infect Dis
 2018; 66(7): 1099-108.
- S6. Rosenstein MD, de Visser AW, Visser LG, Roukens AHE. Long-term immunity after a
 single yellow fever vaccination in travelers vaccinated at 60 years or older: A 10-year
 follow-up study. J Travel Med 2021; 28(8).
- 57. Gotuzzo E, Yactayo S, Cordova E. Efficacy and duration of immunity after yellow fever
 vaccination: systematic review on the need for a booster every 10 years. Am J Trop
 Med Hyg **2013**; 89(3): 434-44.
- Visser LG, Veit O, Chen LH. Waning immunity after single-dose yellow fever
 vaccination: Who needs a second shot? J Travel Med **2019**; 26(7).
- Section 259. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. Grading of recommendations, assessment, development, and evaluation (GRADE) for yellow fever vaccine booster doses. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/recs/grade/yf-vac-boost.html</u>. Accessed 19.03.2022.
- WHO. Weekly bulletin on outbreaks and other emergencies. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/352663/OEW13-2127032022.pdf.
 Accessed 03.04.2022.
- 41 61. Petraglia T, Farias P, Sá G, Santos EMD, Conceição DAD, Maia MLS. Vaccine failures:
 42 assessing yellow fever, measles, varicella, and mumps vaccines. Cadernos de saude
 43 publica 2020; 36Suppl 2(Suppl 2): e00008520.

1 Table 1: Characteristics of included studies

Study	Country	Subjects	n	Vaccine specification	Specific Assay	Serology after YF
-	(endemic region					vaccination up to
	Y/N)					_
RCT		Y.				·
Asante et al., 2020 [23]	Ghana (Y)	Children	709	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT50	1 month
Belmusto-Worm et al., 2005 [24]	Peru (Y)	Children	1107	17D (Arilvax, YF-VAX)	LNI	1 month
Camacho et al., 2004 [21]	Brazil (N)	Adults	1087	17D (Amaril), 17DD	PRNT50	1 month
Campi-Azevedo et al., 2014 [25]	Brazil (N)	Adults	590	17DD	PRNT50	1 year
Chan et al., 2016 [26]	Singapur (N)	Adults	70	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT50	1 month
Collaborative Group, 2015 [22]	Brazil (Y)	Children	1966	17D (Amaril), 17DD	PRNT50	1 month
Coursaget et al., 1995 [27]	Senegal (Y)	Children	220	17D (Amaril)	PRNT90	1 month
Edupuganti et al., 2012 [28]	US (N)	Adults	40	17D (YF-VAX)	PRNT90	3 months
Guirakhoo et al., 2006 [29]	US (N)	Adults	42	17D (YF-VAX)	LNI	3 years
Juan-Giner et al., 2021 [30]	Uganda, Kenya (Y)	Adults	960	17D (different vaccines),	PRNT50,	1 year
				17DD	PRNT90; non-	
					inferiority with	
					PRNT50	
Lang et al., 1999 [31]	UK (N)	Adults	185	17D (Stamaril, Arilvax)	PRNT80	1 month
Lopez et al., 2016 [32]	Colombia and	Children	792	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT50	1 month
	Peru (Y)					
Monath et al., 2002 [33]	US (N)	Adults	1440	17D (Arilvax, YF-VAX)	LNI	1 month
Nasveld et al., 2010 [34]	US (N)	Adults	90	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT50	6 months
NÓVARTIS, 2012 [35]	Czech Republic,	Adults	101	17D (Stamaril)	NR	1 month
	Germany (N)					
Osei-Kwasi et al., 2001 [36]	Ghana (Y)	Children	384	17D (Amaril)	microNT	3 months
Roukens et al., 2008 [37]	Netherlands (N)	Adults	175	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT80	1 year
Stefano et al., 1999 [38]	Brazil (Y)	Children	294	17DD	PRNT50	1 month
Non-RCT						
Avelino-Silva et al., 2016* [39]	Brazil (N)	Adults with HIV	63	NR	PRNT50	1 year
Avelino-Silva et al., 2016* [40]	Brazil (N)	Adults with HIV	92	17DD	PRNT50	11 years

S

			/			
Study	Country (endemic region Y/N)	Subjects	n	Vaccine specification	Specific Assay	Serology after YF vaccination up to
Burkhard et al., 2020 [41]	Switzerland (N)	Adults with immunosuppressive therapy	75	NR	PRNT90/PRNT 80	31 years
Campi-Azevedo et al., 2016 [9]	Brazil (Y)	Adults	171	17DD	PRNT50	13 years
Collaborative Group, 2019 [42]	Brazil (Y)	Adults	323	17DD	PRNT50	> 10 years
Collaborative Group, 2019 [43]	Brazil (Y)	Adults	326	17DD	PRNT50	30 years
De Verdiere et al., 2018 [44]	France (N)	Adults with HIV	71	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT80	1 year
Kerneis et al., 2013 [45]	France (N)	Adults under corticosteroids	131	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT	3 months
Michel et al., 2015 [46]	Senegal, French Guyana (N)	Children	284	17D (different vaccines)	PRNT90	2 months
Project RETRO-CI, 1997 [47]	Ivory Coast (Y)	Children with HIV	75	17D (Amaril)	PRNT	> 5 months
Roukens et al., 2011** [48]	Netherlands (N)	Adults	58	17D (Stamaril)	PRNT80	10 years
Valim et al., 2020 [49]	Brazil (Y)	Adults with autoimmune diseases	278	17DD	PRNT50	1 month
Single arm studies						
Campi-Azevedo et al., 2019 [50]	Brazil (Y)	Children	673	17DD	PRNT50	10 years
Domingo et al., 2019 [51]	Ghana, Mali (Y)	Children	1023	17D (Stamaril), 17DD	microNT	6 years
Idoko et al., 2020 [52]	Gambia, Mali (Y)	Children	481	17D (Stamaril), 17DD	microNT	6 years
Jia et al., 2019 [53]	China (N)	Adults	2411	Based on 17D (produced in China)	PRNT50	11 years
Kareko et al., 2018 [54]	US (N)	Adults	92	17D (YF-VAX)	PRNT90	14 years
Veit et al., 2017 [55]	Switzerland (N)	Adults with HIV	247	17D (different vaccines)	PRNT90	10 years

*Personal communication with Dr Avelino-Silva confirmed that "the study populations in these two publications have some overlap but were not exactly the same".
 Participants in Avelino-Silva 2016 [40] were previously vaccinated and referred for booster YF vaccine while some participants in Avelino-Silva 2016 [39] were previously

Participants in Avelino-Silva 2016 [40] were previously vaccinated and referred for booster YF vaccine while some participants in Avelino-Silva 2016 [39] were p
 included in Avelino-Silva 2016 [40] but some were vaccinated for the first time. In this systematic review, the publications were handled as two separate studies.

4 **Updated information is available in Rosenstein et al. [56]

5 PRNT = Plaque reduction Neutralization Test; LNI = log10 Neutralization Index; microNT = microNeutralization Test; HIV = Human Immunodeficiency Virus; NR = not reported

1 Table 2: Protection after single dose of YF vaccine - results of the meta-analysis

Forest Plot	Population	N studies	N data- points	N subjects	Effect estimate	95% CI	l ²
≤3 mor	hths						
001	Adults	16	27	3115	0.98	0.97 to 0.98	64%
002	Endemic	4	7	587	0.97	0.95 to 0.99	0%
003	Non-endemic	12	20	2528	0.98	0.97 to 0.99	68%
004	Children	10	21	5654	0.94	0.90 to 0.96	92%
005	Endemic	10	21	5654	0.94	0.90 to 0.96	92%
006	Non-endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
007	Immunodeficient	3	3	208	0.92	0.65 to 0.98	66%
008	Endemic	1	1	160	0.78	0.71 to 0.84	N/A
009	Non-endemic	2	2	48	0.98	0.84 to 1.00	0%
>3 mor	nths to ≤5 years					I	L
010	Adults	8	13	790	0.97	0.95 to 0.98	0%
011	Endemic	3	6	514	0.98	0.95 to 0.99	0%
012	Non-endemic	5	7	276	0.96	0.93 to 0.98	0%
013	Children	3	4	1208	0.52	0.33 to 0.71	96%
014	Endemic	3	4	1208	0.52	0.33 to 0.71	96%
015	Non-endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
016	Immunodeficient	4	4	198	0.86	0.31 to 0.99	91%
017	Endemic	1	1	18	0.17	0.04 to 0.41	N/A
018	Non-endemic	3	3	180	0.94	0.77 to 0.99	56%
>5 year	s to ≤10 years	1	1	L	I	L	1
019	Adults	6	7	267	0.88	0.78 to 0.93	53%
020	Endemic	2	2	45	0.88	0.75 to 0.95	0%
021	Non-endemic	4	5	222	0.89	0.74 to 0.96	63%
022	Children	3	3	1044	0.54	0.37 to 0.70	97%
023	Endemic	3	3	1044	0.54	0.37 to 0.70	97%
024	Non-endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
025	Immunodeficient	2	2	67	0.75	0.64 to 0.84	0%
026	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
027	Non-endemic	2	2	67	0.75	0.64 to 0.84	0%

Forest Plot	Population	N studies	N data- points	N subjects	Effect estimate	95% CI	l ²
>10 yea	rs to ≤20 years						
028	Adults	4	4	231	0.71	0.62 to 0.79	36%
029	Endemic	3	3	193	0.74	0.63 to 0.82	43%
030	Non-endemic	1	1	38	0.63	0.46 to 0.78	N/A
031	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
034	Immunodeficient	1	1	8	0.62	0.24 to 0.91	N/A
035	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
036	Non-endemic	1	1	8	0.62	0.24 to 0.91	N/A
>20 yea	irs						L
037	Adults	1	1	1	0.00	0.00 to 0.98	N/A
038	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
039	Non-endemic	1	1	1	0.00	0.00 to 0.98	N/A
040	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
043	Immunodeficient	1	1	17	0.94	0.71 to 1.00	N/A
044	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
045	Non-endemic	1	1	17	0.94	0.71 to 1.00	N/A
*No data				l	1	I	L]

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/cid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/cid/ciac580/6646957 by Universitaetsbibliothek Bern user on 25 July 2022

1 Table 3: Protection after one booster dose of YF vaccine - results of the meta-analysis

Forest Plot	Population	N studie s	N data- point s	N sub- jects	Effect estimate	95% CI	12
≤3 mon	ths						
046	Adults	2	2	64	0.98	0.89 to 1.00	0%
047	Endemic	1	1	45	1.00	0.92 to 1.00	N/A
048	Non-endemic	1	1	19	1.00	0.82 to 1.00	N/A
049	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
052	Immunodeficient	1	1	11	1.00	0.72 to 1.00	N/A
053	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
054	Non-endemic	1	1	11	1.00	0.72 to 1.00	N/A
>3 mon	ths to ≤5 years	1	1				
055	Adults	2	2	62	0.92	0.82 to 0.97	0%
056	Endemic	1	1	47	0.91	0.80 to 0.98	N/A
057	Non-endemic	1	1	15	1.00	0.78 to 1.00	N/A
058	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
061	Immunodeficient*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
>5 year	s to ≤10 years						
064	Adults	3	3	258	0.88	0.84 to 0.92	0%
065	Endemic	2	2	249	0.88	0.83 to 0.91	0%
066	Non-endemic	1	1	9	1.00	0.66 to 1.00	N/A
067	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
070	Immunodeficient*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
>10 yea	ars to ≤20 years						
073	Adults	2	2	17	0.86	0.61 to 0.96	0%
074	Endemic	1	1	12	0.83	0.52 to 0.98	N/A
075	Non-endemic	1	1	5	1.00	0.48 to 1.00	N/A
076	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
079	Immunodeficient*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
>20 yea	irs						
082	Adults*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
085	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Forest	Population	N	N	Ν	Effect	95% CI	12
Plot		studie	data-	sub-	estimate		
		S	point	jects			
			S				
088	Immunodeficient	1	1	40	0.88	0.73 to 0.96	N/A
089	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
090	Non-endemic	1	1	40	0.88	0.73 to 0.96	N/A
*No data	1	1	1		1		7

1 Table 4: Protection after two or more booster doses of YF vaccine - results of the meta-analysis

Forest Plot	Population	N studies	N data- points	N subjects	Effect estim ate	95% CI	ا ²
≤3 mon	iths						4
092	Adults*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
095	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
097	Immunodeficient*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
>3 mon	ths to ≤5 years						
100	Adults	2	2	14	0.90	0.62 to 0.98	0%
101	Endemic	2	2	14	0.90	0.62 to 0.98	0%
102	Non-endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
103	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
106	Immunodeficient*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
>5 year	s to ≤10 years			$\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{$			
109	Adults*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
112	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
115	Immunodeficient*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
>10 yea	ars to ≤20 years						
118	Adults*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
121	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
124	Immunodeficient*	1	1	2	1.00	0.16 to 1.00	N/
125	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
126	Non-endemic	1	1	2	1.00	0.16 to 1.00	N/
>20 yea	ars		1	L	1	1	
127	Adults*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
130	Children*	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
133	Immunodeficient	1	1	3	1.00	0.29 to 1.00	N/
134	Endemic	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/
135	Non-endemic	1	1	3	1.00	0.29 to 1.00	N/

2