1 Article, Discoveries

A Phylogenomic Assessment of Processes Underpinning Convergent Evolution in Open-Habitat Chats

5 Niloofar Alaei Kakhki ¹, Manuel Schweizer ^{2,3}, Dave Lutgen ^{3,1,4}, Rauri C. K. Bowie ^{5,6},

6 Hadoram Shirihai², Alexander Suh^{7,8}, Holger Schielzeth^{1,9}, Reto Burri^{4,3,1}

7

8

4

- 9 ¹ Department of Population Ecology, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Friedrich-Schiller-University
- 10 Jena, Jena, Germany
- 11 ² Natural History Museum Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- 12 ³ Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- 13 ⁴ Swiss Ornithological Institute, Sempach, Switzerland
- 14 ⁵ Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, USA
- 15 ⁶ Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, USA
- 16 ⁷ School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom
- ⁸ Department of Organismal Biology Systematic Biology (EBC), Science for Life Laboratory,
 Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
 - ⁹ German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

20 21

19

22 Correspondence

- 23 Niloofar Alaei Kakhki, Department of Population Ecology, Institute of Ecology and Evolution,
- 24 Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Dornburger Str. 159, D-07743 Jena, Germany
- 25 Email: niloofar.alaei.kakhki@uni-jena.de
- 26 Reto Burri, Swiss Ornithological Institute, Seerose 1, CH-6204 Sempach.
- 27 Email: <u>reto.burri@vogelwarte.ch</u>

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1 Abstract

2 Insights into the processes underpinning convergent evolution advance our understanding of the 3 contributions of ancestral, introgressed, and novel genetic variation to phenotypic evolution. 4 Phylogenomic analyses characterizing genome-wide gene tree heterogeneity can provide first clues about the extent of ILS and of introgression and thereby into the potential of these processes or (in 5 6 their absence) the need to invoke novel mutations to underpin convergent evolution. Here, we were 7 interested in understanding the processes involved in convergent evolution in open-habitat chats (wheatears of the genus Oenanthe and their relatives). To this end, based on whole-genome 8 9 resequencing data from 50 taxa of 44 species, we established the species tree, characterized gene 10 tree heterogeneity, and investigated the footprints of ILS and introgression within the latter. The species tree corroborates the pattern of abundant convergent evolution, especially in wheatears. 11 The high levels of gene tree heterogeneity in wheatears are explained by ILS alone only for 30% of 12 internal branches. For multiple branches with high gene tree heterogeneity, D-statistics and 13 phylogenetic networks identified footprints of introgression. Finally, long branches without 14 extensive ILS between clades sporting similar phenotypes provide suggestive evidence for a role of 15 16 novel mutations in the evolution of these phenotypes. Together, our results suggest that convergent evolution in open-habitat chats involved diverse processes and highlight that phenotypic 17 diversification is often complex and best depicted as a network of interacting lineages. 18

19 Introduction

Molecular phylogenetics has unveiled many previously unknown examples of convergent evolution 20 21 - here meant to refer to a *phenotypic pattern* in which non-sister species are phenotypically more 22 similar to each other than to their respective sister species (following Arendt and Reznick 2008; 23 Stern 2013). Under such an evolutionary outcome, species relationships based on morphometrics, coloration, behavior, or other ecological traits are discordant with the history of descent reflected in 24 25 the species tree (Aliabadian et al. 2012; Elmer and Meyer 2011; Jarvis et al. 2014; Martin and 26 Orgogozo 2013; Paterson et al. 2020; Schweizer et al. 2019a; Schweizer et al. 2019b; Stern 2013). 27 While the many observations of such discordances across the tree of life witness of the abundance 28 of convergent evolution, insights into the underlying processes remain more elusive.

Phylogenetic information from genomic data now provides unprecedented power to
consolidate patterns of convergent evolution and obtain insights into the underlying processes.
Many examples of putative convergent evolution are yet based on phylogenies reconstructed from a
restricted number of genetic markers (Aliabadian et al. 2012; Brusatte et al. 2015; Colosimo et al.
2005; Cresko et al. 2004; Stern 2013). Since phylogenetic relationships at different positions in the

1 genome, referred to as 'gene trees', can vary substantially, many gene trees inevitably deviate from 2 the species' history of descent reflected in the species tree (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006; Toews and 3 Brelsford 2012). Hence, the mismatch of single gene trees with phenotypic similarities alone does 4 not provide conclusive evidence for convergent evolution (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006; Doyle 5 1997; Lamers et al. 2012). Confirming instances of convergent evolution, therefore, requires species 6 tree reconstructions from genome-wide variation. Once the evidence for convergent evolution is 7 corroborated by the species tree, we can move forward to investigate the processes underlying gene 8 tree heterogeneity that may also underpin convergent evolution.

9 Convergent evolution can occur via three processes: First, phenotypic similarities can evolve through independent mutations in the same or different genes ("parallel evolution" sensu Stern 10 2013) (Arendt and Reznick 2008; Martin and Orgogozo 2013; Stern 2013). In Mexican cavefish 11 12 (Astyanax mexicanus), for instance, the evolution of decolored brown phenotypes and albinism in separate caves occurred through different mutations in the MC1R and OCA2 genes (Gross et al. 13 14 2009; Protas et al. 2006; Stahl and Gross 2015). Similarly, in plants, isoforms of PEPC found in C4 15 photosynthesis, and similar floral traits important for pollination have evolved multiple times 16 independently (Besnard et al. 2009; Christin et al. 2007; Hoballah et al. 2007; Preston and Hileman 2009; Whittall et al. 2006). 17

The second, and likely most frequent process leading to convergent evolution that also 18 19 accounts for most gene tree heterogeneity is incomplete lineage sorting (ILS; Stern 2013 includes this under "collateral evolution"), that is, the retention of alleles and traits that were already present 20 in the ancestral lineage (Colosimo et al. 2005; Cresko et al. 2004; Stern 2013; Van Belleghem et al. 21 22 2018). ILS is prevalent in radiations characterized by large effective population sizes and fast succession of speciation events, such as in the evolution of neoavian birds (Jarvis et al. 2014; Suh 23 24 2016; Suh et al. 2015) or in the diversification of sticklebacks (Colosimo et al. 2005; Jones et al. 2012; Roberts Kingman et al. 2021). In such cases, a high proportion of ancestral variation may be 25 26 retained over subsequent species splits and segregate in the independently segregating gene pools 27 of daughter species (Maddison 1997). Selection or drift in non-sister species may fix the same 28 genotype (and phenotype), while sister species may fix a different genotype/phenotype. For 29 instance in Humans 1% of the genome is genetically more similar to orangutans than to chimps due 30 to ILS, even though these primates are characterized by small effective population sizes (Hobolth et al. 2011). 31

Third, in hybridizing lineages, convergent evolution and gene tree heterogeneity may be underpinned by introgression (the exchange of genetic material between species) that mingles genotypes and phenotypes among species (Stern 2013 includes this under "collateral evolution")

1 (Heliconius Genome Consortium 2012; Malinsky et al. 2018; Song et al. 2011; Stryjewski and 2 Sorenson 2017). In particular, introgression between non-sister species may result in these species 3 being phenotypically more similar than they are to their respective sister species, such as 4 exemplified by wing-pattern mimicry in *Heliconius* butterflies (Edelman et al. 2019; Pardo-Diaz et al. 5 2012), and by plumage coloration of *Munia* finches and of members of the Black-eared Wheatear (Oenanthe hispanica) complex (Schweizer et al. 2019a; Stryjewski and Sorenson 2017). Importantly, 6 in an increasing number of instances, such as in *Heliconius* butterflies, Yellowstone wolves, Darwin's 7 8 finches, and cichlid fish, introgression has exchanged alleles between species and resulted in the 9 formation of beneficial phenotypes (Enciso-Romero et al. 2017; Genner and Turner 2012; Grant et al. 2005; Lamers et al. 2012; Wallbank et al. 2016). Given that over the last decade genomic studies 10 have contributed increasing evidence for the abundance of such adaptive introgression, 11 hybridization (the interbreeding of different species) may underpin convergent evolution more 12 often than previously appreciated (Campagna et al. 2017; Han et al. 2017; Marques et al. 2019a; 13 14 Meier et al. 2018).

Multiple factors influence which of these processes were most likely involved in specific 15 16 cases of convergent evolution. These factors include the evolutionary time scale under consideration, the speed at which successive speciation events occurred, effective population sizes, 17 18 and the opportunity for genetic exchange according to biogeographic history. Waiting times for 19 beneficial mutations are long (Barrett and Schluter 2008; Hedrick 2013; Hermisson and Pennings 2005). Independent mutations with the same phenotypic effect are thus usually exceedingly rare 20 (Eyre-Walker and Keightley 2007) and only over the course of millions of years may occur in 21 22 sufficient number to be a source of convergent evolution (Hedrick 2013; but see Xie et al. 2019). Therefore, at short evolutionary time scales, convergent evolution may more often involve the 23 24 recruitment of standing genetic variation (Barrett and Schluter 2008), notably from the pool of 25 ancestral variation segregating in extant species, or variation introgressed from other species (Stern 2013); especially since in young lineages ancestral variation is still segregating and because 26 27 reproductive isolation may still be incomplete between young species.

Phylogenomics can provide important indirect insights into the potential contribution of ILS, introgression, and novel mutations to convergent evolution: First, the species tree provides initial clues on whether speciation events occurred over short enough time scales for ancestral variation to be passed to descent lineages and thus remain incompletely sorted in important proportions beyond speciation events. Second, insights into the extent of ILS and presence of introgression can be gained from levels of gene tree heterogeneity (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006; Funk and Omland 2003; Jarvis et al. 2014; Nater et al. 2015; Suh 2016; Suh et al. 2015) and symmetries of gene tree

1 frequencies (Hibbins and Hahn 2022). Gene tree heterogeneity is high under both ILS and 2 introgression, but the two processes leave different proportions of alternative gene trees, based on 3 which they can be distinguished (Hibbins and Hahn 2022; Sayyari and Mirarab 2018; Sayyari et al. 4 2018). In the presence of extensive ILS or of introgression, a parsimonious approach attributes the 5 source of convergent evolution to these processes, even though independent mutations cannot be excluded as the source of convergent evolution (Colosimo et al. 2005; Cresko et al. 2004; Pardo-Diaz 6 7 et al. 2012; Stryjewski and Sorenson 2017). The absence of detecting these processes, conversely, 8 would indirectly suggest novel mutations as a potential source of convergent evolution. Therefore, 9 surveys of gene tree heterogeneity and symmetries of gene tree proportions represent a promising avenue to probe the potential of the alternative processes to contribute to convergent evolution. 10

Here, we reconstructed the species tree and assessed the contribution of ILS and 11 12 introgression to gene tree heterogeneity in open-habitat chats (genera Campicoloides, Emarginata, Myrmecocichla, Oenanthe, Pinarochroa and Thamnolaea), a monophyletic group of songbirds 13 14 displaying a high incidence of convergent evolution (Aliabadian et al. 2012; Mayr and Stresemann 1950; Schweizer et al. 2019a; Schweizer et al. 2019b). The phylogenetic relationships among open-15 16 habitat chats inferred from mitochondrial data were entirely unexpected from a morphological perspective (Aliabadian et al. 2012). Species similar in plumage coloration and other traits were 17 often spread far apart across the mitochondrial phylogeny, suggesting convergent evolution of 18 19 phenotypic similarities (Aliabadian et al. 2012; Outlaw et al. 2010; Schweizer and Shirihai 2013; Schweizer et al. 2019a; Schweizer et al. 2019b). For a limited subset of species studied, genome-20 21 wide variation (ddRAD data) confirmed the mitochondrial relationships (Schweizer et al. 2019b). 22 Furthermore, hybridization resulted in substantial introgression in the Oenanthe hispanica complex (Schweizer et al. 2019a) and is suspected to have played a role in phenotypic and species evolution 23 24 in the O. picata complex (Panov 2005). In these instances, introgression between non-sister taxa may well explain convergent evolution. However, genomic data is essential to corroborate and 25 refine the species tree and assess the incidence of ILS and/or introgression across open-habitat 26 27 chats.

Based on whole-genome resequencing data from 50 taxa of 44 open-habitat species (**Tab. S1**), we aimed to obtain insights into the potential roles of alternative processes in driving convergent evolution in these songbirds. To this end, we (i) reconstructed the species tree, (ii) estimated gene tree variation across the genome, and (iii) explored ILS and introgression as drivers of the underlying high gene tree heterogeneity. Our results reveal a comprehensive picture of openhabitat chat evolution involving high rates of ILS and multiple instances of introgression particularly in wheatears (genus *Oenanthe*). Footprints of ILS and introgression as well as considerable divergence times between the main clades of wheatears with convergent evolution
 suggest that, most likely, a combination of ILS, introgression, and novel mutations explains the
 convergent evolution observed in wheatears.

4

5 **Results**

6 Sampling, Nuclear Data Preparation, and Mitogenome Assembly

7 To achieve an almost complete taxon sampling, we resequenced the genomes of 50 open-habitat 8 chat taxa from 44 of 47 recognized species (Fig. 1; Tab. S1). A Saxicola maurus genome was 9 included as outgroup (Sangster et al. 2010; Zuccon and Ericson 2010). We mapped the sequencing reads to the reference genome assembly of *Oenanthe melanoleuca* (Peona et al. 2022) and followed 10 GATK best practices for nuclear data preparation. Mapping efficiency was not correlated with the 11 degree of divergence from the reference genome, but data obtained from DNA extracted off museum 12 skins mapped at a lower percentage (linear model, d_{XY} : t=-0.41, p=0.68; tissue_{museum}: t=-6.56, 13 p<0.001; $R^2=0.53$). After mapping, sequencing coverage ranged from 4.6 x to 40.6 x, with an average 14 coverage of 12.2 x ± 6.2 x (Tab. S1). We extracted mitochondrial sequence data for all 13 protein-15 coding genes and two rRNA genes from the resequencing data using MitoFinder 1.2 (Allio et al. 16 2020). To ensure that results did not depend on filtering strategy, all analyses were run with four 17 sets of differently filtered data (see Material and Methods). 18

19 Species Tree Reconstruction Based on Nuclear Genomic Data

20 We first set out to reconstruct and root the species tree based on regions of the genome least likely affected by mapping biases. To this end, we extracted data from genomic intervals hosting avian 21 Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO). This resulted in data from 7,335 BUSCO, 22 23 with alignment lengths varying from 89,898 kb to 140,640 kb (depending on filtering strategy) for 24 ML analyses of concatenated data, respectively 2,091 BUSCO with alignment lengths varying from 10,575 kb to 15,290 kb for LD-pruned data free of interlocus recombination for multispecies 25 26 coalescent-based species tree reconstruction. Results were consistent among filtering strategies. 27 Hence, we only report results based on the most stringent filtering of read depth (ii, minimum read depth, DP=5; minimum percentage of the window covered by data, PW=50%; missing data per site, 28 29 MD=15%). Both, maximum likelihood (ML) analyses in IQtree2 based on concatenated data and multi-species coalescent analyses in ASTRAL-III (based on BUSCO ML gene trees) established sub-30 Saharan species of the genera Campicoloides, Emarginata, Myrmecocichla, Pinarochroa, and 31

Thamnolaea as the sister clade to all other open-habitat chats (Fig. S1a). For the subsequent
 analyses we excluded the *Saxicola* outgroup and rooted the trees on the sub-Saharan clade.

3 We then moved to reconstruct the species tree based on an as broad representation of the 4 genome as possible. To this end, we extracted alignments including variant and invariant sites for 5 non-overlapping 10 kb windows. We henceforth refer to these windowed data as "loci". Analyses 6 included only loci that fulfilled filtering criteria for read depth, alignment length, data missingness (see Material and Methods), and absence of evidence for intra-locus recombination. Furthermore, 7 8 we sub-sampled filtered loci to be at least 10 kb apart to ensure free inter-locus recombination. 9 Depending on filtering strategy, this left us with 5,267-6,791 loci with total alignment lengths of 34,556-52,243 kb (**Tab. S2**). We identified branches in the "anomaly zone" (Degnan and Rosenberg 10 2006) in several clades of wheatears: in the *hispanica* and *picata* complexes, and in the *isabellina* 11 clade (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, the polytomy test based on local quartet supports in ASTRAL-III 12 showed no evidence for polytomies in the species tree (P = 0 for all branches). The ML tree based on 13 14 concatenated data and the multi-species coalescent-based species tree were fully supported and in 15 agreement both with each other (except the position of T. cinnamomeiventris within the sub-16 Saharan clade) and with the tree based on BUSCO (Fig. S1b). Finally, a SNP-based species tree estimated in SVDquartets mostly confirmed the sequence-based results (Fig. S2). The only three 17 disagreements (position of *O. leucura* and *O. leucopygia*, position of *O. bottae* and *O. pileata*, and 18 19 position of *T. cinnamomeiventris*) were poorly supported in the SNP-based analysis and are likely a result of high levels of ILS under which sequence-based approaches are more accurate than 20 approaches based on SNP data alone (Chou et al. 2015). 21

22 Mitogenomic Relationships and Mito-Nuclear Discordances

We were interested in whether previously inferred relationships based predominantly on single
mitochondrial genes (Alaei Kakhki et al. 2016; Aliabadian et al. 2012; Schweizer and Shirihai 2013)
were supported by full mitogenomes and in inferring mito-nuclear discordances.

26 Mitogenomic relationships were in remarkable agreement with previously inferred 27 phylogenetic relationships based predominantly on individual mitochondrial genes (Alaei Kakhki et 28 al. 2016; Aliabadian et al. 2012; Schweizer and Shirihai 2013), yet showed several discordances 29 with the species tree recovered from nuclear data (Fig. 2). Mito-nuclear discordances in wheatears 30 were found in several places across the species tree but were mostly restricted to the placements of 31 tip taxa: (i) In the *lugens* complex, nuclear data placed *O. l. persica* within the complex, whereas the 32 mitogenome placed it with O. xanthoprymna and O. chrysopygia. (ii) In the picata complex, O. 33 albonigra that by mitochondrial data was considered a sister taxon to the picata complex, was placed within the latter as a sister taxon to the phenotypically almost identical O. p. picata by 34

1 nuclear data. (iii) In the hispanica complex, O. cypriaca was placed as sister to either O. melanoleuca 2 or O. pleschanka in nuclear and mitogenomic data respectively. (iv) In the isabellina clade, O. 3 *heuglini* as sister to either *O. isabelline* or *O. bottae* by nuclear or mitogenomic data, respectively. (v) 4 Moreover, O. leucura and O. leucopyga formed a sister clade to the O. lugubris/lugentoides clade 5 according to the nuclear species tree, but mitogenomes placed them consecutively at the root of the 6 clade including *O. finschi* and the *lugens* complex. To understand whether nuclear gene trees were entirely discordant with mitogenomic relationships or in part reflected the latter, for each of the 7 8 above discordances we checked for nuclear gene trees that agreed with the mitogenomic tree. This 9 showed that for most of the mitonuclear discordances, roughly 15% of the gene trees agreed with the mitogenomic relationships (picata complex: 14.40%, 4,282 of 29,730 gene trees; hispanica 10 complex: 13.13%, 3,905 of 29,730 gene trees; *isabellina* clade: 15.71%, 4,671 of 29,730 gene trees; 11 12 *lugens* complex: 2.77%, 824 of 29,730 gene trees).

13 Time trees

14 In addition to species' relationships, we were interested in understanding the time scales at which species diverged. Due to the lack of appropriate fossils, we resorted to first estimating a time-15 calibrated mitochondrial phylogeny based on the 13 mitochondrial protein-coding genes, for which 16 substitution rates are available (Lerner et al. 2011). The analysis in BEAST 2.6.6 showed high 17 convergence of all parameters in three independent runs after 25% of the trees were discarded as 18 19 burn-in (ESS >300). The results showed a high number of previous results obtained from single 20 genes (Alaei Kakhki et al. 2016), dating the origin of open-habitat chats to the Miocene about 5.67 21 million years ago (mya) (95% highest posterior density (HPD): 5.32–6.06 mya). The diversification 22 of wheatears (genus *Oenanthe*) started about 5.09 mya (95% HPD: 4.75–5.44 mya) (Fig. 1, Fig. S3).

We then used the diversification time of the open-habitat chats estimated from 23 24 mitochondrial data as a time constraint in dating analyses based on nuclear data. For these analyses, 25 we first provided the topology and branch lengths obtained from ML analyses of concatenated 26 BUSCO data along with 1.8 Mb high-confidence nuclear data (see Material and Methods) to generate 27 the time-calibrated tree with RelTime-ML (Kumar et al. 2018). Compared to the mitochondrial 28 results, the nuclear data mostly estimated similar divergence times between clades and shorter 29 divergence times within clades (Pearson's r=0.93, p<0.001) (Fig. 1, Fig. S3). Second, we performed dating analyses for windowed loci across the genome the same way as for BUSCO by providing 3.8 30 31 Mb high-confidence data. Divergence times based on BUSCO strongly correlated with ones 32 estimated from windowed loci (Pearson's r=0.99, p<0.001) (Fig. S4). A test in which we re-ran the 33 estimation of mitochondrial divergence times in RelTime-ML the same way as for nuclear data yielded the same divergence times as estimated in BEAST, thus confirming that differences in 34

divergence times between mitochondrial and nuclear data are not due to the approach but reflect
 the different data types.

3 Extensive Gene Tree Heterogeneity

Having established the species tree, we aimed to quantify the levels of gene tree heterogeneity in
wheatears to understand whether the processes generating gene tree heterogeneity could underly
convergent evolution in this core group of open-habitat chats that displays the highest incidence of
convergent evolution.

8 Several lines of evidence demonstrate extensive gene tree heterogeneity in wheatears. 9 Remarkably, not a single gene tree out of 29,730 gene trees matched the species tree. Furthermore, 10 many branches of the species tree – including ones with local posterior probability 1 – showed a high number of conflicting bipartitions compared to concordant bipartitions, as evidenced by low 11 12 Internode Certainty All (ICA) scores (Fig. S5), with ICA ranging from 1 to 0.35 and average ICA of 13 0.65 ± 0.19 (mean \pm standard deviation). The high gene tree heterogeneities highlighted by ICA 14 were further supported by low percentages of gene trees recovering the topology of the species tree at these internodes, as estimated by the gene concordance factor (gCF) (Fig. 1) that ranged from 1 15 to 0.06 with an average of 0.52 ± 0.30 (mean \pm standard deviation). ICA and gCF were highly 16 correlated (Pearson's r=0.94, p<0.001) (Fig. S5). As expected, evidence for extensive gene tree 17 heterogeneity was highest in clades with branches classified as within the phylogenetic anomaly 18 zone. These included the lugens, picata, and hispanica complexes, the isabellina clade, and the 19 20 placement of *O. leucopyga* and *O. leucura*.

21 Contributions of ILS to Gene Tree Heterogeneity

Next, we aimed to understand to which extent the levels of gene tree heterogeneity observed in wheatears can be explained by ILS alone. To this end, we first tested whether the multi-species coalescent without hybridization adequately explains the gene tree heterogeneity observed across the entire species tree. The Tree Incongruence Checking in R (TICR) test (Stenz et al. 2015) showed an excess of outlier quartets (p < 0.01), indicating that a model including ILS but not introgression does not adequately explain the observed gene tree heterogeneity. This suggests that introgression occurred during the evolutionary history of wheatears.

Therefore, we moved on to infer for each branch in the species tree separately whether ILS alone may explain the level of gene tree heterogeneity. To this end, for each internal branch, we estimated the number of gene trees supporting the first and second alternative topologies, based on the rationale that under ILS the first and second alternative gene tree topologies should be supported by an equal number of gene trees (Sayyari and Mirarab 2018). We identified 11 out of 37 internal branches (30%) for which the number of gene trees supporting the two alternative
topologies were not significantly different (colored branches in Fig. 1). At these 11 internal
branches, ILS alone can thus explain gene tree heterogeneity, while asymmetries at the other 26
internal branches may need to invoke other processes.

5 Contributions of Introgression to Gene Tree Heterogeneity

6 Given that gene tree heterogeneity at many branches could not be explained by ILS alone, we set out 7 to infer footprints of introgression across wheatears. To this end, we first applied the approach 8 based on D-statistics (Durand et al. 2011) implemented in Dsuite, using > 58 million biallelic SNPs. 9 This approach estimates D and f4 statistics across all possible combinations of trios in wheatears and then performs an f-branch test to assign gene flow to specific internal branches. The f-branch 10 test suggested multiple events of introgression (Fig. 3), namely between: (i) O. halophila and the 11 12 ancestor of O. lugens lugens and O. warriae, (ii) O. xanthoprymna and the ancestor of the lugens 13 complex, (iii) O. leucopyga and the ancestor of the O. lugubris/lugentoides clade, (iv) O. picata capistrata and the ancestor of O. picata picata and O. albonigra, and (v) O. melanoleuca and O. 14 pleschanka. 15

Finally, we corroborated the evidence for introgression in the *hispanica*, *lugens*, and *picata* 16 17 complexes with multi-species coalescent network analyses in phyloNet, allowing for 0-5 introgression events. According to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), models involving 18 19 reticulation events better fit the data than strictly bifurcating trees in all three complexes (Tab. S3). In the *lugens* complex, two introgression events were detected: between *O. xanthoprymna* and the 20 ancestor of O. lugens (y=49%), and between O. halophila and the O. lugens lugens-O. warriae 21 ancestor (γ =25%) (Fig. 4). One introgression event was detected in the *picata* complex, between *O*. 22 23 *picata capistrata* and the ancestor of *O. picata picata* and *O. albonigra* (γ =8%) (Fig. 4). In the 24 hispanica complex, the highest-scoring network involved two introgression edges: one between 0. 25 melanoleuca and O. pleschanka (γ =17%), and one between O. hispanica and the O. cypriaca-26 *melanoeuca* ancestor (γ =1%) (**Fig. 4**).

27 **Discussion**

The present study provides first genomic insights into the speciation history of open-habitat chats and into the processes involved in shaping gene tree heterogeneity that may also underpin the high incidence of convergent evolution in this group of songbirds. Our analyses reveal unambiguous species relationships despite considerable gene tree heterogeneity, including several mito-nuclear discordances that result from a combination of ILS and introgression. These relationships reconstructed from genomic data provide the strongest evidence yet for abundant convergent
 evolution in open-habitat chats, exemplified for three phenotypes in Fig. 1.

3 We first discuss how mito-nuclear discordances and incidences of introgression together 4 with known histories of hybridization and biogeography mold into a comprehensive picture of 5 open-habitat chat evolution. We close by concluding based on the indirect evidence presented here 6 that convergent evolution in open habitat chats likely involved a combination of ILS, introgression and novel mutations in independent lineages. Together, our results paint a picture of genomic and 7 8 phenotypic evolution that is in part marked by the sharing of ancestral variation and an exchange of 9 genetic variation between species. Our study therefore contributes to the increasing body of evidence that phenotypic and species evolution not only proceed from novel mutations but 10 abundantly reuse genetic variation present in ancestral and related species (Marques et al. 2019b; 11 12 Meier et al. 2018; Seehausen et al. 2014).

Mito-nuclear discordances, patterns of introgression, hybridization history, and biography mold into a coherent picture of complex open-habitat chat evolution

15 The species relationships inferred from nuclear genomic data were in good agreement with previous phylogenies based predominantly on single mitochondrial markers (Aliabadian et al. 2012; 16 Schweizer and Shirihai 2013) and thereby confirmed the biogeographic history of open-habitat 17 chats (Alaei Kakhki et al. 2016). Still, we recovered several species relationships discordant 18 19 between the nuclear genome and the mitogenome (Toews and Brelsford 2012). In the light of (i) the histories of introgression also uncovered here, (ii) the previously known hybridization history 20 21 deduced from observed instances of hybridization, and (iii) the here confirmed biogeography, most of these mito-nuclear discordances can be well embedded in a coherent history of open-habitat 22 23 evolution.

24 The close nuclear relationship of *O. albonigra* with the nominate subspecies *O. p. picata* is in 25 stark contrast with the mitochondrial divergence of *O. albonigra* with all *O. picata* subspecies about 0.5 mya (Fig. 4a). However, as an exception for wheatears, even from a perspective of plumage 26 27 coloration, the nuclear species tree implies a more parsimonious history of phenotypic evolution, as 28 *Q. albonigra* and *O. p. picata* display almost identical plumages. The high mitochondrial similarity of 29 all subspecies currently treated under *O. picata* according to Panov (2005) may be a result of 30 introgressive hybridization. Indeed, the high abundance of admixed phenotypes in zones of contact 31 between the members of this species complex (Panov 2005) suggests a high incidence of 32 hybridization. Different from the *hispanica* complex, where taxa meet in restricted zones, lineages of 33 the *picata* complex all mold together in a relatively large area in southern Central Asia, and their

degree of reproductive isolation is largely unknown. Further population genomic insights are
 required from the *picata* complex to obtain detailed insights into its history of hybridization and
 phenotypic evolution.

4 The evolution of the *lugens* complex was marked by two incidences of introgression that 5 likely underpin the mito-nuclear discordance observed in this complex (Fig. 4b). Introgression occurred between O. xanthoprymna and the O. lugens ancestor and between north-African O. 6 7 halophila and the middle eastern O. l. lugens-O. warriae ancestor. Both incidences of introgression 8 make sense in the light of biogeography, as they occurred between geographically neighboring taxa 9 (Fig. 4b). Together they can explain the close mitochondrial relationship of O. l. persica with O. xanthoprymna and O. chrysopygia: O. xanthoprymna mitochondria were introduced into the O. lugens 10 ancestor by hybridization and may at first have segregated in the O. lugens lineage but then have 11 been lost in O. halophila. Mitochondrial replacement with O. halophila variation upon genetic 12 exchange of the latter taxon with the O. l. lugens-O. warriae ancestor would have left O. l. persica the 13 14 only taxon with a O. xanthoprymna-like mitogenome. Importantly, our results shed first genomic light on the divergence of Basalt Wheatear (*O. warriae*), a species with a very restricted range that is 15 16 interesting from the perspective of phenotypic evolution: this species turns out to be highly similar to *O. l. lugens* at the genomic level, which contrasts with its marked phenotypic divergence (Fig. 4b). 17 This result is similar to the situation observed, for instance, in Hooded and Carrion Crows (Corvus 18 cornix and *C. corone*, respectively) (Poelstra et al. 2014) and opens interesting questions on the 19 20 evolutionary history of this taxon's coloration.

Finally, in the *hispanica* complex, the incomplete sorting of mitochondrial variation was previously well documented (Alaei Kakhki et al. 2018; Randler et al. 2012), and footprints of introgression came as no surprise: The complex is characterized by pervasive hybridization of *O. melanoleuca* with *O. pleschanka* in several geographic regions (Haffer 1977; Panov 1992) and population genomic analyses suggest rates of introgression of up to almost 20% between these species (Schweizer et al. 2019a). Research is underway to uncover the detailed histories of hybridization in this Eurasian wheatear complex.

The thus far discussed mito-nuclear discordances were all accompanied with high levels of gene tree heterogeneity (most within the phylogenetic anomaly zone). However, most of these cases were not explained by ILS alone but went along with footprints of introgression. Still, part of the observed mito-nuclear discordances might well be a consequence of ILS. In the *picata* complex, for instance, lineage divergence occurred in rapid succession (**Fig. 1**), and ILS might well be an alternative explanation for the mitochondrial divergence of the *O. albonigra* mitogenome. In addition, in the clade including *O. heuglinii* and the very widespread *O. isabellina*, species split in fast
 succession and the high levels of ILS likely explain the observed mito-nuclear discordance.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that the speciation history of open-habitat chats is similarly complex as their phenotypic evolution. Multiple events of introgression at both extant and ancestral time scales, along with abundant ILS, contributed to reticulate evolution and thus a mosaic of genomic variation in several clades of wheatears. Our study thus adds to an increasing number of examples (Enciso-Romero et al. 2017; Han et al. 2017; Lamichhaney et al. 2018; Meier et al. 2017) highlighting that species diversification is often complex and rather than by a linear process is at least in part a network of interacting lineages (Marques et al. 2019b)

10 Diverse routes to convergent evolution in open-habitat chats

11 The reconstruction of relationships among open-habitat chats using genomic data has a deep impact 12 on our understanding of phenotypic evolution in these songbirds: the species tree provides firm 13 evidence for an extraordinary incidence of convergent evolution (Fig. 1). For numerous traits, including plumage coloration, sexual dimorphism, and migration behavior, not related species 14 display more similar phenotypes than sister species (Fig. 1). Almost entirely black plumages, for 15 instance, evolved in five clades (O. picata opistholeuca, O. warriae, O. leucura, female M. monticola, 16 17 and juvenile *O. leucopyga*), and sexually monomorphic female-type plumage is found in another five clades (O. chrysopygia, O. fusca, the O. melanura clade, the O. isabellina clade, and in the sub-Saharan 18 19 clade), to name just two out of many examples.

Furthermore, our results suggest (directly for introgression and ILS, indirectly for novel mutations) that convergent evolution in open-habitat chats is unlikely explained by a single process but may need to invoke all three processes (Hedrick 2013; Konečná et al. 2021; Montejo-Kovacevich et al. 2021; Natarajan et al. 2015; Pease et al. 2016), with the most likely processes depending on both demography and the phylogenetic scale.

25 For ILS to substantially contribute to convergent evolution, species must usually diverge in 26 fast succession and maintain critically high effective population sizes to pass on ancestral variation 27 and maintain it in daughter lineages. In open-habitat chats, such fast radiations occurred predominantly at rather recent time scales. The shortest split intervals are observed (in increasing 28 29 order) in the *picata*, *hispanica*, and *lugens* complexes (Fig. 1). However, convergent evolution of 30 species in the *lugens* complex and of the *picata* complex is only found with other clades but not 31 within the complexes. Given that the levels of ILS at the root of the *lugens* complex are restricted, ILS 32 is unlikely to have contributed to convergent evolution with other clades of wheatears sporting, for 33 instance, similar plumages (see for instance the aforementioned example including *O. warriae*). Convergent evolution is, however, observed for back and neck-side coloration in the *hispanica* complex (Schweizer et al. 2019a), and could be explained by ILS of ancestral variation.

3 Likewise, introgression would need to have happened between taxa with similar phenotype to 4 explain convergent evolution. Our analyses indeed uncovered several instances of in part 5 substantial introgression (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). However, despite suggesting that introgression upon hybridization provided the opportunity to exchange phenotypes between species, none of the 6 inferred introgression events can be tied to concrete examples of convergent evolution. This raises 7 8 the question, whether the methods applied here are underpowered to infer footprints of 9 introgression relevant to phenotypic evolution of open-habitat chats, or, indeed, introgression played a limited role in these songbirds' phenotypic evolution. 10

11 Finally, we may need to invoke novel mutations to explain at least part of the observed convergent evolution, because phenotypic similarities are found between rather divergent species 12 and inferred instances of high ILS and introgression cannot easily explain them. Many if not most 13 14 phenotypic similarities in open-habitat chats are found in the rather distant major phylogenetic 15 clades that diverged around 5 mya (for instance the examples provided at the entry of the 16 discussion). The time tree suggests that the relevant split events did not occur within short evolutionary time scales. Accordingly, levels of ILS are rather low for at least one of the relevant 17 nodes (Fig. 1). Although gene tree heterogeneity was non-negligible for the larger of the two major 18 19 wheatear clades, gene trees were mostly concordant for the root nodes of the wheatear clade including the hispanica complex and the O. oenanthe and O. isabellina clades (Fig. 1). Moreover, the 20 phenotypically similar species occur in geographically well separated ranges and introgression 21 22 between them is thus rather unexpected. In conclusion, unless the approaches used here to detect the ILS and introgression are underpowered, the indirect evidence provided by our results suggests 23 24 that many incidences of convergent evolution at such time scale may have involved independent novel mutations. 25

26 **Conclusion**

In the present study we set out to probe gene tree variation for footprints of ILS and introgression with the goal of understanding how ILS and introgression may have contributed to convergent evolution in open-habitat chats. Our results reveal a complex speciation history and provide conclusive evidence for abundant convergent evolutionin open-habitat chats. While we cannot conclude on the involvement of specific processes in the evolution of specific convergent evolution, the indirect evidence gained from the structure of the species tree and inferred levels of ILS and introgression suggest that convergent evolution in open-habitat chats likely occurred via all three possible processes, namely ILS, introgression, and novel mutations. Thereby, our results contribute
 to a growing body of evidence that evolution makes use and re-use of all resources it has at hand,
 including both standing (ancestral or heterospecific) as well as novel genetic variation.

Finally, the approach applied here based predominantly on a characterization of gene tree heterogeneity outlines an avenue to probe the processes governing convergent evolution in a wide range of systems. Even though the evidence for the involvement of these processes is indirect, ultimately, at a comparative scale this evidence may provide valuable insights into the relative contributions of ILS, introgression, and novel mutations to convergent evolution.

9 Material and Methods

10 Taxon sampling, DNA extraction, and whole-genome resequencing

Aiming for complete taxon sampling, we sequenced the genomes of 50 open-habitat chat taxa from a 11 total of 44 species from the genera Oenanthe, Campicoloides, Emarginata, Myrmecocichla, 12 13 Pinarochroa, and Thamnolaea (Fig. 2; Tab. S1). This sampling included all but three species (E. tractrac, M. collaris, T. coronata) of the 47 currently recognized open-habitat chat species (Gill et al. 14 2020). A genome sequence of *Saxicola maurus* (European Nucleotide Archive accession number: 15 16 ERR2560200-ERR2560209), a species of open-habitat chats' sister lineage (Sangster et al. 2010; Zuccon and Ericson 2010), was included as an outgroup to root the open-habitat chat species tree. 17 We followed the taxonomy of the IOC World Bird List (v12.1) (Gill et al. 2020) except for the picata 18 complex, where we treat subspecies *picata*, *capistrata* and *opistholeuca* separately, following Panov 19 (2005). 20

We extracted DNA from blood stored in ≥96% ethanol or Queen's Lysis buffer or tissues 21 22 stored in 96% ethanol for taxa for which fresh material was available, or from toepads or dried skin from skin-preparation sutures for taxa for which only museum samples were available (Tab. S1). 23 From blood and tissue samples DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) 24 25 or the MagAttract HMW DNA kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol with exception of 26 an adapted digestion of blood samples as reported in Lutgen et al (Lutgen et al. 2020). DNA from toepads and dried skin was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (Qiagen) with an adapted 27 28 digestion protocol that ensures high quantities of DNA (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.dm6gpwrdplzp/1). DNA concentrations were quantified on a 29 30 Qubit fluorometer (dsDNA BR assay, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and DNA integrity was evaluated on a TapeStation (MANUFACTURER, KIT). We prepared sequencing libraries using the ThruPLEX DNA-31 Seq Kit (Takara), the Illumina DNA Prep Kit, the Illumina DNA PCR-free Kit, or the Chromium 32 Genome Library kit (10X Genomics) for intact DNA, or for fragmented DNA with the ACCEL-NGS 1S 33

1 DNA Library Prep Kit (Swift Biosciences) (Tab. S1). All libraries were sequenced (150 bp paired-

2 end) on Illumina NovaSeq6000 instruments with a target coverage of ca. 15x.

3 Data preparation

4 Adapter trimming and mapping of resequencing data

5 Prior to further analysis, for all but the linked-read sequencing data, we trimmed adapters and 6 merged overlapping paired-end reads using fastp 0.20.0 (Chen et al. 2018). For linked-read 7 sequences, we trimmed the first 22 bp on the R1 read to eliminate the 10X indexes. We then 8 mapped the reads to the reference genome assembly of *Oenanthe melanoleuca* (Peona et al. 2022) 9 using BWA 0.7.17 (Li 2013) and marked duplications with PicardTools 2.9.1 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). After excluding duplicates, the average sequencing 10 11 coverage per individual ranged from 4.6x to 40.6x (mean and median 12.2, standard deviation 6.20) 12 (Tab. S1).

13 Base quality score recalibration (BQSR), SNP calling, and SNP genotyping

Data preparation followed the GATK 4.1.4.1 (McKenna et al. 2010) best practices pipeline. First, to 14 prepare a list of high-confidence SNPs for BQSR, we ran HaplotypeCaller to generate gvcf files for 15 16 each sample and then merged gycf files of all samples with CombineGVCFs before genotyping SNPs 17 using GenotypeGVCFs. To retain only high-confidence SNPs in the SNP-exclude set for BQSR, we retained only SNPs that fulfilled the following criteria: mapping quality > 40, Fisher strand (FS) 18 phred-scaled p-value < 60, SNP quality score > 20, mapping quality rank sum value > -12.5, read pos 19 rank-sum test value > -8.0 and quality by depth > 2. We retained only biallelic SNPs with at least one 20 homozygous reference and one homozygous alternative genotype or with at least three 21 22 observations of reference and alternative alleles. We excluded the resulting set of SNPs from BQSR 23 in GATK. Following BOSR, we ran HaplotypeCaller on base-score-recalibrated bam files. The resulting gvcf files of all samples where merged (CombineGVCFs) and variant and invariant sites 24 genotyped using the 'include-non-variant-sites' flag in GenotypeGVCFs. For all subsequent analyses 25 we based genotypes on genotype likelihoods. This resulted in 871,428,254 unfiltered sites when the 26 27 outgroup was included and 872,152,150 unfiltered sites without the outgroup.

In phylogenomic data sets, which are based on mapping of resequencing data to a reference genome, data of species more divergent from the reference genome may risk mapping at a lower percentage. To check for such mapping-related biases in our dataset, we estimated the average number of nucleotide differences (d_{xy}) between *Oenanthe melanoleuca* (reference genome) and all other species using pixy 0.95.02 (Korunes and Samuk 2021). We then estimated the mapping 1 percentage for all species using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009) and tested whether there was a correlation

2 between d_{XY} and mapping success.

3 Data filtering

4 Before data analysis, we removed all repeat regions from the multi-sample VCF file using the repeat 5 mask reported in Peona et al. (2022). Then we used BCFtools 1.11 (Li 2011) to remove indels, sites 6 close to indels (up to 10 bp) and all the sites at which exclusively alternative alleles were called. For 7 analyses requiring variant sites only, we removed all SNPs with more than 20% missing data and all 8 invariant sites using BCFtools and retained only SNPs with a minimum read depth of five. To ensure 9 linkage-disequilibrium (LD) among SNPs, we LD-pruned SNPs in VCFtools 0.1.16 (Danecek et al. 2011) such as to only retain SNPs with a minimum distance of 1 kb between them. This physical 10 distance is expected to remove most LD between SNPs, as e.g. in flycatchers LD breaks down in most 11 12 genomic regions after 1 kb (Ellegren et al. 2012). After this filtering, we genotyped based on genotype likelihoods and retained 994,150 multiallelic SNPs. In addition, for analyses that require 13 biallelic SNPs exclusively, we removed all multiallelic SNPs from the VCF file after the above 14 15 filtering, using BCFtools.

For phylogenomic analyses requiring sequence data including both variant and invariant 16 sites, we followed two strategies. First, we defined 10 kb non-overlapping windows across the 17 genome. Henceforth, we refer to the windowed data as "loci" and to phylogenetic trees inferred 18 therefrom as "gene trees". Second, we inferred benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs, 19 BUSCO, using BUSCO 5.0.0 (Simão et al. 2015). Similar to ultraconserved elements, UCE (Faircloth et 20 al. 2012), BUSCO feature a high degree of conservation and moreover are present in single copies, 21 22 circumventing issues with paralogs in phylogenomic reconstructions (Roy 2009). BUSCO are readily 23 identified in whole-genome resequencing data sets, not requiring genome alignments, and are increasingly deployed for phylogenomic reconstructions (Kallal et al. 2021; Van Damme et al. 2022). 24 25 To make sure that the adopted filtering strategy did not affect our results, we generated four 26 sets of fasta alignments using different filter settings for minimum read depth (DP), minimum 27 percentage of the window covered by data (PW), and missing data per site (MD) for both the 10 kb loci and the BUSCO data set: (i) DP=1, PW=50%, MD=15%, (ii) DP=5, PW=50%, MD=15%, (iii) DP=1, 28 PW=50%, MD=5%, and (iv) DP=1, PW=80%, MD=10% (Tab. S2). These four filtering strategies 29 30 yielded the same species tree and concatenated tree for 10 kb loci as well as for BUSCO. For these 31 analyses, we therefore exclusively report the results based on the most stringent filtering on read 32 depth (ii, DP=5, PW=50%, MD=15%) (**Tab. S2**). For gene tree heterogeneity analyses, on the other 33 hand, we aimed to include the broadest representation of the genome and to this end retained all loci (N=29,730) that fulfilled less stringent filtering criteria (i, DP=1, PW=50%, MD=15%) (Tab.
 S2).

3 Finally, for analyses making assumptions on intra- and inter-locus recombination (such as 4 species tree reconstructions) we made sure to include only loci with no intra-locus but free inter-5 locus recombination (Tab. S2). To this end, we excluded all loci with recombination signals ($P \le P$ 0.05) as inferred from the pairwise homoplasy index Phi (Φ w) estimated in PhiPack 1.1 program 6 7 (Bruen et al. 2006). The criterion $P \le 0.05$ does not account for multiple testing, but we preferred to 8 conservatively exclude loci with evidence for intra-locus recombination. To possibly retain only loci 9 among which free recombination occurs, we ensured a minimum distance of 10 kb by including no two consecutive loci. At this distance, no LD occurs in flycatchers (Ellegren et al. 2012). 10

11 Inference of Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Ortholog (BUSCO) sequences

12 Phylogenomic analyses based on the mapping of resequencing data to a reference genome, especially when including species well diverged from the latter, may be affected by several biases. 13 14 For species more divergent from the reference genome, data from faster evolving genomic regions 15 (i) risks not being mapped, if these regions are too diverged from the reference sequence, or (ii) may map to paralogs, if the species experienced different duplication histories (Chakrabarty et al. 16 17 2017; Fitz-Gibbon et al. 2017). These biases are expected to be least important in slowly evolving regions of the genome, especially in BUSCO, that are conserved and by definition present in single 18 copies in most species. To minimize mapping-related biases in our phylogenomic reconstructions, 19 20 especially on rooting and placements of the most divergent species, we therefore extracted the intervals in which avian BUSCO (aves_odb10) are situated in our reference genome using BUSCO 21 22 5.0.0 (Simão et al. 2015).

23

1 Phylogenomic reconstructions and multispecies coalescent analyses

2 BUSCO-based rooting of the open-habitat chat species tree

3 First, to establish the root within open-habitat chats, we applied both concatenation and multispecies coalescent-based methods on BUSCO sequences, including the outgroup. First, we used 4 all BUSCO (N=7,335) to estimate the maximum likelihood tree in IQ-TREE 2.1.2 (Minh et al. 2020b) 5 6 based on the concatenated BUSCO, using with one partition for each BUSCO and a GTR+I+G 7 substitution model for all partitions (Abadi et al. 2019). One thousand bootstrap replicates were run 8 using the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (Hoang et al. 2018). Second, we estimated the species 9 tree under the multispecies coalescent using ASTRAL-III (Zhang et al. 2018) based on BUSCO without recombination signals and free inter-locus recombination (N=2,091). To this end, we 10 inferred BUSCO' gene trees in IQ-TREE 2.1.2 using a GTR+I+G substitution model and one thousand 11 ultrafast bootstrap approximations. To ensure that species tree inferences were not affected by 12 inaccurately estimated gene trees (Zhang et al. 2018), we collapsed branches with bootstrap 13 support inferior to 80% using Newick Utilities 1.6 (Junier and Zdobnov 2010). Reconstructing the 14 species tree by including all BUSCO not considering intra- and inter-locus recombination (N=7,335) 15 16 did not affect the result.

17 Phylogenomic and multispecies coalescent analyses based on full evidence

To reconstruct the concatenated tree and species tree based on full evidence data, that is, data from the maximal possible fraction of the genome, and to study gene tree heterogeneity along the genome, we excluded the *Saxicola* outgroup. Instead, we rooted the trees with the clade that is the outgroup to all other open-habitat chats (sub-Saharan clade, **Fig. S1**). Excluding *Saxicola* ensured that analyses were not biased by mapping issues caused by this outgroup's divergence.

23 To estimate the concatenated tree using maximum likelihood in IQ-TREE 2.1.2 we used all 24 loci with a GTR+I+G substitution model and 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap approximations. To estimate 25 the species tree under the multispecies coalescent using ASTRAL-III, we at first estimated maximum likelihood gene trees using IQ-TREE 2.1.2 with a GTR+I+G substitution model and one thousand 26 27 ultrafast bootstrap approximations. Based on these gene trees (pruned for within-locus recombination and assuring free recombination between loci), we inferred the species tree using 28 29 ASTRAL-III. Because ASTRAL relies on accurately estimated gene trees, we collapsed branches with 30 bootstrap support inferior to 80% using Newick Utilities 1.6.

To find regions of the species tree that represent "anomaly zones" where the frequency of one of the alternative quartets is higher than that of the topology in agreement the species tree, we estimated local quartet supports for the main topology and its two alternatives in ASTRAL-III (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006). We used the anomaly_finder.py script to search for anomaly zones
in our species tree (Linkem et al. 2016). To test if the gene tree discordance could be explained by
polytomies instead of bifurcating nodes, we carried out a quartet-based polytomy test as
implemented in ASTRAL-III.

5 To see whether the SNP-based species tree could confirm the sequence-based species tree, 6 we used the unlinked multiallelic SNPs to the multispecies coalescent model implemented in 7 SVDQuartets (Chifman and Kubatko 2014) in PAUP* 4 (Swofford 2003). We ran this with 1000 8 bootstrap replicates and summarized the result in a 50% majority-rule consensus tree.

9 Phylogenetic relationships of mitogenomes

We were interested in whether previously inferred relationships based predominantly on single 10 mitochondrial genes (Alaei Kakhki et al. 2016; Aliabadian et al. 2012; Schweizer and Shirihai 2013) 11 12 were supported by full mitogenomes and in how the mitogenomic relationships compare to the ones inferred from nuclear loci. To this end, we extracted and assembled mitochondrial genomes 13 14 from the genomic data of all open-habitat chats using MitoFinder 1.2 (Allio et al. 2020). We used the 15 published Isabelline Wheatear (Oenanthe isabellina) mitochondrial genome as a reference (Genbank accession number: NC_040290.1) and annotated the mitochondrial genome using the annotation 16 17 pipeline integrated in MitoFinder. Finally, we aligned the 13 mitochondrial protein coding gene sequences using the automatic alignment strategy in MAFFT 7.471 (Katoh and Standley 2013). We 18 checked the alignments in AliView 1.26 (Larsson 2014) and removed stop codons within the coding 19 20 sequences or indels for downstream analyses. We determined the best partition scheme using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) implemented in PartitionFinder 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2017) and 21 22 used the GTR+G+I model for all partitions. Then we constructed the maximum-likelihood tree from 23 the concatenated supermatrix of all 13 genes in IQ-TREE 2.1.2 using the ultrafast bootstrap approximations with 1,000 replicates. 24

25 Dating analyses

Beside species' relationships we were interested in estimating the divergence time in open-habitat 26 27 chats. Because there are no appropriate fossils for calibration, we first ran BEAST 2.6.6 (Bouckaert 28 et al. 2019) for 13 mitochondrial protein coding genes to estimate a time-calibrated mitochondrial 29 phylogeny. We included the mitochondrial genome sequence of Saxicola maurus (GenBank 30 accession number: MN356403.1) as an outgroup in these analyses. Substitution models were inferred during the MCMS analyses with bModelTest (Bouckaert and Drummond 2017) 31 32 implemented as a package in BEAST 2.6.6. Published substitution rates for each mitochondrial gene (Lerner et al. 2011) were implemented as means of the clock rates in real space of lognormal 33

1 distribution with standard deviations of 0.005. We defined a Yule speciation process for the tree 2 prior and an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model. Three independent MCMC chains were 3 run for 50 million generations, each with sampling every 5,000 generations. Effective sample sizes 4 for all parameters and appropriate numbers of burn-in generations were checked with Tracer 1.5 5 (Rambaut and Drummond 2009). The three independent runs were combined using LogCombiner 2.6.6 (Bouckaert et al. 2019). We used TreeAnnotator 2.6.6 (Bouckaert et al. 2019) to calculate a 6 7 maximum clade credibility tree and the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) distributions of each 8 estimated node.

9 We then used the divergence time of the sub-Saharan clade from wheatears estimated from mitochondrial data as time constraint in dating analyses based on nuclear data using RelTime-ML 10 implemented in MEGA 11 (Tamura et al. 2021). For this analysis, we provided the topology with 11 12 branch length estimated in IQtree2 based on concatenated BUSCO data retained after the most stringent filtering (ii, DP=5, PW=50%, MD=15%), along with high-confidence BUSCO alignments. 13 14 The latter consisted of BUSCO data filtered for DP=5, MD=5% and length of each BUSCO alignments longer than 1kb. We used the same filtering to get the 10 kb non-overlapping windows across the 15 16 genome and used the concatenated tree retained after most stringent filtering (ii, DP=5, PW=50%, MD=15%) to repeat the analyses based on loci across the genome. To ensure that the differences in 17 divergence times between mitochondrial and nuclear data were not due to the different dating 18 19 approaches, we re-estimated the mitochondrial divergence times in RelTime-ML using the same approach as for the nuclear datasets. 20

21 Inference of gene tree variation, ILS, and introgression

22 Inference of the levels of gene tree variation

To investigate gene tree heterogeneity across the genome, we used gene trees inferred from less 23 24 stringent filtering criteria (i, DP=1, PW=50%, MD=15%) as described above. To infer how many 25 gene trees reflect the species tree topology, we used the script 'findCommonTrees.py' (Edelman et al. 2019). To characterize the levels of gene tree heterogeneity across open-habitat chats, we 26 27 compared the gene trees to the species tree. Specifically, we estimated "internode certainty all" (ICA) and the "gene concordance factor" (gCF). ICA quantifies the amount of gene tree heterogeneity 28 29 for each internode of the species tree by calculating the number of all most prevalent conflicting 30 bipartitions. It takes values ranging from -1 to 1, with values around zero indicating strong conflict; 31 values towards 1 indicate robust concordance of gene trees with the species tree in the bipartition 32 of interest; and negative values indicate discordance between the bipartition of interest and one or 33 more bipartitions with a higher frequency (Salichos et al. 2014). While ICA thus represents the degree of conflict on each node of a species tree, gCF better reflects the gene tree heterogeneity
 around each branch, and is the percentage of gene trees supporting the two alternative topologies
 for each branch (Minh et al. 2020a). We estimated ICA and gCF with PhyParts 0.0.1 (Smith et al.
 2015) and IQ-TREE 2.1.2 respectively.

5

6 Tests of an ILS model

7 Next, we were interested in understanding whether ILS can sufficiently explain the level of gene tree 8 heterogeneity observed at the level of the whole species tree. To this end, we applied the Tree 9 Incongruence Checking in R (TICR) test (Stenz et al. 2015) implemented in the Phylolm R package. This test evaluates whether the multispecies coalescent adequately explains gene tree heterogeneity 10 across the species tree with no hybridization edges. TICR requires posterior distributions of gene 11 12 tree topologies inferred through Bayesian inference of gene trees. Therefore, we first estimated posterior distributions of individual gene trees with MrBayes 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012). MrBayes 13 14 analyses ran using three independent runs of 20 million generations each, sampling every 20,000th generation using a GTR+I+G model. We estimated the length of burn-in using Tracer 1.5 (Rambaut 15 and Drummond 2009) to ensure that our sampling of the posterior distribution had reached 16 17 sufficient effective sample sizes (ESS > 200) for parameter estimation. We then ran BUCKy (Ané et 18 al. 2007; Larget et al. 2010) using the posterior distribution of gene trees after discarding 25% as 19 burn-in to estimate the concordance factors (CFs) for the three possible splits of all quartets. The 20 inferred CF values were then tested against those expected under a coalescent model that takes ILS but not hybridization into account (chi-squared test). 21

22 We then tested for each branch in the species tree whether the gene tree heterogeneity 23 reflected in gCF can be sufficiently explained by a model incorporating ILS alone. Under ILS alone – 24 assuming sorting of variation occurs by random genetic drift – proportions of alternative gene trees 25 for a rooted triplet are expected to be approximately equal (Hibbins and Hahn 2022; Sayyari and 26 Mirarab 2018; Sayyari et al. 2018), and the concordant tree topology (the topology in agreement with the species tree) should be at least as frequent as the two discordant topologies (Hibbins and 27 28 Hahn 2022; Sayyari et al. 2018). In contrast, introgression between non-sister taxa results in 29 asymmetric proportions of gene trees in the rooted triplet (Durand et al. 2012; Green et al. 2010). 30 Therefore, we performed a chi-square tests comparing the number of gene trees supporting the two 31 discordant topologies. Under ILS, these two alternative topologies are expected to be equally 32 frequent among gene trees (He et al. 2020). For all these analyses we accounted for uncertainty in 33 gene tree topologies by collapsing branches with bootstrap support <80%.

1 Inferring footprints of introgression

2 To infer footprints of introgression across the entire species tree, we estimated Patterson's D 3 (Durand et al. 2011) and related statistics in Dsuite (Malinsky et al. 2021) based on 58,963,109 4 biallelic SNPs. D and f4 statistics were estimated across all possible combinations of trios in our 38 wheatear taxa. We used Dtrios to calculate the sums of three different patterns (BABA, BBAA and, 5 ABBA) and D and f4-ratio statistics for all 8,437 possible trios. Dsuite uses the standard block-6 jackknife procedure to assess the significance of the D statistic. Due to the large number of D-7 8 statistics comparisons and difficulties disentangling false positives that may arise due to ancient 9 gene flow, we performed the f-branch test (fb) implemented in Dsuite to assign gene flow to specific internal branches on the species tree. Then we visualized the output using Dsuite's dtools.py script. 10

We then aimed to obtain further support for the footprints of introgression that were 11 12 suggested in *lugens, picata* and *hispanica* complex by the above approach based on the D-statistics. To this end, for these three complexes, we estimated phylogenetic networks from maximum 13 14 likelihood trees generated from BUSCO using the pseudolikelihood (InferNetwork_MPL) (Yu and Nakhleh 2015) and likelihood (CalGTProb) (Yu et al. 2014) approaches implemented in phyloNet 15 16 3.6.9 (Than et al. 2008). Due to the high computational demands, analyses were run for each of the clades containing signals of introgression in earlier analyses separately, namely for the lugens, 17 picata and hispanica complexes. Furthermore, we only included BUSCO loci that had data available 18 19 for all taxa of the respective complex. Outgroup species for each complex were selected based on the species tree. Analyses included 7,323 rooted gene trees for the *lugens* complex, 7,310 rooted gene 20 trees for the *picata* complex, and 7,335 rooted gene trees for the *hispanica* complex. For each 21 22 complex, we allowed for one to five reticulation events, with the starting tree corresponding to the species tree topology (-s), 0.9 bootstrap threshold for gene trees (-b) and 1,000 iterations (-x). To 23 24 ensure convergence, the network searches were repeated 10 times. Then we estimated the likelihood by fixing the topology of the focal clade for the species tree (without any reticulation) and 25 for each of the five networks (with different numbers of introgression edges) and calculated their 26 likelihood scores. We determined the optimal network by calculating the Bayesian Information 27 28 Criterion (BIC) from the maximum likelihood scores, the number of gene trees, the number of 29 branch length being estimated, plus the number of admixture edges in each model (**Tab. S3**). We used the browser-based tree viewer IcyTree (Vaughan 2017) to visualize the estimated networks. 30

31 Acknowledgements

We thank all the natural history museums and their staff who provided material for this study:namely the American Museum of Natural History, New York; Natural History Museum, Tring; Field

Museum of Natural History, Chicago; Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles; 1 2 Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, UC Berkeley; Natural History Museum, University of 3 Oslo; Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm; Texas A&M University Biodiversity Research and Teaching Collections, Texas College Station; University of Washington Burke Museum;, Seattle, Yale 4 Peabody Museum; Zoological Museum, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen; 5 Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum König, Bonn; and Martin Haase, Vogelwarte Hiddensee, 6 Universität Greifswald. Further samples were provided by José Luis Copete, and Marc Illa. We are 7 8 indebted to the sequencing facilities of NGI Sweden in Solna and to the NGS platform of the 9 University of Berne and their respective staff for their excellent services and to Marta Burri for sequencing library preparations. Computations were performed at the High-Performance 10 Computing Cluster EVE, a joint effort of the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ) 11 and the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig. We thank the 12 administration and support staff of EVE, Thomas Schnicke and Ben Langenberg (UFZ), and Christian 13 14 Krause (iDiv). We thank Chris Rose and Claire Weatherhead from Bloomsbury Publishing Plc for their permission to use bird drawings in our figures. This research was supported by a German 15 16 Research Foundation (DFG) research grant (BU3456/3-1) to RB, the National Research Fund (FNR), Luxembourg, grant number 14575729 to DL, and a Georg Foster Research Stipend of the Alexander 17 von Humboldt Foundation and a scholarship for female researchers from Friedrich-Schiller-18 19 University Jena, both to NAK.

20 Author Contributions

RB and NAK designed the study. NAK, DL and MS performed data analysis with inputs from HSch
and RB. RCKB, AS and HShi provided materials. MS designed the figures. NAK and RB wrote the
manuscript with help from MS and HSch and inputs from all authors.

24 Data Availability

All sequencing data produced in the framework of this study are available on the European
Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under project accession PRJEB58431.

27 **References**

- Abadi S, Azouri D, Pupko T, Mayrose I. 2019. Model selection may not be a mandatory step for
 phylogeny reconstruction. *Nat Commun.*10(1):1-11.
- Alaei Kakhki N, Aliabadian M, Förschler MI, Ghasempouri SM, Kiabi BH, Verde Arregoitia LD,
 Schweizer M. 2018. Phylogeography of the oenanthe hispanica-pleschanka-cypriaca
 complex (aves, muscicapidae: Saxicolinae): Diversification history of open-habitat specialists

- based on climate niche models, genetic data, and morphometric data. *Zoolog Syst Evol Res.* 56(3):408-427.
- Alaei Kakhki N, Aliabadian M, Schweizer M. 2016. Out of africa: Biogeographic history of the open habitat chats (aves, muscicapidae: Saxicolinae) across arid areas of the old world. *Zoologica Scripta* 45(3):237-251.
- Aliabadian M, Kaboli M, Förschler MI, Nijman V, Chamani A, Tillier A, Prodon R, Pasquet E, Ericson
 PG, Zuccon D. 2012. Erratum to: Convergent evolution of morphological and ecological traits
 in the open-habitat chat complex (aves, muscicapidae: Saxicolinae). *Mol Phylogenet Evol.*65(3):35-45.
- Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, Prosdocimi F, Nabholz B, Delsuc F. 2020. Mitofinder:
 Efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment
 phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 20(4):892-905.
- Ané C, Larget B, Baum DA, Smith SD, Rokas A. 2007. Bayesian estimation of concordance among
 gene trees. *Mol Biol Evol*. 24(2):412-426.
- Arendt J, Reznick D. 2008. Convergence and parallelism reconsidered: What have we learned about
 the genetics of adaptation? *Trends Ecol Evol.* 23(1):26-32.
- Barrett RD, Schluter D. 2008. Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol Evol.*23(1):38-44.
- Besnard G, Muasya AM, Russier F, Roalson EH, Salamin N, Christin P-A. 2009. Phylogenomics of c4
 photosynthesis in sedges (cyperaceae): Multiple appearances and genetic convergence. *Mol Biol Evol.* 26(8):1909-1919.
- Bouckaert R, Vaughan TG, Barido-Sottani J, Duchêne S, Fourment M, Gavryushkina A, Heled J, Jones
 G, Kühnert D, De Maio N. 2019. Beast 2.5: An advanced software platform for bayesian
 evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 15(4):e1006650.
- Bouckaert RR, Drummond AJ. 2017. Bmodeltest: Bayesian phylogenetic site model averaging and
 model comparison. *BMC Evol Biol.* 17(1):1-11.
- Bruen TC, Philippe H, Bryant D. 2006. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the presence
 of recombination. *Genetics* 172(4):2665-2681.
- Brusatte SL, O'Connor JK, Jarvis ED. 2015. The origin and diversification of birds. *Curr Biol.* 25(19):R888-R898.
- Campagna L, Repenning M, Silveira LF, Fontana CS, Tubaro PL, Lovette IJ. 2017. Repeated divergent
 selection on pigmentation genes in a rapid finch radiation. *Sci Adv.* 3(5):e1602404.
- Chakrabarty P, Faircloth BC, Alda F, Ludt WB, Mcmahan CD, Near TJ, Dornburg A, Albert JS, Arroyave
 J, Stiassny ML. 2017. Phylogenomic systematics of ostariophysan fishes: Ultraconserved
 elements support the surprising non-monophyly of characiformes. *Syst Biol.* 66(6):881-895.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu JJB. 2018. Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one fastq preprocessor. 34(17):i884 i890.

- Chifman J, Kubatko L. 2014. Quartet inference from snp data under the coalescent model.
 Bioinformatics 30(23):3317-3324.
- Chou J, Gupta A, Yaduvanshi S, Davidson R, Nute M, Mirarab S, Warnow T. 2015. A comparative
 study of svdquartets and other coalescent-based species tree estimation methods. *BMC Genom.* 16(10):1-11.
- 6 Christin P-A, Salamin N, Savolainen V, Duvall MR, Besnard G. 2007. C4 photosynthesis evolved in
 7 grasses via parallel adaptive genetic changes. *Curr Biol.* 17(14):1241-1247.
- Colosimo PF, Hosemann KE, Balabhadra S, Villarreal G, Dickson M, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Myers
 RM, Schluter D, Kingsley DM. 2005. Widespread parallel evolution in sticklebacks by
 repeated fixation of ectodysplasin alleles. *Science* 307(5717):1928-1933.
- Cresko WA, Amores A, Wilson C, Murphy J, Currey M, Phillips P, Bell MA, Kimmel CB, Postlethwait
 JH. 2004. Parallel genetic basis for repeated evolution of armor loss in alaskan threespine
 stickleback populations. *PNAS*. 101(16):6050-6055.
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth
 GT, Sherry ST. 2011. The variant call format and vcftools. *Bioinformatics* 27(15):2156-2158.
- Degnan JH, Rosenberg NA. 2006. Discordance of species trees with their most likely gene trees. *PLoS Genet.* 2(5):e68.
- Doyle JJ. 1997. Trees within trees: Genes and species, molecules and morphology. *Syst Biol.* 46(3):537-553.
- Durand EY, Patterson N, Reich D, Slatkin M. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture between closely
 related populations. *Mol Biol Evol.* 28(8):2239-2252.
- Durand S, Bouché N, Strand EP, Loudet O, Camilleri C. 2012. Rapid establishment of genetic
 incompatibility through natural epigenetic variation. *Curr Biol.* 22(4):326-331.
- Edelman NB, Frandsen PB, Miyagi M, Clavijo B, Davey J, Dikow RB, García-Accinelli G, Van Belleghem
 SM, Patterson N, Neafsey DE. 2019. Genomic architecture and introgression shape a
 butterfly radiation. *Science* 366(6465):594-599.
- Ellegren H, Smeds L, Burri R, Olason PI, Backström N, Kawakami T, Künstner A, Mäkinen H,
 Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Qvarnström A. 2012. The genomic landscape of species divergence
 in ficedula flycatchers. *Nature* 491(7426):756-760.
- Elmer KR, Meyer A. 2011. Adaptation in the age of ecological genomics: Insights from parallelism
 and convergence. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 26(6):298-306.
- Enciso-Romero J, Pardo-Díaz C, Martin SH, Arias CF, Linares M, McMillan WO, Jiggins CD, Salazar C.
 2017. Evolution of novel mimicry rings facilitated by adaptive introgression in tropical
 butterflies. *Mol Ecol.* 26(19):5160-5172.

Eyre-Walker A, Keightley PD. 2007. The distribution of fitness effects of new mutations. *Nat Rev Genet.* 8(8):610-618.

- Faircloth BC, McCormack JE, Crawford NG, Harvey MG, Brumfield RT, Glenn TC. 2012.
 Ultraconserved elements anchor thousands of genetic markers spanning multiple evolutionary timescales. *Syst Biol.* 61(5):717-726.
- Fitz-Gibbon S, Hipp AL, Pham KK, Manos PS, Sork VL. 2017. Phylogenomic inferences from
 reference-mapped and de novo assembled short-read sequence data using radseq
 sequencing of california white oaks (quercus section quercus). *Genome* 60(9):743-755.
- Funk DJ, Omland KE. 2003. Species-level paraphyly and polyphyly: Frequency, causes, and
 consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol*. 34(1):397 423.
- Genner MJ, Turner GF. 2012. Ancient hybridization and phenotypic novelty within lake malawi's
 cichlid fish radiation. *Mol Biol Evol.* 29(1):195-206.
- Gill F, Donsker D, Rasmussen P. 2020. Ioc world bird list (v10. 1). IOC World Bird List [consultado el
 26 de julio de 2020] DOI: <u>https://doi</u> org/1014344/IOC ML. 10.
- 14 Grant PR, Grant BR, Petren K. 2005. Hybridization in the recent past. *Am Nat.* 166(1):56-67.

Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Kircher M, Patterson N, Li H, Zhai W, Fritz MH-Y.
 2010. A draft sequence of the neandertal genome. *Science* 328(5979):710-722.

- Gross JB, Borowsky R, Tabin CJ. 2009. A novel role for mc1r in the parallel evolution of
 depigmentation in independent populations of the cavefish astyanax mexicanus. *PLoS Genet*.
 5(1):e1000326.
- Haffer J. 1977. Secondary contact zones of birds in northern iran. *Bonn Zool Monogr.* 10:1-64.
- Han F, Lamichhaney S, Grant BR, Grant PR, Andersson L, Webster MT. 2017. Gene flow, ancient
 polymorphism, and ecological adaptation shape the genomic landscape of divergence among
 darwin's finches. *Genome Res.* 27(6):1004-1015.
- He C, Liang D, Zhang P. 2020. Asymmetric distribution of gene trees can arise under purifying
 selection if differences in population size exist. *Mol Biol Evol.* 37(3):881-892.
- Hedrick PW. 2013. Adaptive introgression in animals: Examples and comparison to new mutation
 and standing variation as sources of adaptive variation. *Mol Ecol.* 22(18):4606-4618.
- Heliconius Genome Consortium. 2012. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry
 adaptations among species. *Nature* 487:94-98.
- Hermisson J, Pennings PS. 2005. Soft sweeps: Molecular population genetics of adaptation from
 standing genetic variation. *Genetics* 169(4):2335-2352.
- Hibbins MS, Hahn MW. 2022. Phylogenomic approaches to detecting and characterizing
 introgression. *Genetics* 220(2):iyab173.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. Ufboot2: Improving the ultrafast
 bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol.* 35(2):518-522.
- Hoballah ME, Gübitz T, Stuurman J, Broger L, Barone M, Mandel T, Dell'Olivo A, Arnold M,
 Kuhlemeier C. 2007. Single gene-mediated shift in pollinator attraction in petunia. *The Plant Cell* 19(3):779-790.

- Hobolth A, Dutheil JY, Hawks J, Schierup MH, Mailund T. 2011. Incomplete lineage sorting patterns
 among human, chimpanzee, and orangutan suggest recent orangutan speciation and
 widespread selection. *Genome Res.* 21(3):349-356.
- Jarvis ED, Mirarab S, Aberer AJ, Li B, Houde P, Li C, Ho SY, Faircloth BC, Nabholz B, Howard JT. 2014.
 Whole-genome analyses resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern birds. *Science* 346(6215):1320-1331.
- Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF, Russell P, Mauceli E, Johnson J, Swofford R, Pirun M, Zody MC,
 White S. 2012. The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. *Nature* 484(7392):55-61.
- Junier T, Zdobnov EM. 2010. The newick utilities: High-throughput phylogenetic tree processing in
 the unix shell. *Bioinformatics* 26(13):1669-1670.
- Kallal RJ, Kulkarni SS, Dimitrov D, Benavides LR, Arnedo MA, Giribet G, Hormiga G. 2021. Converging
 on the orb: Denser taxon sampling elucidates spider phylogeny and new analytical methods
 support repeated evolution of the orb web. *Cladistics* 37(3):298-316.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. Mafft multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements
 in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol.* 30(4):772-780.
- Konečná V, Bray S, Vlček J, Bohutínská M, Požárová D, Choudhury RR, Bollmann-Giolai A, Flis P, Salt
 DE, Parisod C. 2021. Parallel adaptation in autopolyploid arabidopsis arenosa is dominated
 by repeated recruitment of shared alleles. *Nat Commun.* 12(1):1-13.
- Korunes KL, Samuk K. 2021. Pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide diversity and divergence in the
 presence of missing data. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 21(4):1359-1368.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. Mega x: Molecular evolutionary genetics
 analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol.* 35(6):1547.
- Lamers RP, Muthukrishnan G, Castoe TA, Tafur S, Cole AM, Parkinson CL. 2012. Phylogenetic
 relationships among staphylococcus species and refinement of cluster groups based on
 multilocus data. *BMC Evol Biol.* 12(1):1-15.
- Lamichhaney S, Han F, Webster MT, Andersson L, Grant BR, Grant PR. 2018. Rapid hybrid speciation
 in darwin's finches. *Science* 359(6372):224-228.
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. 2017. Partitionfinder 2: New methods for
 selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic
 analyses. *Mol Biol Evol.* 34(3):772-773.
- Larget BR, Kotha SK, Dewey CN, Ané C. 2010. Bucky: Gene tree/species tree reconciliation with
 bayesian concordance analysis. *Bioinformatics* 26(22):2910-2911.
- Larsson A. 2014. Aliview: A fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets.
 Bioinformatics 30(22):3276-3278.
- Lerner HR, Meyer M, James HF, Hofreiter M, Fleischer RC. 2011. Multilocus resolution of phylogeny
 and timescale in the extant adaptive radiation of hawaiian honeycreepers. *Curr Biol.* 21(21):1838-1844.

- Li H. 2011. A statistical framework for snp calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and
 population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 27(21):2987-2993.
- Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with bwa-mem. arXiv
 preprint arXiv:13033997.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009. The
 sequence alignment/map format and samtools. *Bioinformatics* 25(16):2078-2079.
- 8 Linkem CW, Minin VN, Leaché AD. 2016. Detecting the anomaly zone in species trees and evidence
 9 for a misleading signal in higher-level skink phylogeny (squamata: Scincidae). *Syst Biol.*10 65(3):465-477.
- Lutgen D, Ritter R, Olsen RA, Schielzeth H, Gruselius J, Ewels P, García JT, Shirihai H, Schweizer M,
 Suh A. 2020. Linked-read sequencing enables haplotype-resolved resequencing at
 population scale. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 20(5):1311-1322.
- 14 Maddison WP. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. *Syst Biol.* 46(3):523-536.
- Malinsky M, Matschiner M, Svardal H. 2021. Dsuite-fast d-statistics and related admixture evidence
 from vcf files. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 21(2):584-595.
- Malinsky M, Svardal H, Tyers AM, Miska EA, Genner MJ, Turner GF, Durbin R. 2018. Whole-genome
 sequences of malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations interconnected by gene flow. *Nat Ecol Evol.* 2(12):1940-1955.
- Marques DA, Lucek K, Sousa VC, Excoffier L, Seehausen O. 2019a. Admixture between old lineages
 facilitated contemporary ecological speciation in lake constance stickleback. *Nat Commun.* 10(1):1-14.
- Marques DA, Meier JI, Seehausen O. 2019b. A combinatorial view on speciation and adaptive
 radiation. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 34(6):531-544.
- Martin A, Orgogozo V. 2013. The loci of repeated evolution: A catalog of genetic hotspots of
 phenotypic variation. *Evolution* 67(5):1235-1250.
- 27 Mayr E, Stresemann E. 1950. Polymorphism in the chat genus oenanthe (aves). *Evolution* 4:291-300.
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D,
 Gabriel S, Daly M. 2010. The genome analysis toolkit: A mapreduce framework for analyzing
 next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 20(9):1297-1303.
- Meier JI, Marques DA, Mwaiko S, Wagner CE, Excoffier L, Seehausen O. 2017. Ancient hybridization
 fuels rapid cichlid fish adaptive radiations. *Nat Commun.* 8(1):1-11.
- Meier JI, Marques DA, Wagner CE, Excoffier L, Seehausen O. 2018. Genomics of parallel ecological
 speciation in lake victoria cichlids. *Mol Biol Evol.* 35(6):1489-1506.
- Minh BQ, Hahn MW, Lanfear R. 2020a. New methods to calculate concordance factors for
 phylogenomic datasets. *Mol Biol Evol.* 37(9):2727-2733.

- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, Von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. 2020b.
 Iq-tree 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era.
 Mol Biol Evol. 37(5):1530-1534.
 Montejo-Kovacevich G, Meier JI, Bacquet CN, Warren IA, Chan YF, Kucka M, Salazar C, Rueda N,
- Montejo-Kovacevich G, Meier JI, Bacquet CN, Warren IA, Chan YF, Kucka M, Salazar C, Rueda N,
 Montgomery SH, McMillan WO. 2021. Repeated genetic adaptation to high altitude in two
 tropical butterflies. *bioRxiv*: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.30.470630
- Natarajan C, Projecto-Garcia J, Moriyama H, Weber RE, Muñoz-Fuentes V, Green AJ, Kopuchian C,
 Tubaro PL, Alza L, Bulgarella M. 2015. Convergent evolution of hemoglobin function in high altitude andean waterfowl involves limited parallelism at the molecular sequence level. *PLoS Genet.* 11(12):e1005681.
- Nater A, Burri R, Kawakami T, Smeds L, Ellegren H. 2015. Resolving evolutionary relationships in closely related species with whole-genome sequencing data. *Syst Biol.* 64(6):1000-1017.
- Outlaw RK, Voelker G, Bowie RC. 2010. Shall we chat? Evolutionary relationships in the genus
 cercomela (muscicapidae) and its relation to oenanthe reveals extensive polyphyly among
 chats distributed in africa, india and the palearctic. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 55(1):284-292.
- Panov E. 1992. Emergence of hybridogenous polymorphism in the oenanthe picata complex.
 Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club Centenary Volume. 112:237-249.
- 18 Panov EN. 2005. Wheatears of palearctic. Pensoft.
- Pardo-Diaz C, Salazar C, Baxter SW, Merot C, Figueiredo-Ready W, Joron M, McMillan WO, Jiggins CD.
 20 2012. Adaptive introgression across species boundaries in heliconius butterflies. *PLoS Genet.* 8(6):e1002752.
- Paterson RS, Rybczynski N, Kohno N, Maddin HC. 2020. A total evidence phylogenetic analysis of
 pinniped phylogeny and the possibility of parallel evolution within a monophyletic
 framework. *Front Ecol Evol.* 7:457.
- Pease JB, Haak DC, Hahn MW, Moyle LC. 2016. Phylogenomics reveals three sources of adaptive
 variation during a rapid radiation. *PLoS Biol.* 14(2):e1002379.
- Peona V, Palacios-Gimenes O, Lutgen D, Olsen R, Alaei Kakhki N, Andriopoulos P, Bontzorlos V,
 Schweizer M, Suh A, Burri R. 2022. A chromosome-scale reference genome for eastern black eared wheatear (*Oenanthe melanoleuca*). *bioRxiv*:
- 30 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.22.521689
- Poelstra JW, Vijay N, Bossu CM, Lantz H, Ryll B, Müller I, Baglione V, Unneberg P, Wikelski M,
 Grabherr MG. 2014. The genomic landscape underlying phenotypic integrity in the face of
 gene flow in crows. *Science* 344(6190):1410-1414.
- Preston JC, Hileman LC. 2009. Developmental genetics of floral symmetry evolution. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14(3):147-154.
- Protas ME, Hersey C, Kochanek D, Zhou Y, Wilkens H, Jeffery WR, Zon LI, Borowsky R, Tabin CJ.
 2006. Genetic analysis of cavefish reveals molecular convergence in the evolution of albinism. *Nat Genet*. 38(1):107-111.
- Rambaut A, Drummond A. 2009. Tracer v1. 5.0. Available at: http://beast.Bio.Ed.Ac.Uk/tracer.

- Randler C, Förschler MI, Gonzalez J, Aliabadian M, Bairlein F, Wink M. 2012. Phylogeography, prezygotic isolation and taxonomic status in the endemic cyprus wheatear oenanthe cypriaca. J Ornithol. 153(2):303-312.
- Roberts Kingman GA, Vyas DN, Jones FC, Brady SD, Chen HI, Reid K, Milhaven M, Bertino TS, Aguirre
 WE, Heins DC. 2021. Predicting future from past: The genomic basis of recurrent and rapid
 stickleback evolution. *Sci Adv.* 7(25):eabg5285.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA,
 Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. Mrbayes 3.2: Efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and model
 choice across a large model space. *Syst Biol.* 61(3):539-542.
- Roy SW. 2009. Phylogenomics: Gene duplication, unrecognized paralogy and outgroup choice. *PLoS One* 4(2):e4568.
- Salichos L, Stamatakis A, Rokas A. 2014. Novel information theory-based measures for quantifying
 incongruence among phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol.* 31(5):1261-1271.
- Sangster G, Alström P, Forsmark E, Olsson U. 2010. Multi-locus phylogenetic analysis of old world
 chats and flycatchers reveals extensive paraphyly at family, subfamily and genus level (aves:
 Muscicapidae). *MPE*. 57(1):380-392.
- Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2018. Testing for polytomies in phylogenetic species trees using quartet
 frequencies. *Genes* 9(3):132.
- Sayyari E, Whitfield JB, Mirarab S. 2018. Discovista: Interpretable visualizations of gene tree
 discordance. *MPE*. 122:110-115.
- Schweizer M, Shirihai H. 2013. Phylogeny of the oenanthe lugens complex (aves, muscicapidae:
 Saxicolinae): Paraphyly of a morphologically cohesive group within a recent radiation of
 open-habitat chats. *MPE*. 69(3):450-461.
- Schweizer M, Warmuth V, Alaei Kakhki N, Aliabadian M, Förschler M, Shirihai H, Suh A, Burri R.
 2019a. Parallel plumage colour evolution and introgressive hybridization in wheatears. *J Evol biol.* 32(1):100-110.
- Schweizer M, Warmuth VM, Kakhki NA, Aliabadian M, Förschler M, Shirihai H, Ewels P, Gruselius J,
 Olsen R-A, Schielzeth H et al. 2019b. Genome-wide evidence supports mitochondrial
 relationships and pervasive parallel phenotypic evolution in open-habitat chats. *MPE*.
 139:106568.
- Seehausen O, Butlin RK, Keller I, Wagner CE, Boughman JW, Hohenlohe PA, Peichel CL, Saetre G-P,
 Bank C, Brännström Å. 2014. Genomics and the origin of species. *Nat Rev Genet.* 15(3):176 192.
- Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. Busco: Assessing
 genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31(19):3210-3212.
- Smith SA, Moore MJ, Brown JW, Yang Y. 2015. Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals conflict,
 concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants. *BMC Evol Biol.* 15(1):1-15.

1 2 3	Song Y, Endepols S, Klemann N, Richter D, Matuschka F-R, Shih C-H, Nachman MW, Kohn MH. 2011. Adaptive introgression of anticoagulant rodent poison resistance by hybridization between old world mice. <i>Curr Biol.</i> 21(15):1296-1301.
4 5	Stahl BA, Gross JB. 2015. Alterations in mc1r gene expression are associated with regressive pigmentation in astyanax cavefish. <i>Dev Genes Evol.</i> 225(6):367-375.
6 7 8	Stenz NW, Larget B, Baum DA, Ané C. 2015. Exploring tree-like and non-tree-like patterns using genome sequences: An example using the inbreeding plant species arabidopsis thaliana (l.) heynh. <i>Syst Biol.</i> 64(5):809-823.
9	Stern DL. 2013. The genetic causes of convergent evolution. Nat Rev Genet. 14(11):751-764.
10 11	Stryjewski KF, Sorenson MD. 2017. Mosaic genome evolution in a recent and rapid avian radiation. Nature. <i>Nat Ecol Evol</i> . 1(12):1912-1922.
12 13	Suh A. 2016. The phylogenomic forest of bird trees contains a hard polytomy at the root of neoaves. <i>Zoologica Scripta</i> 45:50-62.
14 15	Suh A, Smeds L, Ellegren H. 2015. The dynamics of incomplete lineage sorting across the ancient adaptive radiation of neoavian birds. <i>PLoS Biol.</i> 13(8):e1002224.
16 17	Swofford D. 2003. Paup*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4 Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
18 19	Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. 2021. Mega11: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. <i>Mol Biol Evol.</i> 38(7):3022-3027.
20 21	Than C, Ruths D, Nakhleh L. 2008. Phylonet: A software package for analyzing and reconstructing reticulate evolutionary relationships. <i>BMC bioinformatics</i> 9(1):1-16.
22 23	Toews DP, Brelsford A. 2012. The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance in animals. <i>Mol Ecol.</i> 21(16):3907-3930.
24 25 26	Van Belleghem SM, Vangestel C, De Wolf K, De Corte Z, Möst M, Rastas P, De Meester L, Hendrickx F. 2018. Evolution at two time frames: Polymorphisms from an ancient singular divergence event fuel contemporary parallel evolution. <i>PLoS Genet.</i> 14(11):e1007796.
27 28 29	Van Damme K, Cornetti L, Fields PD, Ebert D. 2022. Whole-genome phylogenetic reconstruction as a powerful tool to reveal homoplasy and ancient rapid radiation in waterflea evolution. <i>Syst Biol.</i> 71(4):777-787.
30 31	Vaughan TG. 2017. Icytree: Rapid browser-based visualization for phylogenetic trees and networks. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 33(15):2392-2394.
32 33 34	Wallbank RW, Baxter SW, Pardo-Diaz C, Hanly JJ, Martin SH, Mallet J, Dasmahapatra KK, Salazar C, Joron M, Nadeau N. 2016. Evolutionary novelty in a butterfly wing pattern through enhancer shuffling. <i>PLoS Biol</i> . 14(1):e1002353.
35 36 37	Whittall JB, Voelckel C, Kliebenstein DJ, Hodges SA. 2006. Convergence, constraint and the role of gene expression during adaptive radiation: Floral anthocyanins in aquilegia. <i>Mol Ecol.</i> 15(14):4645-4657.

- Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/advance-article/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac278/6964684 by Universitätsbibliothek Bern user on 10 January 2023
- Xie KT, Wang G, Thompson AC, Wucherpfennig JI, Reimchen TE, MacColl ADC, Schluter D, Bell MA,
 Vasquez KM Kingsley DM. 2019. DNA fragility in the parallel evolution of pelvic reduction in
 stickleback fish. *Science*. 363(6422): 81–84.
- Yu Y, Dong J, Liu KJ, Nakhleh L. 2014. Maximum likelihood inference of reticulate evolutionary
 histories. *PNAS*. 111(46):16448-16453.
- Yu Y, Nakhleh L. 2015. A maximum pseudo-likelihood approach for phylogenetic networks. *BMC Genom.* 16(10):1-10.
- Zhang C, Rabiee M, Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2018. Astral-iii: Polynomial time species tree
 reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC bioinformatics* 19(6):15-30.
- Zuccon D, Ericson PG. 2010. A multi-gene phylogeny disentangles the chat-flycatcher complex (aves:
 Muscicapidae). *Zoologica Scripta* 39(3):213-224.
- 12

1 Figure captions

2 Figure 1 | Time-calibrated phylogenetic tree of open-habitat chats and levels of ILS. All nodes 3 are supported by bootstrap values of 100. Pie charts depict the gene tree heterogeneity for each 4 internal branch, with the brown proportion indicating the proportion of concordant gene trees 5 (gCF). Coloured branches indicate internal branches for which ILS alone is statistically sufficient to explain the observed gene tree heterogeneity. Stars indicate branches that are in the phylogenetic 6 anomaly zone. The character states of three selected characters: Sexual dimorphism (SD), 7 8 monomorphic female-type (white), monomorphic male-type (pale green), dimorphic (dark green); 9 Migratory behaviour (Mig), sedentary (white), short-distance migrant (pale green), long-distance migrant (dark green); and throat coloration (throat), white (white), black (pale green), and 10 polymorphic (white and pale green). Drawing courtesy of Chris Rose (www.chrisrose-artist.co.uk) 11 12 with permission from Bloomsbury Publishing Plc.

Figure 2 | Mito-nuclear discordances. Shown are the time-calibrated phylogenetic trees based on
nuclear data (left) and full mitogenomes (right).

Figure 3 | Footprints of introgression as estimated by the f-branch statistic. The heat map
summarizes the f-branch statistics estimated in Dsuite. Darker colors depict increasing evidence for
gene flow between lineages. Dotted lines in the phylogeny represent ancestral lineage.

Figure 4 | Phylogenomic networks and distribution ranges for the *picata* (left), *lugens* (middle) and *hispanica* (right) complexes. Phylogenomic networks were estimated under the maximum pseudolikelihood approach implemented in phyloNet. Numbers on the edges indicate the inheritance probabilities, which correspond to the proportion of gene trees supporting the reticulate relationship. Drawings courtesy of Chris Rose (www.chrisrose-artist.co.uk) with permission from Bloomsbury Publishing Plc. Distribution ranges modified from BirdLife International and the Handbook of the Birds of the World (2016).

25







