

## Signatures of Room-Temperature Quantum Interference in Molecular Junctions

Shi-Xia Liu,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Ali K. Ismael,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Alaa Al-Jobory,<sup>‡</sup> and Colin J. Lambert<sup>\*</sup>



Cite This: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00726>



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

**CONSPECTUS:** During the past decade or so, research groups around the globe have sought to answer the question: “How does electricity flow through single molecules?” In seeking the answer to this question, a series of joint theory and experimental studies have demonstrated that electrons passing through single-molecule junctions exhibit exquisite quantum interference (QI) effects, which have no classical analogues in conventional circuits. These signatures of QI appear even at room temperature and can be described by simple quantum circuit rules and a rather intuitive magic ratio theory. The latter describes the effect of varying the connectivity of electrodes to a molecular core and how electrical conductance can be controlled by the addition of heteroatoms to molecular cores. The former describes how individual moieties contribute to the overall conductance of a molecule and how the overall conductance can change when the connectivities between different moieties are varied. Related circuit rules have been derived and demonstrated, which describe the effects of connectivity on Seebeck coefficients of organic molecules. This simplicity arises because when a molecule is placed between two electrodes, charge transfer between the molecule and electrodes causes the molecular energy levels to adjust, such that the Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ) of the electrodes lies within the energy gap between the highest occupied molecular orbital and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. Consequently, when electrons of energy  $E_F$  pass through a molecule, their phase is protected and transport takes place via phase-coherent tunneling. Remarkably, these effects have been scaled up to self-assembled monolayers of molecules, thereby creating two-dimensional materials, whose room temperature transport properties are controlled by QI. This leads to new molecular design strategies for increasing the on/off conductance ratio of molecular switches and to improving the performance of organic thermoelectric materials. In particular, destructive quantum interference has been shown to improve the Seebeck coefficient of organic molecules and increase their on/off ratio under the influence of electrochemical gating. The aim of this Account is to introduce the novice reader to these signatures of QI in molecules, many of which have been identified in joint studies involving our theory group in Lancaster University and experimental group in Bern University.




### KEY REFERENCES

- Lambert, C. J.; Liu, S.-X. A Magic Ratio Rule for Beginners: A Chemist's Guide to Quantum Interference in Molecules. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 4193–4201.<sup>1</sup> A new magic ratio rule (MRR) sets the scene for exploiting quantum interference (QI) effects in molecular-scale devices by chemical modification.
- Liu, X.; Sangtarash, S.; Reber, D.; Zhang, D.; Sadeghi, H.; Shi, J.; Xiao, Z. Y.; Hong, W.; Lambert, C. J.; Liu, S.-X. Gating of Quantum Interference in Molecular Junctions by Heteroatom Substitution. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 173–176.<sup>2</sup> This work points out the fact that the destructive QI can be alleviated by the heteroatom substitution whereas constructive QI is almost unaffected.
- Famili, M.; Jia, C. C.; Liu, X. S.; Wang, P. Q.; Grace, I. M.; Guo, J.; Liu, Y.; Feng, Z. Y.; Wang, Y. L.; Zhao, Z. P.; Decurtins, S.; Häner, R.; Huang, Y.; Liu, S.-X.; Lambert, C. J.; Duan, X. F. Self-Assembled Molecular

Electronic Films Controlled by Room Temperature Quantum Interference. *Chem.* **2019**, *5*, 474–484.<sup>3</sup> Unequivocal signatures of single-molecule room-temperature QI can be translated into vertical tunneling transistors leading to large on–off ratio. It paves the way to utilize QI in the design of new functional, ultrathin film materials and devices.

- Wang, X.; Bennett, T. L. R.; Ismael, A.; Wilkinson, L. A.; Hamill, J.; White, A. J. P.; Grace, I. M.; Kolosov, O. V.; Albrecht, T.; Robinson, B. J.; Long, N. J.; Cohen, L. F.; Lambert, C. J. Scale-Up of Room-Temperature Constructive Quantum Interference from Single Molecules

Received: October 28, 2022



**Figure 1.** Crossover from phase-coherent tunnelling to incoherent hopping. Adapted with permission from ref 34. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

to Self-Assembled Molecular-Electronic Films. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 8555–8560.<sup>4</sup> It is the first report of constructive QI-boosted thermoelectricity of anthracene-based molecular films guided by MRR. It represents a critical step forward for future high-performance thermoelectric devices.

## INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

Interference is a generic property of all waves, including electromagnetic waves, sound waves, gravitational waves, and water waves. It refers to the fact that when two or more waves are superposed to create a combined wave pattern, they can either superpose constructively, resulting in a large combined amplitude, or destructively, resulting in a small combined amplitude. The term ‘quantum interference (QI)’ is used to describe interference of de Broglie waves. In the context of molecular electronics, QI is relevant, provided the energy of an electron passing through a molecule is well-defined and not changed as it passes through the molecule. This means that the phase of the wave function of an electron passing through a molecule is also well-defined, in which case, transport is said to be “phase coherent”. It is timely to clarify design principles for controlling QI effects in single molecules as a prerequisite of exploiting such effects in nanoscale devices formed from self-assembled monolayers of molecules.<sup>1–4</sup> Much effort has been devoted to detection, manipulation, and application of QI effects in charge transport through single-molecule junctions.<sup>5,6</sup> Research groups in Lancaster and Bern universities have collaborated in studies of room-temperature, molecular-scale QI effects for over a decade, through the European Union networks FUNMOLS<sup>7</sup> and MOLESCO.<sup>8</sup> In part, these studies were stimulated by the potential impact of QI on the electronic and thermoelectric performance of single-molecule junctions and self-assembled monolayers (SAMs).<sup>9</sup> The aim of this Account is to outline the new concepts and strategies for controlling QI that have emerged from these collaborations and also to discuss how certain “quantum circuit rules”, describing single-molecule electrical conductance can impact on the nearby field of electron transfer in donor–acceptor molecules.

Within the Theory of Molecular-Scale Transport group in Lancaster University, interest in molecular-scale QI effects was born out of earlier work on electron transport through hybrid superconducting nanostructures,<sup>10</sup> which led to the discovery of novel interference phenomena.<sup>11,12</sup> This led to descriptions of thermoelectricity<sup>13</sup> and resonant transport<sup>14</sup> in hybrid superconductors, which formed a basis for investigating these effects in single molecule junctions a decade or so later. The computer codes used to probe transport in superconducting

nanostructures were later generalized to describe material-specific electron transport in transition metal multilayers<sup>15</sup> and carbon nanotubes and underpinned the subsequent development of the SMEAGOL quantum transport code, with colleagues in the University of Oviedo and Trinity College Dublin<sup>16</sup> and, more recently, the GOLLUM code.<sup>17</sup> The underlying theory leading to these density-functional-theory-based quantum-transport simulation tools is described in a recent textbook.<sup>18</sup>


Within the experimental groups at the University of Bern, research in the field of single-molecule electronics was born out of previous work, such as the study of redox-active tetrathiafulvalene molecules incorporated into metal-molecule-metal junctions within a two-dimensional nanoparticle assembly. Successive oxidation–reduction cycles showed a clear switching behavior of the molecular junction conductance.<sup>19</sup> Also, of greatest interest was the search for suitable anchor groups that would allow direct and robust binding of a  $\pi$ -conjugated molecular framework to gold electrodes. This investigation led to the discovery of an in situ desilylation process that yielded robust Au–C  $\sigma$ -bonds.<sup>20–22</sup> Of great importance was the discovery of a versatile synthesis protocol leading to benzodifuran derivatives,<sup>23</sup> which opened a way to exploit the molecular conductances of these redox-active  $\pi$ -conjugated molecules in different configurations and in response to different stimuli.<sup>24–28</sup> As another example, the conductance of an organoborane wire in a single-molecule junction was studied in response to Lewis acid–base interactions.<sup>29</sup> Consistent with electron transfer through molecules, a variety of synthetic methods have been developed in Bern to obtain  $\pi$ -conjugated donor-bridge-acceptor (D-B-A) systems, with a focus on addressing some key issues about photoinduced charge and energy-transfer processes that are closely related to energy topics such as renewable energy conversion and energy storage.<sup>30</sup> Particularly, such D-A systems have been shown to be effective in noncovalent functionalization of nanographene<sup>31</sup> as well as in the chemical or optical control of intramolecular charge flow.<sup>32,33</sup> Clearly, single-molecule junction (M-B-M) experiments, in which the molecular wires are confined by anchoring groups between two electrodes, provide a useful tool to understand the role of the bridge between the D and A units.

The collaborations described below are a result of combining these two rather diverse backgrounds to undertake projects at the interface between physics and chemistry.

## SIGNATURES OF SINGLE MOLECULE QUANTUM INTERFERENCE

Our joint collaborations were based on the fact that electron transport through sufficiently short molecules is phase coherent, even at room temperature. For short enough molecules, this is clearly demonstrated.<sup>34,35</sup> For example, Figure 1 shows that the electrical conductance  $G$  of a series of oligo(aryleneethynylene) (OAE) molecules initially varies as  $G \sim e^{-\beta L}$ , where  $L$  is the molecular length. This is a signature of phase-coherent tunnelling. However, as  $L$  increases beyond 3 nm, the conductance crosses over to a more classical behavior  $G \sim 1/L$ , associated with incoherent hopping.

The above progress in characterizing transport through single molecules underpinned our first joint experimental and theoretical study, designed to find evidence of destructive quantum interference (DQI) associated with cross-conjugated oxygen atoms in anthraquinones.<sup>36</sup> This was presented in the ref 36 and followed by further confirmations.<sup>37</sup> These studies were stimulated by theoretical predictions of DQI transmission dips due to cross-conjugated oxygen atoms in fluorenones.<sup>38</sup> From a theoretical viewpoint, the presence of DQI is signaled by the presence of dips in the transmission coefficient  $T(E)$  describing the probability that an electron of energy  $E$  can pass from one electrode to another via a molecule, which bridges the gap between the two electrodes in a single-molecule junction, as shown in Figure 2. The black dashed line shows



**Figure 2.** Generic plots of the transmission coefficient  $T(E)$  versus electron energy  $E$  from two different single-molecule junctions. The black curve corresponds to a single-molecule junction displaying CQI, whereas the sharp dip in red curve is typical of a junction exhibiting DQI. Data are from ref 39.

the location of the Fermi energy  $E_F$  of the electrodes and the electrical conductance  $G$  of such a junction (in units of  $G_0 = 2e^2/h$ ) is approximately equal to the intersection between the black dashed line and a transmission curve; i.e.  $G \approx G_0 T(E_F)$ . From an experimental viewpoint, DQI leads to the suppression of electrical conductance and is revealed by comparing the measured electrical conductances of appropriate homologous series of molecules, as shown in Figure 3.

In both cases shown in Figure 2, high values of  $T(E)$  (i.e., transmission resonances) occur at energies  $E_H$  and  $E_L$  corresponding to energies of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). Typically, when a molecule is placed between two metallic electrodes, charge is transferred on or off the molecule, such that the Fermi energy lies between  $E_H$  and  $E_L$ , i.e.,  $E_F$

typically lies within the HOMO–LUMO gap and not close to a transmission resonance. This is why the behavior of  $T(E)$  within the HOMO–LUMO gap is relevant experimentally. If  $E_F$  is close to the dip in the red curve, DQI suppresses  $G$ , which could help to lower the “off-conductance” of molecular switches, whereas tuning the HOMO or LUMO energies  $E_H$  and  $E_L$  to be close to  $E_F$  yields high  $G$ . Additionally, the Seebeck coefficient  $S$  is proportional to the slope of  $\log T(E)$  at  $E = E_F$  and therefore for high-performance thermoelectricity, where high values of  $G$  and  $S$  are needed, careful tuning of energy levels to yield a high slope at  $E_F$  and high  $T(E_F)$  is desirable.

The top left panel of Figure 3 shows a series of studied molecules, in which 9 is nonconjugated while 7 and 8 are linear- and cross-conjugated, respectively. As expected, the bottom left panel shows that the broken  $\pi$ -conjugation in 9 leads to a rather low conductance compared to its conjugated counterpart 7, but surprisingly, the cross-conjugated anthraquinone compound 8 has an even lower conductance. Figure 3D of the right panel shows that the low conductance of 8 arises, because the transmission coefficient of 8 possesses a DQI dip near the Fermi energy.

## MAGIC RATIO THEORY

The above study led us to ask how electrical conductance depends on the connectivity of a molecular core to external electrodes. For example, 4 and 6 (Figure 3) possess the same naphthalene core, but the triple bonds connecting them to the external electrodes are connected to different carbon atoms within the core. Similarly, 5 and 7 (Figure 3) possess the same anthracene core, but with different connectivities to the electrodes. To take advantage of the fact that the connectivity to a central core can be varied with atomic accuracy and to describe how connectivity controls QI in molecules, we developed a rather intuitive “magic ratio theory” of transport through the  $\pi$  system of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).<sup>1,40,41</sup> This theory describes qualitatively the connectivity dependence of  $T(E)$  near the middle of HOMO–LUMO gap by constructing a “magic number table” with entries labeled  $m_{ij}$  and noting that the electrical conductance  $G_{ij}$  of a molecule with connectivity  $i, j$  is proportional to  $|m_{ij}|^2$ . (See section S2 of the Supporting Information for more details.)

As an example,<sup>40</sup> Figure 4 shows pyrene cores, P1 and P2, connected to electrodes with two different connectivities,  $i, j = 2, 5'$  and  $i, j = 5, 1'$ . The bottom right panel shows the magic number table for pyrene and reveals that  $m_{5,1'} = -1$ , whereas  $m_{2,5'} = -3$ . Consequently, magic ratio theory predicts their conductance ratios to be

$$G_{2,5'}/G_{5,1'} = (m_{2,5'}/m_{5,1'})^2 = (3/1)^2 = 9 \quad (1)$$

The bottom left panel shows their measured conductance histograms, whose most-probable conductances are in a ratio of  $G_{2,5'}/G_{5,1'} = 7.9$ , in close agreement with magic ratio theory.

As a second example,<sup>41</sup> Figure 5 shows an anthanthrene core connected to electrodes with two different connectivities,  $i, j = 1, 5'$  and  $i, j = 7, 2'$ , indicated by red and blue lines, respectively. The bottom left panel shows the magic number table for anthanthrene and reveals that  $m_{7,2'} = -9$ , whereas  $m_{1,5'} = -1$ . Consequently, magic ratio theory predicts their conductance ratio to be




Figure 3. A Bern–Lancaster study of DQI. Adapted with permission from ref 37. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.




Figure 4. Experimental verification of magic ratio theory for pyrene. Adapted with permission from ref 40. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

$$G_{7,2'}/G_{1,5'} = (m_{7,2'}/m_{1,5'})^2 = (9/1)^2 = 81 \quad (2)$$

The bottom right panel shows their measured conductance histograms, whose most-probable conductances are in a ratio of  $G_{7,2'}/G_{1,5'} = 79$ . Again, this is in close agreement with magic ratio theory and in agreement with a density functional theory (DFT) calculation of  $T(E)$  for these junctions, shown in the top right panel (Figure 5).

It should be noted that the above molecules are represented by bipartite lattices, in which atoms labeled by unprimed integers are connected to atoms labeled by primed integers only. Consequently,  $m_{ij} = 0$  when  $i, j$  are both primed or both unprimed integers, and therefore, these connectivities correspond to DQI.

## THE EFFECT OF HETEROATOM SUBSTITUTION

Magic number tables can also be used to predict the effect of heteroatom substitution into a PAH core.<sup>2,42,43</sup>


As an example, if a heteroatom is added to site  $k$ , where  $k = 3$  in the right panel of Figure 6, then the new magic number table  $m_{ij}^{new}$  for the heteroatom-substituted core is related to the bare pyrene magic number table  $m_{ij}$  by

$$m_{ij}^{new} = m_{ij} + m_{ik}\epsilon m_{kj} \quad (3)$$


In this equation  $\epsilon$  represents the perturbative effect of the heteroatoms on site  $k$ . In view of the bipartite nature of pyrene, for  $k = 4$ , which is unprimed:

- (1) If both  $i, j$  are unprimed, then  $m_{ij} = 0$  and the unsubstituted pyrene exhibit DQI, and also,  $m_{ik} = m_{kj} = 0$  and  $m_{ij}^{new} = m_{ij} = 0$ . Therefore, the heteroatom has no effect and DQI persists.





**Figure 5.** Experimental verification of magic ratio theory for anthanthrene. Adapted with permission from ref 41. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.



**Figure 6.** Left: A pyrene core. Right: A heteroatom added to position 3 of the pyrene core.


- (2) If only one of  $i, j$  is unprimed, then for most choices  $m_{ij} \neq 0$ , and the unsubstituted pyrene exhibits constructive quantum interference (CQI), and also at least one of  $m_{ik}$  or  $m_{kj} = 0$  and  $m_{ij}^{new} = m_{ij}$ . Therefore, the heteroatom again has no effect.

- (3) If both  $i, j$  are primed, then  $m_{ij} = 0$  and the unsubstituted pyrene exhibits DQI, and also  $m_{ij}^{new} = m_{ij}m_{kj}$  which can be nonzero, leading to the alleviation of DQI.

This predictive nature of magic ratio theory was verified experimentally in the ref 2.

### UPSCALING QUANTUM INTERFERENCE TO SELF-ASSEMBLED MONOLAYERS

More recently, it has been demonstrated that these single-molecule QI effects can be translated into self-assembled monolayers,<sup>3,4,44</sup> thereby creating two-dimensional materials, whose electronic and thermoelectric properties are controlled by room-temperature quantum interference. For example, the above anthanthrene core was used to form a SAM in a graphene-based transistor, and the large conductance ratio in eq 2 was found to persist, though with a modified value.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, the conductance ratio in anthracene-based SAMs with different connectivities was again predicted by magic ratio theory.



**Figure 7.** Left: Molecules with different connectivities to terminal groups and central ring. Right: Experimental conductance values reported. Adapted with permission from ref 45. Copyright 2015 Nature Portfolio.




Figure 8. Two molecular backbones forming single-molecule junction systems or donor–acceptor systems in Table S1.

## ■ QUANTUM CIRCUIT RULES FOR ELECTRICAL CONDUCTANCE

A further manifestation of QI in single molecule junctions led us to identify quantum circuit rules for combining conjugated moieties connected in series within linear molecules.<sup>45</sup> The underlying theory leading to these rules is discussed in section 12.6 of the textbook mentioned in ref 21. Their origin lies in the fact that electron transport takes place via phase-coherent tunnelling within the HOMO–LUMO gap. The molecules in Figure 7 were studied.<sup>45</sup> They have the property that the nitrogen of the terminal pyridyl group preferentially connects to the gold electrodes, and therefore, electrons are injected into the molecule via the N in the left pyridyl ring, pass through the central ring, and exit through the N of the rightmost ring. For group 1, the central ring is *para*-connected, while for group 2, it is *meta*-connected. Their connectivity-dependent electrical conductances were predicted and measured to satisfy the following circuit rule, which captures the effect of changing the connectivity to both the central phenyl ring and the terminal groups:

$$G_{ppp}G_{mmm} = G_{pmp}G_{mpm} \quad (4)$$

In the above expression,  $G_{ppp}$ ,  $G_{mmm}$ ,  $G_{pmp}$ , and  $G_{mpm}$  are the electrical conductances of molecules *p-p-p*, *m-m-m*, *p-m-p*, and *m-p-m*, respectively. The “rule” of eq 4 means that a permutation of *para* vs *meta* connectivities in otherwise identical molecules has no influence on conductivity as long as the sum of *meta* and *para* connections in both molecules remains the same.

The measured values of  $G_{ppp}$ ,  $G_{mmm}$ ,  $G_{pmp}$ , and  $G_{mpm}$  are shown in the Table of Figure 7. These satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \log G_{ppp}G_{mmm} &= \log G_{ppp} + \log G_{mmm} = -4.5 - 6.9 = -11.4 \\ \text{and } \log G_{pmp}G_{mpm} &= \log G_{pmp} + \log G_{mpm} = -5.5 - 6.0 = -11.5 \end{aligned}$$

which is in close agreement with eq 4.

A more general extension of this rule was proposed and verified theoretically through DFT simulations, which also established a related circuit rule for Seebeck coefficients of organic molecules. Further experimental verifications of this rule were recently presented.<sup>46</sup>

## ■ QUANTUM CIRCUIT RULES FOR ELECTRON-TRANSFER RATES OF DONOR ACCEPTOR SYSTEMS

So far, the discussion has been confined to charge transport across molecular wires in break junction (M-B-M) experiments where molecules are attached to external electrodes. In this section, we ask if quantum circuit rules are also valid for experiments of electron transfer across the bridge in solvated donor-bridge-acceptor (D-B-A) molecules. A relationship between electron-transfer rates and electrical conductance was discussed earlier,<sup>47–49</sup> where it was noted that the two phenomena differ because they take place at different energies

under two different environmental conditions. The former involves the reorganization energy and the localization of the electron on the donor and acceptor species, while the latter does not. This issue remains an unresolved complex topic as only a few papers have been published, showing that the trends observed in the electron-transfer rates across the bridges of the D-B-A systems are reflected well in the trends of conductance values of the same bridges embedded in M-B-M junctions.<sup>29,48,50</sup> The absolute values yielded in these two sets of experiments are not comparable. Now the question arises “Given that the tunnelling energy barrier is mainly associated with the electronic properties of D and A in the D-B-A systems and electronic coupling of molecules to electrodes through anchor groups in the M-B-M junctions, respectively, should conductance ratios apply to electron-transfer rates in order to cancel out this effect?” In other words, through the molecular wires B1 and B2 are conductance ratios comparable to the ratios of electron-transfer rates?

To address this issue, we have collected a series of data distributed into two tables (Supporting Information) showing transfer-rate ratios and conductance ratios for a series of molecular systems with bridges of the same molecular length. For example, in Table S1, we show results for the backbones in Figure 8, denoted B1 and B2. The electrical conductances of systems formed from these two molecular backbones with different anchor groups to electrodes are shown in Table S1, along with a unique label for each pair of measurements. Similarly, electron-transfer rates of systems formed from these two molecular backbones with different donor and acceptor terminal groups are shown, along with a unique label for each pair of donor–acceptor systems. For each pair of single-molecule conductances in Table S1, the conductance ratio  $B_1/B_2$  can be calculated, and similarly for each pair of transfer rates, the transfer-rate ratio (also denoted  $B_1/B_2$ ) can be calculated, as shown in the fifth column of the Table S1. In Figure 9, these ratios are shown as blue and orange stars,






Figure 9. A comparison between electron-transfer rate ratios and conductance ratios, orange and blue stars respectively, using the data and system labels shown in Table S1. The black line is a guide to the eye.



**Figure 10.** Two molecular backbones forming single-molecule junction systems or donor–acceptor systems in Table S2.

respectively, and clearly fall into a rather narrow range. The deviation of one point for the system 2 is larger, which originates from a marked solvent dependence of electron-transfer rates for the series of B1 with respect to the series of B2. This is not unexpected as differences in the relaxation rates for populations and coherences of the bridge states can be affected by the environment.<sup>48</sup> Remarkably, the values for conductance ratios are found to be comparable with those obtained for transfer-rate ratios.

A similar scatter plot for the molecular backbones shown in Figure 10 is depicted in Figure 11. Again, the conductance



**Figure 11.** A comparison between electron-transfer rate ratios and conductance ratios, orange and blue stars respectively, using the data and system labels shown in Table S2.

ratios are found to be comparable with those obtained for transfer-rate ratios, and except for the systems labeled 13 and 14, they fall into a rather narrow range. The anomaly appears to occur in the system 13 with pyridyl anchoring groups, which can be attributed to the change in the electronic properties of B1 and B2 by the replacement of two benzene rings with two pyridine rings. In contrast, the large deviation of the ratio for the system 14 with the protected acetyl thiol anchoring groups is probably due to the experimental uncertainty as a result of conformational fluctuations of the molecule in the junction, different experimental setups, and methods for data analysis for single-molecule junctions.

A detailed interpretation of the anomalies is a challenge, as different experimental parameters have to be taken into account in photoinduced electron transfer and charge transport through the single-molecule junctions. Despite this, the ratio of electron transfer rates is likely independent of the donor–acceptor couple used and since this appears to be useful in predicting the corresponding conductance ratio in single-molecule junctions (vice versa), it merits further consideration.

## CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

In this Account, we have outlined the new concepts and strategies for controlling QI that have emerged from recent

joint experimental and theoretical studies. As illustrated in Figure 3, signatures of quantum interference can be revealed by comparing measurements on homologous series of related molecules, and as demonstrated in Figures 4 and 5, quantum interference can be controlled by varying the connectivity of electrodes to the central molecular core. These studies provide evidence that electron transport is phase coherent, even at room temperature and can lead to new designs of self-assembled molecular layers, whose room-temperature transport properties are controlled by quantum interference. Quantum circuit rules for electrical conductance are expected to be valid when transport takes place in the vicinity of the middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap. This condition for validity is similar to the condition that leads to a generic  $\cos^2\theta$  dependence of conductance on the dihedral angle  $\theta$  between two neighboring conjugated rings; this  $\theta$  dependence is valid, provided the Fermi energy is near the gap center, whereas this trend is broken when the Fermi energy lies close to the HOMO or LUMO.<sup>51</sup> Mechanisms for tuning the Fermi energy relative to HOMO and LUMO levels have been discussed by a number of authors,<sup>52,53</sup> including surface dipole effects, vacuum level shifts, and charge-transfer complexation. Such interactions shift the energy levels of the molecule relative to the contact Fermi level.

Remarkably, these nonclassical quantum circuit rules appear to have approximate validity when describing the ratio of electron-transfer rates in D-B-A systems, as discussed above.

For the future, this is an exciting and expanding area of research and has been the subject of a few recent reviews.<sup>5,54</sup> The implementation of controlled QI holds great promise of a real breakthrough in energy applications and molecular electronics.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00726>.

Transfer-rate ratios and conductance ratios for a series of molecular systems in Tables S1 and S2 as well as magic ratio theory and counting rules (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Authors

Shi-Xia Liu – Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Bern, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland; [orcid.org/0000-0001-6104-4320](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6104-4320); Email: [shi-xia.liu@unibe.ch](mailto:shi-xia.liu@unibe.ch)

Ali K. Ismael – Quantum Technology Centre, Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom; Department of Physics, College of Education for Pure Science, Tikrit University, 34001 Tikrit,

Iraq; [orcid.org/0000-0001-7943-3519](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7943-3519);

Email: [k.ismael@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:k.ismael@lancaster.ac.uk)

**Colin J. Lambert** – Quantum Technology Centre, Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom; [orcid.org/0000-0003-2332-9610](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2332-9610); Email: [c.lambert@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:c.lambert@lancaster.ac.uk)

## Author

**Alaa Al-Jobory** – Quantum Technology Centre, Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom; Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Anbar, 31001 Anbar, Iraq

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00726>

## Author Contributions

<sup>‡</sup>S.-X.L, A.K.I., and A.A. contributed equally.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Biographies

**Shi-Xia Liu** received her Ph.D. in Chemistry from Lanzhou University (China) in 1998. As an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow, she was at the University of Siegen (Germany) from 1999 to 2000. She was a postdoctoral fellow (2000–2004) and then a lecturer/senior scientist (from 2005) at the Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Bern (Switzerland). In October 2020, she received the Venia Legendi after successful habilitation. Her current research interests focus on preparation and functionalization of large  $\pi$ -extended conjugates, including fused electron donor–acceptor ensembles for regulation of charge-transfer directions, surface-assisted synthesis of graphene materials and their applications in molecular (opto)electronics.

**Ali K. Ismael** completed his M.S. at Mosul University, Nineveh/Iraq in 2006, and his Ph.D. at Lancaster University in 2017. He was an Assistant Lecturer (2006–2008) and then appointed as a Director of Undergraduate Studies and became a Lecturer (2008–2012) at the Department of Physics at Tikrit University, Saladin/Iraq. From 2012 to 2015, he was a Teaching Assistant (PGTA) at the Department of Physics at Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK. He was a Senior Research Associate, Postdoc holder of the EPSRC-funded project “QuIET” and the EU Future and Emerging Technologies network “Quantum Interference Enhanced Thermoelectricity”, in the Department of Physics at Lancaster University (2017–2020). He acts as a Principal Investigator for the Leverhulme Trust for Early Career Fellowship (ECF), UK (2020–present) and also a Senior Research Fellow at the Department of Physics, Lancaster University, UK (2021–present). His research mainly focusses on theory of molecular-scale electron, thermal transport, and computational materials discovery.

**Alaa Al-Jobory** obtained his M.S. in 2005 at University of Anbar/Iraq and was awarded his Ph.D. in 2018 at Lancaster University. He was a Lecturer (2006–2012) and a Senior Lecturer (2012–2020) in the Department of Physics at the University of Anbar. In 2020, he became an Associate Professor in the Department of Physics at the University of Anbar in Iraq. In 2021, he was appointed as a Managing Editor for the Journal of the University of Anbar for Pure Science (JUAPS). Molecular electronics is his main interest.

**Colin J. Lambert** is a Research Professor, QinetiQ Fellow, and Founding Director of the Lancaster Quantum Technology Centre. He became a Lecturer in Department of Physics, Lancaster University in

1983 and was promoted to Professor of Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics at Lancaster University in 1990. He was a president of Centre Européen de Calcul Atomique et Moléculaire (1997–1998), associate Dean of Research, Faculty of Science and Technology at Lancaster University (2005–2010), a consultant at BP Exploration PLC, Sunbury, UK (2008–2013), and Director of the Lancaster University Centre for Nanoscale Dynamics and Mathematical Modelling (2000–2014). Since 2016, he has been a visiting Professor at the Department of Materials, Oxford University, Oxford, UK.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Swiss NSF (200021\_204053) and the European Commission through the FET Open project 767187-QuIET. A.I. acknowledges the Leverhulme Trust for Early Career Fellowship ECF-2020-638. A.I. and A.A. are also grateful for financial assistance from Tikrit and Anbar Universities (Iraq) and the Iraqi Ministry of Higher Education (SL-20). A.I. and C.J.L. acknowledge financial support from the UK EPSRC, through grant nos. EP/M014452/1, EP/P027156/1, and EP/N03337X/1.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Lambert, C. J.; Liu, S.-X. A Magic Ratio Rule for Beginners: A Chemist’s Guide to Quantum Interference in Molecules. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 4193–4201.
- (2) Liu, X.; Sangtarash, S.; Reber, D.; Zhang, D.; Sadeghi, H.; Shi, J.; Xiao, Z. Y.; Hong, W.; Lambert, C. J.; Liu, S.-X. Gating of Quantum Interference in Molecular Junctions by Heteroatom Substitution. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 173–176.
- (3) Famili, M.; Jia, C. C.; Liu, X. S.; Wang, P. Q.; Grace, I. M.; Guo, J.; Liu, Y.; Feng, Z. Y.; Wang, Y. L.; Zhao, Z. P.; Decurtins, S.; Häner, R.; Huang, Y.; Liu, S.-X.; Lambert, C. J.; Duan, X. F. Self-Assembled Molecular-Electronic Films Controlled by Room Temperature Quantum Interference. *Chem.* **2019**, *5*, 474–484.
- (4) Wang, X.; Bennett, T. L. R.; Ismael, A.; Wilkinson, L. A.; Hamill, J.; White, A. J. P.; Grace, I. M.; Kolosov, O. V.; Albrecht, T.; Robinson, B. J.; Long, N. J.; Cohen, L. F.; Lambert, C. J. Scale-Up of Room-Temperature Constructive Quantum Interference from Single Molecules to Self-Assembled Molecular-Electronic Films. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 8555–8560.
- (5) Liu, J.; Huang, X.; Wang, F.; Hong, W. Quantum Interference Effects in Charge Transport through Single-Molecule Junctions: Detection, Manipulation, and Application. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 151–160.
- (6) Su, T. A.; Neupane, M.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Venkataraman, L.; Nuckolls, C. Chemical principles of single-molecule electronics. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2016**, *1*, 16002.
- (7) *Fundamentals of Molecular Electronic Assemblies “FUNMOLS”*. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/212942/reporting>
- (8) *MOLECULAR-SCALE ELECTRONICS: Concepts, Contacts and Stability “MOLESCO”*. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/606728>
- (9) Wang, X.; Ismael, A.; Almutlg, A.; Alshammari, M.; Al-Jobory, A.; Alshehab, A.; Bennett, T. L.; Wilkinson, L. A.; Cohen, L. F.; Long, N. J.; et al. Optimised power harvesting by controlling the pressure applied to molecular junctions. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 5230–5235.
- (10) Lambert, C. J. Generalized Landauer formulae for quasi-particle transport in disordered superconductors. *J. Phys: Condens. Matter* **1991**, *3*, 6579–6587.
- (11) Hui, V. C.; Lambert, C. J. Andreev Scattering, Universal Conductance Fluctuations and Phase Periodic Transport. *EPL* **1993**, *23*, 203–209.
- (12) Lambert, C. J. Quantum interference from superconducting islands in a mesoscopic solid. *J. Phys: Condens. Matter* **1993**, *5*, 707–716.
- (13) Claughton, N. R.; Lambert, C. J. Thermoelectric properties of mesoscopic superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *53*, 6605–6612.



- (14) Claughton, N. R.; Leadbeater, M.; Lambert, C. J. Theory of Andreev resonances in quantum dots. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **1995**, *7*, 8757–8784.
- (15) Sanvito, S.; Lambert, C. J.; Jefferson, J. H.; Bratkovsky, A. M. General Green's-function formalism for transport calculations with spd Hamiltonians and giant magnetoresistance in Co- and Ni-based magnetic multilayers. *Phys. Rev. B* **1999**, *59*, 11936–11948.
- (16) Rocha, A. R.; García-suárez, V. M.; Bailey, S. W.; Lambert, C. J.; Ferrer, J.; Sanvito, S. Towards molecular spintronics. *Nat. Mater.* **2005**, *4*, 335–339.
- (17) Ferrer, J.; Lambert, C. J.; García-Suárez, V. M.; Manrique, D. Z.; Visontai, D.; Oroszlany, L.; Rodríguez-Ferradás, R.; Grace, I.; Bailey, S. W. D.; Gillemot, K.; Sadeghi, H.; Algharagholi, L. A. GOLLUM: a next-generation simulation tool for electron, thermal and spin transport. *New J. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, No. 093029.
- (18) Lambert, C. J. Quantum Transport in Nanostructures and Molecules. In *An introduction to molecular electronics*; IOP Publishing: Philadelphia, PA, 2021.
- (19) Liao, J.; Agustsson, J. S.; Wu, S.; Schoenenberger, C.; Calame, M.; Leroux, Y.; Mayor, M.; Jeannin, O.; Ran, Y.-F.; Liu, S.-X.; Decurtins, S. Cyclic Conductance Switching in Networks of Redox-Active Molecular Junctions. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 759–764.
- (20) Hong, W.; Li, H.; Liu, S.-X.; Fu, Y.; Li, J.; Kaliginedi, V.; Decurtins, S.; Wandlowski, T. Trimethylsilyl-Terminated Oligo-(phenylene ethynylene)s: An Approach to Single-Molecule Junctions with Covalent Au-C sigma-Bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 19425–19431.
- (21) Fu, Y.; Chen, S.; Kuzume, A.; Rudnev, A.; Huang, C.; Kaliginedi, V.; Baghernejad, M.; Hong, W.; Wandlowski, T.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X. Exploitation of desilylation chemistry in tailor-made functionalization on diverse surfaces. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6403.
- (22) Ismael, A. K.; Lambert, C. J. Single-molecule conductance oscillations in alkane rings. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2019**, *7*, 6578–6581.
- (23) Yi, C.; Blum, C.; Lehmann, M.; Keller, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Frei, G.; Neels, A.; Hauser, J.; Schurch, S.; Decurtins, S. Versatile Strategy To Access Fully Functionalized Benzodifurans: Redox-Active Chromophores for the Construction of Extended pi-Conjugated Materials. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 3350–3357.
- (24) Li, Z.; Li, H.; Chen, S.; Froehlich, T.; Yi, C.; Schoenenberger, C.; Calame, M.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Borguet, E. Regulating a Benzodifuran Single Molecule Redox Switch via Electrochemical Gating and Optimization of Molecule/Electrode Coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8867–8870.
- (25) Huang, C.; Chen, S.; Ornsø, K. B.; Reber, D.; Baghernejad, M.; Fu, Y.; Wandlowski, T.; Decurtins, S.; Hong, W.; Thygesen, K. S.; Liu, S.-X. Controlling Electrical Conductance through a pi-Conjugated Cruciform Molecule by Selective Anchoring to Gold Electrodes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 14304–14307.
- (26) Xiang, A.; Li, H.; Chen, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Decurtins, S.; Bai, M.; Hou, S.; Liao, J. Electronic transport in benzodifuran single-molecule transistors. *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 7665–7673.
- (27) Sun, H.; Liu, X.; Su, Y.; Deng, B.; Peng, H.; Decurtins, S.; Sanvito, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Hou, S.; Liao, J. Dirac-cone induced gating enhancement in single-molecule field-effect transistors. *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 13117–13125.
- (28) Baghernejad, M.; Yang, Y.; Al-Owaedi, O. A.; Aeschi, Y.; Zeng, B. F.; Abd Dawood, Z. M.; Li, X.; Liu, J.; Shi, J.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Hong, W.; Lambert, C. J. Constructive Quantum Interference in Single-Molecule Benzodichalcogenophene Junctions. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 5264–5269.
- (29) Liu, X.; Li, X.; Sangtarash, S.; Sadeghi, H.; Decurtins, S.; Häner, R.; Hong, W.; Lambert, C. J.; Liu, S.-X. Probing Lewis acid-base interactions in single-molecule junctions. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 18131–18134.
- (30) Bergkamp, J. J.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X. Current advances in fused tetrathiafulvalene donor-acceptor systems. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 863–874.
- (31) Volland, M.; Zhou, P.; Wibmer, L.; Häner, R.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Guldí, D. M. Nanographene favors electronic interactions with an electron acceptor rather than an electron donor in a planar fused push-pull conjugate. *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 1437–1441.
- (32) Zhou, P.; Aschauer, U.; Decurtins, S.; Feurer, T.; Häner, R.; Liu, S.-X. Chemical control of photoinduced charge-transfer direction in a tetrathiafulvalene-fused dipyrrolylquinoxaline difluoroborate dyad. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 13421–13424.
- (33) Rohwer, E. J.; Geng, Y.; Akbarimoosavi, M.; Daku, L. M. L.; Alevque, O.; Levillain, E.; Hauser, J.; Cannizzo, A.; Häner, R.; Decurtins, S.; Stanley, R. J.; Feurer, T.; Liu, S.-X. Optically Controlled Electron Transfer in a Re(I) Complex. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 5399–5403.
- (34) Zhao, X.; Huang, C.; Gulcur, M.; Batsanov, A. S.; Baghernejad, M.; Hong, W.; Bryce, M. R.; Wandlowski, T. Oligo-(aryleneethynylene)s with Terminal Pyridyl Groups: Synthesis and Length Dependence of the Tunneling-to-Hopping Transition of Single-Molecule Conductances. *Chem. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 4340–4347.
- (35) Hines, T.; Diez-Perez, L.; Hihath, J.; Liu, H.; Wang, Z.-S.; Zhao, J.; Zhou, G.; Müllen, K.; Tao, N. Transition from Tunneling to Hopping in Single Molecular Junctions by Measuring Length and Temperature Dependence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 11658–11664.
- (36) Hong, W.; Valkenier, H.; Meszaros, G.; Manrique, D. Z.; Mishchenko, A.; Putz, A.; Garcia, P. M.; Lambert, C. J.; Hummelen, J. C.; Wandlowski, T. An MCBJ case study: the influence of pi-conjugation on the single-molecule conductance at a solid/liquid interface. *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *2*, 699–713.
- (37) Kaliginedi, V.; Moreno-Garcia, P.; Valkenier, H.; Hong, W.; Garcia-Suarez, V. M.; Buitter, P.; Otten, J. L. H.; Hummelen, J. C.; Lambert, C. J.; Wandlowski, T. Correlations between Molecular Structure and Single-Junction Conductance: A Case Study with Oligo(phenylene-ethynylene)-Type Wires. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5262–5275.
- (38) Papadopoulos, T. A.; Grace, I. M.; Lambert, C. J. Control of electron transport through Fano resonances in molecular wires. *Phys. Rev. B* **2006**, *74*, 193306.
- (39) Ismael, A. K.; Grace, I.; Lambert, C. J. Connectivity dependence of Fano resonances in single molecules. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 6416–6421.
- (40) Sangtarash, S.; Huang, C.; Sadeghi, H.; Sorohhov, G.; Hauser, J.; Wandlowski, T.; Hong, W.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Lambert, C. J. Searching the Hearts of Graphene-like Molecules for Simplicity, Sensitivity, and Logic. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 11425–11431.
- (41) Geng, Y.; Sangtarash, S.; Huang, C.; Sadeghi, H.; Fu, Y.; Hong, W.; Wandlowski, T.; Decurtins, S.; Lambert, C. J.; Liu, S.-X. Magic ratios for connectivity-driven electrical conductance of graphene-like molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4469–4476.
- (42) Sangtarash, S.; Sadeghi, H.; Lambert, C. J. Exploring quantum interference in heteroatom-substituted graphene-like molecules. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 13199–13205.
- (43) Sangtarash, S.; Sadeghi, H.; Lambert, C. J. Connectivity-driven bi-thermoelectricity in heteroatom-substituted molecular junctions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 9630–9637.
- (44) Ismael, A.; Wang, X.; Bennett, T. L. R.; Wilkinson, L. A.; Robinson, B. J.; Long, N. J.; Cohen, L. F.; Lambert, C. J. Tuning the thermochemical properties of anthracene-based self-assembled monolayers. *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 6836–6841.
- (45) Manrique, D. Z.; Huang, C.; Baghernejad, M.; Zhao, X.; Al-Owaedi, O. A.; Sadeghi, H.; Kaliginedi, V.; Hong, W.; Gulcur, M.; Wandlowski, T.; Bryce, M. R.; Lambert, C. J. A quantum circuit rule for interference effects in single-molecule electrical junctions. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6389.
- (46) Naher, M.; Gorenkaia, E.; Moggach, S. A.; Becker, T.; Nichols, R. J.; Lambert, C. J.; Low, P. J. A one-pot synthesis of oligo(arylene-ethynylene)-molecular wires and their use in the further verification of molecular circuit laws. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2022**, *75*, 506–522.
- (47) Nitzan, A. A Relationship between Electron-Transfer Rates and Molecular Conduction. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2001**, *105*, 2677–2679.

(48) Venkatramani, R.; Wierzbinski, E.; Waldeck, D. H.; Beratan, D. N. Breaking the simple proportionality between molecular conductances and charge transfer rates. *Faraday. Discuss.* **2014**, *174*, 57–78.

(49) Gorczak, N.; Renaud, N.; Tarkuc, S.; Houtepen, A. J.; Eelkema, R.; Siebbeles, L. D. A.; Grozema, F. C. Charge transfer versus molecular conductance: molecular orbital symmetry turns quantum interference rules upside down. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 4196–4206.

(50) Valianti, S.; Skourtis, S. S. Observing Donor-to-Acceptor Electron-Transfer Rates and the Marcus Inverted Parabola in Molecular Junctions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2019**, *123*, 9641–9653.

(51) Finch, C. M.; Sirichantaropass, S.; Bailey, S. W.; Grace, I. M.; García-Suárez, V. M.; Lambert, C. J. Conformation dependence of molecular conductance: chemistry versus geometry. *J. Phys: Condens. Matter* **2008**, *20*, No. 022203.

(52) Kim; Beebe, J. M.; Jun, Y.; Zhu, X. Y.; Frisbie, C. D. Correlation between HOMO Alignment and Contact Resistance in Molecular Junctions: Aromatic Thiols versus Aromatic Isocyanides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 4970–4971.

(53) Nitzan, A.; Ratner, M. A. Electron transport in molecular wire junctions. *Science* **2003**, *300*, 1384–1389.

(54) Aggarwal, A.; Kaliginedi, V.; Maiti, P. K. Quantum Circuit Rules for Molecular Electronic Systems: Where Are We Headed Based on the Current Understanding of Quantum Interference, Thermoelectric, and Molecular Spintronics Phenomena? *Nano Lett.* **2021**, *21*, 8532–8544.