



## Corrigendum

## Corrigendum to “Effect of growth rate and pH on lithium incorporation in calcite” [Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 248 (2019) 14–24]

In the original article, Table 1, data reported in columns  $\text{Log}D_{Li}^*$  and  $\text{Log}D_{Na}^*$  are incorrect. This correction does not affect Eq. (7) and does not change the conclusions of the original article. The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience that this oversight may have caused.

Table 1

Chemical composition of Li and Ca in the reactive fluid at chemical steady-state conditions, pH, estimated growth rate and distribution coefficients of Li and Na in the forming calcite during the experimental runs calculated from both molarities of free ions and total aqueous concentrations.

Experiment	Li <sub>ss</sub> (mM)	Ca <sub>ss</sub> (mM)	Alkalinity (mM)	pH	LogRate	LogD <sub>Li</sub> <sup>*</sup>	LogD <sub>Na</sub> <sup>*</sup>	LogD <sub>Li</sub>	LogD <sub>Na</sub>
2_30*	0.35	0.99	2.7	8.27	-8.1	-4.3	-5.4	-4.3	-5.1
2_40*	0.34	1.12	2.6	8.29	-8.0	-4.1	-5.1	-4.1	-5.1
2_50	0.34	0.39	3.4	8.56	-8.1	-4.7	-5.3	-4.7	-5.3
2_60*	0.35	1.35	2.3	8.23	-7.8	-4.1	-5.2	-4.1	-5.2
2_70*	0.34	1.37	2.5	8.27	-7.7	-4.1	-5.1	-4.1	-5.1
2_80*	0.36	1.69	2.3	8.29	-7.6	-3.7	-5.0	-3.7	-5.0
2_90	0.35	0.78	2.6	8.40	-7.6	-4.1	-5.1	-4.1	-5.1
2_100*	0.35	1.61	2.3	8.25	-7.5	-3.5	-4.2	-3.5	-4.2
3_25*	0.35	1.32	2.2	8.23	-7.9	-4.1	-4.8	-4.1	-4.8
3_30*	0.35	0.89	2.6	8.35	-7.9	-4.3	-5.3	-4.3	-5.3
3_40*	0.35	1.04	2.4	8.33	-7.8	-4.0	-5.1	-4.0	-5.1
3_50*	0.35	1.73	2.1	8.17	-7.6	-3.9	-4.5	-3.9	-4.5
3_60*	0.34	1.30	2.3	8.30	-7.5	-3.9	-4.9	-3.8	-4.9
3_70*	0.36	1.33	2.2	8.28	-7.4	-3.7	-4.9	-3.7	-5.0
3_80*	0.35	1.85	2.2	8.28	-7.4	-3.5	-4.5	-3.5	-4.5
3_100*	0.35	2.11	2.2	8.34	-7.3	-3.2	-4.6	-3.2	-4.6
5_40*	0.41	1.41	2.6	8.10	-7.5	-3.5	-4.2	-3.5	-4.2
5_50*	0.45	1.49	2.7	8.07	-7.4	-3.2	-4.2	-3.2	-3.9
5_60*	0.44	1.49	2.6	8.13	-7.3	-3.3	-4.1	-3.3	-4.1
5_70*	0.45	1.72	2.5	8.04	-7.3	-3.3	-4.0	-3.3	-4.0
5_80*	0.40	1.27	2.7	8.09	-7.2	-3.3	-4.1	-3.3	-4.1
5_90	0.50	4.55	2.2	7.87	-7.2	-2.9	-3.7	-2.9	-3.7
5_100*	0.40	2.22	2.3	7.99	-7.1	-3.1	-4.0	-3.1	-4.0
7_25	0.31	0.10	2.8	9.59	-8.1	-4.8	-5.3	-4.8	-5.3
7_50	0.31	0.11	2.8	9.43	-7.8	-4.6	-5.3	-4.6	-5.3
7_100	0.31	0.14	2.6	9.54	-7.5	-4.7	-5.1	-4.6	-5.2
12_60	0.24	9.27	20.9	6.29	-7.8	-2.9	-2.9	-2.9	-2.8
12_70	0.26	7.47	20.6	6.34	-7.7	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-3.0
12_80	0.28	12.17	21.6	6.31	-7.7	-3.0	-3.1	-2.9	-3.0
12_100	0.24	9.51	22.3	6.31	-7.6	-2.9	-3.2	-2.8	-3.1
13_60	0.24	3.17	5.7	7.41	-7.7	-3.3	-3.7	-3.2	-3.7
13_70	0.25	3.56	5.3	7.49	-7.7	-3.3	-3.7	-3.3	-3.6
13_80	0.23	3.09	6.5	7.50	-7.7	-3.2	-3.8	-3.1	-3.8
13_100	0.24	3.10	5.4	7.44	-7.7	-3.3	-3.8	-3.3	-3.7

\* Indicates samples used in Fig. 4.

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.gca.2018.12.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.12.040).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.03.019> This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

- A. Föger  
Institute of Applied Geosciences, Graz University of Technology,  
Rechbauerstrasse 12, 8010 Graz, Austria  
JR-AquaConSol GmbH, Steyrergasse 21, 8010 Graz, Austria
- F. Konrad  
Institute of Applied Geosciences, Graz University of Technology,  
Rechbauerstrasse 12, 8010 Graz, Austria  
Omya GmbH, Gersheim Straße 1-2, 9722 Gummern, Austria
- A. Leis  
JR-AquaConSol GmbH, Steyrergasse 21, 8010 Graz, Austria
- M. Dietzel  
Institute of Applied Geosciences, Graz University of Technology,  
Rechbauerstrasse 12, 8010 Graz, Austria
- V. Mavromatis  
Institute of Applied Geosciences, Graz University of Technology,  
Rechbauerstrasse 12, 8010 Graz, Austria  
Géosciences Environnement Toulouse (GET), CNRS, UMR 5563,  
Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, 14 Avenue Edouard Belin, 31400  
Toulouse, France

Received 13 March 2020; accepted in revised form 14 March 2020