

Indications of an *Achaea* sp. caterpillar outbreak disrupting fruiting of an ectomycorrhizal tropical tree in Central African rainforest

Julian M. Norghauer^{1,3}, David M. Newbery¹, Godlove A. Neba²

1 Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

2 Department of Plant Science, University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon

3 Present address: Statistical Scientific Editing, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Corresponding author: Julian M. Norghauer (j.norghauer@videotron.ca)

Academic editor: Olivier Chabrerie • Received 20 October 2022 • Accepted 25 January 2023 • Published 22 February 2023

Abstract

Background and aims – Where one or several tree species come to dominate patches of tropical forest, as many masting ectomycorrhizal legumes do in Central Africa, ecological theory predicts they may be prone to herbivory, which might alter their reproductive output. This was indirectly investigated in lowland rainforest in Cameroon for *Tetraberlinia korupensis*, whose crowns were attacked in 2008 by an outbreaking black caterpillar—identified as an *Achaea* sp., probably *A. catocaloides*—in Korup National Park.

Material and methods – Field-collected data on tree-level seed and fruit (pod) production of *T. korupensis* in its 2008 masting event were compared with that of its two co-dominant neighbours (*T. bifoliolata, Microberlinia bisulcata*), whose populations masted in 2007 (and 2010). To do this, bivariate regression models (linear, polynomial, ZiG [zero-inflated gamma model]), contingency table analysis, and non-parametric measures of dispersion were used.

Key results – Assuming *T. korupensis* is prone to *Achaea* caterpillar attacks, empirical data support the hypothesized lower proportion of adults participating in its masting (54% in 2008) than for either masting population of *M. bisulcata* (98% in 2007, 89% in 2010) or *T. bifoliolata* (96% in 2007, 78% in 2010). These fruiting *T. korupensis* trees were about one-third larger in stem diameter than conspecific non-fruiters and produced as many pods and seeds per capita as *T. bifoliolata*. However, regressions only modestly support the hypothesis that the positive tree size–fecundity relationship for *T. korupensis* was weaker (i.e. lower adj. R²) than for *M. bisulcata* (whose leaves are morphologically similar) or *T. bifoliolata*, with mostly similar dispersion about the median among these species.

Conclusion – Altogether, the findings suggest a role for tolerance in nutrient-poor forests. It is postulated that instead of conferring resistance to herbivores, the ectomycorrhizas associated with these trees may enable them to more quickly recover from potential yet unpredictable insect outbreaks.

Keywords

Achaea, caterpillar, ectomycorrhizas, herbivory, insect outbreak, masting, reproduction, tolerance, tree fecundity, tropical forest

INTRODUCTION

In tropical forests, certain tree species are capable of dominating the canopy at local scales and they are usually ectomycorrhizal (EM) and mast fruiting/seeding (Connell and Lowman 1989; Newbery et al. 1998, 2013; Torti et al. 2001; Peh et al. 2011; Corrales et al. 2018). The link between these two traits was articulated in Newbery's (2005) commentary on Henkel et al. (2005). Yet two aspects of their biology are still poorly known, especially relative to temperate forests. The first is the prevalence of insect herbivore outbreaks in the canopy (Nascimento and Proctor 1994; Maisels 2004; Dyer et al. 2012), and the second is how intraspecific variation in seed (or fruit,

Copyright Julian M. Norghauer, David M. Newbery, Godlove A. Neba. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Plant Ecology and Evolution is published by Meise Botanic Garden and Royal Botanical Society of Belgium.

capsule, pod) production changes with individual tree size (e.g. Norghauer and Newbery 2015). Both require empirical investigation to address whether and how the former impairs the latter (Rockwood 1973; Wong et al. 1990). This could lead to a better understanding of the population dynamics, reproductive phenology, and species coexistence of trees (Dyer et al. 2012), as well as improving forest management and conservation efforts (Nair 2007), especially under a changing climate (Pureswaran et al. 2018).

Whereas insect outbreaks in temperate forests are wellstudied phenomena (reviewed by Kulman 1971 and Bentz et al. 2019), notably for tree-defoliating pests (reviewed by Johns et al. 2016), the same cannot be said for primary tropical forests in contrast to managed terrestrial ecosystems (tree plantations, logged secondary forests, crop fields) (Gray 1972; Nair 2007). For much of the last century, it was wrongly believed by many plant ecologists that such outbreaks were rare or absent in these natural species-rich forest communities, a view no longer tenable given the review by Dyer et al. (2012). They argued that insect outbreaks in the tropics could be as frequent and severe as in temperate zones, being more likely to happen where hosts are most concentrated (aggregated or clumped). This view is in line with ecological theory predicting high damage levels to planted monocultures from herbivory (the 'resource concentration hypothesis' of Root 1973), and related modelling of host-plant dynamics (Stephens and Myers 2012). Accordingly, being akin to them in natural settings, dominant tree species with EM and mast fruiting and limited dispersal (clumped adults or groves) could be prime candidates prone to such outbreaks, though these events are likely cryptic and harder to notice in tropical forests because of lianas and denser vegetation. Although its crown foliage has never been surveyed for outbreaks, saplings of the monodominant tree Gilbertiodendron dewevrei (De Wild.) J.Léonard in the D.R. Congo incur greater herbivory to their leaves from insects than do nearby mixed-forest species, suggesting that EM does not confer resistance as originally theorized (Gross et al. 2000).

In tropical forests, unlike seed trapping at the stand or population level (e.g. Wright and Calderon 2006), little effort has been devoted to direct counts of seeds (or fleshy fruits, capsules, or pods) at the tree level across sufficient numbers of individuals (i.e. spanning the species' full range of stem diameters). To better understand the evolutionary ecology of trees and their size-fecundity relationships visà-vis insect outbreaks, especially for those species with masting populations (synchronized supra-annual seed production; Kelly and Sork 2002), data are needed from individual trees from relatively intact forests—not a new argument or plea (Janzen 1978; Herrera et al. 1998; Koenig et al. 2003). Such investigations remain limited, however (e.g. Kainer et al. 2007; Klimas et al. 2012; Norghauer and Newbery 2015; Minor and Kobe 2019), and although seed production generally increases with plant size, this sizefecundity relationship generally declines in trees due to senescence and physiological decline (Qiu et al. 2021).

Ideally, both aspects above would be tackled and bridged simultaneously by monitoring tree species' adults over many years. When that is not possible, opportunistic observations of an insect herbivore's presence and activity are still useful when coupled with empirical data on tree-level fecundity. To that end, this study focused on Tetraberlinia korupensis Wieringa in southwest Cameroon. The aims were (1) to briefly note the occurrence of an outbreaking caterpillar (Achaea sp.) in crowns of this tree species in 2008; (2) to test hypotheses H_{M} , H_{cl} , and H_{s2} (as set out below) concerning the variation in reproductive output around that phenomenon among co-occurring dominant species; and (3) to consider further the impacts of insect outbreaks on tropical tree reproductive phenology and fruiting-size relationships for ectomycorrhizal species. Due to the remoteness of the study site, a long time series of recorded insect feeding and tree responses was not achievable, but nevertheless the study serves as a means to a more refined understanding of tree growth and allocation, leading to the postulate of ectomycorrhizal-mediated tolerance to insect attack.

We expected to find that the general size-fecundity relationship of T. korupensis is more variable (i.e. harder to predict individual fecundity on the basis of size alone) in comparison to one or more co-dominant masting species either free of or less prone to outbreaks $(H_M, main$ hypothesis). If supported, this would be consistent with differential damage incurred across the T. korupensis population and, perhaps more likely, differential responses of individuals to herbivory. Both processes will probably be modulated by host tree size and vigour (Price 1991), intraspecific variation in light availability and leaf nutrients (Dudt and Shure 1994), and the palatability and density of neighbouring trees not targeted by the herbivore (Baraza et al. 2006). Implicit in this reasoning is that past herbivore outbreaks have occurred that could affect reproduction tightly coupled to host tree size. We also anticipated that, compared with the same codominant species, a lower proportion of adult-sized trees of T. korupensis would participate (i.e. actual "fruiters", those producing pods containing seeds) in a masting event (H_{s1}, subsidiary hypothesis 1) and the dispersion (CV, coefficient of variation) in tree-level fecundity of these non-zero fruiters would be higher (H_{s2}, subsidiary hypothesis 2). Support for the former would be consistent with insufficient resources available (after replacing eaten vegetative tissues) to produce seeds from recurring bouts of severe defoliation. The latter would particularly apply to a mast fruiting strategy that operates via an internal resource threshold that must be crossed to fruit (Kelly and Sork 2002; Newbery et al. 2006a). Furthermore, for masting to be effective in normal years, most individuals would each be contributing many seeds at each event, and more uniformly.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study site and species

Korup National Park (at 50-150 m a.s.l.; 5°10'N, 8°50'E) preserves Atlantic lowland rainforest of southwest Cameroon on nutrient-poor sandy and acidic soils, which served as a crucial vegetation refuge during the last Ice Age (Newbery et al. 1988, 1997, 1998; van der Burgt et al. 2021). There is no evidence of fire usage or commercial logging in Korup. In its southern part lies the 82.5-ha permanent 'P-plot', set up in 1991, whose canopy is dominated by three (Leguminosae or Fabaceae) ectomycorrhizal masting trees (subfamily: Detarioideae; Azani et al., 2017): Microberlinia bisulcata A.Chev., T. bifoliolata (Harms) Haumann, and T. korupensis Wieringa (formerly T. moreliana Aubr.) (Newbery et al. 1998, 2006a, 2013). Full details of the background and set-up of the P-plot are to be found in these last-cited papers. Seeds of all three species are discoid-shaped (Supplementary material 1) and ejected from pods atop crowns on sunny days in the wet season (late June to early September).

That T. korupensis is a masting tree species is supported by several lines of evidence. Firstly, in 2007 and 2010, respectively, few if any T. korupensis seeds were caught (Julian M. Norghauer pers. obs.) in 580 traps by Norghauer and Newbery (2015; numbers not reported there), and extensive searching across 82.5 ha in December 2007 found newly established T. korupensis seedlings in just 10 canopy gap areas, these located in the 1/3-westernmost portion of the P-plot where adult densities are highest (Newbery et al. 2013). This contrasts starkly with 2008, when T. korupensis seeded heavily. In that masting year, its seedling recruitment in terms of density (N per ha) was near double that recorded in 1995 when all three species had flowered and seeded heavily (Newbery et al. 1998), exceeding that of T. bifoliolata in the latter's 2007 and 2010 confirmed mast seeding events (Supplementary material 2). Furthermore, new seedlings of T. korupensis in 2008 were slightly more and less frequently present in plots than those of T. bifoliolata in 2007 and 2010, respectively (Supplementary material 2). Thirdly, over a 10-year period (1988–1997), collectively, field workers noted substantial seeding and seedling recruitment in just two or at most three years (if 1997 is included, Supplementary material 2; Newbery et al. 1998; Newbery et al. 2006b), which is consistent with masting behaviour (i.e. intervals of at least one year with very low or negligible reproduction).

Tree-level fecundity measurements

In late December 2008/early January 2009, all *T. korupensis* trees in the easternmost 25-ha part of the P-plot were visited—plus those in a 50-m buffer on its N, S, and W sides, and their reproductive output from mast-fruiting in August–September 2008 quantified (i.e. fallen pod valves under the crown) (Supplementary material 1). For

every tree ≥ 10 cm DBH (diameter at breast height; these measured last in 2005; Newbery et al. 2013), the crown edges were measured along six bearings (60° increments) to the nearest 0.5 m, aided by a right-angle prism. Then, four 1-m radius circular ground plots (each 3.14 m² in area) were set up midway along the tree crown extensions on the four cardinal bearings (N, E, S, W), this positioning preventing sampling pod valves possibly originating from a large neighbouring conspecific fruiter (an issue that arose in Green and Newbery 2002). In each plot, all found pod valves were counted (two valves = one pod), as well as their respective seed scars (Supplementary material 1). This sampling methodology was identical to that used for M. bisulcata and T. bifoliolata populations in their 2007 joint masting events (and also in 2010; their data collected and reported in Norghauer and Newbery 2015). An individual tree's horizontal crown cross-sectional area (m²) was calculated as the sum of the six triangles forming a polygon. Average pod count per m² across the four plots (i.e. first dividing by ground plot area) was multiplied by its crown area (in m²), to obtain total number of pods per tree, and this tally multiplied by the average scar count per pod to obtain total number of seeds per tree. Both variables served as estimates of tree-level reproductive output (i.e. fecundity).

The importance of the 2008 herbivory event for *T. korupensis* became apparent to us only much later, when it could be put in the context of a completed 2005–2017 study of *M. bisulcata* fruiting (Newbery et al. unpubl.), prompting the former's recent in-depth analysis. After 2017, site access was prohibited by the military; and it remains so. Resampling the *T. korupensis* population for its fecundity at the same level of detail as in 2008 has not been possible so far.

Outbreaking caterpillar and size range of attacked *T. korupensis* trees

An insect outbreak of a black morphotype caterpillar (Fig. 1) on *T. korupensis* trees occurred in mid to late December 2008. Later, on 18–19 Mar. 2009, 19 trees opportunistically recorded as attacked (frass present or caterpillars seen) in December 2008 were measured for stem girth—14 in the P-plot's eastern 25 ha; four in its western part, plus another one midway. The range in DBH was 69 to 140 cm. For the 14 attacked *T. korupensis* trees for which crowns were measured, their areas ranged from 76 to 616 m². Of these, three had failed to produce any pods/seeds and were clustered in the P-plot, consistent with an insect outbreak having an epicentre (Nair 2007).

Data processing and statistical analyses

Accordingly, a rounded-down lower limit to crown size was taken at 75 m^2 for the inclusion of trees in the first (conservative) analysis. This let us compare tree species on a common crown area basis, where it was reasonably speculated that, all else being equal, female egg-laying

moths might more easily find or encounter by chance a larger host tree with more flushing foliage in the forest canopy (Nair 2007). An upper threshold was not imposed, however, because this would have excluded many larger M. bisulcata trees whose fecundity might inherently be more variable due physiological decline as well as crown thinning (Julian M. Norghauer pers. obs.; Newbery et al. 2006a) and whose leaf flushes might be more apparent or attractive to herbivores. The largest crown of an M. bisulcata tree (1458 m²) was almost three times that of T. bifoliolata (470 m²) or T. korupensis (616 m²). The lower 75-m² cut-off was applied to all trees above the size onset of maturity (SOM), that is 41.9 cm DBH for M. bisulcata and 25.4 cm DBH for T. bifoliolata (Norghauer and Newbery 2015), with the latter presumed to be the same for the similarly statured T. korupensis (Newbery et al. 2013). Further details of the sample selection are in Supplementary material 3.

Size-fecundity relationships of the three species

These were first explored by fitting generalized additive models (GAMs), using the 'mgcv' package (Wood 2020) under its default settings in R v.4.1.3 (R Core Team 2022). A GAM does not presuppose a specific functional relationship and can objectively find a best-fitting smoother—a thin plate regression spline—by minimizing the criterion of GCV (generalized cross-validation; Wood 2004). For the ln-transformed counts of seeds (and pods), these GAMs clearly revealed linear relationships for both Tetraberlinia species but a curvilinear (hump-shaped) one for M. bisulcata (data not shown). Accordingly, to test H_{M} , simple linear and polynomial regression models were used, for which the proportion of variation explained in seed (or pod) production by tree stem size (DBH) was compared using the adjusted R²-value. This goodness-offit measure takes into account the sample size N (Agresti 2015: 56), thus enabling a fairer (less biased) comparison among species. Crown area could not be used as the X variable, as this could lead to spuriously inflated R²-values (Brett 2004). It was not mathematically independent of pod counts because crown area was used in their calculation, and thus seed production (the Y variable). For the six T. korupensis fruiting trees in the 50-m buffer, DBHs had to be interpolated from their measured crown areas, using the species-specific fitted linear relationship: log₁₀(DBH) = $0.6973 + 0.5128(\log_{10}[\text{crown area}]), p < 0.001, R^2 =$ 0.764, $F_{1,137} = 474.7$; Supplementary material 4). All these regressions were fitted with Genstat v.16.2 software (VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK).

Lastly, to take into account the relatively many *T. korupensis* trees (compared with only two each of *M. bisulcata* and *T. bifoliolata*) that produced no pods or seeds, a zero-inflated gamma (ZiG) model was fitted to the complete data (i.e. zero and positive-count outputs together) following the approach of Zuur and Ieno (2016: 113–132). This hurdle type of model combines a fitted binomial (Bernoulli) generalized linear model (GLM) on presence/absence of output with a separately fitted

gamma GLM, with the log-link, on just the positive output values (seed or pod counts per tree). Goodness-offit was assessed by McFadden's (1974) pseudo-R²-value, this based on the log-likelihood ratio of the fitted to a null model (see Mittlböck and Schemper 1996 and Hosmer et al. 2013: 182–186). Log-likelihoods from the binomial and gamma fits were added together for each of the fitted and null models (Pawitan 2001: 27; all implemented in R, R Core Team 2022).

Participation in masting events

To test H_{s1} , because of low expected cell counts < 5, permutations (n = 4999) were used for a 2 × 2 contingency table analysis that compared the proportion of trees fruiting in the *T. korupensis* population to that of *M. bisulcata* or *T. bifoliolata*. For comparative purposes, the opportunity was taken to derive the SOM for *T. korupensis*, in the same way as done earlier for its two co-dominant species in Norghauer and Newbery (2015), by fitting a modified logistic regression and calculating D_{crit} —using eqs 3 and 5 in Thomas (1996)—but to all available fecundity data (i.e. 164 trees \geq 10 cm DBH scored for yes [1] vs no [0] seed/pod production). For both analyses (and summary statistics) Genstat v.16.2 was used.

Dispersion of fruiting trees' seed and pod production

To test H_{co}, for each population, because of their rightskewed distributions for non-zero seed and pod counts, two recognized non-normal measures of relative dispersion were considered, for which the calculated medians omitted the non-fruiting trees (i.e. zero count data). The first is based on the interquartile range (CQV: coefficient of quartile variation) with its 95% CI (confidence interval), obtained using the method of Bonett (2006) in R with the 'cvcqv' package (Beigy 2019). The second is based on the mean absolute deviation from the median, whose ratio to the median can be denoted as CV_{MnMad} following Ospina and Marmolejo-Ramos (2019). This is also known as the 'coefficient of dispersion' and was calculated using the 'statpsych' package in R (Bonett 2023), for which Bonett and Seier's (2006) improved nonbootstrapping method was used to derive the 95% CIs.

RESULTS

Caterpillar description and putative identification

A black caterpillar (Fig. 1) attacked *T. korupensis* crowns in mid to late December 2008 (ca 2-week period), this being early in the dry season when this species (and *M. bisulcata*) annually exchanges its foliage. Caterpillars ate flushing or newly expanded leaves, moved in a semilooping way, were highly gregarious, and made a distinctive pitter-patter sound while chewing and defecating (falling frass hitting leaves below). They descended or fell to the understorey on silk threads, some returning on them, others quickly scrambling up tree trunks. Since this crown defoliation happened after the *T. korupensis* population had mast fruited and dispersed its seeds in 2008, the analyses presented below assumed a history of unobserved outbreaks on *T. korupensis*. Morphologically, this small non-hairy caterpillar has three pairs of laterally protruding true legs and what seems to be only two or three usable prolegs (two ventral plus anal claspers), and a pair of pronounced red diamond-shaped knobs on its back (Fig. 1).

After consulting with lepidopterists (Dino Martins and Scott Miller pers. comm.), it was confirmed to be a noctuid moth, specifically an Achaea sp. It is most likely A. catocaloides Guenee-if not, the similar-looking outbreaker A. lienardi Boisduval-in the family Erebidae, superfamily Noctuoidea (http://www.afromoths.net/ species/show/33376; De Prins and De Prins 2011-2021), whose larvae could also be heard feeding and climbed silk threads as they defoliated many mature trees of the monodominant tree Paraberlinea bifoliolata Pellig. (Fabaceae) in Gabonese rainforest (Maisels 2004). Present across tropical Africa, A. catocaloides larvae are highly polyphagous, being not only known pests of various crops (Latham et al. 2022), including cacao in Cameroon (Dejean et al. 1991), but also commonly found on the legume forest trees Pentaclethra macrophylla Benth. (Eluwa 1977) and P. eetveldeana De Wild. & T.Durand (Latham et al. 2022), whose pinnate leaves are morphologically very similar to those of T. korupensis (and M. bisulcata). Nevertheless, since the early instars of A. lienardi could also appear black (https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/12672762) and its distinguishing morphological diagnostics vis-à-vis A. catocaloides are still unresolved, we cannot discount the possibility of mixed co-occurring populations of these two Achaea species being responsible for the outbreak in Korup.



Figure 1. Photograph of the *Achaea* caterpillar (early to mid instar, dorsal view)—here seen resting on an unidentified heterospecific plant in the forest understorey—that was active in the crowns of *Tetraberlinia korupensis* trees in Korup National Park, Cameroon. Photo by Godlove A. Neba.

Tree-level fecundity (seed and pod production)

For all three species their tree-level seed production was skewed, with medians half to one-third of their corresponding mean values (Table 1). Among *M. bisulcata* individuals, seed production varied at least 5000-fold, but less so in the populations of *T. bifoliolata* (\approx 350-fold) and *T. korupensis* (\approx 200-fold). Whether gauged by the mean or median, seed production was 2–3 times higher for *M. bisulcata* than for *T. bifoliolata* and *T. korupensis*; however, expressed as per capita pod production, it was actually higher for *T. korupensis* than for *T. bifoliolata*. There is close similarity in the tree-level fecundity (seed or pods) between *T. korupensis* and its congener.

Participation in masting events

In the T. korupensis population, only 53.6% of the adultsized trees $\geq 75 \text{ m}^2$ in crown area fruited in 2008 (Table 1), which differed significantly from nearly all (98.0%) for *M. bisulcata* (likelihood $\chi^2 = 60.3$, p < 0.001) and 96.3% for T. bifoliolata in their 2007 masting event (likelihood $\chi^2 = 32.6$, p < 0.001). The null hypothesis of equal proportions was therefore rejected in each case (hypothesis H_{s1}). The T. korupensis trees that did set seed were at least one-third larger in stem size (DBH) than non-fruiters (means \pm SD: 87.3 \pm 24.1 vs 62.0 \pm 22.5 cm, t = -4.49, p < 0.001, d.f. = 67). If instead all adults irrespective of their crown areas are included, 42.3% (44/104) of T. korupensis trees reproduced; and even when the SOM of this species is increased to 35 cm DBH, just 51.2% reproduced (43/84). Yet doing the same (relaxing the 75-m² cut-off) for T. bifoliolata decreased its proportion of masting participants to 73.0% (73/100). For the empirically derived SOM of T. korupensis based on all available data in 2008, the D_{crit} corresponding to the modal stem diameter (DBH) of first reproductive output was 53.2 cm (modified logistic regression parameters: a = -12.35, b = 2.92, d.f. = 2, 162), this being 11 and 28 cm greater than that of M. bisulcata and T. bifoliolata in 2007, respectively.

Dispersion of fruiting trees' seed and pod production

For the dispersion of untransformed tree-level fecundity estimates, among the three species (hypothesis H_{s_2}), the *T. korupensis* population did have the highest CQV-value for seed production, but only by a very small margin; for pod production its CQV was lowest (Table 1). Actually, within species, CQV was quite similar between seed and pod counts for *M. bisulcata* and *T. korupensis*, and least so for *T. bifoliolata*. Furthermore, the 95% CIs for the CQVs overlapped among the three species. By contrast, the two congeners had similar values for CV_{MnMAD} (seeds and pods), these 22–43% higher than those of *M. bisulcata*. In this last case, however, significantly greater dispersion characterized the seed fecundity of *T. korupensis* (i.e. 95% CIs non-overlapping with those of *M. bisulcata*), and nearly so for pods (Table 1).

Table 1. Statistics for each species'	population subsample of adult-sized trees (based on tree stem diameter, DBH) having a crown
area greater than 75 m ² in the 2007	masting event (Microberlinia bisulcata (total N = 114) and Tetraberlinia bifoliolata (total N = 54))
and the 2008 masting of T. koruper	usis (total N = 69) in Korup National Park, Cameroon.

	<i>M. bisulcata</i> N = 112		<i>T. bifoliolata</i> N = 52		<i>T. korupensis</i> N = 37	
	seeds	pods	seeds	pods	seeds	pods
Min-Max	12-72 329	3-30 333	83-29 263	21-16 986	179-35 440	122-23 930
Mean [SE]	17 576 [1659]	6874 [658.0]	7660 [1102]	4552 [658.6]	7460 [1506]	4840 [959.1]
Median	11 533	4427	3573	2117	3760	2650
CQV ^a [95% CI]	72.3 [60.6, 80.8]	75.6 [61.9, 80.6]	68.5 [55.5, 83.2]	76.8 [64.8, 90.1]	73.3 [55.1, 95.6]	72.4 [54.5, 94.5]
CV _{MnMAD} ^b [95% CI]	1.11 [1.06, 1.20]	1.16 1.03, 1.35]	1.62 [1.53, 1.79]	1.66 [1.51, 1.89]	1.54 [1.40, 1.74]	1.42 [1.34, 1.63]
Skewness	1.320	1.285	1.157	1.106	1.839	1.752
Kurtosis	0.993	0.901	0.180	0.0387	2.646	0.759
Non-fruiters ^c	N = 2		N = 2		N = 32	

^a Coefficient of quartile variation, this corrected for sample size.

^b This is also termed the 'coefficient of dispersion'.

^c These had zero pods beneath their crowns in the sampling plots; hence, they were presumed to not have reproduced in the masting event.

Size-fecundity relationships of the three species

As Fig. 2 shows, stem size (DBH) accounted for just over 2.5-fold more of the variation in seed production of *M. bisulcata* ($F_{2, 109} = 38.5$, p < 0.001; Fig. 2A) than *T. korupensis* ($F_{1, 35} = 7.3$, p = 0.010; Fig. 2C). For the *T. bifoliolata* population, nearly 25% of the variation in fecundity could be explained by stem size ($F_{1, 50} = 17.5$, p < 0.001; Fig. 2B) despite two outlying values, this being 1.66 times that of *T. korupensis* (Fig. 2C). A similar weaker ability of individual tree size to predict seed production in the *T. korupensis* population (hypothesis H_M) was found for pods (adj. $R^2 = 0.169$, $F_{1, 35} = 8.31$, p = 0.007;) vis-à-vis *M. bisulcata* (adj. $R^2 = 0.420$, $F_{2, 109} = 41.2$, p < 0.001) and *T. bifoliolata* (adj. $R^2 = 0.261$, $F_{1, 50} = 19.0$, p < 0.001). For the ZiG model of *T. korupensis*, the binomial

GLM fit of 0/1 reproductive output as a function of stem diameter was highly significant (deviance ratio = 15.34, d.f. = 1, 67; p = 0.000213; estimated dispersion = 1.16). The gamma GLM fits, for both seed and pod positive counts on diameter, were also highly significant (t = 4.047 and 4.310, respectively, d.f. = 1, 35; p = 0.000272 and 0.000126), and more significant than the linear fit of log-counts on diameter. The estimated ZiG curve for seed counts is added to Fig. 2C; with a pseudo-R² at 19.0%, this is slightly better than for the linear fit of natural log-counts and closer to the R²-value for *T. bifoliolata*, yet still much lower than that for *M. bisulcata*. The corresponding fit for pod counts had a pseudo-R²-value of 19.7%. Although absolute variability in fruiting increased by including the zero-producers in the full tree sample (N = 69), the fit with the ZiG model using them improved over the GLM without them (N = 37) partly because the sample size nearly doubled.

When the assumption that only trees > 75 m² are attacked by the *Achaea* caterpillar was relaxed to include even smaller potential adult hosts also readily found and fed upon, a different picture emerged. There was a much less variable relationship for *T. korupensis*, with adj. R²-values of 0.279 and 0.297 for seeds and pods (respectively, $F_{1,42} = 17.6$ and 19.2, p < 0.001) that were a little higher than those of *T. bifoliolata* which instead required a polynomial fit (seeds, adj. R² = 0.239, $F_{2,69} = 12.1$, p < 0.001, quadratic term: p = 0.01; pods, adj. R² = 0.217, $F_{2,69} = 10.8$, p < 0.001, quadratic term: p = 0.01). However, with just one small tree added to its sample, for *M. bisulcata* the corresponding adj. R²-values increased only marginally (adj. R² = 0.425 and 0.440, $F_{2,110} = 42.3$ and 45.1, p < 0.001), being still greater than those of *T. korupensis*.

Effect of a climate difference between years?

The analyses were unavoidably confounded by any differing climatic conditions between 2007 and 2008 masting years. The preceding dry season was 35 days longer in 2007 than in 2008, it also started 35 days earlier, and it had 70% more mean daily rainfall in the latter (1.71 vs 1.01 mm); however, mean daily radiation and minimum temperature were similar (152 vs 158 W/m², 19 vs 19°C) (David M. Newbery unpubl. data). If climate differences between years were confounding our comparisons between *T. korupensis* and *M. bisulcata* with *T. bifoliolata*, R²-values would likely have all been more similar had all three species been compared in a single year (say, in 2007 or in 2008). Fortunately, fecundity data for *M. bisulcata* and *T. bifoliolata* trees are available, albeit for smaller sample sizes, from their joint masting in 2010

(Norghauer and Newbery 2015). Although its preceding dry season was only 8 days longer than in 2008 with rainfall more similar at 1.42 mm (but higher minimum temperature at 22°C; radiation unchanged), it started even earlier than the one preceding the 2007 masting, in fact 43 days earlier than that preceding the masting in 2008 of *T. korupensis*. The mast fruiting events of *M. bisulcata* and *T. bifoliolata* in 2007 and 2010 are distinguished by

their associated early starts, not so much the dry season's entire duration. The 2008 masting of *T. korupensis* was associated with a comparatively much later dry season start with, nevertheless, an average duration and rainfall intensity.

Applying the same regressions to adult-sized stems with 75-m^2 min. crown area for seeds in 2010, 35.8% and 51.8% of the variation was explained by DBH for *M*.



Figure 2. Scatterplots of individual reproductive output (seeds) as a function of stem diameter for three canopy-dominant masting species—*Microberlinia bisulcata, Tetraberlinia bifoliolata,* and *T. korupensis*—in Korup National Park, Cameroon. Red vertical tick marks along the x-axis indicate the size of non-fruiting trees (zero seeds or pods produced) in each population. In (C), the light-grey circles are six trees whose stem diameter was interpolated from their crown areas (Supplementary material 4); purple-filled circles are those trees confirmed as attacked by the *Achaea* caterpillar. Another three scored as attacked did not set fruit (red ticks; DBHs: 67.5, 73.7, and 124.0 cm). The dashed curved line is the fitted ZiG (zero-inflated gamma) regression to the 69 *T. korupensis* trees (i.e. fruiters plus non-fruiters; Table 1). Because the scatterplots for pods closely resembled these for seeds, they are not shown for brevity. Samples sizes for fruiting trees only are (A) N = 112, (B) N = 52, and (C) N = 37.

bisulcata and *T. bifoliolata*, respectively ($F_{2,69} = 20.8$ and $F_{1,31} = 35.3$, both p < 0.001); for pods the corresponding values were 40.4% and 44.5% ($F_{2,69} = 25.0$ and $F_{1,31} = 26.7$, both p < 0.001). Relaxing the 75 m² assumption still yielded high adj. R²-values: 0.351 and 0.495 (for seeds), 0.399 and 0.396 (for pods), respectively. Thus, when compared with 2007, the size–fecundity relationship for *M. bisulcata* in 2010 held up well. By contrast, the fit became even stronger for *T. bifoliolata*—in part because one possible outlier tree (#1376; DBH = 102.5 cm) in 2008 had since died—the relationship now being more predictable than that for *T. korupensis*. Although the masting participation was reduced to 88.8% (72/81) for *M. bisulcata* and 78.6% (33/41) for *T. bifoliolata* in 2010, this too, was still much greater than that for *T. korupensis*.

DISCUSSION

The Achaea caterpillar's presence at Korup

If the *Achaea* caterpillar feeds principally on young leaves (still expanding or recently expanded) then severe damage could ensue quickly, within 1 to 3 weeks, perhaps leading to premature leaf abscission. For example, within 1 week, caterpillars defoliated young leaves in crowns of two monodominant leguminous tree species in tropical rainforests of Brazil and Gabon (Nascimento and Proctor 1994; Maisels 2004). Thus, such phenomena are quite easy to miss, and may explain why this caterpillar outbreak on *T. korupensis* trees was not noticed at Korup before.

This study's analysis presupposed past outbreaks had occurred. Indirect evidence for this can be gleaned from earlier work on site (Chuyong et al. 2000), finding not one but two or more spikes similar in magnitude in the amount of T. korupensis leaf litter (7-8 g/m²) collected from traps in each of two consecutive dry seasons (from May 1990-June 1992). The first spike is expected and corresponded to leaf exchange on the yearly shedding of crown foliage, but the subsequent spike(s) is harder to explain. It could have resulted from an outbreak after which damaged leaves were pre-maturely abscised, especially under drought stress conditions. After its normal crown change in the wet-to-dry season transition in 1990 and 1991, T. korupensis lost these new leaves soon after (litter-trapped in February-March 1991 and 1992, respectively), but interestingly, another shedding event occurred during the wet season's peak (July). Moreover, among the 12 tree species studied, this pattern of successive, multiple sharp peaks was unique to T. korupensis. Further, that in either dry season the M. bisulcata population lacked a second spike in litterfall altogether (i.e. only its annual leaf exchange occurred), coupled with no caterpillars or signs of activity observed during extensive phenology monitoring of 150 trees (1995-2000; Newbery et al. 2006a) and 61 trees in 2009-2013 (plus some data from 2014; David M. Newbery and Sylvanos Njibili unpubl. data), suggests that this species is far less susceptible to regular

outbreaks from the Achaea caterpillar. Delayed greening is one plausible explanation (Kursar and Coley 1992), as this leaf trait is associated with significantly lower damage to expanding and young leaves in the tropics (e.g. Numata et al. 2004; Queenborough et al. 2013). Flushing leaves of M. bisulcata appear almost devoid of chlorophyll, initially emerging entirely white-pink, then turning purplish with hues of green, whereas those of both Tetraberlinia species are a mix of green and red (Julian M. Norghauer pers. obs.). Accordingly, while all species' young leaves contain anthocyanins, when expanding, those of M. bisulcata are likely lacking most in the nitrogen nutritionally valued by insects (Mattson 1980). Yet the Achaea caterpillar was active again in a December 2011 outbreak and seen under some densely clustered M. bisulcata trees, and again in March 2012 in another grove ca 4.2 km due south (on Isangele Road; Sylvanos Njibili pers. comm.). For these reasons, the 2008 outbreak of the Achaea sp. on T. korupensis was unlikely an ecological anomaly.

Tree fecundity

There were clearly far fewer masting participants and a modestly less predictable size-fecundity relationship in T. korupensis than in either M. bisulcata or T. bifoliolata, supporting a possible association between insect outbreaks and disrupted normal seed reproduction at the individual and population level (H_M and H_{S1} , respectively). It is unlikely that the relationship is simply more variable because of shading by larger neighbours (e.g. M. bisulcata), since the same reasoning would apply to the similarstatured trees of T. bifoliolata, and yet its size-fecundity relationship was still slightly less variable. Importantly, however, the difference was most pronounced vis-àvis M. bisulcata, whose leaves (compound, pinnate) are morphologically nearly identical to those of T. korupensis. Assuming no pollinator limitation (Newbery et al. 1998), this extra "noise" could instead have arisen not only from differences in susceptibility to defoliation severity (i.e. reduced photosynthetic capacity) among T. korupensis individuals, but also how they may have differentially responded to (tolerated) it, which can depend on canopy shading (asymmetric competition), availability of stored reserves, rooting extent, and perhaps also aspects of their EM associations (identity of fungal species, their degree of colonization, and access to a shared network; Gehring and Bennett 2009). The last point is the most intriguing and least investigated in the tropics, both theoretically and empirically. Besides tree size, when trying to ecologically explain intraspecific variation in fecundity (seeds, fruits), researchers have considered soil properties and nutrients, limited pollination, liana loads, and competition from neighbours (Kainer et al. 2007; Klimas et al. 2012; Minor and Kobe 2019). The new findings from the present study suggest caterpillars might also merit consideration for understanding the size-fecundity relationships of tropical trees.

Unfortunately, fecundity data for *T. korupensis* at Korup is currently available for only one masting event (in 2008, i.e. it is unreplicated). Ideally, sampling for tree-level fecundity in a year when all three dominant trees species masted together, as in 1995 (Newbery et al. 1998), would clearly be useful, as would finding and sampling another *T. korupensis* population where the *Achaea* caterpillar is absent, for an intraspecific comparison of its size–fecundity relationships. Either may be challenging without a regular field presence, or perhaps repeated aerial surveys (Meng et al. 2018).

An insightful finding was also the very high estimate of D_{crit} of 53.2 cm for the *T. korupensis* population. Inferring this as the actual SOM of T. korupensis would be mistaken, because that D_{crit} exceeds the 41.9 cm (or 44.0 cm) in 2007 (or 2010) of the much larger-sized, more fecund M. bisulcata (Norghauer and Newbery 2015), whose adult mortality rate in the last decades has been almost nil (Newbery et al. 2013). This seems implausible in terms of life-history theory or tree physiology. Instead, the high 53.2 cm estimate of T. korupensis could be interpreted as evidence for a shift in the SOM of seed (pod) production due to lag or cumulative herbivory effects from one or more previous Achaea caterpillar outbreaks, likely exacerbated by suboptimal climatic conditions for acquiring the resources needed to fully reproduce. Hence, many of those T. korupensis zero-fruiters in Fig. 2C are probably "mature", that is, capable of flowering, but depleted NSC (non-structural carbohydrates) stores precluded them from reaching the individual threshold needed to set seed. It is worth noting that its congener T. bifoliolata had a ca 20-cm discrepancy in its D_{crit} in 2007 (25.4 cm) vs 2010 (45.2 cm). The reasons for that shift are unknown, but it may have been driven more by abiotic than biotic external factors (Wright and Calderon 2006). Another implication is that using seed (pod/fruit) production alone may be unreliable for estimating D_{crit} to infer the SOM of tree species. If presence/absence data for flowering are lacking, then at least multiple years of seed production data should be analysed (e.g. Norghauer and Newbery 2015).

What are the possible implications for forest dynamics at Korup? Firstly, if the T. korupensis population is not masting at its fullest potential, that is fewer seeds produced per capita (H_M) and less trees fruiting in a masting year (H_{s1}), then its ability to satiate predators of immature pods in crowns (pre-dispersal) or dispersed seeds (postdispersal) might be impaired. The corollary prediction is that of impaired seedling recruitment of T. korupensis in masting years affected by an Achaea caterpillar outbreak, likely at levels also lower than its two co-dominant competitors, M. bisulcata and T. bifoliolata. Secondly, such outbreaks might disrupt the reproductive phenology of T. korupensis, precluding any benefit of jointly masting with M. bisulcata and T. bifoliolata to satiate seed (or pod) predators shared among them (Norghauer and Newbery 2011). Thirdly, repeated, severe Achaea outbreaks could lengthen the interval between masting events, effectively delaying them, or restricting the individuals that participate in them to larger-sized ones, if attacked trees require more years to store the prerequisite photosynthate and nutrients, notably P (Newbery et al. 2006a), or their 'resource matching' or 'resource switching' processes (Pearse et al. 2016) somehow become impaired. However, it is not known for certain whether tree size per se (DBH or crown area) accurately reflects the size of an individual's stored C and other nutrients, or its root system extent and levels of EM colonization.

Shifts in leaf nutrients' status

The Achaea caterpillar's activity occurred following a masting event, consistent with its presumed long-term presence at Korup. Yet, given that masting years can alter the nutrient status dynamics of trees, could the outbreak instead be a consequence of it? This might happen if depleted carbon (C) stores of NSC led to lower levels of anti-herbivore phenolic compounds in young leaves. There are two reasons why this is probably untenable. First, the crown foliage attacked by the Achaea caterpillar was a new leaf crop in 2008, not that concurrent with C resources allocated over the prior 7-9 months to reproductive parts (flowers, seeds, pods). Recent work on European beech and oak trees found no pronounced changes in the C concentrations of leaves even during their masting years (Nussbaumer et al. 2021), and the isotope-based evidence to date generally indicates negligible C limitation, in that NSC is not depleted by masting events (Han and Kabeya 2017). Second, there is compelling evidence that nitrogen (N) pools in trees are depleted after masting events (reviewed by Pearse et al. 2016 and Han and Kabeya 2017), leading to lower foliar N concentrations (e.g. Nussbaumer et al. 2021). This should, from an insect herbivore's point of view (Mattson 1980), render any N-depleted leaves of T. korupensis less nutritionally attractive and therefore less-not moresought after as food compared with other species' foliage in the Korup forest canopy.

Do herbivory and climate interact to influence masting?

Evidently, dry season climate can contribute to variability in the size–fecundity relationships, but the new results on herbivory suggest that it is not likely to be the only factor explaining why the size-dependent reproduction of *T. korupensis* was the weakest among the three dominants. The two factors probably interact. Timing (start and duration) and intensity (mean daily rainfall) in the dry season drive leaf exchange, flush, growth, and photosynthesis under high radiation conditions, which in turn leads to C gain and storage. This is assumed to then affect the frequency, interval length, and strength of mast fruiting in these caesalpiniaceous trees (Henkel et al. 2005; Newbery et al. 2006a). The timing of herbivore attacks, with the inevitable loss of new foliage, and possibly reduced C gain and storage, may plausibly control the strength and variability of masting at later dates. A late start to the dry season in one year, with probably a varying effect for different individual trees, would be even more variably affected by herbivores whose feeding is spatially heterogeneous. Tree physiological processes, which are primarily driven by climate variability, would become exacerbated by herbivore feeding, the latter preconditioning weaker (more fedupon) trees to perform less well in the next dry season. In this way, climate and herbivore variability might interact to explain why-when settled into a longer-term quasi-equilibrium-the variation in reproductive output was higher for T. korupensis than M. bisulcata (and T. bifoliolata). A consequence of this might be that the T. korupensis population at Korup would be expected to mast at a longer interval (every 4 or 5 years) compared to the clearly established average interval of 3 years for M. bisulcata. Moreover, such a lack of masting synchrony would be expected to lead to partitioning of demands on soil nutrient resources, especially for P (Newbery et al. 1997), and yet still permit all three species to utilize the shared EM network (Newbery et al. 1997, 2000).

Perturbations to the forest caused by localized caterpillar attacks can be seen as interventions to the tight ectomycorrhizal-regulated nutrient cycling of the Korup ecosystem (Newbery et al. 1997; Chuyong et al. 2000). Long-term evolutionary adaptations to herbivory are almost certainly well in place in such an old, undisturbed, primary rainforest as Korup. They enable the trees to cope with occasional outbreaks, with the system returning to an equilibrium state within a few years.

Insect outbreaks vis-à-vis the EM trait and dominance in tropical forests

Along with the evidence for only a modest to weakly disrupted size-fecundity relationship for T. korupensis, its adults that masted in 2008 produced as many seeds, and more pods, per capita as did T. bifoliolata in 2007. These results raise an intriguing ecological question. If an unavoidable consequence of (mono)dominance in the tropics is being prone to occasional insect outbreaks (e.g. Nascimento and Proctor 1994; Maisels 2004), then could the characteristic EM mutualism of such species, known to assist masting trees in resource acquisition (Newbery et al. 1998) and to protect hosts from metal toxicity and pathogens belowground (Corrales et al. 2018), also enable their faster recovery from outbreaks? Instead of the EM trait conferring resistance to herbivory, for which empirical field evidence is still lacking (Gross et al. 2000; Torti et al. 2001: table 4; Peh et al. 2011), it may nonetheless contribute to the tolerance of it (compensatory growth, vegetative and/or generative). Mycorrhizae-mediated tolerance to plant enemies is theoretically possible (Bennett et al. 2006) and operates in a few model plants (Gehring and Bennett 2009; Tao et al. 2016). Moreover, this plant defence strategy could be augmented where

dominant populations co-occur, as they do at Korup, from access to a presumably more species-diverse shared EM network for needed nutrients, P especially (Newbery et al. 1997, 2006a).

CONCLUSION

To our knowledge, a role for EM in facilitating the tolerance of trees in nutrient-poor forests to herbivore outbreaks appears not to have been put forward before in the literature. It may be an important factor controlling the maintenance of tropical forest dominance. While preliminary—just one record of the outbreaking *Achaea* caterpillar, probably *A. catocaloides*—this study nevertheless made use of extensive tree-level fecundity data (201 fruiting individuals) of three masting legume species across 25 ha of rainforest. Our findings suggest such an ecological role warrants further detailed investigation.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The data for Newbery et al. (2013) is archived at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t85n3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Albert Kembou and Pascal Ndongmo, who served as Conservator of Korup National Park during the field observations and data collection; the Ministries of Forestry and Wildlife (MINFOF) and Scientific Research and Innovation (MINRESI) in Cameroon for research permission; George B. Chuyong (University of Buea) for local coordination; and Sylvanos Njibili (†) and Charles Ohka for their excellent help in collecting trees' crown area and fecundity data in the field. We are very grateful to Dr Dino J. Martins (Princeton University/ Mpala Research Centre) and the Smithsonian Institution (Washington, D.C.) for assistance with the caterpillar's taxonomic identification and sharing key articles on *Achaea*. This work was funded internally to DMN from the University of Bern.

REFERENCES

- Agresti A (2015) Foundations of Linear and Generalized Linear Models. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, 1–480.
- Azani N et al. (2017) A new subfamily classification of the Leguminosae based on a taxonomically comprehensive phylogeny: the Legume Phylogeny Working Group (LPWG). Taxon 66: 44–77. https://doi.org/10.12705/661.3

- Baraza E, Zamora R, Hódar AJ (2006) Conditional outcomes in plant–herbivore interactions: neighbours matter. Oikos 113: 148–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14265.x
- Beigy M (2019) cvcqv: Coefficient of Variation (CV) with Confidence Interval (CI). R package version 1.0.0. https:// rdrr.io/cran/cvcqv/ [accessed 01.02.2023]
- Bennett AE, Alers-Garcia J, Bever JD (2006) Three-way interactions among mutualistic mycorrhizal fungi, plants, and plant enemies: hypotheses and synthesis. The American Naturalist 167: 141–152. https://doi.org/10.1086/499379
- Bentz B, Bonello P, Delb H, Fettig C, Poland T, Pureswaran D, Seybold S (2019) Advances in understanding and managing insect pests of forest trees. In: Stantorf JA (Ed.) Achieving Sustainable Management of Boreal and Temperate Forests. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Ltd., Cambridge, 1–70. https://doi.org/10.19103/AS.2019.0057.19
- Bonett D (2023) statpsych: Statistical Methods for Psychologists. R package version 1.3.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=statpsych [accessed 08.02.2023]
- Bonett DG (2006) Confidence interval for a coefficient of quartile variation. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 50: 2953–2957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. csda.2005.05.007
- Bonett DG, Seier E (2006) Confidence interval for a coefficient of dispersion in nonnormal distributions. Biometrical Journal 48: 144–148. https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200410148
- Brett MT (2004) When is a correlation between nonindependent variables "spurious"? Oikos 105: 647–656. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12777.x
- Chuyong GB, Newery DM, Songwe NC (2000) Litter nutrients and retranslocation in a central African rain forest dominated by ectomycorrhizal trees. New Phytologist 148: 493–510. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00774.x
- Connell JH, Lowman MD (1989) Low-diversity tropical rain forests – some possible mechanisms for their existence. The American Naturalist 134: 88–119. https://doi. org/10.1086/284967
- Corrales A, Henkel TW, Smith ME (2018) Ectomycorrhizal associations in the tropics – biogeography, diversity patterns and ecosystem roles. New Phytologist 220: 1076–1091. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15151
- Dejean A, Nkongmeneck B, Corbara B, Djieto-Lordon C (1991) Impact of predatory ants on *Achaea catocaloides* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) outbreak in cocoa plantations in Cameroon, and study of associated Homoptera. Acta Oceologia 12: 471–488.
- De Prins J, De Prins W (2011–2021) Afromoths, online database of Afrotropical moth species (Lepidoptera). http://www.afromoths.net [accessed 25.08.2022]
- Dudt JF, Shure DJ (1994) The influence of light and nutrients on foliar phenolics and insect herbivory. Ecology 75: 86–98. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939385
- Dyer LA, Carson WP, Leigh EG (2012) Insect outbreaks in tropical forests: patterns, mechanisms, and consequences. In: Barbosa P, Letourneau DK, Agrawal AA (Eds) Insect Outbreaks Revisited. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Hoboken, 219–245. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118295205.ch11

- Eluwa MC (1977) Aspects of the biology of *Achaea catocaloides* Gn. in Nigeria (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Revue de Zoologie Africaine 91: 875–892.
- Gehring C, Bennett A (2009) Mycorrhizal fungal-plant-insect interactions: the importance of a community approach. Environmental Entomology 38: 93–102. https://doi. org/10.1603/022.038.0111
- Gray B (1972) Economic tropical forest entomology. Annual Review of Entomology 17: 313–352. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.en.17.010172.001525
- Green JJ, Newbery DM (2002) Reproductive investment and seedling survival of the mast-fruiting rain forest tree, *Microberlinia bisulcata* A.Chev. Plant Ecology 162: 169–183. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020304212118
- Gross ND, Torti SD, Feener DH, Coley PD (2000) Monodominance in an African rain forest: is reduced herbivory important? Biotropica 32: 430–439. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2000.tb00490.x
- Han Q, Kabeya D (2017) Recent developments in understanding mast seeding in relation to dynamics of carbon and nitrogen resources in temperate trees. Ecological Research 32: 771– 778. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-017-1494-8
- Henkel TW, Mayor JR, Woolley LP (2005) Mast fruiting and seedling survival of the ectomycorrhizal, monodominant *Dicymbe corymbosa* (Caesalpiniaceae) in Guyana. New Phytologist 167: 543–556. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01431.x
- Herrera CM, Jordano P, Guitian J, Traveset A (1998) Annual variability in seed production by woody plants and the masting concept: reassessment of principles and relationship to pollination and seed dispersal. The American Naturalist 152: 576–594. https://doi.org/10.1086/286191
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX (2013) Applied Logistic Regression. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, 1–510. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118548387
- Janzen DH (1978) Seeding patterns in tropical trees. In: Tomlinson PB, Zimmermann MH (Eds) Tropical Trees as Living Systems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 83–128.
- Johns RC, Flaherty L, Carleton D, Edwards S, Morrison A, Owens E (2016) Population studies of tree-defoliating insects in Canada: a century in review. The Canadian Entomologist 148: S58–S81. https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2015.69
- Kainer KA, Wadt LHO, Staudhammer CL (2007) Explaining variation in Brazil nut fruit production. Forest Ecology and Management 250: 244–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2007.05.024
- Kelly D, Sork VL (2002) Mast seeding in perennial plants: why, how, where? Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 33: 427–447. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ecolsys.33.020602.095433
- Klimas CA, Kainer KA, Wadt LH, Staudhammer CL, Rigamonte-Azevedo V, Correia MF, da Silva Lima LM (2012) Control of *Carapa guianensis* phenology and seed production at multiple scales: a five-year study exploring the influences of tree attributes, habitat heterogeneity and climate cues. Journal of Tropical Ecology 28: 105–118. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467411000630

- Koenig WD, Kelly D, Sork VL, Duncan RP, Elkinton JS, Peltonen MS, Westfall RD (2003) Dissecting components of population-level variation in seed production and the evolution of masting behavior. Oikos 102: 581–591. https:// doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12272.x
- Kulman HM (1971) Effects of insect defoliation on growth and mortality of trees. Annual Review of Entomology 16: 289– 324. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.16.010171.001445
- Kursar TA, Coley PD (1992) Delayed greening in tropical leaves: an antiherbivore defense? Biotropica 24: 256–262. https://doi.org/10.2307/2388520
- Latham P., Mabossy-Mobouna G, Kondu Ku Mbuta A, Looli BL (2022) Achaea catocaloides: a pest or a protein-rich food to be promoted throughout Africa? African Journal of Tropical Entomology Research 1: 99–104. https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.6969784
- Maisels F (2004) Defoliation of a monodominant rain-forest tree by a noctuid moth in Gabon. Journal of Tropical Ecology 20: 239–241. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467403001044
- Mattson WJ (1980) Herbivory in relation to plant nitrogen content. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 11: 119– 161. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.001003
- McFadden D (1974) Conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behavior. In: Zarembka P (Ed.) Frontiers in Econometrics. Academic Press, New York, 105–142.
- Meng R, Dennison PE, Zhao F, Shendryk I, Rickert A, Hanavan RP, Cook BD, Serbin SP (2018) Mapping canopy defoliation by herbivorous insects at the individual tree level using bi-temporal airborne imaging spectroscopy and LiDAR measurements. Remote Sensing of Environment 215: 170–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.06.008
- Minor DM, Kobe RK (2019) Fruit production is influenced by tree size and size-asymmetric crowding in a wet tropical forest. Ecology and Evolution 9: 1458–1472. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4867
- Mittlböck M, Schemper M (1996) Explained variation for logistic regression. Statistics in Medicine 15: 1987–1997. https://doi. org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19961015)15:19<1987::AID-SIM318>3.0.CO;2-9
- Nair KSS (2007) Tropical Forest Insect Pests: Ecology, Impact and Management. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1–404. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511542695
- Nascimento MT, Proctor J (1994) Insect defoliation of a monodominant Amazonian rain-forest. Journal of Tropical Ecology 10: 633–636. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0266467400008312
- Newbery DM (2005) Ectomycorrhizas and mast fruiting in trees: linked by climate-driven tree resources? New Phytologist 167: 324–326. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01468.x
- Newbery DM, Alexander IJ, Thomas DW, Gartlan JS (1988) Ectomycorrhizal rain-forest legumes and soil-phosphorus in Korup National Park, Cameroon. New Phytologist 109: 433– 450. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1988.tb03719.x
- Newbery DM, Alexander IJ, Rother JA (1997) Phosphorus dynamics in a lowland African rain forest: the influence of ectomycorrhizal trees. Ecological Monographs 67: 367–409.

https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(1997)067[0367:PDIALA]2.0.CO:2

- Newbery DM, Songwe NC, Chuyong GB (1998) Phenology and dynamics of an African rainforest at Korup, Cameroon. In: Newbery DM, Prins HHT, Brown ND (Eds) Dynamics of Tropical Communities. Blackwell Science, Oxford, 267–308.
- Newbery DM, Alexander IJ, Rother JA (2000) Does proximity to conspecific adults influence the establishment of ectomycorrhizal trees in rain forest? New Phytologist 147: 401–409. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00698.x
- Newbery DM, Chuyong GB, Zimmermann L (2006a) Mast fruiting of large ectomycorrhizal African rain forest trees: importance of dry season intensity, and the resourcelimitation hypothesis. New Phytologist 170: 561–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01691.x
- Newbery DM, Chuyong GB, Zimmermann L, Praz C (2006b) Seedling survival and growth of three ectomycorrhizal caesalpiniaceous tree species in a Central African rain forest. Journal of Tropical Ecology 22: 499–511. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0266467406003427
- Newbery DM, van der Burgt XM, Worbes M, Chuyong GB (2013) Transient dominance in a central African rain forest. Ecological Monographs 83: 339–382. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1699.1
- Norghauer JM, Newbery DM (2011) Seed fate and seedling dynamics after masting in two African rain forest trees. Ecological Monographs 81: 443–468. https://doi. org/10.1890/10-2268.1
- Norghauer JM, Newbery DM (2015) Tree size and fecundity influence ballistic seed dispersal of two dominant mastfruiting species in a tropical rain forest. Forest Ecology and Management 338: 100–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2014.11.005
- Numata S, Kachi N, Okuda T, Manokaran N (2004) Delayed greening, leaf expansion, and damage to sympatric *Shorea* species in a lowland rain forest. Journal of Plant Research 117: 19–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-003-0126-2
- Nussbaumer A, Gessler A, Benham S, de Cinti B, Etzold S, Ingerslev M, Jacob F, Lebourgeois F, Levanic T, Marjanović H, Nicolas M, Ostrogović Sever MZ, Priwitzer T, Rautio P, Roskams P, Sanders TGM, Schmitt M, Šrámek V, Thimonier A, Ukonmaanaho L, Verstraeten A, Vesterdal L, Wagner M, Waldner P, Rigling A (2021) Contrasting resource dynamics in mast years for European beech and oak—a continental scale analysis. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change 4: 689836. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2021.689836
- Ospina R, Marmolejo-Ramos F (2019) Performance of some estimators of relative variability. Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics 5: 43. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fams.2019.00043
- Pawitan J (2001) In All Likelihood: Statistical Modelling and Inference Using Likelihood. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1–544.
- Pearse IS, Koenig WD, Kelly D (2016) Mechanisms of mast seeding: resources, weather, cues, and selection. New Phytologist 212: 546–562. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14114
- Peh KSH, Lewis SL, Lloyd J (2011) Mechanisms of monodominance in diverse tropical tree-dominated systems.

Journal of Ecology 99: 891-898. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2745.2011.01827.x

- Price PW (1991) The plant vigor hypothesis and herbivore attack. Oikos 62: 244–251. https://doi.org/10.2307/3545270
- Pureswaran DS, Roques A, Battisti A (2018) Forest insects and climate change. Current Forestry Reports 4: 35–50. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40725-018-0075-6
- Qiu T et al. (2021) Is there tree senescence? The fecundity evidence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118: e2106130118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2106130118
- Queenborough SA, Metz MR, Valencia R, Joseph Wright S (2013) Demographic consequences of chromatic leaf defence in tropical tree communities: do red young leaves increase growth and survival? Annals of Botany 112: 677–684. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct144
- R Core Team (2022) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org [accessed 01.02.2023]
- Rockwood LL (1973) The effect of defoliation on seed production of six Costa Rican tree species. Ecology 54: 1363–1369. https://doi.org/10.2307/1934199a
- Root RB (1973) Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse habitats: fauna of collards (*Brassica oleracea*). Ecological Monographs 43: 95–120. https://doi. org/10.2307/1942161
- Stephens AEA, Myers JH (2012) Resource concentration by insects and implications for plant populations. Journal of Ecology 100: 923–931. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2012.01971.x
- Tao L, Ahmad A, de Roode JC, Hunter MD (2016) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect plant tolerance and chemical

defences to herbivory through different mechanisms. Journal of Ecology 104: 561–571. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12535

- Thomas, SC (1996) Relative size onset of maturity in rain forest trees: a comparative analysis of 37 Malaysian species. Oikos 76: 145–154. https://doi.org/10.2307/3545756
- Torti SD, Coley PD, Kursar TA (2001) Causes and consequences of monodominance in tropical lowland forests. The American Naturalist 157: 141–153. https://doi. org/10.1086/318629
- van der Burgt X, Newbery DM, Njibili S (2021) The structure of Leguminosae-Detarioideae dominant rain forest in Korup National Park, Cameroon. Plant Ecology and Evolution 154: 376–390. https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.2021.1879
- Wong M, Wright SJ, Hubbell SP, Foster RB (1990) The spatial pattern and reproductive consequences of outbreak defoliation in *Quararibea asterolepis*, a tropical tree. Journal of Ecology 78: 579–588. https://doi.org/10.2307/2260885
- Wood SN (2004) Stable and efficient multiple smoothing parameter estimation for generalized additive models. Journal of the American Statistical Association 99: 673–686. https://doi.org/10.1198/01621450400000980
- Wood SN (2020) mgcv: Mixed GAM Computation Vehicle with Automatic Smoothness Estimation. R package version 1.8-31. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mgcv/index. html [accessed 01.02.2023]
- Wright SJ, Calderon O (2006) Seasonal, El Niño and longer term changes in flower and seed production in a moist tropical forest. Ecology Letters 9: 34–44. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1461-0248.2005.00851.x
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN (2016) Beginner's Guide to Zero-Inflated Models in R. Highland Statistics Ltd., Newburgh, UK, 1–411.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material 1

The seeds and pods of the three co-dominant canopy tree species at Korup. Link: https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.96572.suppl1

Supplementary material 2

Seedling recruitment of the three co-dominant tree species in different years. Link: https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.96572.suppl2

Supplementary material 3

Further details about the tree sample selection. Link: https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.96572.suppl3

Supplementary material 4

The DBH-crown area relationship for *T. korupensis*. Link: https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.96572.suppl4