Aesthetic caries infiltration - Long-term masking efficacy after 6 years

Richard Johannes Wierichs, Franzisker Langer, Céline Kobbe, Bedram Abou-Ayash, Marcella Esteves-Oliveira, Michael Wolf, Isabel Knaup, Hendrik Meyer-Lueckel

 PII:
 S0300-5712(23)00061-1

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2023.104474

 Reference:
 JJOD 104474

To appear in: Journal of Dentistry

Received date:	31 January 2023
Revised date:	24 February 2023
Accepted date:	27 February 2023



Please cite this article as: Richard Johannes Wierichs, Franzisker Langer, Céline Kobbe, Bedram Abou-Ayash, Marcella Esteves-Oliveira, Michael Wolf, Isabel Knaup, Hendrik Meyer-Lueckel, Aesthetic caries infiltration – Long-term masking efficacy after 6 years, *Journal of Dentistry* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2023.104474

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Aesthetic caries infiltration – Long-term masking efficacy after 6 years

Richard Johannes Wierichs¹, Franzisker Langer², Céline Kobbe¹, Bedram Abou-Ayash¹, Marcella Esteves-Oliveira^{1,3}, Michael Wolf², Isabel Knaup², Hendrik Meyer-Lueckel¹

¹ Department of Restorative, Preventive and Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dental Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland

² Department of Orthodontics, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Pauwelsstraße 30, 52074 Aachen, Germany

³ Department of Restorative Dentistry and Endodontology, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Giessen, Germany

Short title: Masking effect of resin infiltration

Keywords: Caries infiltration; Infiltrant; Post-orthodontic treatment; Enamel lesions; Aesthetics; Resin; White spot lesion

*Correspondence:

Prof. Dr. Richard Wierichs Department of Restorative, Preventive and Pediatric Dentistry, University of Bern, School of Dental Medicine Freiburgstrasse 7 3010 Bern Switzerland Tel.: +41 31 632 25 80 Fax: +41 31 632 98 75 E-Mail: richard.wierichs@unibe.ch

Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate the masking efficacy of caries infiltration technique of initial caries lesions (ICL) six years after debonding and single treatment.

Methods: In 10 adolescents, 74 ICL (ICDAS 2) in 74 teeth were treated by resin infiltration (Icon, DMG) at a mean (SD) of 1.2 (1.2) months after bracket removal. The etching procedure was performed up to 3 times. Standardized digital images were taken before treatment (T₀), seven days (T₇) and 6 years (T₂₁₉₀) after treatment. Outcomes included the evaluation of the color differences between carious and healthy enamel at T₀, T₇ and T₂₁₉₀ by quantitative colorimetric analysis (Δ E), ICDAS scores, quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF; Δ F, Δ Q,WS Area) and qualitative visual evaluation (5-point Likert-scale [deteriorated (1), unchanged (2), improved, but not satisfying (3), improved and no further treatment required (4), completely masked (5)).

Results: The median color difference ΔE_0 (25th/75th percentiles) at T₀ was 10.3 (8.56/13.0). At T₇ a significant decrease was observed (ΔE_7 =3.7 (2.0/5.8); p<0.001; Friedmann-test; ICDAS p<0.001; Chi-square test). No significant changes based on ΔE (p=0.972; Friedmann-test) and ICDAS grading (p=0.511, chi-square test) were observed between T₇ and T₂₁₉₀ (ΔE_{2190} =2.9 (1.8/4.2)). Furthermore, at T₂₁₉₀ four experienced dentists classified 50% and 37% of the lesions as "improved and no further treatment required" and "completely masked", respectively (Fleiss kappa: T₂₁₉₀: 0.782 (substantial agreement)).

Conclusion: Aesthetic caries infiltration can effectively mask post-orthodontic initial caries lesions for at least 6 years. These results for most of the teeth could not only be observed by quantitative but also by qualitative analysis.

Clinical significance: Resin infiltration efficaciously masks post-orthodontic initial carious lesions. The optical improvement can be observed directly after treatment and remains stable for at six years.

Introduction

In adolescence one negative side effect of orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances are initial caries lesions (ICL). ICL can be observed in up to 68.4% of orthodontically treated patients [1]; especially in patients with higher cariogenic diet and impaired oral hygiene [2], since fixed elements (e.g. brackets) may yield to an increased biofilm accumulation. Consequently, avoiding the initiation, arresting the progression, reversing or masking ICL during [3, 4] and after [5, 6] treatment with fixed appliances have been analyzed in several studies. However, in most cases, the aesthetic appearance remains impaired [6] or no long-term results have been presented yet [5].

Within the first six months after bracket removal ICL may superficially remineralize, since proper oral hygiene can be performed more effectively again [7]. However, even when caries-preventive supplements (e.g. fluorides) are utilized ICL are likely to remain an aesthetic burden even years after removal of the orthodontic appliances [8]. In contrast, a recent meta-analysis indicated that optically satisfying results may be achieved by resin infiltration [5]. This technique is based on the refractive indices (RI) of sound enamel (RI = 1.63), demineralized enamel filled with air (RI = 1.00) or water (RI = 1.30) and the infiltrant (RI = 1.53). By infiltrating the demineralized areas, the lesion's RI of the infiltrated parts increase up to values closer to the RI of sound enamel. Thus, light scattering is reduced, and the lesion optically resembles the surrounding healthy enamel and also increases microhardness of the enamel [9].

(Post-orthodontic) ICL can effectively be masked with resin infiltration immediately after its application. However, long-term color stability is still a major concern [5, 10]. Extrinsic discoloration and staining were observed *in vitro* [11, 12] and *in vivo* (Paris et al. 2010). In some studies, color differences of infiltrated lesions increased relatively to non-infiltrated demineralized or healthy surfaces after subsequent staining [12, 13]. Contrastingly, stable masking results could be observed in other *in vivo* studies [5, 14, 15]. However, the follow-up period in most *in vivo* studies was only 6 months; only one study evaluated the masking effect after up to 2 years [14].

Therefore, the present study aimed to qualitatively and quantitatively assess the masking efficacy and color stability of resin infiltration of post-orthodontic ICL after six years. The primary hypothesis was that no significant difference in the quantitative colorimetric analysis (ΔE), ICDAS scores, quantitative light-induced fluorescence (ΔF , ΔQ ,WS Arear) and qualitative visual evaluation would be observed between seven days and six years after resin infiltration, but for both time points compared with before the resin infiltration.

Materials and Methods

Study design and patient selection

The study was a clinical, single-center, prospective study (EK 110/13, DRKS00005067). The study design has previously been described in detail [16-18]. Reporting follows the STROBE guideline for cohort studies [19]. All participants as well as their guardians provided their informed written consent. Based on the same cohort and cases 10 Patients with 74 non-cavitated Initial caries lesions [ICDAS 2 (International Caries Detection and Assessment System)] after removal of a fixed orthodontic appliance could be included in this six-year follow-up (figure 1). Since the cohorts of the 1-year and 6-year evaluations differed considerably (figure 1), no comparison between the 1-year and 6-years results was possible. All inspections and treatments were performed in the Department of Orthodontics, RWTH Aachen University, Germany. Baseline examination and treatments were performed no longer than twelve months after debonding of the fixed elements.

Infiltration

Affected teeth were cleaned with a fluoride-free polishing paste (Cleanic;Kerr, Bioggio, Switzerland) and isolated with a liquid rubber dam (OpalDam;Ultradent, South Jordan, USA) to ensure gingival protection and reduce moisture[18]. ICL were etched with 15% HCl gel (Icon etch;DMG, Hamburg, Germany) for 120 seconds. After rinsing with oil-free water for 30 seconds, the lesions were dried and re-wetted with alcohol (Icon dry; DMG, Hamburg, Germany). The dentist then assessed the temporary masking effect of the lesion. In case of a subjectively unsatisfying result, the etching process was repeated. The lesions were then again etched, dried, and re-wetted up to a maximum of three times. Either after achieving a satisfying result or after the third and last etching process, the lesions were infiltrated according to the manufacturer's recommendations. All treatments were performed by one operator (C. K.).

Photo documentation

Digital, standardized single tooth and overall frontal photos were taken with a SLR camera (Nikon D7000; Nikon, Chiyoda, Japan), a ring flash (Sigma EM-140 DG;Sigma, Kawasaki, Japan) and a macro lens (AF S Micro Nikkor 105mm 1:2.8;Nikon, Chiyosa, Japan) before [T₀] (baseline evaluation), one week [T₇] and six years [T₂₁₉₀] after the treatment [16].The photos were taken by two trained operators (T₀ and T₇ by C. K. and T₂₁₉₀ by F. L.)

Colorimetric analysis

For digital color analysis and processing photoshop (Photoshop Adobe CS6; Adobe, San Jose, USA) was utilized. Color deviations in the photos were equalized by referring to the adjacent grey card. Four different measuring points (11 x 11 pixels) each were then set in carious [c] and adjacent healthy [h] enamel. Identical measuring points were chosen for the three different time points T₀, T₇ and T₂₁₉₀. The L*a*b*-values of all measuring points were then documented in an excel sheet. The color differences between carious and healthy enamel (ΔE) as well as between different time points (ΔE) were calculated with the formula $\Delta E_{c-h} = ((L_c - L_h)^2 + (a_c - a_h)^2 + (b_c - b_h)^2)^{1/2}$ [20] and e.g. $\Delta E_{T1-T7} = \Delta E_{T1} - \Delta E_{T7}$ [12, 18].

Quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF) documentation

Quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF) images were also taken before [T₀] (baseline evaluation), one week [T₇] and six years [T₂₁₉₀] after the treatment. The images were recorded using the Inspector Pro system, consisting of the QLF handpiece with an integrated lightguide, camera and ambient light shield and the Inspector Pro Software (version 2.0.0.49, Inspector Research System BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands) [17].

The QLF measurements were performed by two trained operators (T_0 and T_7 by E. E. E. and T_{2190} by F. L.)

QLF analysis

To quantify location, extent and severity of demineralization all affected teeth were analyzed by the QLF software. In brief: suspicious demineralized areas and nearby healthy enamel were manually marked to define a region of interest [17]. As done previously the automatic WSL detection mode of the software was not used as in a considerable number of teeth new initial caries lesions were observed six years after debonding (figure 2).These lesions were detected in the automatic WSL detection mode and would, of course, have falsified the results of the present study. Afterwards, the QLF software computed the difference (Δ F) between the intensity of the green fluorescence in a point of the image and the intensity of a virtual reconstruction of the sound tooth surface at that same point [21, 22].

Qualitative visual analysis

The digital images of the three time points (T₀, T₇ and T₂₁₉₀) were also used for visual assessment. Four trained operators (H.M-L.,R.J.W.,M.E.-O.,B.A.-A.), with experiences in minimal-invasive and aesthetic treatments, performed a visual evaluation on tooth level (using teeth portraits). As in the QLF analysis, only the area of the original ICL was evaluated, in case of a new ICL this area was excluded from evaluation. Prior to evaluation, all operators were calibrated by discussing clinical cases and agreeing on the degree of ICL expression and masking effect.

Using a 11-point Likert-scale from 0 (no lesions visible on any tooth) to 10 (all teeth affected on the entire vestibular surface) the expression, extension, and contrast of the ICL on tooth level was evaluated [17, 18]. The success of treatment was also assessed by five categories: deteriorated (1), unchanged (2), improved, but not satisfying (3), improved and no further treatment required (4), completely masked (5) [18].

<u>Blinding</u>

Due to the nature of the treatment procedure neither the operator nor the patient could be blinded. However, outcome assessors and the statistician were blinded.

Statistics

For statistical analysis SPSS (SPSS Statistics 28; IBM, Armonk, USA) was utilized. A prospective power and sample size analysis were performed previously [16].Furthermore, the retrospective power analysis for the smallest difference (difference between T₀ and T₂₁₉₀ for teeth being etched once) with 4 teeth still provided a power of at least 99% for ΔE (mean difference (SD): -6.64 (1.73)).

The factors under evaluation were:

- Time at three levels: (T0) situation before treatment, (T₇) one week after treatment, (T₂₁₉₀) six years (≈2190 days) after treatment
- Number of etching procedures: (E1) lesions etched once, (E2) lesions etched twice,
 (E3) lesions etched three times

Normal distribution was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk-test. Differences of ΔE , ΔF , ΔQ and WS Area in the different groups (E1, E2 and E3) were compared with the Kruskall-Wallis test with Bonferroni adjustment. Differences in ΔE , ΔF , ΔQ and WS Area between different time points (T₀, T₇ and T₂₁₉₀) were analyzed using the Friedman-test with Bonferroni adjustments. Differences in ICDAS values between different groups and between different time points were evaluated using chi-square tests.For qualitative visual scores mean values and standard deviations (SD) were used to describe the results of the 11-point Likert-scale and the absolute number of scores were used to describe the results of the 5-point Likert-scale [23].The correlation between the qualitative and the quantitative evaluation (ΔE) were analyzed using Spearman's rank correlation [23]. Intra- and inter-observer reliability were analyzed using Cohens kappa and Fleiss-Kappa, respectively. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Study design and patient selection

Between November 2013 to December 2014, 31 (16 females, 15 male) patients with 221 lesions were included in this study. Due to non-appearance in the follow-ups, 21 patients had to be excluded after six years, resulting in a drop-out rate of 68%. Ten patients (5 females, 5 males) with a mean (SD) age of 15.6 (±3.8) years at the first examination participated in this study. The six-years follow-up examination took place between July 2020 and October 2020. Since only 5 of the 10 patients attended the one year and the six year follow-up it was not possible to compare the results at one year and at six years. In total 74 lesions (ICDAS 2) were treated in 74 teeth, of which 13 were upper premolars, 11 upper canines, 17 upper lateral incisors, 12 upper central incisors, 9 were lower premolars, 6 lower canines, 4 lower lateral incisors and 5 lower central incisors.

<u>Color differences (ΔE)</u>

The median (25th /75th percentiles) color difference between carious and healthy enamel at baseline (ΔE_0) was 10.9 (8.2/13.2) regarding all 221 lesions ($\Delta E_{0;221}$) and 10.3 (8.56/13.0) regarding the 74 lesions ($\Delta E_{0;74}$) (table 1). A significant decrease to $\Delta E_{7;221}$ =4.0 (2.1/5.8) and $\Delta E_{7;74}$ =3.7 (2.0/5.8),respectively, was observed seven days after treatment (T₇) (p<0.001; Friedmann-test). The six-years follow-up yielded stable values, no significant differences

between $\Delta E_{7;74}$ and $\Delta E_{2190;74}$ (2.9 (1.8/4.2)) (p=0.972), but between $\Delta E_{0;74}$ and $\Delta E_{2190;74}$ (p<0.001) were observed.

The results did not change when analysis of different time points was done separately for the different number of etching procedures (table 1).

ICDAS scores

At baseline (T₀) all lesions were scored as ICDAS 2 (table 2). The ICDAS scores significantly decreased seven days after resin infiltration (p<0.001; Chi-square test). Six years after treatment ICDAS scores showed no further change compared to T_7 (p=0.511; Chi-square test). Lesions still ranged from ICDAS 0 to 2.Fifteen lesions were scored as ICDAS 0, 15 as ICDAS 1 and 44 as ICDAS 2.

<u>QLF differences (Δ F, Δ Q, WS Aera)</u>

The median (25th /75th percentiles) ΔF difference between carious and healthy enamel at baseline ($\Delta F_{0;74}$) was -7.61 (-10.7/-7.6) (table 1). A significant increase to $\Delta F_{7;74}$ =-6.9 (-7.4/-6.5) was observed seven days after treatment (T₇) (p<0.001; Friedmann-test). The six-years follow-up did not yield constant values. A significant differences between $\Delta F_{7;74}$ and $\Delta F_{2190;74}$ (-7.2 (-8.4/-6.6)) (p=0.025), but not between $\Delta F_{0;74}$ and $\Delta F_{2190;74}$ (p=0.520) were observed.

When analysis of different time points was done separately for the different number of etching procedures significant difference could only be observed for ICLs being etched three times (table 1). The corresponding ΔQ and WS area values can be seen in table 1 and table 2.

<u>Qualitative visual analysis</u>

The severity of aesthetic impairment due to ICL was rated using the 11-point Likert scale. At baseline (T₀) the ICL were rated with an average of 3.8 points (SD 1.4), indicating that mild to moderate cases have been included in the present study. Seven days after treatment (T₇) and six years after treatment (T₂₁₉₀) the ICL were rated with an average of 1.1 (SD 1.0) and 0.9 points (SD 0.9), respectively (inter-observer reliability; Fleiss kappa: T₀: 0.450 (moderate agreement); T₇: 0.680 (substantial agreement); T₂₁₉₀: 0.626 (substantial agreement)).

The optical improvement could also be seen in the 5-point Likert scale. At T_{2190} the results of only one tooth were classified as unchanged, whereas 50% (n=37) and 37% (n=27) of the results were classified as improved and no further treatment required and completely masked, respectively (Fleiss kappa: T_{2190} : 0.782 (substantial perfect)).

Adverse effects

No adverse or side effects were recorded during the follow-up period.

Discussion

The present study investigated the masking efficacy of resin infiltration qualitatively and quantitatively six years after its application. We confirmed that resin infiltration efficaciously masks post-orthodontic initial carious lesions for at least six years. A significant reduction of the colorimetric values, ICDAS scores and visual impairment could be observed directly after treatment. Results remained stable at the six-years follow-up. However, this reduction could not be observed for all QLF readings. Thus, the hypothesis that no significant change in the colorimetric values would be observed between the seven days and the six-years follow-up had to be partially rejected.

Long-term color stability was assessed by using different methods: colorimetric analysis by using the L*a*b*-values, ICDAS score, qualitative visual assessment and by quantitative light-induced fluorescence. For all outcomes except QLF readings no statistically significant differences between the values seven days and six years after infiltration could be observed. On the one hand this is in line with recent studies presenting follow-up periods of six [6], twelve [15] and 24 months [14]. The latter study even showing stable results up to 45 months [14]. Consequently, resin infiltration seems to be a suitable method for a long-term aesthetical improvement of ICL.

Several issues about the long-term masking efficacy of vestibular caries infiltration have been discussed in previous studies [14, 15, 17, 18] since follow-up periods were relatively short [6, 14, 15]. In the present study with a considerably longer observation time than all previous studies, the use of resin infiltration resulted not only in a significant reduction in Δ E-values one week after treatment but also in post-treatment results that remained stable over 6 years. However, newly formed initial caries lesions were observed in non-infiltrated areas in some teeth 6 year after debonding. This, firstly, might be used as indicator for a high caries risk in the included patients and, secondly, indicates that patients have to be instructed that they have to maintain to proper individual oral hygiene standards since resin infiltration does not prevent against new lesions. Otherwise, they might develop a false sense of security.

General methodical issues have been discussed in our previous studies; e.g. the primary aim of the present study (Kobbe et al. 2019), the low inter-operator agreement for baseline overall aesthetic impairment [17], the influence of the time between debonding of the brackets and infiltration of the ICL [18] and the high drop-out rate after 1 year (45%) [17]. As it could be expected the drop-out rate after 6 years (68%) increased compared with the 1year evaluation of this cohort. This of course resulted in an attrition bias; Although, the retrospective power analysis for the smallest difference with 4 teeth still provided a power of at least 99% for ΔE [T₀ and T₂₁₉₀ for teeth being etched once], this can presumably be seen in the prevalence rates of affected teeth. In the present study the upper premolar was the most effect tooth, whereas previous studies [24-26] and the 7-day and 1- year evaluations [16, 18] of the present study reported the lateral incisors and canines as the most affected teeth. However, as in the recent studies upper frontal teeth were more prone to ICL than lower ones. The high drop-out rate (only 10 patients attended the 6-year evaluation) might be explained by the heterogeneous socioeconomic background of the patients in a university hospital and lower awareness of their caregivers. Furthermore, due to the high masking success of the infiltration technique, patients might not understand the need for further participation in the study. Since the aesthetic improvement could be seen directly, most of the patients were satisfied with the result achieved.

To quantify location, extent and severity of demineralization all affected teeth were analyzed using QLF images. As done in the previous publication [17] it could be shown that DeltaF-, DeltaQ-values and White Spot Areas significantly decreased 7 days after treatment and that slight differences between the varying number of etching procedures could be observed. However, in contrast to the 1-year results, in which QLF outcomes remained stable, a significant decrease in all QLF outcomes could be observed after 6 years. It might, firstly, be speculated that QLF analysis is a sensitive tool to asses changes in porosity (progression or regression) of a lesion [27, 28] but not for alteration of the porosity due to (low viscosity) resins. However, this would not explain why the results were reduced 7 days and 1 year after treatment. Secondly, it might be speculated that new ICL in non-infiltrated areas falsified the QLF readings. However, the automatic WSL detection mode of the software was not used and the original demineralized areas and nearby healthy enamel were manually marked to define the regions of interest. Thirdly, teeth alter physiologically and/or unphysiologically (e.g. due to erosive tooth wear, staining) over the years. This might

change QLF values of (sound) enamel, resulting in decreased QLF values [29, 30]. However, this difference might become significant after longer time periods. Since QLF outcomes have only been used in two studies with a follow-up period of 2 years [29, 31] and in two studies with a follow-up period of 4 years [32, 33], this is the first study using QLF outcomes with an observation time of six years or more. Consequently, further long-term studies are needed to investigate the influence of tooth alteration on QLF readings. Nevertheless, based on the present study the used QLF reader was not able to quantify the masking efficacy after 6 years which has been confirmed by colorimetric values, ICDAS scores and subjective aesthetic impairment.

Qualitatively 50% and 37% of the lesions were classified as "improved and no further treatment required" and "completely masked", respectively. This is in line with a recent study on enamel hypomineralization in which a significant and strong correlation between quantitative and qualitative results before and directly after infiltration could be observed [34].

Based on our results it can be corroborated that resin infiltration efficaciously masks postorthodontic initial caries lesions. Moreover, six years after the significant reduction ΔE remained just slightly below the threshold for perception. Color stability could also be confirmed by significantly decreased ICDAS scores that also remained unchanged during the follow-up period. Quantitative and qualitative assessment showed good to substantial correlations. Furthermore, the used QLF reader was not able to quantify these stable results over time. Table 1: Median (25th /75th percentiles) ΔE , ΔF and ΔQ between carious and healthy

				ΔΕ		ΔF [%]			ΔQ [% mm ²]		
Echings frequency	time	n	median	25 th and 75 th percentiles	p-value	median	25 th and 75 th percentiles	p-value	median	25 th and 75 th percentiles	p-value
overall	T ₀	74	10.3	8.5; 13.0	reference	-7.6	-10.7; -6.6	reference	-1.0	-7.0; -0.1	reference
	T ₇	74	3.7	2.0; 5.8	<0.001	-6.9	-7.4; -6.5	<0.001	-0.1	-0.5; -0.1	<0.001
	T ₂₁₉₀	74	2.9	1.8; 4.4	<0.001	-7-2	-8.4; -6.6	0.520	-0.6	-3.5; -0.2	1.000
once	T ₀	4	9.8	9.4; 13.1	reference	-6.8	-8.3; -6.5	reference	-0.1	-0.3; -0.1	reference
	T ₇	4	3.9	2.8; 4.5	0.034	-6.7	-7.9; -6.0	1.000	-0.1	-0.9; 0.0	0.368
	T ₂₁₉₀	4	4.3	2.3; 6.8	0.034	-8.0	-8.6; -7.3	1.000	-1.8	-3.5; -0.2	0.368
twice	T ₀	32	9.8	8.4; 12.3	reference	-6.9	-7.7; -6.5	reference	-0.2	-1.6; -0.1	reference
	T ₇	32	2.8	1.7; 4.9	<0.001	-6.8	-7.3; -6.5	0.202	-0.1	-0.4; -0.1	0.364
	T ₂₁₉₀	32	2.6	1.7; 3.7	<0.001	-6.9	-7.5; -6.5	0.202	-0.4	-2.0; -0.2	0.364
three times	T ₀	38	11.6	8.3; 14.3	reference	-10.3	-13.1; -7.4	reference	-3.8	-36.6; -0.5	reference
	T ₇	38	4.2	2.3; 6.7	<0.001	-7.0	-7.8; -6.5	<0.001	-0.1	-1.1; 0.0	<0.001
	T ₂₁₉₀	38	3.0	2.0; 5.7	<0.001	-7.7	-9.3;-6.8	0.189	-1.1	-5.6; -0.3	0.244

enamel at baseline (T0), seven days (T7)and six years (T2190).

Median (25th /75th percentiles) ΔE , ΔF and ΔQ between carious and healthy enamel at baseline (T_0), seven days (T_7) and six years (T_{2190}). P-values indicate (statistically) significant differences in outcomes between T_0 and T_7 as well as T_0 and T_{2190} (Friedman-test with Bonferroni adjustments). (No) significant differences could be observed between T_7 and T_{2190} (ΔE : p=0.972; ΔF : p=0.025; ΔQ : p<0.001).

table 1

			ICDAS scores				White Spot Area [mm2]			
etching frequency	etching frequency time		0	1 2		p-value	median 25 th and 75 th percentiles		p-value	
overall	To	74	0	0	74	reference	0.18	0.02; 0.86	reference	
	T ₇	74	20	11	43	<0.001	0.02	0.01; 0.13	<0.001	
	T ₂₁₉₀	74	15	15	44	<0.001	0.07	0.03; 0.39	0.520	
once	T ₀	4	0	0	4	reference	0.02	0.01; 0.04	reference	
	T ₇	4	2	1	1	0.091	0.01	0.01; 0.10	1.000	
	T ₂₁₉₀	4	1	0	3	0.285	0.22	0.02; 0.41	1.000	
twice	T ₀	32	0	0	32	reference	0.04	0.01; 0.25	reference	
	T ₇	32	15	8	9	<0.001	0.02	0.01; 0.05	0.202	
	T ₂₁₉₀	32	11	11	10	<0.001	0.05	0.03; 0.21	0.202	
three times	T ₀	38	0	0	38	reference	0.53	0.07; 2.91	reference	
	T ₇	38	3	2	33	0.101	0.03	0.01; 0.17	<0.001	
	T ₂₁₉₀	38	3	4	31	0.036	0.15	0.04; 0.69	0.189	

ICDAS scores and WS area with different etching frequencies at baseline (T_0), seven days (T_7)and six years (T_{2190}). P-values indicate (statistically) significant differences in ICDAS scores (chi-square tests) and WS areas (Friedman-test with Bonferroni adjustments) between T_0 and T_7 as well as T_0 and T_{2190} . (No) significant difference could be observed between T_7 and T_{2190} (ICDAS scores: p=0.511; WS area: p=0.001).

figure 1: Participant flow diagram of the cohort for the 6 years follow-up study



figure 2: Digital photographs of an upper second incisor before (A) treatment, (B) 7 days, (C) 1 year and (D) 6 years after resin infiltration. The initial lesion is highlighted by the dotted line. Six years after infiltration new lesions can be seen at the gingival margin that also shows signs of inflammation (indicated by *).



ound

References:

[1] D. Sundararaj, S. Venkatachalapathy, A. Tandon, A. Pereira, Critical evaluation of incidence and prevalence of white spot lesions during fixed orthodontic appliance treatment: A meta-analysis, J Int Soc Prev Community Dent 5(6) (2015) 433-9. https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-0762.167719

[2] J.G. Boersma, M.H. van der Veen, M.D. Lagerweij, B. Bokhout, B. Prahl-Andersen, Caries prevalence measured with QLF after treatment with fixed orthodontic appliances: influencing factors, Caries Res 39(1) (2005) 41-7.

https://doi.org/10.1159/000081655

[3] R. Kamber, H. Meyer-Lueckel, D. Kloukos, C. Tennert, R.J. Wierichs, Efficacy of sealants and bonding materials during fixed orthodontic treatment to prevent enamel demineralization: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Sci Rep 11(1) (2021) 16556. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95888-6</u>

[4] M. Knosel, R. Attin, K. Becker, T. Attin, External bleaching effect on the color and luminosity of inactive white-spot lesions after fixed orthodontic appliances, Angle Orthod 77(4) (2007) 646-52. <u>https://doi.org/10.2319/060106-224</u>

[5] S. Bourouni, K. Dritsas, D. Kloukos, R.J. Wierichs, Efficacy of resin infiltration to mask post-orthodontic or non-post-orthodontic white spot lesions or fluorosis - a systematic review and meta-analysis, Clin Oral Investig 25(8) (2021) 4711-4719. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-03931-7

[6] A. Kannan, S. Padmanabhan, Comparative evaluation of Icon(R) resin infiltration and Clinpro XT varnish on colour and fluorescence changes of white spot lesions: a randomized controlled trial, Prog Orthod 20(1) (2019) 23.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40510-019-0276-y

[7] D.R. Willmot, White lesions after orthodontic treatment: does low fluoride make a difference?, J Orthod 31(3) (2004) 235-42; discussion 202.

https://doi.org/10.1179/146531204225022443

[8] B. Ogaard, Prevalence of white spot lesions in 19-year-olds: a study on untreated and orthodontically treated persons 5 years after treatment, Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 96(5) (1989) 423-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-5406(89)90327-2</u>

[9] S. Paris, F. Schwendicke, S. Seddig, W.D. Muller, C. Dorfer, H. Meyer-Lueckel, Micro-hardness and mineral loss of enamel lesions after infiltration with various resins: influence of infiltrant composition and application frequency in vitro, J Dent 41(6) (2013) 543-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2013.03.006</u>

[10] M. Knosel, A. Eckstein, H.J. Helms, Durability of esthetic improvement following Icon resin infiltration of multibracket-induced white spot lesions compared with no therapy over 6 months: a single-center, split-mouth, randomized clinical trial, Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 144(1) (2013) 86-96.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2013.02.029

[11] M. Ceci, D. Rattalino, M. Viola, R. Beltrami, M. Chiesa, M. Colombo, C. Poggio, Resin infiltrant for non-cavitated caries lesions: evaluation of color stability, J Clin Exp Dent 9(2) (2017) e231-e237. <u>https://doi.org/10.4317/jced.53110</u>

[12] E.E. Jansen, H. Meyer-Lueckel, M. Esteves-Oliveira, R.J. Wierichs, Do bleaching gels affect the stability of the masking and caries-arresting effects of caries infiltration-

in vitro, Clin Oral Investig 25(6) (2021) 4011-4021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-</u> 020-03732-4

[13] S. Paris, F. Schwendicke, J. Keltsch, C. Dorfer, H. Meyer-Lueckel, Masking of white spot lesions by resin infiltration in vitro, J Dent 41 Suppl 5 (2013) e28-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2013.04.003

[14] M. Knosel, A. Eckstein, H.J. Helms, Long-term follow-up of camouflage effects following resin infiltration of post orthodontic white-spot lesions in vivo, Angle Orthod 89(1) (2019) 33-39. https://doi.org/10.2319/052118-383.1

[15] X. Gu, L. Yang, D. Yang, Y. Gao, X. Duan, X. Zhu, H. Yuan, J. Li, Esthetic improvements of postorthodontic white-spot lesions treated with resin infiltration and microabrasion: A split-mouth, randomized clinical trial, Angle Orthod 89(3) (2019) 372-377. <u>https://doi.org/10.2319/041218-274.1</u>

[16] C. Kobbe, U. Fritz, R.J. Wierichs, H. Meyer-Lueckel, Evaluation of the value of rewetting prior to resin infiltration of post-orthodontic caries lesions, J Dent 91 (2019) 103243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2019.103243</u>

[17] I. Knaup, C. Kobbe, E.E. Ehrlich, M. Esteves-Oliveira, B. Abou-Ayash, H. Meyer-Lueckel, M. Wolf, R.J. Wierichs, Correlation of quantitative light-induced fluorescence and qualitative visual rating in infiltrated post-orthodontic white spot lesions, Eur J Orthod (2022). <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ejo/cjac051</u>

[18] R.J. Wierichs, B. Abou-Ayash, C. Kobbe, M. Esteves-Oliveira, M. Wolf, I. Knaup, H. Meyer-Lueckel, Evaluation of the masking efficacy of caries infiltration in postorthodontic initial caries lesions: 1-year follow-up, Clin Oral Investig (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-022-04843-w

[19] E. von Elm, D.G. Altman, M. Egger, S.J. Pocock, P.C. Gotzsche, J.P. Vandenbroucke,
S. Initiative, The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies, J Clin Epidemiol
61(4) (2008) 344-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008</u>

[20] W.M. Bengel, Digital photography and the assessment of therapeutic results after bleaching procedures, J Esthet Restor Dent 15 Suppl 1 (2003) S21-32; discussion S32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8240.2003.tb00315.x

[21] R. Tatano, B. Berkels, E.E. Ehrlich, T.M. Deserno, U.B. Fritz, Spatial agreement of demineralized areas in quantitative light-induced fluorescence images and digital photographs, Dentomaxillofac Radiol 47(8) (2018) 20180099.

https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20180099

[22] R. Tatano, E.E. Ehrlich, B. Berkels, E. Sirazitdinova, T.M. Deserno, U.B. Fritz,
Quantitative light-induced fluorescence images and digital photographs Reproducibility of manually marked demineralisations, J Orofac Orthop 78(2) (2017)
137-143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00056-016-0069-6

[23] G.M. Sullivan, A.R. Artino, Jr., Analyzing and interpreting data from likert-type scales, J Grad Med Educ 5(4) (2013) 541-2. <u>https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-5-4-18</u>
[24] L.N. Flynn, K. Julien, A. Noureldin, P.H. Buschang, The efficacy of fluoride varnish vs a filled resin sealant for preventing white spot lesions during orthodontic treatment, Angle Orthod 92(2) (2022) 204-212. https://doi.org/10.2319/052521-418.1

[25] A. Yagci, E.D. Seker, K.K. Demirsoy, S.I. Ramoglu, Do total or partial etching procedures effect the rate of white spot lesion formation? A single-center,

randomized, controlled clinical trial, Angle Orthod 89(1) (2019) 16-24. https://doi.org/10.2319/013018-84.1

[26] S. Bevinagidad, S. Setty, A. Patil, S. Thakur, Estimation and correlation of salivary calcium, phosphorous, alkaline phosphatase, pH, white spot lesions, and oral hygiene status among orthodontic patients, J Indian Soc Periodontol 24(2) (2020) 117-121. https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp 440 19

[27] S.M. Kang, S.H. Jeong, H.E. Kim, B.I. Kim, Photodiagnosis of White Spot Lesions after Orthodontic Treatment with a Quantitative Light-induced Fluorescence-Digital System: A Pilot Study, Oral Health Prev Dent 15(5) (2017) 483-488.

https://doi.org/10.3290/j.ohpd.a38774

[28] M.W. Beerens, F. Boekitwetan, M.H. van der Veen, J.M. ten Cate, White spot lesions after orthodontic treatment assessed by clinical photographs and by quantitative light-induced fluorescence imaging; a retrospective study, Acta Odontol Scand 73(6) (2015) 441-6. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357.2014.980846

[29] T. Mattousch, M. van der Veen, A. Zentner, Caries lesions after orthodontic treatment followed by quantitative light-induced fluorescence: a 2-year follow-up, European Journal of Orthodontics 29(3) (2007) 294-298.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ejo/cjm008

[30] S. Al-Khateeb, C.M. Forsberg, E. de Josselin de Jong, B. Angmar-Mansson, A longitudinal laser fluorescence study of white spot lesions in orthodontic patients, Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 113(6) (1998) 595-602. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0889-5406(98)70218-5</u>

[31] C. Meller, R.M. Santamaria, T. Connert, C. Splieth, Predicting caries by measuring its activity using quantitative light-induced fluorescence in vivo: a 2-year caries increment analysis, Caries Res 46(4) (2012) 361-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000338379</u>
[32] G. Felix Gomez, G.J. Eckert, A. Ferreira Zandona, Orange/Red Fluorescence of Active Caries by Retrospective Quantitative Light-Induced Fluorescence Image
Analysis, Caries Research 50(3) (2016) 295-302. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000441899</u>
[33] A. Ferreira Zandona, M. Ando, G.F. Gomez, M. Garcia-Corretjer, G.J. Eckert, E. Santiago, B.P. Katz, D.T. Zero, Longitudinal analyses of early lesions by fluorescence: an observational study, J Dent Res 92(7 Suppl) (2013) 84S-9S.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034513490167

[34] M. Mazur, S. Westland, F. Guerra, D. Corridore, M. Vichi, A. Maruotti, G.M. Nardi, L. Ottolenghi, Objective and subjective aesthetic performance of icon(R) treatment for enamel hypomineralization lesions in young adolescents: A retrospective single center study, J Dent 68 (2018) 104-108. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2017.11.001</u>

Declaration of Interest:

H.M.-L is appointed as an inventor for patents of an infiltration technique for dental caries lesions, held by Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, and receives royalties from DMG, the manufacturer of Icon.

R.J.W., F.L., C.K., M.W. and I.K. declare no conflicts of interests.

Journal Pre-proof

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Eva E. Ehrlich for taking the QLF images before and 7 days after treatment.

Author contributions

Richard Johannes Wierichs contributed to conception, contributed to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, drafted manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Franzisker Langer contributed to acquisition and interpretation, critically revised the manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Céline Kobbe contributed to conception and design, contributed to acquisition and interpretation, critically revised the manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Bedram Abou-Ayash contributed to acquisition, critically revised the manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Marcella Esteves-Oliveira contributed to acquisition, critically revised the manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Michael Wolf contributed to acquisition, critically revised the manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Isabel Knaup contributed to acquisition, critically revised the manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Hendrik Meyer-Lueckel contributed to conception and design, contributed to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, drafted manuscript, gave final approval and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article [and/or] its supplementary material files. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflict of interest

H.M.-L is appointed as an inventor for patents of an infiltration technique for dental caries lesions, held by Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, and receives royalties from DMG, the manufacturer of Icon.

R.J.W., F. L., C.K., B.A.-A., M.E.-O., M.W., I.K., and declare no conflicts of interests.

Funding statement

This study was funded by the authors and their institution.

Ethical approval

The study was a clinical, single-center, prospective study (DRKS00005067). Approval was given by the ethical committee of the RWTH Aachen (EK 110/13).

Informed consent

All participants respectively their guardians provided their informed written consent.

Ethical approval

The study was a clinical, single-center, prospective study (DRKS00005067). Approval was given by the ethical committee of the RWTH Aachen (EK 110/13).

Informed consent

All participants respectively their guardians provided their informed written consent.

Declaration of interests

□ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

⊠The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

H.M.-L is appointed as an inventor for patents of an infiltration technique for dental caries lesions, held by Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, and receives royalties from DMG, the manufacturer of Icon.

R.J.W., F.L., C.K., M.W. and I.K. declare no conflicts of interests.