

COST-G gravity field models: application in SLR orbit determination

Ulrich Meyer¹, Linda Geisser¹, Rolf Dach¹, Heike Peter², Daniela Thaller³, Adrian Jäggi¹

¹University of Bern, Astronomical Institute, Switzerland


²Positim, Germany

³BKG, Germany

22nd International Workshop on Laser Ranging November 7-11, 2022, Yebes, Spain







Combination Service for Time-variable Gravity Fields (COST-G)



International Association of Geodesy (IAG)

Int. Gravity Field Service







Int. Earth Rotation Service



Int. Gravimetric Bureau



Permanent
Service for
Mean Sea Level





Int. Geoid Service



Int. Geodynamics and Earth Tide Service





INTERNATIONAL



Int. Center for Global Earth Models



Int. Laser Ranging Service



Int. DEM Service



Product Center of the IGFS



Int. VLBI Service



International Gravity Field Service (IGFS)

Gravity and geoid metadata

Online applications for the creation of metadata for gravity and geoid data. Service for searching the metadata database.

g-µeta


the gravity metadata editor
(v0.2.6 - beta edition)

N-µeta

the geoid metadata editor
(v0.1.3 - alpha edition)

Global Earth Models

Collection and archive of all existing global gravity field models, web interface for access to GEMs, model visualization and service.



Gravity data

Land, marine, airborne gravity data as point and gridded values. Absolute and relative gracity data, WGM




Time-variable GEMs

Combined gravity field solutions in SH coefficients and spatial grids for hydrological, oceanic and polar ice sheets applications.




Geoid

Geoid models and geoid determination software, geoid modeling processing methodologies



DEM data

Digital Elevation Models, relevant software for DEM creation, assessment, manipulation and display, global relief and crustal models and spherical harmonic data sets.



SG and Earth tide data

Temporal variations of the Earth gravity field through long-term records from ground gravimeters, SG data, Earth tide data.




COST-G is a product center of the






Introduction to COST-G



Improved and consolidated product integrating the strengths of all ACs




Workflow of COST-G






GRACE-FO operational combined monthly gravity fields



Flawless and uninterrupted operational combination with a latency < 2-3 months.





Weighted combination and validation of the combined product





Where to get the products: http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de/series





icgem (at) gfz-potsdam.de

For operational LEO-POD a fitted signal model is generated additionally to the monthly products.

The COST-G fitted signal model is available in the ICGEM.2-format from the International Center for Global Earth Models.

It is updated quarterly with the newest combined monthly GRACE-FO gravity fields.



Swarm

DOI

monthly

COST-G FSM in ICGEM2.0-Format


```
CMMNT COST-G GRACE-FO deterministic gravity field model.
begin of head
format
                            icgem2.0
product type
                           gravity field
                           GSM-2 MODEL GRFO COSTG_test_2015
modelname
                           0.398\overline{6}00441\overline{5}E+15
earth gravity constant
radius
                            0.6378136300E+07
max degree
                            90
                            formal
errors
                           fully normalized
norm
                            tide free
tide system
                                                     sigma C
                                                                sigma S yyyymmdd.xxxx yyyymmdd.xxxx y
key
end of head
afc
                                  0.0000000000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000F+00
qfc
            0.0000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
afc
                                  0.0000000000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
qfct
            0 -4.84165346490E-04 +0.0000000000E+00 6.0725E-11 0.0000E+00 20150101.0000 20180101.0000
            0 -7.39420829887E-11 +0.00000000000E+00 6.0842E-11 0.0000E+00 20150101.0000 20180101.0000
trnd
            0 +4.13443094398E-11 +0.00000000000E+00 5.9933E-11 0.0000E+00 20150101.0000
acos
            0 +2.53863222596E-11 +0.0000000000E+00 6.6495E-11 0.0000E+00 20150101.0000
asin
            0 +2.65048085336E-11 +0.0000000000E+00 6.0809E-11 0.0000E+00 20150101.0000
acos
            0 -2.15182898423E-12 +0.00000000000E+00 6.4827E-11 0.0000E+00 20150101.0000 20180101.0000 0.5
asin
```




Fitted Signal Model (FSM) for operational LEO-POD



RMS of differences (over land, 300 km Gauss): FSM - monthly gravity fields





Operational precise orbit determination (POD) of low Earth orbiters (LEO) relies on a Earth gravity model including time-variable gravity (TVG).


The EIGEN-GRGS-RL04 model (green) has been the standard for LEO-POD of altimeter satellites, but the extrapolation to the GRACE-FO period reveals large prediction errors.

For comparison, a model fitted to COST-G GRACE-FO gravity fields is shown (red).





Polar mass trend (no filter)




Surprisingly, the reason for the prediction error in the EIGEN-GRGS-RL04 model (green) seems not to be in regions with strong mass trends.





Hydrological cycle in large river basins (300 km Gauss)



The time-series of monthly GRACE gravity field solutions was fitted in yearly batches for the EIGEN-GRGS-RL04 model.

While the fit in the GRACE period is very good, the extrapolation of the last of these batches leads to large errors in river basins with strong non-seasonal variations.






Application to Sentinel orbit POD



Sentinel - 3B (altitude 811 km) orbit determination





The carrier phase RMS of dynamic Sentinel-3B satellite orbits (orbit altitude 811 km) based on monthly GRACE-FO gravity fields (green) or different fitted signal models reveals the benefit of up-to-date models. All models were truncated at max. degree/order 90.





Impact of fit period on LEO-POD (Sentinel-3B, altitude 811 km)





Carrier phase residuals of Sentinel-3B orbits (811 km orbit altitude) confirm the sensitivity on the data period that entered the model.





Independent orbit validation



SLR-validation Sentinel-3B

Data: Year 2020, Sentinel-3B, SLR validation, 12 stations (cm)

| Gravity field model | Mean (cm) | RMS (cm) | Standard deviation (cm) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|
| DEIGEN120 | 0.29 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| DEIGEN90 | 0.29 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| D90MONTHLY | 0.28 | 0.91 | 0.87 |
| D90MODEL2012 | 0.28 | 0.92 | 0.88 |
| RDEIGEN120 | 0.31 | 0.91 | 0.85 |
| RDEIGEN90 | 0.31 | 0.91 | 0.85 |
| RD90MONTHLY | 0.31 | 0.88 | 0.82 |

The limited max. degree does not negatively affect LEO POD (S3B)

LEO POD profits from monthly gravity fields

The fitted signal models perform close to the monthly gravity fields

Reduced dynamic LEO POD is less sensitive to model deficiencies.






Outlook



Extension of COST-G FSM for REPRO purposes




Extension of the COST-G FSM to cover the whole GRACE/FO period:

- Fit of GRACE monthly models in yearly batches
- Continuity conditions between individual batches
- Fit of GRACE-FO monthly models in one batch to allow for prediction.



Comparsion of C20-Models






| No 1/rev. cross-track par. | X-pole: bias [μas] | RMS [μas] | Y-pole: bias [μas] | RMS [μas] |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| GGM05S (static) | 66.3 | 261.1 | 86.5 | 245.8 |
| ILRS (time-var.) | 54.3 | 219.4 | 88.0 | 201.1 |
| COST-G FSM (time-var.) | 51.0 | 215.6 | 80.6 | 196.7 |

| + periodic cross-track | X-pole: bias [μas] | RMS [μas] | Y-pole: bias [μas] | RMS [μas] |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| GGM05S (static) | 91.4 | 148.1 | 68.4 | 119.8 |
| ILRS (time-var.) | 73.7 | 142.3 | 75.9 | 126.2 |
| COST-G FSM (time-var.) | 68.8 | 132.8 | 66.0 | 117.8 |

| + C20 | X-pole: bias [μas] | RMS [μas] | Y-pole: bias [μas] | RMS [μas] |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| GGM05S (static) | 68.8 | 175.9 | 72.2 | 156.1 |
| ILRS (time-var.) | | | | |
| COST-G FSM (time-var.) | 49.3 | 164.5 | 65.5 | 157.2 |




| No 1/rev. cross-track | X-pole bias | RMS | Y-pole bias | RMS |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| GGM05S (static) | 66.3 | 261.1 | 86.5 | 245.8 |
| ILRS (time-var.) | 54.3 | 219.4 | 88.0 | 201.1 |
| COST-G FSM (time-var.) | 51.0 | 215.6 | 80.6 | 196.7 |





22nd International Workshop on Laser Ranging November 7-11, 2022, Yebes, Spain

| + periodic cross-track | X-pole: bias | RMS | Y-pole: bias | RMS |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| GGM05S (static) | 91.4 | 148.1 | 68.4 | 119.8 |
| ILRS (time-var.) | 73.7 | 142.3 | 75.9 | 126.2 |
| COST-G FSM (time-var.) | 68.8 | 132.8 | 66.0 | 117.8 |





| + C20 | X-pole: bias | RMS | Y-pole: bias | RMS |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| GGM05S (static) | 68.8 | 175.9 | 72.2 | 156.1 |
| ILRS (time-var.) | | | | |
| COST-G FSM (time-var.) | 49.3 | 164.5 | 65.5 | 157.2 |



