Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

# co-estimated noise parameters in GRACE Variance component estimation for Follow-On gravity field recovery

Martin Lasser, Ulrich Meyer, Daniel Arnold, Adrian Jäggi

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

Gravity, Geoid, and Height Systems 2022 Symposium 12 September 2022 Austin, TX, USA

# **Operational processing**



# Operational processing

	<ul> <li>Basic parametrisation</li> <li>initial conditions 2x[6]</li> <li>accelerometer bias 2x[3]</li> <li>accelerometer scaling 2x[3]</li> </ul>	Additional parameters• 15 min PCA per satellite in→ radial2x[96]→ along-track2x[96]→ cross-track2x[96]	in daily arcs (30 days): 18000 parameters, 17280 for the noise mode + gravity field
•	parameters per arc 24	parameters per arc 576	
C. C. Y.	Force models		
· 💡 🕅 🦉	Gravity field	Internal AIUB static GRACE field	Non-conservative forces:
	Astromomic bodies	JPL DE421 (all planets + Pluto)	ACT from TUG
	Mean pole	Linear	
	Solid Earth tides	IERS2010	
	Solid Earth pole tides	IERS2010	
	Ocean tides	FES2014b (+ admittances from TUG)	
	Ocean pole tides	Desai	
	Atmospheric tides	AOD RL06	
	Atmospheric & oeanic dealiasing	AOD RL06	
	Relativistic effects	IERS2010	

AIUB

a show

M. Lasser et al.: Vari Gravity, Geoid, and F

in GRACE Follow-On gravity field

# VCE – constraints



- Perturbation theory [Kim, 2000]: Errors in background models will (mostly) sum up in 1/rev
- → frequently used in the Celestial Mechanics Approach [Beutler et al., 2010]

# VCE – constraints



- Perturbation theory [Kim, 2000]: Errors in background models will (mostly) sum up in 1/rev
- → frequently used in the Celestial Mechanics Approach [Beutler et al., 2010]

How to constrain their impact to the correct magnitude?

**AIUB** 

#### Impact of different constraints



 $1 \times 10^{-8} ms^{-2}$ 

«loose» constraint (gravity field signal absorbed in PCAs)

AIUB

#### Impact of different constraints



 $1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ ms}^{-2}$ 

«tight» constraint (not enough to absorb mis-modellings)

**AIUB** 

#### Impact of different constraints



 $3 \times 10^{-10} \, ms^{-2}$ 

reasonable balance (applied in the operational solutions)

S. 4

**AIUB** 

# Constraining

s in GRACE Follow-On gravity field recc



# Constraining



M. Lasser et al.: Varia Gravity, Geoid, and H

in GRACF Follow-Or



in GRACF Follow-Or







S. 6

M. Lass Gravity.





AIUB



S. 6

M. La Gravi



S. 6

M. La: Gravit













AIUB



AIUB

on for co-estimated noise par onsium. 12 September 2022 M. Lasser et al.: Varial Gravity, Geoid, and He

ters in GRACE Follow-On gravity field



M. Lasser et al.: Variar Gravity, Geoid, and He

s in GRACE Follow-On gravity field

on for co-estimated noise par posium, 12 September 2022





S. 11

Σ

#### Results for VCE on constraints – summary



M. Lasser et al.: Variance component estimation for co-estimat Gravity, Geoid, and Height Systems 2022 Symposium, 12 Septen

#### Results for VCE on constraints – summary



# Thank you for your attention



#### References

Beutler, G., Jäggi, A., Mervart, L. and Meyer, U. [2010]: The celestial mechanics approach: theoretical foundations. Journal of Geodesy, vol. 84(10), pp. 605-624. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-010-0401-7

Förstner, W. [1979]: Ein Verfahren zur Schätzung von Varianz- und Kovarianzkomponenten. All-gemeine Vermessungsnachrichten, Heft 11-12:446–453.

Kim, J. [2000]: Simulation Study of A Low-Low Satellite-to-Satellite Tracking Mission, PhD-Thesis, Center for Space and Research, Texas, USA. http://granite.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/ando/GRACE/Kim\_dissertation.pdf

Lasser, M., Meyer, U., Arnold, D. and Jäggi, A. [2020]: AIUB-GRACE-FO-operational - Operational GRACE Follow-On monthly gravity field solutions. https://doi.org/10.5880/ICGEM.2020.001

NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) [2019]: GRACE-FO Monthly Geopotential Spherical Harmonics CSR Release 6.0. https://doi.org/10.5067/GFL20-MC060

