Accepted author's manuscript. Published in final edited form as: Sexually Transmitted Infections 2023 May 18 (in press). Publisher DOI: <u>https://sti.bmj.com/content/early/2023/05/18/sextrans-2023-055755</u>

- 1 <u>Surveillance of mpox cases attending sexual health services in England (SOMASS): design.</u>
- 2 implementation, and initial findings from the SOMASS data collection tool, 2022
- 3
- 4 **Authors**: Hannah Charles¹, Mateo Prochazka¹, Judith Murray², UKHSA Sexual Health Liaison
- 5 Group¹, Suneeta Soni³, Lewis Haddow², Katie Beets⁴, Victoria Pilkington⁵, Nicola Low⁶,
- 6 Sophie Candfield⁷, Rachael Jones⁸, Tanya Bleiker⁹, Claire Dewsnap¹⁰, Matt Phillips¹¹, David
- 7 Phillips¹²
- 8 UKHSA Sexual Health Liaison Group: Katy Sinka¹, Helen Fifer¹, Kate Folkard¹, Hamish
- 9 Mohammed¹, John Saunders¹, Norah O'Brien¹, Helen Corkin¹, Katie Thorley¹, Matt Hibbert¹,
- 10 Suzy Sun¹

11 Affiliations:

- ¹ UK Health Security Agency, London, UK
- ² Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Kingston-upon-Thames, London, UK
- ³ University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation Trust, Brighton, UK
- ⁴ School of Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- ⁵ King's College Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK
- ⁶ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ⁷ Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
- ⁸ Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ⁹ University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust, Derby, UK
 - ¹⁰ Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK
 - ¹¹ North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust, Carlisle, UK
 - ¹² Croydon University Hospital, London, UK

25 Abstract (297)

26 <u>Objectives</u>

21

22

23 24

We aimed to design and implement a data collection tool to support the 2022 mpox outbreak, and to describe clinical and epidemiological data from individuals with mpox attending sexual health services (SHS) in England.

30 <u>Methods</u>

The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) and the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH) established the Surveillance of Mpox Cases Attending Sexual Health Services in England (SOMASS) system.

Descriptive data were collected via a secure web-based data collection tool, completed by SHS clinicians following consultation with individuals with suspected mpox. Data were collected on patient demographics, clinical presentation and severity, exposures, and behavioural characteristics.

38 <u>Results</u>

- As of 17th November 2022, 276 SOMASS responses were submitted from 31 SHSs in
 England.
- Where recorded, most (245/261; 94%) individuals identified as gay, bisexual or men who have sex with men (GBMSM), of whom two thirds were HIV negative (170/257; 66%) and taking HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (87/140; 62%), with a median age of 37 years [interquartile range: 30-43]. Thirty-nine percent (63/161) had a concurrent sexually transmitted infection at
- 45 the time of their mpox diagnosis.
- For 46% of individuals (127/276), dermatological lesions were the initial symptom. Lesions were mostly asymmetrical and polymorphic, predominately affecting the genital area and perianal areas.
- Nine percent (24/276) of individuals were hospitalised. We report an association between
 receptive anal intercourse among GBMSM and proctitis (27/115; 24% vs. 7/130; 5%;
 p<0.0001), and the presence of perianal lesions as the primary lesion site (46/115; 40% vs.
 25/130; 19%; p=0.0003).
- 53 <u>Conclusions</u>
- 54 We demonstrate multi-disciplinary and responsive working to develop a robust data collection
- tool, which improved surveillance and strengthened the knowledge base. The SOMASS tool
- will allow data collection if mpox resurges in England. The model for developing the tool can
- 57 be adapted to facilitate the preparedness and response to future STI outbreaks.
- 58

59 What is already known on this topic?

- 60 Previous studies have highlighted that, in newly affected countries, the ongoing multi-country 61 mpox outbreak has primarily affected gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men 62 (GBMSM), who present with atypical clinical presentations.
- 63 <u>What this study adds</u>

64 This study demonstrates multi-disciplinary, responsive and agile working between front-line

65 sexual health services, clinical professional bodies and the national health protection agency,

to design and implement a robust and adaptable data collection tool, to improve surveillance

- and strengthen the knowledge base.
- 68 How this study might affect research, practice or policy

69 The development of this data collection tool provided a detailed description of the clinical 70 presentation of mpox in SHS, informing case definitions, and strengthened the evidence base 71 for clinical assessment. This approach can facilitate the preparedness and response to future 72 STI outbreaks.

73

74 Introduction

- 75 Mpox, previously monkeypox, is a zoonotic viral infection transmitted between humans by
- close contact (1). It can also be transmitted via respiratory droplets, or via contact with
- contaminated clothes, bedding or towels (2). Mpox is endemic in regions of Western and
- 78 Central Africa, with historically only sporadic, travel-associated cases being identified outside

the region (3-7). In May 2022, an international outbreak of Clade IIb mpox virus, primarily affecting sexual networks of gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men (GBMSM), was first identified in the United Kingdom (UK) (8), with over 86,000 cases spanning 110 countries globally (9). Emerging evidence on the outbreak epidemiology suggested mpox can be effectively transmitted during sexual contact (10-12).

84 Historical descriptions of the clinical presentation of mpox document a systemic prodromal stage including fever, lymphadenopathy, and headache, followed by the presence of 85 dermatological lesions (2). In a small case series in England between August 2015 and 86 September 2021, most cases had a prodromal stage and lymphadenopathy prior to evident 87 dermatological lesions, and transmission was thought to be related to travel, with subsequent 88 transmission within nosocomial and household settings (13). However, since 16th May 2022, 89 a rapid increase of cases with no epidemiological link to Western or Central Africa has been 90 detected in the UK. Emerging insights from clinicians managing cases suggested the clinical 91 presentation within this outbreak was different from reports of cases from West and Central 92 93 African countries, including the predominance of anogenital lesions, the presence of lesions 94 prior to a systemic prodrome and presence of proctitis (11, 14). Findings from these reports 95 were integrated into updated outbreak case definitions in multiple settings (15-17).

96 Detailed descriptions of clinical presentation and severity of cases within this novel outbreak were lacking. Furthermore, the NHS advised affected individuals to seek clinical care from 97 98 sexual health services (SHSs) in the UK, rather than non-specialist primary care providers (18), where management of a high consequence infectious disease was unprecedented. This 99 evidence was urgently needed to inform triage decisions, clinical assessment, and 100 101 management within SHSs. To understand the clinical presentation, clinical severity, and 102 epidemiology of those affected by mpox who were attending SHSs in England, the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) and the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH), 103 104 with input from the British Association of Dermatologists (BAD), designed and implemented 105 the Surveillance of Mpox Cases Attending Sexual Health Services (SOMASS) system.

106

107 Methods

In this case series of individuals with mpox attending SHSs in England, experts from the UKHSA, BASHH and BAD formed a multi-disciplinary working group to co-design and implement a secure web-based data collection tool between May and June 2022, hosted on Snap 11 Professional (<u>https://www.snapsurverys.com</u>), accessible from 5th July 2022. The design of this tool involved standardisation of clinical, epidemiological and sociodemographic variables relevant to the outbreak and validation against emerging clinical reports and 114 descriptors and classifiers of similar dermatological and infectious diseases. Three iterations 115 of the data collection tool were designed and tested internally by the multi-disciplinary group with a focus on the relevance of the variables collected, clarity of language used and data 116 quality. The implementation of this tool involved a piloting phase where data were collected 117 118 from several volunteering SHSs to assess data quality and completeness of responses. Pilot 119 feedback suggested minor restructuring of the content and order of questions to improve the data entry process. The finalised data collection tool was advertised through BASHH 120 dissemination channels including mailing lists, newsletters and webinars. Submissions to 121 SOMASS were not mandatory but encouraged, and interim findings were reported during 122 123 professional meetings to highlight the value of the tool.

SOMASS responses were completed by genitourinary medicine (GUM) clinicians following consultations with suspected cases of mpox, using information captured during the consultation and entered into clinical records. Prompts were disseminated alongside the data collection tool for clinicians to use as an aide-memoire while assessing suspected mpox cases, to improve completion of data items.

- 129 The data collection tool captured the following:
- 130 (1) sexual health service attended by the case, date of patient's assessment

(2) patient demographics (age , region of birth, ethnicity, gender identity, assigned sex at birth,sexual orientation),

(3) relevant sexual health medical history (HIV status and treatment, history of sexually
 transmitted infections (STIs) in the previous 12 months, concurrent STIs and mpox status),

135 (4) pathway of care (attendance at a non-sexual health service prior to SHS attendance),

(5) clinical presentation (onset dates of symptoms, including dermatological vs. non-dermatological, determination of initial symptom, detailed description of dermatological signs

and symptoms, including the location and frequency of lesions, and lesion morphology),

- (6) clinical severity (severity of illness at attendance assessed by the GUM clinician, hospitaladmission following mpox diagnosis),
- (7) exposures and behavioural characteristics (known mpox contact; within the three weeks
 prior to SHS attendance: chemsex, use of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), group sex,
 attendance at sex-on-premises venues, type of sexual contact; within the three weeks before
 symptom onset: number and gender identity of sexual partners, international travel; number
 of sexual partners in the past three months).

146 Chemsex was defined as use of drugs such as GHB (gamma-hydroxybutyrate), crystal 147 methamphetamine, or mephedrone during sex. Group sex was defined as sexual activity with 148 >1 person at a time. Sex-on-premises venues were defined as commercial venues where 149 sexual activity occurs. A concurrent STI was defined as a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis of 150 herpes simplex virus (HSV), syphilis, chlamydia or gonorrhoea from a specimen taken at the 151 SHS attendance.

A skin lesion (dermatological presentation) was defined as a single circumscribed area, 152 153 including macule, papule, nodule, pustule, and vesicle presentations. Proctitis was defined as rectal bleeding or rectal discharge. The buttocks, perineum, anorectal, and perianal areas 154 were combined into a single "perianal" category. The groin, mons pubis, glans penis, intra-155 156 meatal, sub-prepuce, penile shaft, and scrotum were combined into a single "genital" category. Clinical severity was assessed as "mild illness" if the mpox infection caused the patient no 157 disability; "moderate illness" if the infection led to the patient being unable to perform most 158 physical activities but not requiring nursing care (support with daily activities such as washing 159 160 and dressing); and "severe illness" if the patient was unable to perform most physical activities 161 and required nursing care. GBMSM included individuals identifying as male and gay or 162 bisexual or identifying as male and reporting at least one male sexual partner in the past three 163 weeks.

Data were extracted on 17th November 2022 by an epidemiologist at the UKHSA; cleaning and analysis was conducted using Stata 15. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics: proportions, median and interquartile range [IQR]; associations between type of sexual exposure and clinical presentation were tested using two-proportion Z-tests or χ^2 tests. Missing data for many variables resulted in different denominators; no imputation was undertaken for missing values.

Findings from SOMASS were compared to the characteristics of all mpox cases from England(19) to appraise generalisability.

172 <u>Ethical considerations</u>

This study was undertaken for health protection purposes under permissions granted to UKHSA to collect and process confidential patient data under Regulation 3 of The Health Service (Control of Patient Information) Regulations 2020 and Section 251 of the National Health Service Act 2006. Data was collected via a secure, web-based data collection form shared exclusively with SHSs in England. The data collected were pseudonymised and deidentified; only a clinic-specific patient identification code was captured.

180

181 **Results**

182 <u>Demographic characteristics</u>

As of 17th November 2022, 276 SOMASS responses were submitted from 31 SHSs from all 183 nine UKHSA regions in England, representing 8% (95% CI 6.9% to 8.7%) of all confirmed 184 mpox cases in England as of 14th November (20). The distribution of individuals within 185 SOMASS by SHS attendance date is shown in Figure 1. Of these, 274 were subsequently 186 laboratory-confirmed mpox positive; in two cases the laboratory result was unknown but 187 188 assumed to be positive, and therefore these individuals were retained for analysis. Three clinics accounted for 78% of submissions: including two clinics in Greater London (n=174; 189 190 63%), and one in Brighton (n=40; 15%). The median time between attendance date and 191 submission of the SOMASS response by the clinician was 73 days [IQR: 37-127].

Most individuals (268/276; 97%) identified as a cis-gender man. Sexual orientation or behaviour was recorded for 95% (261/276) of individuals; of those, 94% (245/261) identified as GBMSM (Table 1). The median age of individuals was 37 years [IQR: 30-43; range: 17-68 years]. Where ethnicity was known (188/276; 68%), the majority identified as White British (102/188; 54%) or other White ethnic background (33/188; 18%). Where region of birth was known (156/276; 57%), most individuals (109/156; 70%) were UK-born (Table 1).

198

199 Sexual history and lifestyle characteristics

Where HIV status was reported (257/276; 93%), 66% (170/257) of individuals were HIV negative, of whom and where known, 62% (87/140) reported taking PrEP in the previous three weeks. Of those living with HIV (87/257; 34%), the majority (81/87; 93%) were taking antiretroviral treatment.

Of those where STI testing at the SHS attendance was known (161/276; 58%), 39% (63/161) tested positive for a concurrent STI. Most of these concurrent STI diagnoses were gonorrhoea (30/63; 48%), followed by chlamydia (19/63; 30%), HSV (7/63; 11%) and syphilis (7/63; 11%), there were no new HIV diagnoses. The median number of sexual partners in the past three months was three [IQR: 1-5] and nearly half (87/179; 49%) of individuals, where this was known, reported being diagnosed with a STI in the previous year (Table 2).

210

212

213 <u>Clinical presentation and severity</u>

The clinical presentation of individuals was variable: 46% (127/276) described initial symptoms as dermatological, 34% (93/276) as non-dermatological and 20% (55/276) as both. Eighty percent (220/276) reported presenting with any non-dermatological symptom, 20% (54/276) reported presenting with dermatological symptoms only.

Of those reporting a dermatological presentation (268/276; 97%), the median number of body 218 sites affected was two [IQR: 1-4]. We found that reporting a higher than median number of 219 220 lesions was associated with presence of non-dermatological symptoms (P=0.006). Lesions were most frequently reported on the genital area (223/268; 83%), of which 47% (104/223) 221 222 were on the penile shaft. Lesions were also reported on the perianal area (135/268; 50%), and 223 face, head or neck (76/268; 28%). Lesions on the penile shaft, and face, head or neck were 224 more likely to be polymorphic compared to monomorphic (23/35; 66% vs. 12/35; 34%; p=0.009 225 and 16/20; 80% vs. 4/20; 20%; p=0.0001, respectively). For most individuals with complete data, the distribution of lesions was asymmetrical (211/224; 94%). 226

Of those who reported any non-dermatological symptoms (n=220), the most frequently reported symptoms were related to fever (n=141), lymphadenopathy (n=133), fatigue (n=75), malaise (n=66) and proctitis (n=47).

In terms of clinical severity at attendance, the clinician assessed most cases to be mild (195/276; 71%), moderate for 23% of cases (63/276) and severe for 5% of cases (14/276); for four individuals (2%) this information was unknown. Twenty-four individuals (9%) were admitted to hospital for medical intervention immediately or shortly after their mpox diagnosis; of those, 14/24 (58%) were assessed at attendance to be clinically severe, 6/24 (25%) were moderate and 4/24 (17%) were mild. A further two individuals were hospitalised as they were unable to isolate at home.

237

238 Exposure characteristics

Most individuals (230/276; 83%) reported no known contact with someone with mpox. Where known, 96% (111/116) of individuals reported condomless anal intercourse, 22% (34/158) reported group sex and 20% (27/135) reported attending sex-on-premises venues in the previous three weeks (Table 3).

243 Where this was known (194/276; 70%), 83% (161/194) of individuals reported either one or 244 two sexual partners in the three weeks before symptom onset, and where known (215/276; 78%), 94% reported that this sexual contact occurred in the UK. Notably, eight male casesreported having no sexual partners in that time (Table 3).

GBMSM reporting receptive anal intercourse (RAI) at least once in the past three weeks were 247 more likely to present with proctitis (27/115; 24% vs. 7/130; 5%; p<0.0001) and describe a 248 perianal lesion as their primary lesion (46/115; 40% vs. 25/130; 19%; p=0.0003), compared to 249 those who did not report RAI. We found no evidence that RAI or insertive anal intercourse 250 (IAI) was associated with reporting systemic symptoms prior to lesion development among 251 GBMSM (p=0.14 and p=0.87, respectively). We found no evidence that reporting IAI, or giving 252 oral sex, was associated with increased likelihood of penile or oral lesions, respectively, 253 among GBMSM (p=0.16, p=0.45). 254

255

256 Pathway of care

Twenty-six percent (71/276) of individuals reported accessing another healthcare service before attending a SHS for their mpox concerns, such as Emergency Departments (41/71, 58%), General Practice (12/71, 17%), urgent care/walk-in centre (10/71, 14%) or NHS 111 telephone service (9/71, 13%).

262 Table 1 : Demographic characteristics of individuals within SOMASS

		N (% including missing data)	N (% excluding missing data) *
	cis-gender man	268 (97)	
	cis-gender woman	5 (2)	
Gender identity	transgender man	1 (0.4)	
	transgender woman	1 (0.4)	
	Unknown	1 (0.4)	
	GBMSM	245 (89)	245 (94)
Sexual orientation	Heterosexual man	11 (4)	11 (4)
	Heterosexual woman	4 (1)	4 (2)
	Bisexual woman	1 (0.4)	1 (0.4)
	Unknown	15 (5)	
Age: median [IQR]		37 [30-43]	
Age: range		17-68	
	UK	109 (40)	109 (70)
	Europe (not inc. UK)	17 (6)	17 (11)
Region of birth	Latin America & Caribbean	10 (4)	10 (6)
	Oceania	5 (2)	5 (3)
	Africa	7 (3)	7 (5)

	Asia	5 (2)	5 (3)
	Northern America	3 (1)	3 (2)
	Unknown	120 (44)	
	White British	102 (37)	102 (54)
	White other background	33 (12)	33 (18)
	Black African	10 (4)	10 (5)
	Black other background	6 (2)	6 (3)
	White Irish	5 (2)	5 (3)
Ethnicity	Black Caribbean	7 (3)	7 (4)
	Indian	4 (1)	4 (2)
	Asian other background	5 (2)	5 (3)
	Mixed ethnic background	13 (5)	13 (7)
	Other ethnic background	3 (1)	3 (2)
	Unknown	88 (32)	

* Missing data were excluded from the percentages if greater than 5% of all cases

Table 2: Characteristics of higher risk sexual networks among individuals within SOMASS

		N (% including missing data)	N (% excluding missing data) *
	Living with HIV	87 (32)	87 (34)
HIV status	Negative	170 (62)	170 (66)
	Unknown	19 (7)	
	Yes	87 (51)	87 (62)
PrEP use in previous 3 weeks ⁺ (among those HIV negative)	No	53 (31)	53 (38)
(among mose my negative)	Unknown	30 (18)	
	Yes	81 (93)	
HIV treatment (among those living with HIV)	No Unknown	2 (2) 4 (5)	
	Yes	63 (23)	63 (39)
	No	98 (36)	98 (61)
Concurrent STI	Unknown	115 (42)	
Median number of sexual partners in previous 3 months [,] [IQR]		3 [1-5]	
• •	Yes	87 (32)	87 (49)
STI diagnosis in previous year [,]	No	92 (33)	92 (51)
	Unknown	97 (35)	· · ·

* Missing data were excluded from the percentages if greater than 5% of all cases + "previous" refers to period prior to attendance date

Table 3: Exposure characteristics among individuals within SOMASS

		N (% including missing data)	N (% excluding missing data) *
	Yes	38 (14)	3
Known MPX contact	No	230 (83)	
	Unknown	8 (3)	
	Yes	34 (12)	34 (22)
Group sex in previous 3 weeks ⁺	No	124 (45)	124 (78)
	Unknown	118 (43)	
	Yes	27 (10)	27 (20)
Sex-on-premises venue	No	108 (39)	108 (80)
attendance in previous 3 weeks [,]	Unknown	141 (51)	
	Yes	111 (40)	111 (96)
Condomless anal intercourse in previous 3 weeks ⁺	No	5 (2)	5 (4)
-	Unknown	160 (58)	
	0	8 (3)	8 (4)
	1	106 (38)	106 (55)
	2	55 (20)	55 (37)
Known no. of sexual contacts in	3	14 (5)	14 (5)
the 3 weeks before symptom	4	2 (0.7)	2 (7)
onset	5	4 (1)	4 (2)
	6	1 (0.4)	1 (0.5)
	7	2 (0.7)	2 (2)
	10	2 (0.7)	2 (2)
	Unknown	82 (30)	
Gender identity of sexual	Male (including trans men)	204 (74)	204 (94)
contacts in 3 weeks before symptom onset	Female (including trans women)	12 (4)	12 (6)
, .	Unknown	60 (22)	
	UK	202 (73)	202 (94)
Country where sexual contact	Spain	7 (3)	7 (3)
occurred in the 3 weeks before	USA	3 (1)	3 (1)
symptom onset	Iceland	1 (0.4)	1 (0.5)
	Mexico	1 (0.4)	1 (0.5)
	Portugal	1 (0.4)	1 (0.5)
	Unknown	61 (22)	× /

* Missing data were excluded from the percentages if greater than 5% of all cases + "previous" refers to period prior to attendance date

276 <u>Discussion</u>

In this study, we report the design, implementation, and initial findings of a bespoke data
collection tool to support the response to the 2022 mpox outbreak, which collected clinical and
epidemiological data from 276 individuals with mpox attending SHSs in England.

Our study showcases the role and value of multi-disciplinary collaborations between front-line SHSs, clinical professional bodies and the UKHSA when responding to outbreaks of emerging infections that transmit in sexual networks and outlines the public health approach to design and implement this data collection tool. Our analytical findings identify associations between sexual behaviour during the incubation period and clinical presentation of mpox, adding to the existing body of evidence indicating that mpox is transmitted sexually.

SOMASS provides detailed information on clinical presentation and the assessment of lesion 286 morphology and distribution, where we present the largely asymmetrical distribution of lesions 287 and polymorphic morphology. In SOMASS, almost all individuals (97%) presented with 288 dermatological lesions, which is comparable to 95% described by Thornhill et al. (11) and 289 Patel et al. (21). Nearly half of individuals reported their first identified symptom as 290 dermatological, and 20% did not report any systemic symptoms at all, which is comparable to 291 292 findings presented by Girometti et al., where 18% of individuals had no prodromal symptoms (14). SOMASS responses were not submitted from any London-based clinics included in the 293 studies by Thornhill et al., Girometti et al. or Patel et al., affirming no case overlap with 294 295 SOMASS.

Lesions were most frequently reported on the genital area (particularly on the penile shaft), 296 but also on the perianal area. We report an association between RAI and proctitis, which was 297 also reported by recent studies from Spain and Germany, respectively (22) (23), and an 298 association between RAI and the presence of perianal lesions. These findings suggest that 299 sexual contact is likely the primary route of transmission in this outbreak and that mpox is a 300 301 sexually transmissible infection. This should continue to inform the content and audience of 302 public health messaging, as well as the offer of control interventions in SHSs where people at 303 risk of STIs are already linked.

The majority of individuals in this study were assessed as clinically mild. Nevertheless, 28% 304 305 of individuals were moderate or severe, and 9% were admitted to hospital for further medical 306 intervention at the time of data collection, which is comparable with findings from other studies 307 (14, 24). This highlights that mpox causes substantial morbidity, and that SHSs and infectious 308 disease units need to be adequately resourced to respond to resurgences in transmission, 309 including having established pathways for referral of severe cases to hospital for management of complications. Of note, our assessment on the clinical severity of cases is cross-sectional 310 at the time of data collection, and may be an underestimation of the true severity, given the 311 possibility of clinical deteriorated following SHS attendance. 312

313 Our findings suggest that among SHS attendees in England, mpox is circulating within high 314 density sexual networks of GBMSM; 94% of individuals in this study identified as GBMSM, 315 32% were living with HIV, 49% had a history of STI diagnosis in the previous year, and a median of three sexual partners in the last three months. These are consistent with findings 316 317 from a study by Girometti et al. (14), where all cases identified as GBMSM, 24% were living with HIV and reported a median of five sexual partners in the last three months. As with 318 findings reported by Girometti et al. (14), nearly 25% of people with mpox attending SHSs 319 were diagnosed with a concurrent STI, also reporting condomless anal intercourse, group sex 320 and attendance at sex-on-premises venues, indicating high risk sexual behaviour among 321 those individuals. Whilst sexual contact is the likely route of transmission for most cases, we 322 found that eight individuals did not report any sexual partners in the three weeks before 323 symptom onset, the majority of whom had not travelled internationally. These findings suggest 324 325 that, in a minority of cases, other routes of transmission may be contributing to the outbreak.

Our finding that 74% of individuals attended a SHS in the first instance, rather than attending 326 327 another type of healthcare service, is not unexpected, given the guidance from the NHS that 328 people with symptoms compatible with mpox should seek care at these services (18). 329 However, this provides tangible evidence of the considerable additional pressure and costs 330 for already stretched services still recovering from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic (25). Given the increased workload for SHSs, as well as the need for personal protective 331 equipment (PPE) and enhanced environmental cleaning, further work is needed to quantify 332 333 this increased pressure on SHSs nationally. There is also a need to understand the displaced 334 prevention, testing, diagnosis and treatment of other STIs, including HIV, as well as impacts on reproductive health services such as contraception. 335

336 This study has some limitations. First, 78% of responses were submitted by a small number of SHSs in England, which does not include a number of high-throughput clinics at the centre 337 of the outbreak which were likely unable to contribute to this study due to limited capacity. 338 Second, cases in people who are not GBMSM are likely underrepresented in this study, as 339 public health messaging at the time was focussed towards reaching GBMSM with perianal 340 341 lesions and/or proctitis, meaning others may have been less likely to seek care at SHSs and 342 undergo mpox testing. This makes findings from this study unlikely to be representative of all mpox cases in England. However, a number of demographic and behavioural characteristics 343 344 of individuals within SOMASS, including sexual orientation and HIV status, were comparable to all mpox cases in England (19). Third, case data upload was done both contemporaneously 345 to the patient being seen at the SHS, but also retrospectively, relying on required information 346 being documented in clinical notes, which may affect data quality. This resulted in missing 347 data for several demographic, exposure and behavioural variables, especially those not 348

routinely captured as part of standard history taking, which limits the inferences we can draw from these analyses. However, we found no evidence of a significant difference between those will complete and incomplete data for these variables, suggesting the risk of a biased sample is low. Finally, the presence of concurrent STIs among SOMASS cases may have influenced the clinical presentation, making it difficult to fully attribute to mpox virus infection.

We demonstrate multi-disciplinary and agile working between front-line sexual health services, clinical professional bodies and the national health protection agency, to design, implement and report the initial findings of a responsive data collection tool, which improved surveillance and strengthened the knowledge base during the 2022 mpox outbreak. This tool can be adapted to support preparedness and response to future STI outbreaks.

359

Figure 1: Distribution of SOMASS responses by sexual health service attendance date

361

362 <u>Acknowledgements</u>

We thank all the clinics who submitted SOMASS responses, members of the mpox Incident Management Team, and The British Association of Dermatologists for their valuable input into the data collection tool development. We thank all the patients who continue to trust sexual health services, and who came forward to get tested for mpox at a time of increased vulnerability.

368 <u>Funding</u>

- This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors
- 371 Competing interests
- 372 NL is the Deputy Editor at BMJ Sexually Transmitted Infections

373 <u>Author contributions</u>

JM, SSo, LH, KB, VP, NL, SC, RJ, TB, CD, MP and DP contributed to design of the data collection tool, and subsequent data collection. HC, MP, KT, MH and SSu were involved in operationalising the data collection tool and data validation. The UKHSA Sexual Health Liaison Group provided subject-matter expertise. HC led the data management and analysis and drafted the manuscript. All co-authors contributed to interpretation of the findings and to revision of the manuscript.

- 381
- 382

383 <u>References</u>

Mitjà O, Ogoina D, Titanji BK, Galvan C, Muyembe JJ, Marks M, et al. Monkeypox. Lancet.
 2022 Nov 17.

World Health Organization (WHO). Monkeypox Fact Sheet. 2022 19 May 2022. Available
 from: Monkeypox (who.int) [Accessed 22/03/2023]

388 3. Vaughan A, Aarons E, Astbury J, Balasegaram S, Beadsworth M, Beck CR, et al. Two cases of 389 monkeypox imported to the United Kingdom, September 2018. Euro Surveill. 2018 Sep;23(38).

- Erez N, Achdout H, Milrot E, Schwartz Y, Wiener-Well Y, Paran N, et al. Diagnosis of Imported
 Monkeypox, Israel, 2018. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019 May;25(5):980-3.
- Hobson G, Adamson J, Adler H, Firth R, Gould S, Houlihan C, et al. Family cluster of three
 cases of monkeypox imported from Nigeria to the United Kingdom, May 2021. Euro Surveill. 2021
 Aug;26(32).

3956.Costello V, Sowash M, Gaur A, Cardis M, Pasieka H, Wortmann G, et al. Imported Monkeypox396from International Traveler, Maryland, USA, 2021. Emerg Infect Dis. 2022 May;28(5):1002-5.

- Yong SEF, Ng OT, Ho ZJM, Mak TM, Marimuthu K, Vasoo S, et al. Imported Monkeypox,
 Singapore. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Aug;26(8):1826-30.
- Vivancos R, Anderson C, Blomquist P, Balasegaram S, Bell A, Bishop L, et al. Community
 transmission of monkeypox in the United Kingdom, April to May 2022. Eurosurveillance.
 2022;27(22):2200422.

402 9. World Health Organization (WHO). Multi-country outbreak of mpox, External Situation
403 report # 17. 2023 2 March 2023. Available from: <u>Multi-country outbreak of mpox, External Situation</u>
404 report # 17 - 2 March 2023 (who.int) [Accessed 23/03/3023]

Vusirikala A, Charles H, Balasegaram S, Macdonald N, Kumar D, Barker-Burnside C, et al.
Epidemiology of Early Monkeypox Virus Transmission in Sexual Networks of Gay and Bisexual Men,
England, 2022. Emerg Infect Dis. 2022 Oct;28(10):2082-6.

Thornhill JP, Barkati S, Walmsley S, Rockstroh J, Antinori A, Harrison LB, et al. Monkeypox
Virus Infection in Humans across 16 Countries - April-June 2022. N Engl J Med. 2022 Aug
25;387(8):679-91.

Thornhill JP, Palich R, Ghosn J, Walmsley S, Moschese D, Cortes CP, et al. Human monkeypox
virus infection in women and non-binary individuals during the 2022 outbreaks: a global case series.
Lancet. 2022 Nov 17.

Adler H, Gould S, Hine P, Snell LB, Wong W, Houlihan CF, et al. Clinical features and
management of human monkeypox: a retrospective observational study in the UK. Lancet Infect Dis.
2022 Aug;22(8):1153-62.

417 14. Girometti N, Byrne R, Bracchi M, Heskin J, McOwan A, Tittle V, et al. Demographic and
418 clinical characteristics of confirmed human monkeypox virus cases in individuals attending a sexual
419 health centre in London, UK: an observational analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022 Sep;22(9):1321-8.

420 15. UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA). Monkeypox: case definitions. 2022. Available from:
 421 Mpox (monkeypox): case definitions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) [Accessed 23/03/2023]

422 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Case Definitions for Use in the 2022

Monkeypox Response. 2022. Available from: <u>Case Definitions+ for Use in the 2022 Mpox Response |</u>
 Mpox | Poxvirus | CDC [Accessed 23/03/2023]

425 17. World Health Organization (WHO). Joint ECDC-WHO Regional Office for Europe Monkeypox

426 Surveillance Bulletin. 21 September 2022. Available from: Joint ECDC-WHO Regional Office for

427 <u>Europe Monkeypox Surveillance Bulletin: 21 September 2022</u> [Accessed 23/03/2023]

428 18. NHS. Monkeypox. 2022. Available from: <u>Mpox - NHS (www.nhs.uk)</u> [Accessed 23/03/2023]

- 429 19. UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA). Monkeypox outbreak: technical briefing #8. 23
- 430 September 2022. Available from: <u>Investigation into monkeypox outbreak in England: technical</u>
 431 briefing 8 GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) [Accessed 23/03/2023]
- 432 20. UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA). Monkeypox outbreak: epidemiological overview, 15
 433 November 2022. Available from: <u>Monkeypox outbreak: epidemiological overview, 15 November</u>
 434 2022. COV/UK (usual security) [Assessed 22 (2022)]
- 434 <u>2022 GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> [Accessed 23/03/2023]
- Patel A, Bilinska J, Tam JCH, Da Silva Fontoura D, Mason CY, Daunt A, et al. Clinical features
 and novel presentations of human monkeypox in a central London centre during the 2022 outbreak:
 descriptive case series. Bmj. 2022 Jul 28;378:e072410.
- Tarín-Vicente EJ, Alemany A, Agud-Dios M, Ubals M, Suñer C, Antón A, et al. Clinical
 presentation and virological assessment of confirmed human monkeypox virus cases in Spain: a
 prospective observational cohort study. Lancet. 2022 Aug 27;400(10353):661-9.
- Pfäfflin F, Wendisch D, Scherer R, Jürgens L, Godzick-Njomgang G, Tranter E, et al.
 Monkeypox in-patients with severe anal pain. Infection. 2022 Aug 12.
- 443 24. Angelo KM, Smith T, Camprubí-Ferrer D, Balerdi-Sarasola L, Díaz Menéndez M, Servera-444 Negre G, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of patients with monkeypox in the
- 445 GeoSentinel Network: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022 Oct 7.
- 446 25. Howarth AR, Saunders J, Reid D, Kelly I, Wayal S, Weatherburn P, et al. 'Stay at home ...':
- 447 exploring the impact of the COVID-19 public health response on sexual behaviour and health service
- 448 use among men who have sex with men: findings from a large online survey in the UK. Sex Transm
- 449 Infect. 2022 Aug;98(5):346-52.