

## **Prediction of Delayed Reperfusion in Patients with Incomplete Reperfusion Following Thrombectomy**

Adnan Mujanovic MD<sup>1</sup>, Robin Brigger<sup>1</sup>, Christoph C. Kurmann MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Felix Ng PhD<sup>3</sup>,  
Mattia Branca, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Tomas Dobrocky MD<sup>1</sup>, Thomas R. Meinel MD<sup>5</sup>, Daniel Windecker<sup>1</sup>,  
William Almiri MD<sup>1</sup>, Lorenz Grunder MD<sup>1</sup>, Morin Beyeler MD<sup>5</sup>, David J. Seiffge MD<sup>5</sup>, Sara  
Pilgram-Pastor MD<sup>1</sup>, Marcel Arnold MD<sup>5</sup>, Eike I. Piechowiak MD<sup>1</sup>, Bruce Campbell MD<sup>3</sup>,  
Jan Gralla MD<sup>1</sup>, Urs Fischer MD<sup>5,6</sup>, Johannes Kaesmacher MD PhD<sup>1</sup>

### **Affiliations:**

1 Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Hospital Bern,  
Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

2 Department of Diagnostic, Interventional and Pediatric Radiology, University Hospital  
Bern, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

3 Department of Medicine and Neurology, Melbourne Brain Centre at the Royal Melbourne  
Hospital, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

4 CTU Bern, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

5 Department of Neurology, University Hospital Bern, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern,  
Switzerland

6 Department of Neurology, University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Basel,  
Switzerland

**Corresponding Author:**

Johannes Kaesmacher, MD PhD

University Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Hospital

Bern Inselspital

Freiburgstrasse 10, 3001 Bern, Switzerland

[johannes.kaesmacher@insel.ch](mailto:johannes.kaesmacher@insel.ch)

# 1 **ABSTRACT**

## 2 **Background:**

3 The clinical course of patients with incomplete reperfusion after thrombectomy, defined as an  
4 expanded Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (eTICI) score of 2a–2c, is heterogeneous.  
5 Patients showing delayed reperfusion (DR) have good clinical outcomes, almost comparable to  
6 patients with ad-hoc TICI3 reperfusion. We aimed to develop and internally validate a model  
7 that predicts DR occurrence in order to inform physicians about the likelihood of a benign  
8 natural disease progression.

## 9 **Patients and methods:**

10 Single-center registry analysis including all consecutive, study-eligible patients admitted  
11 between 02/2015 and 12/2021. Final variable selection for the prediction of DR occurrence was  
12 performed using bootstrapped stepwise backward logistic regression. Interval validation was  
13 performed with bootstrapping and the final model was developed with the random forests  
14 classification algorithm. Model performance metrics are reported with discrimination,  
15 calibration and clinical decision curves. Primary outcome was concordance statistics as a  
16 measure of goodness of fit for the occurrence of DR.

## 17 **Results:**

18 A total of 477 patients (48.8% female, mean age 74 years) were included, of whom 279 (58.5%)  
19 showed DR on 24±12-hour follow-up. The model's discriminative ability for predicting DR  
20 was adequate (C-statistics 0.79 [95% CI 0.72–0.85]). Variables with strongest association with  
21 DR were: atrial fibrillation (aOR 2.06 [95% CI 1.23–3.49]), Intervention-To-Follow-Up time  
22 (aOR 1.06 [95% CI 1.03–1.10]), eTICI score (aOR 3.49 [95% CI 2.64–4.73]) and collateral  
23 status (aOR 1.33 [95% CI 1.06–1.68]). At a risk threshold of R=30%, use of the prediction  
24 model could potentially reduce the number of additional attempts in 1 out of 4 patients who

25 will have spontaneous DR, without missing any patients who do not show spontaneous DR on  
26 follow-up.

27 **Conclusions:**

28 The model presented here shows fair predictive accuracy for estimating chances of DR after  
29 incomplete thrombectomy. This may inform treating physicians on the chances of a favorable  
30 natural disease progression if no further reperfusion attempts are made.

31

32 **Key-words:** Perfusion Imaging, Incomplete Reperfusion, Prediction Model, Decision  
33 Curves, Delayed Reperfusion

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

## 45 INTRODUCTION

46 The European Stroke Society (ESO), European Society of Minimally Invasive Neurological  
47 Therapy (ESMINT) and American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines recommend that  
48 interventionalists should attempt a Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) grade 3  
49 angiographic reperfusion, if achievable with reasonable safety.<sup>1,2</sup> Although in many cases  
50 complete angiographic reperfusion can be achieved after the first pass, rescue maneuvers may  
51 be performed to achieve complete reperfusion when distal emboli remain.<sup>3-5</sup>

52 However, it is expected that not all patients with incomplete angiographic reperfusion, defined  
53 as expanded TICI (eTICI) score 2a–2c, may benefit from adjunctive reperfusion efforts.<sup>5</sup>  
54 Outcomes of patients with incomplete reperfusion are heterogeneous and dependent on  
55 different clinical and baseline characteristics.<sup>6,7</sup> Specifically, more than 50% of patients with  
56 incomplete reperfusion show spontaneous delayed reperfusion (DR) at 24 hours, which is  
57 associated with a favorable clinical course almost identical to TICI3 patients and minimal  
58 infarct growth.<sup>8</sup> While even in these patients there may be a benefit of additional reperfusion  
59 attempts, the benefit is certainly smaller than in patients with a persistent perfusion deficit  
60 (PPD) on follow-up imaging. For the complex decisions regarding proceeding with additional  
61 reperfusion attempts or stopping the procedure, it may be helpful to know if the patient is more  
62 likely to have a favorable (i.e. high chance of DR) or unfavorable (high chance of PPD) natural  
63 disease progression.<sup>5</sup>

64 The primary aim of this study was to develop and internally validate a model that predicts DR  
65 after incomplete mechanical thrombectomy (MT). The outcome of the model might be taken  
66 into consideration when deciding on whether to pursue additional reperfusion attempts for small  
67 remaining vessel occlusions or stop the procedure.

68

## 69 PATIENTS AND METHODS

### 70 Study design

71 We performed a retrospective observational analysis of a single-center registry for all  
72 consecutive acute ischemic stroke patients admitted between 02/2015 and 12/2021 who had  
73 undergone MT. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients. This study received  
74 ethics committee approval (Kantonale Ethikkommission Bern, reference ID 2019-00547) and was  
75 performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Reporting  
76 has been performed according to the Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model  
77 for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD) statement. Study data are available from the  
78 corresponding author upon reasonable request following receipt of a research plan and  
79 clearance by the ethics committee.

### 80 Perfusion imaging

81 All patients underwent MRI or CT follow-up imaging  $24\pm 12$  hours after the intervention as part  
82 of standard care in our institution. All perfusion imaging was performed as part of clinical  
83 routine. Olea sphere software (Olea Sphere v2.3; Olea Medical, La Ciotat, France) was used to  
84 generate perfusion images from MRI and syngio.via (Siemens) from CT scans. Perfusion  
85 imaging outcome was dichotomized into DR and PPD. DR was defined as resolution of a focal  
86 angiographic perfusion deficit from the final thrombectomy angiography with normalization of  
87 tissue perfusion at the corresponding neuroanatomical territory on the  $24\pm 12$ hour follow-up  
88 perfusion imaging maps (Figure 1A). While PPD was observed in case of a perfusion imaging  
89 abnormality, which corresponded to the antegrade capillary phase deficit from the final  
90 thrombectomy angiography imaging (Figure 1B). Patients who presented with reocclusions on  
91 the follow-up imaging (n= 17) were classified as having a PPD because it cannot be stated with  
92 certainty whether these patients will develop a reocclusion after the procedure or not. Details

93 on grading and evaluation of perfusion imaging outcome have been described previously.<sup>8</sup> Only  
94 large-vessel occlusion anterior circulation AIS patients who had final eTICI grade 2a–2c and  
95 available 24±12-hour follow-up perfusion imaging were included in the final cohort  
96 (Supplementary Figure I).

### 97 **Model development and specifications**

98 We have developed a prediction model ‘PROCEED’ (PeRfusion OutCome prEDiction), which  
99 can be used to estimate the likelihood of DR occurring after incomplete thrombectomy has been  
100 established on the final angiography run. The aim of PROCEED is to assist in identification  
101 and selection of patients with a high likelihood of DR, and who would therefore may be less  
102 likely to benefit from any adjunctive reperfusion efforts when incomplete angiographic  
103 reperfusion is established on the final angiography run (Supplementary Figure II).

104 The model was corrected for under- and overfitting, avoiding systematic under- or  
105 overestimation with steps described below in this paragraph. Missing values were replaced with  
106 median and mode values for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Considering  
107 low number of missing values (<5%), no multiple imputations were used. Patients’ baseline  
108 and interventional characteristics that could be obtained during routine stroke admission and  
109 work-up were included in the initial analyses (Supplementary Table I). In order to reduce the  
110 number of variables and find the ones which will aid in model’s ability to discriminate, we have  
111 performed variable pre-selection via bootstrapped (n=1000) backward stepwise logistic  
112 regression based on the Akaike information criteria (AIC) score. AIC-based selection was  
113 chosen because it measures the quality of the entire model, unlike the p-value, which only  
114 provides information on specific variables within the model. Following this statistical pre-  
115 selection we have executed the final variable selection with interval validation via bootstrapping  
116 method and have used the output of interval validation to choose the most optimal classification  
117 algorithm. Lastly, random forest algorithm was selected as the one with the highest accuracy

118 for final model development. Bootstrapped resampling of the final model was performed to  
119 obtain the 95% confidence intervals (CI) for all model performance metrics (Supplementary  
120 Figure I). The final version of PROCEED has been uploaded in a digital repository as an  
121 interactive open access tool: <https://proceed.shinyapps.io/model/> Time points shown in an  
122 online tool have been calculated with the Cox regression that analyzed time from the end of the  
123 intervention to follow-up perfusion imaging within the model.

#### 124 **Model variables**

125 Variable pre-selection was based on evidence in current literature and AIC score of the logistic  
126 regression output. Variables included in the final model are: age, sex, atrial fibrillation,  
127 anticoagulants and antiplatelets prestroke, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS)  
128 score on admission, Onset-to-Door time, Intervention-To-Follow-Up time, intravenous  
129 thrombolysis, number of maneuver counts, eTICI and collateral score. Stroke severity was  
130 evaluated with the NIHSS score upon admission. Sites of arterial occlusion were evaluated on  
131 initial imaging: intracranial carotid artery (ICA), proximal segment of the middle cerebral artery  
132 (M1), Sylvian segment of the middle cerebral artery (M2), cortical segment of the middle  
133 cerebral artery (M3), pre-communicating and post-communicating segment of the anterior  
134 cerebral artery (A1-2). The eTICI scale was used to grade reperfusion success on the final  
135 angiography series as follows: 1–49% reperfusion of the affected territory was graded as eTICI  
136 2a, 50–66% as eTICI 2b50; 67–89% as eTICI 2b67; and 90–99% as eTICI 2c. The American  
137 Society of Intervention and Therapeutic Neuroradiology and Society of Interventional  
138 Radiology (ASITN/SIR) Collateral Flow Grading System was used for collateral grading on  
139 pretreatment angiography. Grades range from 0 (no visible collateral) to 4 (complete and rapid  
140 collateral blood flow in the entire ischemic territory), as described previously.<sup>9</sup> Onset-to-Door  
141 time refers to the time from symptom onset until the admission of the patient to the emergency



142 department of the treating hospital. Intervention-to-Follow-Up time is the captured time  
143 between the last angiography series run and the time of the first follow-up imaging.

#### 144 **Statistical analysis**

145 Fischer’s exact and Chi-squared tests were used for categorical variables, and Mann-Whitney-  
146 U and Welsch’s T-test were used for continuous variables. Results are reported as “median  
147 [interquartile range (IQR)]” or “n (%)”. Statistical handling of variables is reported in  
148 Supplementary Table I. The model’s discrimination is reported with C-statistics (Harrell’s  
149 concordance), which varies from 0.5–1, where 0.5–0.7 indicates good, 0.7–0.8 strong, and 1  
150 perfect discrimination. It estimates the likelihood that a randomly selected patient, who has a  
151 higher predicted probability of achieving DR, will actually have DR. For binary outcomes, C-  
152 statistics is similar to the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve (AUC).  
153 Discrimination and calibration were quantified together with a Brier score, which evaluates the  
154 goodness-of-fit for a predicted probability. Brier scores ranges from 0 for total accuracy to 1  
155 for complete inaccuracy. This is presented graphically with a calibration plot for achieving DR,  
156 together with calibration intercept and slope. The intercept serves as a measurement of predicted  
157 probabilities indicating wherever they are too low or too high, while the slope suggests the  
158 predictor’s strength in the cohort.<sup>10</sup> Ideally, the intercept should be equal to 0, and slope to 1.<sup>10</sup>  
159 Importance of variables included in the model is presented with the Mean Decrease Accuracy  
160 (MDA) index, with an MDA index points plotted in descending order of importance. MDA  
161 expresses how much accuracy the model losses by excluding a certain variable. Variables with  
162 higher MDA are more important for successful performance of the model. Summary of model’s  
163 performance is presented with a confusion matrix in a contingency table. Most often used  
164 metrics for presenting the results of the confusion matrix are precision and an F1 score.  
165 Precision of the matrix accounts for all the positive cases and provides a rate on how many of  
166 them were predicted correctly, while the F1 score harmonizes precision and sensitivity, making

167 them comparable. All reported model metrics are presented with a mean and 95% CI from  
168 bootstrapped model replication. All statistical analyses were performed in R v4.0.0 with the  
169 packages outlined in the Supplementary Table II.

## 170 **Clinical decision curve**

171 Decision curve analyses are used to add information on the clinical utility of a certain prediction  
172 model.<sup>11</sup> Two important metrics in clinical decision curves are net benefit and threshold  
173 probability.<sup>11</sup> Net benefit is a weighted difference of true and false positives: it increases with  
174 true positive and decreases with false positive cases. Threshold probabilities decide how  
175 important doing an additional attempt or maneuver is in a patient that would have DR (false  
176 positives) compared to not doing an additional attempt or maneuver in patients that would  
177 develop DR in any case (true positives). Higher threshold probabilities give more weight to  
178 false rather than true positives. Conversely, higher net benefits give more weights to true rather  
179 than false positives. Depending on the weights, context and scenario in which the model is  
180 being used, model might favor high or low threshold probabilities.<sup>11</sup> In the present framework,  
181 model should have low threshold probabilities and with high net benefit. We predefined a  
182 threshold probability range between 20 – 40%, which corresponds to the odds range of 1:4–2:3.  
183 This means that pursuing additional attempts in a patient who would be likely to have DR would  
184 be 4 – 1.5 times worse than not pursuing additional attempts. The lower and upper ends of the  
185 threshold probability range are based on typical patients who would unquestionably be  
186 considered for adjuvant attempts after incomplete reperfusion, considering potential risks and  
187 benefits. When the golden reference standard does not exist, threshold probability range is  
188 based on the “Treat All” scenario. In our case, lower end of the threshold probability range was  
189 set at 20%, as the net benefit between “Treat All” option and the prediction model is almost  
190 identical below this point. Upper end was set at 40%, as the “Treat All” option shows zero  
191 benefit after this cutoff, meaning no further consideration would be reasonable. Decision curves

192 also provide information on net reduction in additional attempts or maneuvers, where  
193 differences between true and false negatives are weighted: net reduction becomes higher the  
194 more true negatives there are.<sup>11</sup> Net reduction is usually reported as a rate per 100 patients.  
195 Reporting net reduction is especially valuable if the current gold standard for a certain condition  
196 is to treat all patients.<sup>11</sup>

## 197 **RESULTS**

198 A total of 477 patients were included in the analysis. Median age of the final cohort was 74  
199 years (63–81) and 48.8% were female, out of which 279 (58.5%) had DR, with a median  
200 Intervention-to-Follow-Up time of 20 hours and 37 minutes (IQR 16 hours 42 minutes–23 hours  
201 51 minutes). Patients with DR were more likely to have atrial fibrillation (DR vs PPD: 36.2%  
202 vs 25.8%;  $p=0.02$ ), longer Intervention-to-Follow-Up time (DR vs PPD: 21 hours 40 minutes  
203 vs 19 hours 16 minutes;  $p<0.001$ ), lower number of maneuver counts (DR vs PPD: 1 [1, 2] vs  
204 2 [1, 3];  $p<0.001$ ), higher likelihood of functional outcome (3-month mRS 0–2 DR vs PPD:  
205 65.2% vs 40.6%;  $p<0.001$ ), better final reperfusion eTICI score (eTICI 2c DR vs PPD: 54.1%  
206 vs 17.2%;  $p<0.001$ ) and better collateral status (DR vs PPD: 2 [1, 3] vs 2 [1, 2];  $p<0.001$ ), as  
207 seen in Table 1. There was a strong correlation between achieved degree of reperfusion and  
208 number of attempts ( $p<0.001$ ), i.e. patients in the higher spectrum of the eTICI scale tended to  
209 have lower number of maneuver counts (Supplementary Figure III).

210 Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of Included Patients

	Overall	Delayed Reperfusion	Persistent Perfusion Deficit	p
	477	279	198	
Age (years) (median [IQR])	74 [63, 81]	74 [64, 80]	75 [61, 82]	0.389
Sex = Female (%)	233 (48.8)	133 (47.7)	100 (50.5)	0.605
Atrial fibrillation = Yes (%)	152 (31.9)	101 (36.2)	51 (25.8)	0.021
Coronary heart disease = Yes (%)	81 (17.0)	56 (20.1)	25 (12.6)	0.044
Diabetes = Yes (%)	81 (17.0)	43 (15.4)	38 (19.2)	0.337
Hyperlipidemia = Yes (%)	299 (62.7)	179 (64.2)	120 (60.6)	0.488
Hypertension = Yes (%)	330 (69.2)	198 (71.0)	132 (66.7)	0.367
Smoking status = Yes (%)	101 (21.2)	54 (19.4)	47 (23.7)	0.298
Previous stroke = Yes (%)	59 (12.4)	30 (10.8)	29 (14.6)	0.258
Previous TIA = Yes (%)	26 ( 5.5)	15 ( 5.4)	11 ( 5.6)	1
Systolic blood pressure on admission (mmHg) (median [IQR])	151 [133, 171]	150 [132, 169]	152 [135, 172]	0.325
Diastolic blood pressure on admission (mmHg) (median [IQR])	80 [70, 93]	80 [69, 93]	80 [71, 93]	0.882
Creatinine on admission (µmol/L) (median [IQR])	78 [65, 92]	78 [64, 92]	78 [66, 93]	0.571
Glucose on admission (mmol/L) (median [IQR])	6.5 [5.8, 7.9]	6.4 [5.8, 7.8]	6.7 [5.8, 7.9]	0.399
Anticoagulants pre-stroke = Yes (%)	61 (12.8)	30 (10.8)	31 (15.7)	0.15
Antiplatelets pre-stroke = Yes (%)	130 (27.3)	80 (28.7)	50 (25.3)	0.47
NIHSS on admission (median [IQR])	13 [7, 18]	13 [7, 18]	12 [6.25, 18]	0.684
Known time of symptom onset (%)				0.916
no	100 (21.0)	58 (20.8)	42 (21.2)	
wake up	91 (19.1)	55 (19.7)	36 (18.2)	
yes	286 (60.0)	166 (59.5)	120 (60.6)	
Onset-To-Door (h) (median [IQR]) *	3.08 [1.70, 7.10]	3.20 [1.68, 7.18]	2.98 [1.72, 6.63]	0.971
Intervention-to-Follow-up (h) (median [IQR])	20.62 [16.70, 23.85]	21.67 [18.09, 24.99]	19.28 [14.51, 22.89]	<0.001
Intravenous thrombolysis = Yes (%)	177 (37.1)	104 (37.3)	73 (36.9)	1

Number of device passes (median [IQR]) **	2 [1, 3]	1 [1, 2]	2 [1, 3]	<0.001
Fazekas score (%) ***				0.097
0	142 (30.1)	84 (30.1)	58 (30.1)	
1	200 (42.4)	123 (44.1)	77 (39.9)	
2	90 (19.1)	44 (15.8)	46 (23.8)	
3	40 ( 8.5)	28 (10.0)	12 ( 6.2)	
Occlusion sites (%)				0.115
ICA	96 (20.1)	58 (20.8)	38 (19.2)	
M1	244 (51.2)	151 (54.1)	93 (47.0)	
M2	125 (26.2)	63 (22.6)	62 (31.3)	
M3	8 ( 1.7)	6 ( 2.2)	2 ( 1.0)	
A1-2	4 ( 0.8)	1 ( 0.4)	3 ( 1.5)	
mRS score post-stroke (median [IQR])	2 [1, 4]	2 [1, 4]	3 [2, 5]	<0.001
mRS score 0–2 post-stroke (%) ¶*	273 (55.6)	182 (65.2)	91 (40.6)	<0.001
eTICI (%)				<0.001
2a	35 ( 7.3)	4 ( 1.4)	31 (15.7)	
2b50	70 (14.7)	15 ( 5.4)	55 (27.8)	
2b67	187 (39.2)	109 (39.1)	78 (39.4)	
2c	185 (38.8)	151 (54.1)	34 (17.2)	
ASITN/SIR collateral score (median [IQR]) §*	2 [1, 3]	2 [1, 3]	2 [1, 2]	<0.001

211

212 TIA - transient ischemic attack; mRS – modified Rankin Score; eTICI - expanded Treatment in Cerebral Infarction; ASITN/SIR - American Society of Intervention and  
213 Therapeutic Neuroradiology and Society of Interventional Radiology.

214 \*Data missing for 19 patients \*\*Data missing for 10 patients \*\*\*Data missing for 5 patients with persistent perfusion deficit ¶\*Data missing for 18 patients with persistent  
215 perfusion deficit. §\*Data missing for 16 patients

216

217

218 The following variables were included in the final prediction model: age, sex, atrial fibrillation,  
219 Intervention-to-Follow-Up time, maneuver count, eTICI, and collateral status. In the final set,  
220 the following predictor effects were found to be strongly associated with DR: atrial fibrillation  
221 (aOR 2.06 [95% CI 1.23–3.49]), Intervention-To-Follow-Up time (aOR 1.06 [95% CI 1.03–  
222 1.10] per hour increase), final eTICI score (aOR 3.49 [95% CI 2.64–4.73]) and collateral status  
223 (aOR 1.33 [95% CI 1.06–1.68], Supplementary Table III and Supplementary Figure IV). Of the  
224 variables included in the final model, the following had the highest MDA index: eTICI (43.1%,  
225 95% CI 39.7 – 45.8%), collateral status (15.3%, 95% CI 13.1 – 16.7%), atrial fibrillation  
226 (10.7%, 95% CI 8.9 – 12.7%), and maneuver count (9.7%, 95% CI 8.2 – 10.9%), as shown in  
227 Figure 2.

228 Discriminative ability of the model was good, with internally validated C-statistics of 0.79 (95%  
229 CI 0.71–0.84). Calibration was within a corresponding range of 0.18 (95% CI 0.17–0.19), with  
230 intercept and slope having values of –0.19 and 0.97, respectively (Figure 3). In general, the  
231 model tended to overestimate rates of PPD (true vs predicted rates of PPD: 42% vs 67%) with  
232 a precision of 0.73 (95% CI 0.69–0.76%) and an F1-score of 0.79 (95% CI 0.75–0.82). A  
233 complete overview of the model’s performance is shown in Supplementary Figure V.

234 The prediction model outperformed both decision scenarios (i.e. “Treat All” and “Treat None”)  
235 by a wide margin. The model has the highest net benefit across a wide range of threshold  
236 probabilities, except if the risk threshold of having a PPD is around 10%, in which case use of  
237 the model should be avoided. Using the prediction model in 0-10% threshold probability range  
238 would have no added value, as all patients within this threshold range should be treated. If we  
239 interpret this through odds, risk threshold of 10% would represent odds of 1:9. Meaning, at the  
240 risk threshold of 10% missing a high likelihood PPD is 9 times worse than doing an additional  
241 attempt. For the risk threshold R=20% the prediction model has a 70% likelihood of correctly  
242 identifying a patient who will develop DR at 24 hours (Figure 4A). Thus, with that same

243 threshold, pursuing additional attempts in a patient with high-likelihood DR is four times worse  
244 than not doing anything. At a risk threshold of  $R=30\%$ , use of the prediction model could  
245 potentially reduce the number of additional attempts in 1 out of 4 patients who will have  
246 spontaneous DR, without missing any patients who do not show spontaneous DR on follow-up  
247 (Figure 4B). Similar results were generated even when excluding eTICI 2c patients  
248 (Supplemental Figure VI).

249 For demonstration purposes, we calculated predicted probabilities of achieving DR for two  
250 hypothetical patients. The first is a 65-year-old male who does not have atrial fibrillation. The  
251 patient has an ASITN/SIR collateral score of 3, and after two maneuvers he achieved a final  
252 reperfusion score of eTICI 2b67. On 24-hour follow-up imaging, this patient has an 82.4%  
253 (95% CI 79.0–84.8) chance of achieving DR. The second hypothetical patient is a 65-year-old  
254 female, again with no atrial fibrillation. She also has an ASITN/SIR collateral score of 3, and  
255 after two maneuvers she achieved a final reperfusion score of eTICI 2b50. On the 24-hour  
256 follow-up imaging, this patient has a 39% (95% CI 35.6–41.4) chance of achieving DR. We  
257 can see that, even though these two hypothetical patients have fairly similar clinical presentation  
258 and interventional characteristics, Patient 1 is more than twice as likely to achieve DR than  
259 Patient 2. Our model is available as an online tool at: <https://proceed.shinyapps.io/model/>.

## 260 **DISCUSSION**

261 The main findings of this study are as follows: (1) The internally validated model has fair  
262 predictive accuracy for determining perfusion imaging outcome and may inform treating  
263 physicians on the chances of favorable natural disease progression if no further reperfusion  
264 attempts are made. (2) The variables with highest predictive value for achieving DR were: age,  
265 sex, atrial fibrillation, Intervention-to-Follow-Up time, maneuver count, eTICI score, and  
266 collateral status. (3) Intervention-related variables contributed more towards accurate  
267 prediction of DR.

268 **Potential model implications**

269 Patients with incomplete reperfusion (e.g. eTICI 2b50) are expected to have small remaining  
270 perfusion deficits caused by the occlusion of medium and small distal vessels. Here, the  
271 decision on proceeding or stopping with an intervention in these patients is surrounded by  
272 uncertainties and is dependent on many factors.<sup>3-5</sup> Potential adjunctive reperfusion efforts  
273 include secondary distal MT, administration of intra-arterial lytic or additional  
274 antithrombotics.<sup>12-17</sup>

275 Data on distal stent retrievers or aspiration thrombectomy are heterogeneous with limited  
276 generalizability due to selection bias.<sup>3-5,18</sup> Some observational studies report better reperfusion  
277 rates after performing additional maneuvers.<sup>3,4</sup> However, complications associated with  
278 mechanical maneuvers seem to increase the more distal the occlusion site is.<sup>12,13,18</sup> Other  
279 adjunctive reperfusion efforts would include the administration of intra-arterial lytics or  
280 antithrombotics.<sup>14-17</sup> Although intra-arterial lytics are thought to be less invasive than  
281 mechanical therapies, they may increase the risk of bleeding.<sup>19,20</sup>

282 In summary, several propositions have been made for different adjunctive reperfusion  
283 strategies, but none of them are devoid of risk.<sup>12-17</sup> Taking these risks may be unwarranted in  
284 patients who are likely to develop DR, which is associated with lack of infract growth and a  
285 comparable outcome to patients with primary eTICI3 reperfusion.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, a better  
286 prediction of what patients show a naturally benign disease progression may be of value. While  
287 the present model offers fair prediction of perfusion imaging outcomes, it is not clear if there  
288 may still be a benefit of immediate complete reperfusion even in patients which show DR.

289 **Predictor values**

290 PROCEED is based on seven baseline and interventional characteristics: age, sex, atrial  
291 fibrillation, Intervention-to-Follow-Up time, maneuver count, eTICI, and collateral status.



292 Association between age, sex and perfusion outcome has already been established. Younger  
293 patients and males tend to have significantly better perfusion outcome with lower rates of  
294 incomplete reperfusion.<sup>21</sup> Our findings are also supported by previous descriptions of  
295 reperfusion status serving as a function of time, showing gradual increase in complete  
296 reperfusion rates as time passes.<sup>8,22</sup> Atrial fibrillation (AF) has been previously described as  
297 associated with lower recanalization rates in patients receiving IVT.<sup>23,24</sup> However, these  
298 analyses were conducted before the widespread use of MT. A more recent multicenter registry  
299 analysis investigated the effects of MT in AF patients and found comparable recanalization  
300 rates between AF and non-AF patients.<sup>25</sup> Another recent single-center analysis has reported  
301 substantially higher reperfusion rates achieved with MT when comparing AF to non-AF  
302 patients.<sup>26</sup> A subtle unexpected finding from the present analysis is that AF seems to favor DR.  
303 As there is a lack of literature on the relationship between AF and DR, we presently do not have  
304 a tangible pathophysiological explanation for this finding. AF could serve as a proxy for another  
305 variable of interest, as we have only focused on baseline and interventional variables which are  
306 easily obtainable in the setting of acute patient management (see Methods).

307 Number of  $\leq 2$  maneuver counts has shown a strong association for achieving successful  
308 reperfusion.<sup>9,27</sup> It is known that with an increase of maneuver counts the likelihood of complete  
309 reperfusion strongly reduces: e.g. if reperfusion is not achieved within 5 maneuvers, the  
310 likelihood of successful reperfusion decreases by half.<sup>27</sup> Potential explanation for this would be  
311 that thrombi imperviable to mechanical manipulation are also more likely to be resistant to the  
312 effects of lytics or to succumb to the effects of autolysis on follow-up, leaving a PPD in the  
313 distal tissue. eTICI score appeared to be the strongest predictor of delayed reperfusion with also  
314 the highest MDA index. This has also promoted international societies to recommend achieving  
315 near-complete or complete reperfusion whenever possible in acute stroke patient care.<sup>1,2</sup> As  
316 eTICI grade increases so does the percentage of reperfused territory where e.g. eTICI 2c

317 patients will have substantially smaller distal deficits when compared to eTICI 2b67 patients.<sup>28</sup>  
318 Far distal reperfusion deficit entails possible presence of a smaller thrombi which is more likely  
319 to spontaneously dissolve and enable complete DR.<sup>29</sup> Pursuit in achieving the highest possible  
320 eTICI score is offset by an increased risk of interventional complications from adjuvant  
321 reperfusion efforts (e.g. vessel puncture or perforation). True equipoise of this cost-benefit ratio  
322 is presently unknown and should be regarded when deciding to pursue additional reperfusion  
323 attempts. Good collateral circulation has been continually associated with successful  
324 reperfusion.<sup>30</sup> Developed collaterals may allow continued perfusion to the area distal of the  
325 occlusion, so even if complete reperfusion is not achieved at the end of thrombectomy patient  
326 might still experience complete DR due to increased collateral flow and vascular remodeling.<sup>30</sup>  
327 Despite having similar baseline and interventional characteristics (as shown in our example in  
328 the Results: 50–66% vs 67–89% reperfusion of target downstream territory), predictions of  
329 perfusion imaging outcome can widely differ. When considering individual patient outcome  
330 independent value of these characteristics is reduced, because in reality outcome is not  
331 influenced by just one single factor, but rather by a combination of them.<sup>31</sup> The combination of  
332 these interventional metrics together with baseline values increases the accuracy with which  
333 heterogeneous perfusion imaging outcomes, that are clinically relevant, can be identified.

#### 334 **Model validation**

335 ESO, ESMINT and AHA guidelines all recommend an individualized decision-making  
336 approach for patients with incomplete reperfusion after thrombectomy.<sup>1,2</sup> For these purposes,  
337 validated prediction models tend to be preferable to physicians' estimates, which are inherently  
338 limited compared to information contained in large datasets used for building prediction  
339 models.<sup>31</sup> However, a recently conducted systematic review highlighted several methodological  
340 concerns with many published clinical prediction models.<sup>32</sup> Several studies had not internally  
341 validated their models nor corrected for over- and under-fitting, whereas other models excluded

342 patients with missing values, making the use and interpretation of these models worrisome.<sup>32</sup>  
343 We did not exclude any patients with missing values and implemented thorough methodological  
344 safeguards during validation and overall fitting of the model (see Methods). Great care was  
345 taken when initially screening for potential confounding variables, as all variables ought to be  
346 easily obtainable in all comprehensive stroke centers, so that all the required information are  
347 available when making the “proceed-or-stop” decision in the angiography suite. Despite having  
348 good discrimination and calibration, the present model tended to overestimate true rates of PPD.  
349 Predictive value of the model should generally be as high as possible,<sup>32</sup> to ensure that necessary  
350 further treatment options are not deferred for patients who would not have DR and consequently  
351 would not achieve a functional outcome without adjunctive reperfusion efforts. Further updates  
352 to the current model are planned. External validation will be performed on patients enrolled in  
353 the Endovascular Therapy for Ischemic Stroke with Perfusion-Imaging Selection (EXTEND-  
354 IA), Tenecteplase Versus Alteplase Before Endovascular Therapy for Ischemic Stroke  
355 (EXTEND-IA TNK) part 1 and part 2 trials, who have available follow-up perfusion imaging  
356 data obtained 24±12 hours after the intervention. (clinicaltrials.gov, unique  
357 identifier: NCT01492725, NCT02388061 and NCT03340493, respectively).

### 358 **Limitations**

359 The present model has several limitations. Its single-center retrospective study design might  
360 limit the generalizability of reported findings. More than half of the patients did not receive  
361 intravenous alteplase before MT, which is a lower frequency than reported in national  
362 registries.<sup>33,34</sup> However, the impact of intravenous thrombolysis on the occurrence of DR  
363 remains unclear.<sup>35</sup> Patients without perfusion follow-up imaging were excluded from the  
364 present analysis. This selection bias might overestimate absolute rates of DR, as these might  
365 differ in the patients not undergoing perfusion imaging on follow-up. Performance of the model  
366 was compared to reference scenarios “Treat All” and “Treat None”; however, in the real world

367 the reference scenario is the physician making the individual patient decision. Future options  
368 should explore this as a reference for benefit. Validations using external datasets are required  
369 to fully explore the model's performance.

### 370 **Conclusion**

371 The model presented here shows fair predictive accuracy for estimating chances of delayed  
372 reperfusion after incomplete thrombectomy. This may inform treating physicians on the  
373 chances of a favorable natural disease progression if no further reperfusion attempts are made.

374 **Competing interests:** The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with  
375 respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: [details omitted for  
376 anonymized peer review]

### 377 **Online Resources:**

- 378 - Supplementary Table I - III
- 379 - Supplementary Figure I - VI

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388 **LITERATURE**

- 389 1. Turc G, Bhogal P, Fischer U, et al. European Stroke Organisation (ESO) – European  
390 Society for Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT) Guidelines on  
391 Mechanical Thrombectomy in Acute Ischaemic Stroke Endorsed by Stroke Alliance for  
392 Europe (SAFE). *Eur Stroke J* 2019; 4: 6–12.
- 393 2. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. *Guidelines for the early management of*  
394 *patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early*  
395 *management of acute ischemic stroke a guideline for healthcare professionals from the*  
396 *American Heart Association/American Stroke A.* 2019. Epub ahead of print 2019. DOI:  
397 10.1161/STR.0000000000000211.
- 398 3. Koge J, Tanaka K, Yoshimoto T, et al. Mechanical Thrombectomy Beyond 2b  
399 Reperfusion: Should We Pursue a Higher Reperfusion Grade after Achievement of 2b?  
400 *Stroke Vasc Interv Neurol* 2022; 2: 1–10.
- 401 4. Kaesmacher J, Maegerlein C, Zibold F, et al. Improving mTICI2b reperfusion to  
402 mTICI2c/3 reperfusions: A retrospective observational study assessing technical  
403 feasibility, safety and clinical efficacy. *Eur Radiol* 2018; 28: 274–282.
- 404 5. Kaesmacher J, Ospel JM, Meinel TR, et al. Thrombolysis in cerebral infarction 2b  
405 reperfusions: To treat or to stop? *Stroke* 2020; 11: 3461–3471.
- 406 6. Kurmann CC, Mujanovic A, Piechowiak EI, et al. Heterogeneity of the Relative  
407 Benefits of TICI 2c/3 over TICI 2b50/2b67: Are there Patients who are less Likely to  
408 Benefit? *Clin Neuroradiol* 2022; 3: 817–827.
- 409 7. Maier B, Finitzis S, Mazighi M, et al. The Benefit of a Complete over a Successful  
410 Reperfusion Decreases with Time. *Ann Neurol* 2023; 00: 1–8.

- 411 8. Mujanovic A, Jungi N, Kurmann CC, et al. Importance of Delayed Reperfusion in  
412 Patients With Incomplete Thrombectomy. *Stroke* 2022; 53: 3350–3358.
- 413 9. Zaidat OO, Yoo AJ, Khatri P, et al. Recommendations on angiographic  
414 revascularization grading standards for acute ischemic stroke: A consensus statement.  
415 *Stroke* 2013; 44: 2650–2663.
- 416 10. Steyerberg EW, Vergouwe Y. Towards better clinical prediction models: Seven steps  
417 for development and an ABCD for validation. *Eur Heart J* 2014; 35: 1925–1931.
- 418 11. Vickers AJ, van Calster B, Steyerberg EW. A simple, step-by-step guide to interpreting  
419 decision curve analysis. *Diagnostic Progn Res* 2019; 3: 1–8.
- 420 12. Mokin M, Fargen KM, Primiani CT, et al. Vessel perforation during stent retriever  
421 thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke : technical details and clinical outcomes. *J*  
422 *Neurointerv Surg* 2017; 9: 922–928.
- 423 13. Ducroux C, Boisseau W, Poppe AY, et al. Successful Reperfusion is Associated with  
424 Favorable Functional Outcome despite Vessel Perforation during Thrombectomy: A  
425 Case Series and Systematic Review. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2022; 1–6.
- 426 14. Diprose WK, Wang MTM, Ghate K, et al. Adjunctive Intra-arterial Thrombolysis in  
427 Endovascular Thrombectomy. *Neurology* 2021; 96: 1135–1143.
- 428 15. Kaesmacher J, Bellwald S, Dobrocky T, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Intra-arterial  
429 Urokinase after Failed, Unsuccessful, or Incomplete Mechanical Thrombectomy in  
430 Anterior Circulation Large-Vessel Occlusion Stroke. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 318–326.
- 431 16. Chen VHE, Lee GKH, Tan CH, et al. Intra-Arterial Adjunctive Medications for Acute  
432 Ischemic Stroke during Mechanical Thrombectomy: A Meta-Analysis. *Stroke* 2021;  
433 1192–1202.

- 434 17. Kaesmacher J, Meinel TR, Kurmann C, et al. Safety and efficacy of intra-arterial  
435 fibrinolytics as adjunct to mechanical thrombectomy: A systematic review and meta-  
436 analysis of observational data. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2020; 13: 1073–1080.
- 437 18. Steffen P, Van Horn N, McDonough R, et al. Continuing early mTICI 2b recanalization  
438 may improve functional outcome but is associated with a higher risk of intracranial  
439 hemorrhage. *Front Neurol* 2022; 13: 01–09.
- 440 19. Fields JD, Khatri P, Nesbit GM, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized intra-arterial  
441 thrombolytic trials for the treatment of acute stroke due to middle cerebral artery  
442 occlusion. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2011; 3: 151–155.
- 443 20. Lee M, Hong K, Saver JL, et al. Efficacy of Intra-Arterial Fibrinolysis for Acute  
444 Ischemic Stroke Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Stroke* 2010; 41:  
445 932–937.
- 446 21. Eriksson M, Asberg S, SK S, et al. Sex Differences in Stroke Care and Outcome 2005 -  
447 2018. *Stroke* 2021; 52: 3233–3242.
- 448 22. Menon BK, Al-Ajlan FS, Najm M, et al. Association of clinical, imaging, and  
449 thrombus characteristics with recanalization of visible intracranial occlusion in patients  
450 with acute ischemic stroke. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc* 2018; 320: 1017–1026.
- 451 23. Kimura K, Iguchi Y, Yamashita S, et al. Atrial fibrillation as an independent predictor  
452 for no early recanalization after IV-t-PA in acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurol Sci* 2008;  
453 267: 57–61.
- 454 24. Kimura K, Shibasaki K, Iguchi Y, et al. The combination of elevated BNP and AF as a  
455 predictor of no early recanalization after IV-t-PA in acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurol Sci*  
456 2010; 290: 37–40.

- 457 25. Akbik F, Alawieh A, Cawley CM, et al. Differential effect of mechanical  
458 thrombectomy and intravenous thrombolysis in atrial fibrillation associated stroke. *J*  
459 *Neurointerv Surg* 2021; 13: 883–888.
- 460 26. Lin CJ, Luo CB, Chien C, et al. Better endovascular mechanical thrombectomy  
461 outcome in atrial fibrillation patients with acute ischemic stroke: A single-center  
462 experience. *J Chinese Med Assoc* 2020; 83: 756–760.
- 463 27. Seker F, Pfaff J, Wolf M, et al. Correlation of thrombectomy maneuver count with  
464 recanalization success and clinical outcome in patients with ischemic stroke. *Am J*  
465 *Neuroradiol* 2017; 38: 1368–1371.
- 466 28. Liebeskind DS, Bracard S, Guillemin F, et al. ETICI reperfusion: Defining success in  
467 endovascular stroke therapy. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2019; 11: 433–438.
- 468 29. Kim YD, Nam HS, Kim SH, et al. Time-Dependent Thrombus Resolution After  
469 Tissue-Type. *Stroke* 2015; 46: 1877–1882.
- 470 30. Venema SMU, Dankbaar JW, Lugt A Van Der. Cerebral Collateral Circulation in the  
471 Era of Reperfusion Therapies for Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke* 2022; 53: 3222–3234.
- 472 31. Rothwell PM. Treating individuals 2. Subgroup analysis in randomised controlled  
473 trials: importance, indications, and interpretation. *Lancet* 2005; 365: 176–86.
- 474 32. Kremers F, Venema E, Duvekot M, et al. Outcome Prediction Models for Endovascular  
475 Treatment of Ischemic Stroke: Systematic Review and External Validation. *Stroke*  
476 2022; 29: 825–836.
- 477 33. Maier IL, Leha A, Badr M, et al. Inhouse Bridging Thrombolysis Is Associated With  
478 Improved Functional Outcome in Patients With Large Vessel Occlusion Stroke:  
479 Findings From the German Stroke Registry. *Front Neurol* 2021; 12: 1–8.



- 480 34. Anadani M, Marnat G, Consoli A, et al. Endovascular therapy with or without  
481 intravenous thrombolysis in acute stroke with tandem occlusion. *J Neurointerv Surg*  
482 2022; 14: 314–320.
- 483 35. Mujanovic A, Kammer C, Kurmann CC, et al. Association of Intravenous  
484 Thrombolysis with Delayed Reperfusion After Incomplete Mechanical Thrombectomy.  
485 *Clin Neuroradiol*. Epub ahead of print 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00062-022-01186-7.
- 486

## FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Delayed Reperfusion and Persistent Perfusion Deficit

Details on grading and evaluation of perfusion imaging outcome have been described previously.<sup>8</sup> In short, TTP and Tmax perfusion maps were evaluated as they had the highest sensitivity. Final angiography runs are displayed with high contrast to emphasize the capillary phase deficits. (A) Patient with a right-sided M1 occlusion as noted on the first angiography run (top left) and admission perfusion imaging (top right). After the intervention, the patient was graded with incomplete reperfusion score of eTICI 2b67 due to the deficit on the final angiography run (bottom left). On the 24-hour follow-up perfusion imaging it is evident that this patient has experienced delayed reperfusion (bottom right). (B) Patient with a right-sided M1 occlusion as noted on the first angiography run (top left) and admission perfusion imaging (top right). After the intervention, the patient was graded with an incomplete reperfusion score of eTICI 2b50 due to the deficit on the final angiography run (bottom left). On the 24-hour follow-up perfusion imaging, it is evident that this patient has experienced a persistent perfusion deficit (bottom right).

## Figure 2 Mean Decrease in Accuracy of All Model Variables

Importance of variables included in the model is presented with the Mean Decrease Accuracy (MDA) index. Variables with higher MDA are more important for successful performance of the model. MDA index was highest for the expanded treatment in cerebral infarction (eTICI) score, collateral status graded with the American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology/Society of Interventional Radiology (ASITN/SIR) score, atrial fibrillation and number of maneuver counts. Variables which provided highest impact of model's accuracy were mostly all related to the interventional, rather than baseline patient characteristics.

### Figure 3. Discrimination and Calibration of the Model's Prediction for Perfusion Imaging Outcome

Calibration plot for predicting perfusion imaging outcome in the test set. The intercept serves as a measurement of predicted probabilities indicating wherever they are too low or too high, whereas the slope represents the predictor's strength in the cohort. Ideally, the intercept should be equal to 0, and the slope to 1. Discrimination between low and high likelihood of achieving delayed reperfusion was good with intercept  $-0.19$  (95% CI  $-0.30$  to  $-0.06$ ) and slope  $0.97$  (95% CI  $0.81$ – $1.11$ ).

#### Figure 4. Clinical Decision Curves

Standardized net benefit is a weighted difference of true and false positives: it increases with more true positives and decreases with more false positives. Threshold probabilities decide how important doing an additional attempt or maneuver in a patient that would have DR is (false positives) compared to not doing an additional attempt or maneuver in patients that would develop DR in any case (true positives). The net reduction in unnecessary interventions weighs the differences between true and false negatives: the net reduction becomes higher the more true negatives there are. It is usually reported as a rate per 100 patients. The prediction model outperforms both the “Treat All” and “Treat None” scenarios by a wide margin. (A) Net benefit of the prediction model and “Treat All” option overlap in the threshold probability range from 0 – 10%. Using the prediction model in this threshold probability range would have no added value, as all patients within this threshold range should be treated. With a risk threshold of  $R=20\%$ , pursuing additional attempts or maneuvers in a patient with high-likelihood DR (false positive) is 4x worse (Cost: Benefit Ratio 1:4) than not doing anything, as the patient would be likely to develop DR on follow-up anyway (true positive). (B) At a risk threshold of  $R=30\%$ , use of a prediction model would reduce the number of unnecessary interventions in 1 out of 4 patients (Standardized net reduction = 0.25), without missing an intervention for any patient who would eventually have DR.