Effects of 2-year physical activity and dietary intervention on adrenarchal and pubertal development: the PANIC study
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Abstract

Context: Childhood overweight has been linked to earlier development of adrenarche and puberty, but it remains unknown if lifestyle interventions influence sexual maturation in general populations.

Objective: To investigate if a 2-year lifestyle intervention influences circulating androgen concentrations and sexual maturation in a general population of children.

Design and participants: A 2-year intervention study in which 421 prepubertal and mostly normal-weight 6-9-year-old children were allocated either to a lifestyle intervention group (119 girls, 132 boys) or a control group (84 girls, 86 boys).

Intervention: A 2-year physical activity and dietary intervention.

Main outcome measures: Serum dehydroepiandrosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, androstenedione, and testosterone concentrations, and clinical adrenarchal and pubertal signs.

Results: The intervention and control groups had no differences in body size and composition, clinical signs of androgen action, and serum androgens at baseline. The intervention attenuated the increase of dehydroepiandrosterone \((p = 0.032)\), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate \((p = 0.001)\), androstenedione \((p = 0.003)\), and testosterone \((p = 0.007)\) and delayed pubarche \((p = 0.038)\) in boys but it only attenuated the increase of dehydroepiandrosterone \((p = 0.013)\) and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate \((p = 0.003)\) in girls. These effects of lifestyle intervention on androgens and the development of pubarche were independent of changes in body size and composition but the effects of intervention on androgens were partly explained by changes in fasting serum insulin.

Conclusions: A combined physical activity and dietary intervention attenuates the increase of serum androgen concentrations and sexual maturation in a general population of prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children, independently of changes in body size and composition.
1. **Introduction**

Sexual maturation from childhood to adulthood includes two main events, adrenarche and puberty (1,2). Adrenarche refers to the maturation of the adrenocortical zona reticularis (ZR) leading to increased production of adrenal androgens, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), androstenedione (A4), and 11-hydroxy-A4 (11OHA4) (1). Increased exposure to adrenal androgens in adrenarche eventually leads to clinical signs, which include adult-type body odor, oily hair and skin, microcomedonal acne, and the development of axillary and pubic hair. Adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulation from the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is necessary for ZR development and the onset of adrenarche, but adrenal androgen production is not similarly dependent and regulated by HPA axis as puberty is by pulsatile secretion of gonadotropins from the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis (1). The activation of the HPG axis and the onset of puberty are usually seen a few years after adrenarche with testicular enlargement in boys and breast development in girls being their first clinical signs (2).

Although adrenarche and puberty are independent events with different regulatory mechanisms, they seem to be linked as pubertal development is advanced in girls with premature timing of adrenarche (3) and in girls with higher circulating DHEAS concentrations in mid-childhood (4). Also, early timing of adrenarche and puberty are associated with adiposity at the age of these events (5, 6) and weight gain in early childhood (7-9). Secular trends of the increased prevalence of childhood obesity and earlier timing of puberty have been shown to share similar patterns, and early weight gain leading to increased fat mass and childhood obesity has been suggested to be one factor behind a trend of earlier sexual maturation, especially in girls (6,10). Other possible factors behind earlier timing of sexual maturation may be insulin and insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) as it is known that children with premature adrenarche have signs of insulin resistance together with increased circulating IGF-1 concentrations (5,7) and that there is a physiological decrease in insulin sensitivity during puberty (11).
Physical activity and dietary interventions have been found to decrease adiposity and improve glucose tolerance in overweight and obese children (12). Given that adipose tissue is somehow regulating the timing of maturation as suggested by the association between early timing of puberty and adiposity (6,10), it could be hypothesized that lifestyle interventions affecting body fat content and insulin sensitivity also influence adrenarchal and pubertal development. Supporting this hypothesis, earlier studies have found that weight loss decreased circulating A4 and testosterone levels in obese children (13) and that lifestyle modifications had beneficial effects on hormonal status in adolescent girls with the polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) (14), a condition characterized by hyperandrogenism. Exercise has also been found to improve insulin sensitivity in adult women with PCOS (15). Little is known, however, whether lifestyle interventions influence adrenarchal and pubertal development in general populations of children with mostly normal weight.

We have reported earlier that a 2-year combined physical activity and dietary intervention attenuated the increase of insulin resistance in a general population of mostly normal weight primary school children participating in the Physical Activity and Nutrition in Children (PANIC) study (16). It is not well known how lifestyle interventions affect sexual maturation in general populations of mostly normal weight children, and we therefore report here the effects of the same 2-year lifestyle intervention on serum androgen concentrations and on adrenarchal and pubertal development in these children.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and design

We investigated a cohort of children who had participated in the Finnish PANIC study. The PANIC study is a non-randomized controlled trial on the effects of a combined physical activity and dietary intervention on cardiometabolic risk factors and other health outcomes in a general population of
children from the city of Kuopio, Finland (17,18). The city of Kuopio has approximately 120,000 inhabitants and includes a central urban area and a few surrounding rural villages. The study protocol has been approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Northern Savo (statement 69/2006), and the study has been carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The parents or caregivers of children provided their written consent, and the children gave their assent to participation. The PANIC study has been registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (No. NCT01803776).

A flow chart of this study is presented in Figure 1. A total of 736 children, aged 6-9 years, who started the first grade in 16 primary schools of the city of Kuopio between 2007-2009, were invited to participate. Altogether, 512 (70%) children accepted the invitation and participated in the baseline examination between October 2007 and December 2009. The participants did not differ in sex, age, height standard deviation score (SDS), or body mass index (BMI) SDS from all children who started the first grade in the city of Kuopio between 2007-2009. A total of eight children were excluded at baseline because of either disabilities that could hamper participation in the intervention study or withdrawal of the families. Thus, 504 children participated in the 2-year intervention study.

Children from nine schools were allocated to an intervention group and children from other seven schools to a control group to avoid contamination in the control group by local or national health promotion programs that could have been initiated in the study region over the 2-year intervention study. The intervention and control groups were proportionally matched according to the location of the schools (urban vs. rural) to minimize sociodemographic differences between the groups. More children were included in the intervention group as a larger number of dropouts were expected in this group. The children, their parents, or caregivers, or people carrying out the examination visits or doing the measurements were not blinded to the group assignment. A total of 66 children were lost over two years because of moving elsewhere, lack of time or motivation, or unknown reasons.
We excluded 19 children from the present analyses because of suspected early signs of pubertal
development or missing pubertal data at baseline, and altogether 251 children (119 girls, 131 boys) from
the intervention group and 170 children (84 girls, 86 boys) from the control group completed the 2-year
follow-up period and were included in the present analyses (Figure 1). Data on biomarkers at baseline
and 2-year follow-up examinations were available for 241 children in the intervention group and for 158
children in the control group. Data on clinical adrenarchal and pubertal signs were available for 240
children in the intervention group and for 164 children in the control group. The partly incomplete data
on biomarkers were due either to missing blood samples or to hemolysis interfering with the analyses.

2.2. Physical activity and dietary intervention

The main goals for the individualized and family-based physical activity and dietary intervention were to
1) decrease the consumption of significant sources of saturated fat and particularly high-fat dairy and
meat products, 2) increase the consumption of significant sources of unsaturated fat and particularly
high-fat vegetable oil-based margarines, vegetable oils, and fish, 3) increase the consumption of
vegetables, fruits, and berries, 4) increase the consumption of significant sources of fiber and
particularly whole grain products, 5) decrease the consumption of significant sources of sugar and
particularly sugar-sweetened beverages and dairy products, and candies, 6) decrease the consumption of
significant sources of salt and the use of salt in cooking, 7) increase total physical activity by emphasizing
its diversity, 8) decrease total and particularly screen-based sedentary behavior, and 9) avoid excessive
energy intake.

The 2-year intervention consisted of six intervention visits that occurred 0.5, 1.5, 3, 6, 12, and 18 months
after baseline examinations. Each intervention visit included 30–45 min of physical activity counselling
and 30–45 min of dietary counselling for the children and their parents or caregivers. The children and
their parents or caregivers received individualized advice from a specialist in exercise medicine and a
clinical nutritionist on how to increase physical activity, decrease sedentary time, and improve diet among the children in everyday conditions. Each visit had a specific topic of discussion (physical activity, sedentary time, diet) in accordance with the goals of the intervention and included practical tasks on these topics for the children. The children and their parents or caregivers also received fact sheets on physical activity, sedentary time, and diet as well as verbal and written information on opportunities for exercising in the city of Kuopio. Some material support was also given for physical activity, such as exercise equipment and allowance for playing indoor sports. Of the children in the intervention group who attended the baseline examination, 87% participated in all six visits, 92% in at least five visits, and 96% in at least four visits. The children in the intervention group, particularly those who did not attend organized sports or exercise, were also encouraged to participate in after-school exercise clubs organized at the nine schools by trained exercise instructors of the PANIC study. The children in the control group were not allowed to attend these exercise clubs to avoid a non-intentional intervention in the control group. Altogether, 83% of the children in the intervention group participated in at least one of the after-school exercise clubs, and 41% attended these exercise clubs at least once a month.

In the control group, the children and their parents or caregivers received general verbal and written advice on health-improving physical activity and diet only at baseline with no further lifestyle counselling.

2.3. Assessment of body size and composition, physical activity, and dietary factors

Anthropometric measurements were performed in the morning after a 12-hour fast. Height was measured thrice using a calibrated wall-mounted stadiometer to an accuracy of 0.1 cm with the children standing in the Frankfurt plane, and the average of the two closest values was used in the analyses. Weight was measured twice using a weight scale integrated into the InBody 720® bioelectrical impedance device (Biospace, Seoul, South Korea) to an accuracy of 0.1 kg with the children having
emptied the bladder and wearing light underwear, and the mean of the two values was used in the analyses. Body lean and fat masses were measured using the same InBody 720® bioelectrical impedance device. BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by body height (m) squared. Baseline growth velocity (cm/year) was calculated from height values between the age of five years and the age at baseline, and 2-year growth velocity from height values between the age at baseline and 2-year follow-up examinations. Age- and sex- standardized height SDS and BMI SDS were calculated using the Finnish national references (19). Physical activity was assessed using the PANIC Physical Activity Questionnaire and dietary factors using 4-day food records (17).

2.4. Assessment of adrenarchal and pubertal status

A trained research physician assessed pubertal status according to the Tanner staging method (20,21).

For girls, breast development (Tanner B) was assessed by inspection and palpation and scored as 1-5.

For boys, testicular development (Tanner G) was assessed with orchidometer and scored as 1-5. For girls and boys, development of pubic hair (Tanner P) was assessed with inspection and scored as 1-5.

Pubertal onset was defined as breast development (Tanner B) ≥ 2 for girls and testicular volume ≥ 4 ml (Tanner G ≥ 2) for boys.

A trained research physician assessed also adrenarchal signs that included adult-type body odor, greasiness of the hair and skin, acne, and development of axillary or pubic hair. Adult-type body odor and greasiness of the hair and skin were assessed also by asking the parents. Clinical adrenarche was defined if one or more of these clinical signs were present.

2.5. Collection of birth data

Birth data were collected retrospectively from the national Medical Birth Register and the local Kuopio University Hospital register. Preterm birth was defined as if a child was born before 37.0 weeks of
gestational age. Birth weight SDS and birth length SDS were calculated using Finnish national references (22). Being born small for gestational age was defined as birth weight and/or birth length ≤ -2 SDS.

2.6. Biochemical analyses

All blood samples were collected in the morning after a 12-hour fast, and the serum samples were stored at -80°C until used for biochemical analyses.

Serum DHEAS concentrations were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (cat # 1950, RRID:AB_2819763, Alpha Diagnostic International, San Antonio, TX, USA). The intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) for this assay were 7.5-11.5% and 7.0-11.0%, respectively. The detection limit of the DHEAS immunoassay was 0.014 µmol/l. Biochemical adrenarche was defined as serum DHEAS concentration ≥ 1 µmol/l (37 ng/dL).

Serum concentrations of other androgens than DHEAS were measured using liquid chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), as described previously (23). At the time of measuring serum androgen concentrations at baseline and 2-year follow-up examination by LC-MS/MS, our in-house method did not include androgens in the so-called 11-oxygenated androgen pathway (24). Therefore, only androgens belonging to the classic pathway, including DHEA, DHEAS, A4, and testosterone, are used in the present study.

Absolute changes in serum androgen levels over two years (Δ) were calculated by the following formula: [steroid concentration at 2-year follow-up examination – steroid concentration at baseline]. Relative changes (%) in serum androgen levels were calculated with the following formula: [(androgen concentration at 2-year follow-up examination – androgen concentration at baseline examination) / androgen concentration at baseline examination] x 100].
Serum IGF-1 concentrations were measured using an ELISA kit (cat # E20, [RRID:AB_2813791], Mediagnost, Reutlingen, Germany). The intra-assay and inter-assay CVs for this assay were 5.1-6.6% and 7.7-9.2%, respectively. Serum luteinizing hormone (LH) concentrations were measured using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Cat # 11732234, [RRID:AB_2800498], Roche diagnostics Gmbh, Mannheim, Germany) with an inter- and intra-assay CVs as 1.6-1.9 and 1.4%, respectively. Biochemical evidence for pubertal onset at 2-year follow-up examination was defined as serum LH concentration of at least 0.3 U/l (25).

Serum insulin concentrations were analyzed using an ECLIA kit with the sandwich principle (Cat # 12017547, [RRID:AB_2756877], Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The intra-assay and inter-assay CVs for this assay were 1.3–3.5% and 1.6–4.4%, respectively. A hexokinase method was used to analyze plasma glucose (Roche Diagnostics). The intra-assay and inter-assay CVs for this method were 0.7–0.9% and 1.5–1.8%, respectively. Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated using the following formula: [(fasting insulin (mU/l) x fasting glucose (mmol/l)) / 22.5] (26).

2.7. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS statistics software, Version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A p-value less than 0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance. Distributions of the variables were analyzed by the Shapiro-Wilk test and visual observation of the histograms. The Mann-Whitney U-test and the Student’s t-test were used to compare differences in continuous variables between groups. For categorical variables, the Pearson’s χ² test was used. Intervention effects on the changes of serum androgen concentrations during the follow-up period (Δ steroid concentration) were analyzed using linear regression models that were tested to meet assumptions of normality, linearity, homoscedasticity, and absence of multicollinearity. In the case of continuous variables with skewed distributions, logarithmic transformation was used before regression analyses.
3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of children at baseline

Children in the control group had slightly lower birth length SDS at baseline (Table 1) and slightly higher serum IGF-1 concentration at baseline (Table 2) than children in the physical activity and dietary intervention group. These differences between the groups reached statistical significance in boys but not in girls. Serum androgen concentrations were comparable between the groups at baseline (Table 2).

3.2. Intervention effects on physical activity and dietary factors

Total and unsupervised physical activity increased in the intervention group but decreased in the control group over two years (total physical activity, +7 vs -5 min/d, p = 0.003; unsupervised physical activity, +6 vs -9 min/d, p < 0.001). There were no differences in the changes of organized exercise between the groups (+4 vs +3 min/d, p = 0.444). The effects of intervention on dietary factors over two years have been published comprehensively elsewhere (17). In brief, the intervention improved diet quality, for example increased the consumption of vegetables, fruits, and berries and replaced the consumption of foods high in saturated fat with the consumption of foods high in unsaturated fat. There was no difference in the change of protein intake between the groups.

3.3. Intervention effects on height, growth velocity, and body composition

There were no differences in measures of body size or composition between the intervention and control groups at baseline or 2-year follow-up examinations (Table 1). The intervention had no effects on height SDS, growth velocity, BMI SDS, or body fat percentage over two years in the whole study population or in girls or in boys separately (Figure 2 and Table 1).

3.4. Intervention effects on clinical signs of adrenarche and puberty

The prevalence of pubarche at 2-year follow-up examination was lower among boys in the intervention group than among boys in the control group (Table 1). Otherwise, we did not find any statistically
significant differences between the groups in the prevalence of clinical adrenarchal or pubertal signs. When pubertal onset was defined by Tanner B ≥ 2 and/or serum LH concentration ≥ 0.3 U/l, girls in the intervention group tended to have a lower prevalence of puberty at 2-year follow-up examination than girls in the control group, but this difference did not reach the level of statistical significance (p = 0.057).

3.5. Intervention effects on serum androgens and other biomarkers

Serum DHEA concentration at 2-year follow-up examination was lower among children in the intervention group than among children in the control group (Table 2). No differences in serum DHEAS, A4, or testosterone concentrations were found between the groups at the 2-year follow-up examination.

Serum DHEA, DHEAS, A4, and testosterone concentrations increased less over two years among children in the intervention group than among children in the control group (Figure 3). In boys, the levels of all androgens increased less in the intervention group than in the control group, but in girls this was the case only for DHEA and DHEAS (Figure 3).

The intervention attenuated the increase of serum DHEA concentration over two years after adjustment for gender, gestational age, birth length SDS, age, serum IGF-1 concentration, BMI SDS, and presence of adrenarchal signs at baseline, and presence of pubertal signs at 2-year follow-up (Table 3; model 1). The intervention also attenuated the increase of serum testosterone concentration over two years in boys but not in girls after these adjustments. Further adjustment for the change of fasting serum insulin concentration over two years had no statistically significant effect on these results (Table 3; model 2).

However, the change of HOMA-IR correlated positively with and the changes of serum DHEA, A4, and testosterone concentrations over two years in girls (r = 0.212 and p < 0.001 for DHEA; r = 0.199 and p = 0.010 for A4; r = 0.153 and p = 0.049 for testosterone) but not in boys.
4. Discussion

This 2-year non-randomized controlled trial showed that the physical activity and dietary intervention attenuated the increase of serum DHEAS, DHEA, A4, and testosterone concentrations in a general population of initially prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children. The intervention attenuated the increase of all these serum androgens in boys but only the increase of serum DHEA and DHEAS in girls. The intervention also decreased the development of pubarche in boys but not in girls. The effects of physical activity and dietary intervention on serum androgens and the development of pubarche were independent of changes in body size and composition, but the intervention effects were partly mediated by changes in fasting serum insulin.

Studies that have reported the effects of physical activity or dietary interventions on circulating concentrations of androgens or other steroid hormones in children are scarce, and to the best of our knowledge, there are no such studies in general populations of initially prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children. In German obese prepubertal 8-year-old children who had received physical activity, dietary, and other behavioral interventions, weight loss was associated with decreases in circulating concentrations of glucocorticoids, A4, and testosterone but not DHEAS. (13). Children in our study differed from those in the German study by being mostly normal weight and showing no intervention effects on measures of body size or composition. Consistent with the results of the German study, however, we found that the intervention did not decrease but attenuated the gradual increase of serum androgen concentrations between the ages of 6 and 10 years. The same German group also reported that successful weight loss was associated with increased steroid sulfation capacity in obese children (27). In our study, DHEAS was the only measured sulfated steroid, and we did not detect major changes in the absolute serum DHEAS concentration after intervention, although its relative gradual increase was smaller in the intervention group than in the control group between the ages of 6 to 11 years.
A meta-analysis showed that physical activity, dietary, and other behavioral interventions improved the free androgen index and clinical manifestations, including the Ferriman-Gallwey score of hairiness and menstrual irregularities, in adolescent girls with PCOS (14), which is a condition characterized by hyperandrogenism. Although hyperandrogenic adolescent girls are metabolically different from the mostly normal-weight children participating in our study, the results of the meta-analysis also suggest that lifestyle interventions can modulate androgen production and metabolism in children. In another meta-analysis among PCOS women, lifestyle modifications were found to be associated with reduced fasting blood glucose and serum insulin levels (28). Insulin is an important biomarker of sexual maturation, and premature adrenarche and normal central puberty are known to be associated with decreased insulin sensitivity (5,11). We have previously shown that the 2-year combined physical activity and dietary intervention attenuated the increase of insulin resistance in the present population of initially prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children (16). In the present study, we found that the same intervention attenuated the increase of serum androgen concentrations and the change in HOMA-IR was positively correlated with the changes in DHEA, A4, and testosterone concentrations during the follow-up in girls. These results together suggest that physical activity and dietary interventions may slow the development of adrenarche, especially in girls, and that this may be partly explained by enhanced insulin sensitivity.

Previous studies in children have taught us that the nutritional and weight status are drivers for the timing and intensity of adrenarche and puberty. For example, children with premature adrenarche are more likely to be overweight or obese than those with later timing of adrenarche (5), and childhood obesity is associated with earlier pubertal onset (6,10). These two developmental events seem to be linked as children with premature adrenarche have more advanced pubertal development at the age of 12 years than those with normal timing of adrenarche (3). We and other research groups have also provided evidence that some dietary factors, such as increased protein intake, are associated with
circulating adrenal androgen concentrations in children (29, 30). Our finding that the lifestyle intervention attenuated the increase of serum androgens and decreased the prevalence of pubarche in boys at the age of adrenarche suggests that changes in environmental factors, such as physical activity and dietary factors, may have effects on the biological system regulating the timing and strength of the sexual development in children. Our lifestyle intervention mainly affected serum androgens but not the clinical signs of androgen action, except delaying pubarche in boys. The reason for this may be that the duration of intervention of two years may have been too short given that approximately fifth of the initially prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children had already entered adrenarche by baseline and less than fourth of the children entered puberty over the next two years. It is also well known that the onset of adrenarche and puberty is seen later in boys than in girls, which was also found in our study cohort. Therefore, another explanation for observing the effect of our lifestyle intervention only on pubarche in boys but not on other clinical signs of androgen action in either sex is that the intervention started when many girls had already reached adrenarche.

The strengths of our study include the relatively large general population of initially prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children examined, the long-term and controlled lifestyle intervention conducted, the sensitive LC-MS/MS method used to measure most serum androgens, and the comprehensive assessments of clinical androgenic and pubertal signs. Serum DHEAS was not measured by the LC-MS/MS method, but it was well measurable by an immunoassay as it is abundant in the circulation already in mid-childhood. Body size and composition in our cohort were comparable to those of the national reference population (19) making it possible to generalize the results to other children of the same age in Finland. We emphasized the individual needs of the families and parental involvement in our intervention, both of which have been observed to improve adherence of families to lifestyle interventions (31). Thus, only about 15% of the children in the intervention group dropped out during the 2-year follow-up, and almost 90% of the children and their parents or caregivers participated in all
physical activity and dietary counselling sessions, indicating that the intervention was well accepted by the participants.

A limitation of the study is that we did not randomly allocate the participants to the intervention and control group, but instead allocated the children from nine schools to the intervention group and the children from seven schools to the control group to avoid contamination in the control group by local or national health promotion programs that could have been initiated in the study region during the follow-up period. This type of allocation of the children to the study groups also enabled us to organize after-school exercise clubs as part of the intervention at the nine-school premises and thus avoid a non-intentional intervention in the control group. We matched the intervention and control groups according to the location of the schools so that children from urban and rural areas were included in both groups to minimize sociodemographic differences between the groups. There were only minor differences in baseline characteristics between the intervention and control groups, suggesting fair success in avoiding selection bias. Our individualized and family-based lifestyle intervention consisted mainly of physical activity counselling for the children and their parents or caregivers, whereas providing the children with supervised exercise played a much smaller role in our intervention. This kind of physical activity intervention could be seen as a limitation of our study. However, we found that total and unsupervised physical activity increased in the intervention group but decreased in the control group, showing that this kind of intervention was able to increase physical activity among these children.

It is possible in an unblinded study design, which we used, that knowing the study group has an influence on participants when answering the questionnaire or on investigators when performing the assessments. Another limitation is the lack of data on circulating sex hormone-binding globulin concentrations that the lifestyle intervention could have altered and thereby affected the bioavailability of circulating androgens (32). One may question the reliability of the clinical assessment of adult-type body odor and greasiness of hair and skin. Although our experience indicates that these signs of
androgen action are well detectable in clinical examinations and that parents usually recognize the
onset of these signs, we do agree that they are weak indicators of clinical adrenarche. We also agree
that defining biochemical adrenarche as a serum DHEAS concentration of ≥1 µmol/l, which is commonly
used for this purpose, has its limitations because serum DHEAS concentrations are sexually dimorphic
and do not necessarily correlate straightforwardly with clinical signs of androgen action (33, 34).

To conclude, we found that the 2-year combined physical activity and dietary intervention in mid-
childhood attenuated the increase of serum androgen concentrations in a general population of initially
prepubertal and mostly normal-weight children, and that the intervention delayed pubarche in boys.
These intervention effects on serum androgens were independent of changes in body size and
composition but may have been mediated through altered insulin sensitivity, especially in girls. Future
studies should consider the limitations of our study and confirm its results, preferably with supervised
exercise interventions starting even at younger ages. Our findings highlight the importance of targeting
physical activity and dietary interventions at children with hyperandrogenic conditions, including those
with a normal body weight. Our findings also emphasize that a healthy diet and a physically active
lifestyle in childhood might prevent conditions like premature adrenarche, which, at least in some cases,
may precede later unfavorable health outcomes (35,36).
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Figure 1. Flow chart of the study.

Figure 2. Absolute change (Δ) in height SDS (panel A), growth velocity (GV, panel B), body mass index SDS (BMI, panel C), and body fat percentage (panel D) over 2-years among children in the combined physical and dietary intervention group and among children in the control group. The mean age at baseline was 7.6 years. Medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) in boxes, ranges (IQR*1.5) in whiskers, and outliers (circles) are provided in boxplot charts. No statistically significant differences were found between the groups in any of these parameters (Mann-Whitney U test, p-values > 0.140), also when analyzing girls and boys separately.

Figure 3. Relative unadjusted changes (%) in serum dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS; panels A-C), dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA; D-F), androstenedione (A4; G-I), and testosterone (J-L) concentrations among children in the combined physical activity and dietary intervention group and among children in the control group over two years. The mean age at baseline was 7.6 years. Medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) in boxes, ranges (IQR*1.5) in whiskers, and outliers (circles) are provided in boxplot charts. Differences between the groups were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test, and p-values were used to indicate statistical significance of the differences.
Table 1. Clinical data at baseline and 2-year follow-up examinations among children in the 2-year combined physical activity and dietary intervention group and among children in the control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All children</th>
<th></th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, wk</td>
<td>39.9 (1.5)</td>
<td>39.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>39.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>39.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length SDS</td>
<td>-0.07 (1.03)</td>
<td>-0.36 (0.87)</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>-0.03 (1.05)</td>
<td>-0.28 (0.89)</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight SDS</td>
<td>-0.02 (1.08)</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.92)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.06 (1.10)</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.99)</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age, %a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm, %b</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>7.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>7.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height SDS</td>
<td>0.17 (0.95)</td>
<td>0.09 (1.03)</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.10 (0.87)</td>
<td>0.09 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth velocity, cm/y&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.73 (0.89)</td>
<td>6.71 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>6.59 (0.64)</td>
<td>6.69 (0.66)</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index SDS</td>
<td>-0.20 (1.04)</td>
<td>-0.24 (1.14)</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>-0.22 (1.02)</td>
<td>-0.37 (1.07)</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>56.4 (5.3)</td>
<td>56.6 (6.2)</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>55.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>55.3 (6.0)</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage</td>
<td>19.5 (7.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>21.9 (6.9)</td>
<td>20.9 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass percentage</td>
<td>76.6 (8.4)</td>
<td>76.6 (8.5)</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>73.9 (7.3)</td>
<td>75.1 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT-to-body fat mass, %</td>
<td>1.54 (1.25)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.30)</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.88 (0.65)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical adrenarche, %&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical adrenarche, %&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical and/or biochemical adrenarche, %&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubarche, %&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 2-year follow-up examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>9.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>9.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>9.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>9.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height SDS</td>
<td>0.10 (0.95)</td>
<td>0.04 (1.04)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.03 (0.86)</td>
<td>0.02 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth velocity, cm/y&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43 (0.68)</td>
<td>5.48 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>5.56 (0.75)</td>
<td>5.55 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index SDS</td>
<td>-0.17 (1.04)</td>
<td>-0.13 (1.08)</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>-0.19 (1.00)</td>
<td>-0.23 (1.02)</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>61.0 (6.9)</td>
<td>61.2 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>59.6 (6.1)</td>
<td>59.5 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage</td>
<td>24.2 (9.6)</td>
<td>24.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>26.6 (8.7)</td>
<td>25.1 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass percentage</td>
<td>72.7 (9.4)</td>
<td>72.6 (9.6)</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>70.4 (8.5)</td>
<td>71.8 (8.6)</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT-to-body fat mass, %</td>
<td>1.39 (0.99)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.94)</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.90 (0.61)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.68)</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical adrenarche, %&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical adrenarche, %&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical and/or biochemical adrenarche, %&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubarche, %&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puberty, only clinical signs, %&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puberty, clinical signs and/or biochemical evidence, %&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are expressed as means (standard deviations) and categorical variables as percentages. Differences between the intervention and control groups were analyzed using the student t-test for continuous variables and the χ² test for categorical variables, and p-values < 0.05 indicating statistically significant differences between the groups are bolded.
Table 2. Biochemical data at baseline and 2-year follow-up examinations among children in the 2-year combined physical activity and dietary intervention group and among children in the control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All children (n = 250)</th>
<th>Control (n = 170)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Intervention (n = 119)</th>
<th>Control (n = 84)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Intervention (n = 131)</th>
<th>Control (n = 86)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>At baseline examination (6-9 y)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEA, nmol/L</td>
<td>1.70 (0.86-2.91)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.81-2.57)</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>1.92 (1.02-3.34)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.84-2.72)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>1.40 (0.73-2.49)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.67-2.07)</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEAS, µmol/L</td>
<td>0.59 (0.33-0.84)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.31-0.86)</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.57 (0.35-0.84)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.31-0.85)</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.60 (0.32-0.84)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.32-0.88)</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4, nmol/L</td>
<td>0.74 (0.47-1.07)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.40-0.99)</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.82 (0.47-1.21)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44-1.10)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.63 (0.46-0.98)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.35-0.87)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone, pmol/L</td>
<td>122 (83-168)</td>
<td>117 (76-178)</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>133 (92-194)</td>
<td>124 (92-190)</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>115 (79-154)</td>
<td>107 (71-174)</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, U/L</td>
<td>4.2 (2.7-5.7)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.9-6.0)</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>4.7 (3.4-6.0)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.3-6.1)</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>3.9 (2.5-5.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.6-6.0)</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.91 (0.55-1.27)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.64-1.34)</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>1.00 (0.68-1.32)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.70-1.29)</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.85 (0.53-1.19)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.55-1.36)</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-1, nmol/L</td>
<td>21.7 (17.5-25.9)</td>
<td>22.9 (18.8-28.3)</td>
<td><strong>0.013</strong></td>
<td>22.5 (18.5-28.1)</td>
<td>24.1 (19.4-30.1)</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>20.2 (16.2-24.5)</td>
<td>22.1 (18.4-27.4)</td>
<td><strong>0.017</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      |                        |                    |        |                        |                    |        |                        |                    |        |
| **At 2-year follow-up examination (9-11 y)** |                        |                    |        |                        |                    |        |                        |                    |        |
| DHEA, nmol/l         | 3.06 (1.71-4.75)        | 3.99 (2.13-6.49)   | **0.003** | 3.47 (1.93-5.23)        | 4.22 (2.70-6.76)   | **0.033** | 2.78 (1.57-4.42)        | 3.27 (2.00-6.38)   | **0.046** |
| DHEAS, µmol/L        | 0.69 (0.38-1.05)        | 0.67 (0.42-1.19)   | 0.449  | 0.67 (0.36-1.02)        | 0.63 (0.36-1.02)   | 0.819  | 0.74 (0.40-1.10)        | 0.74 (0.42-1.22)   | 0.378  |
| A4, nmol/l           | 1.20 (0.79-1.70)        | 1.20 (0.80-1.84)   | 0.613  | 1.36 (0.92-1.98)        | 1.33 (0.92-2.06)   | 0.991  | 1.07 (0.73-1.52)        | 1.07 (0.70-1.70)   | 0.633  |
| Testosterone, pmol/l | 202 (135-291)           | 206 (148-313)      | 0.383  | 250 (156-347)           | 215 (154-328)      | 0.540  | 185 (123-241)           | 197 (127-277)      | 0.128  |
| Insulin, U/L         | 4.9 (3.5-7.2)           | 5.9 (3.9-7.9)      | **0.028** | 5.4 (4.0-8.2)           | 6.3 (4.1-8.2)      | 0.167  | 4.6 (3.1-6.6)           | 5.1 (3.5-7.4)      | 0.120  |
| HOMA-IR              | 1.10 (0.77-1.61)        | 1.27 (0.84-1.76)   | **0.034** | 1.13 (0.88-1.81)        | 1.38 (0.87-1.84)   | 0.208  | 1.03 (0.68-1.50)        | 1.16 (0.76-1.71)   | 0.114  |
| IGF-1, nmol/l        | 29.8 (24.1-35.8)        | 29.7 (24.3-35.6)   | 0.942  | 33.2 (26.8-40.3)        | 32.1 (26.8-40.3)   | 0.586  | 26.2 (22.9-33.5)        | 28.2 (22.9-32.1)   | 0.821  |

The values are medians (25th-75th percentile range). HOMA-IR was calculated using the following formula: [(insulin (mU/L) x glucose (mmol/L)) / 22.5]. Differences between the intervention and control groups were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test, and p-values < 0.05 indicating statistically significant differences between the groups are bolded. To have values in conventional units, multiply DHEA by 0.2884 (ng/mL), DHEAS by 36.85 (µg/dL), A4 by 2.0864 (ng/mL), testosterone by 2.0885 (pg/mL), and IGF-1 by 7.65 (ng/mL).

A4, androstenedione; DHEA, dehydroepiandrosterone; DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1.
Table 3. Effects of the 2-year combined physical activity and dietary intervention on changes (Δ) in serum dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), androstenedione (A4), and testosterone concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome (dependent) variable</th>
<th>ΔDHEA (nmol/l)</th>
<th>ΔDHEAS (µmol/l)</th>
<th>ΔA4 (nmol/l)</th>
<th>ΔTestosterone (pmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All children (n = 421)</td>
<td>-1.23 (-1.85 to -0.62)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 (-0.14 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.292 (-0.27 to 0.03)</td>
<td>0.111 (-237 to -5.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls only (n = 203)</td>
<td>-1.27 (-2.11 to -0.43)</td>
<td>0.003 (-0.18 to 0.05)</td>
<td>0.244 (-0.26 to 0.21)</td>
<td>0.855 (-9.24 to 53.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys only (n = 218)</td>
<td>-1.31 (-2.24 to -0.37)</td>
<td>0.007 (-0.19 to 0.09)</td>
<td>0.503 (-0.38 to 0.01)</td>
<td>0.063 (-476 to -29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All children (n = 421)</td>
<td>-1.14 (-1.77 to -0.52)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 (-0.16 to 0.03)</td>
<td>0.169 (-0.26 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.156 (-243 to -4.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls only (n = 203)</td>
<td>-1.20 (-2.07 to -0.34)</td>
<td>0.007 (-0.19 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.215 (-0.24 to 0.25)</td>
<td>0.972 (-8.35 to 56.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys only (n = 218)</td>
<td>-1.15 (-2.09 to -0.22)</td>
<td>0.016 (-0.20 to 0.09)</td>
<td>0.467 (-0.39 to 0.01)</td>
<td>0.058 (-485 to -28.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are from linear regression models adjusted for gestational age, birth length SDS, age, serum IGF-1 concentration, BMI SDS, and presence of adrenarchal signs at baseline, and presence of pubertal signs at 2-year follow-up (Model 1) and additionally for the change of fasting serum insulin concentration over two years (Model 2). The mean age at baseline was 7.6 years. P-values < 0.05 indicating statistically significant differences between the groups are bolded.
736 children from 16 schools in the city of Kuopio were invited to participate in the PANIC study.

512 children (6-9 years old) participated in the baseline visit.

504 children included in the follow-up phase.

Excluded (n = 8)
- Disability (n = 6)
- Withdrawal (n = 2)

504 children included in the follow-up phase

Excluded from the present study because of suspected pubertal onset or missing data (n = 19)

Intervention group from 9 schools at baseline
(295 children, 144 girls)

Lost to follow-up (n = 44)
- Moved elsewhere (n = 2)
- No time/motivation (n = 21)
- Unknown reason (n = 21)

Lost to follow-up (n = 22)
- Moved elsewhere (n = 3)
- No time/motivation (n = 1)
- Unknown reason (n = 18)

Control group from 7 schools at baseline
(192 children, 96 girls)

Intervention group at 2-year follow-up
(251 children, 119 girls)
- Data on biomarkers for 241 children
- Data on adrenarchal and pubertal development for 240 children

Control group at 2-year follow-up
(170 children, 84 girls)
- Data on biomarkers for 158 children
- Data on adrenarchal and pubertal development for 164 children
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